UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

| (Mark One) ☑ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUAN | NT TO SECTION 12 OD 15/6 | N OF THE SECUDITIES EVOUANCE ACT (| DE 1024 | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| ☑ ANNUAL REPORT FURSUAL | VI TO SECTION 15 OR 15(0 | I) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT C | JF 1934 | | |
| FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENI | DED DECEMBER 31, 2014 | | | | |
| _ | | OR | | | |
| ☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURS | SUANT TO SECTION 13 OR | R 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE A | CT OF 1934 | | |
| FOR THE TRANSITION PER | IOD FROM | то | | | |
| | Commis | sion File Number 1-13265 | | | |
| | CenterPoint E | Energy Resources Corp |). | | |
| Delaware | | 76-0511 | 76-0511406 | | |
| (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) | | (I.R.S. Employer Ide | (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) | | |
| 1111 Louisia | na | | | | |
| Houston, Texas | Houston, Texas 77002 | | (713) 207-1111 | | |
| (Address and zip code of principal executive offices) (Registrant's telephone number, including are | | | per, including area code) | | |
| | Securities registered | pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: | | | |
| Title of Ea | Title of Each Class | | Name of Each Exchange On Which Registered | | |
| 6.625% Senior N | Notes due 2037 | New York S | New York Stock Exchange | | |
| | Securities register | red pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None | | | |
| CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. meets disclosure format. | s the conditions set forth in Gene | eral Instruction I(1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-K and is | s therefore filing this Form 10-K with the reduced | | |
| Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a wel | ll-known seasoned issuer, as define | ed in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No 🗵 | | | |
| Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not re | equired to file reports pursuant to S | Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No 🗵 | | | |
| | | be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days | | | |
| | | sted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive I ths (or for such shorter period that the registrant was requ | | | |
| Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinque definitive proxy or information statements incorporate | | Regulation S-K is not contained herein and will not be of Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☑ | contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in | | |
| Indicate by check mark whether the registrant i "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" | | erated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting i. (Check one): | g company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", | | |
| Large accelerated filer o | Accelerated filer o | Non-accelerated filer \square (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | Smaller reporting company o | | |
| Indicate by check mark whether the registrant i | s a shell company (as defined by R | ule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No ☑ | | | |
| The aggregate market value of the common equ | | - ' | | | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

| | | <u>Page</u> |
|----------|--|-------------|
| Item 1. | Business | <u>1</u> |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | <u>13</u> |
| Item 1B. | Unresolved Staff Comments | <u>31</u> |
| Item 2. | Properties | <u>31</u> |
| Item 3. | Legal Proceedings | <u>31</u> |
| Item 4. | Mine Safety Disclosures | <u>31</u> |
| | | |
| | PART II | |
| Item 5. | Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | <u>31</u> |
| Item 6. | Selected Financial Data | <u>31</u> |
| Item 7. | Management's Narrative Analysis of Results of Operations | <u>32</u> |
| Item 7A. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | <u>49</u> |
| Item 8. | Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | <u>51</u> |
| Item 9. | Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | <u>86</u> |
| Item 9A. | Controls and Procedures | <u>86</u> |
| Item 9B. | Other Information | <u>87</u> |
| | | |
| | PART III | |
| Item 10. | Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | <u>87</u> |
| Item 11. | Executive Compensation | <u>87</u> |
| Item 12. | Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | <u>87</u> |
| Item 13. | Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence | <u>87</u> |
| Item 14. | Principal Accounting Fees and Services | <u>88</u> |
| | | |
| | PART IV | |
| Item 15. | Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules | 88 |

i

We meet the conditions specified in General Instruction I(1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-K and are thereby permitted to use the reduced disclosure format for wholly owned subsidiaries of reporting companies specified therein. Accordingly, we have omitted from this report the information called for by Item 10 (Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance), Item 11 (Executive Compensation), Item 12 (Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters) and Item 13 (Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence) of Form 10-K. In lieu of the information called for by Item 6 (Selected Financial Data) and Item 7 (Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations) of Form 10-K, we have included, under Item 7, Management's Narrative Analysis of Results of Operations to explain the reasons for material changes in the amount of revenue and expense items between 2014, 2013 and 2012.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

From time to time we make statements concerning our expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events or performance and underlying assumptions and other statements that are not historical facts. These statements are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by these statements. You can generally identify our forward-looking statements by the words "anticipate," "believe," "continue," "could," "estimate," "expect," "forecast," "goal," "intend," "may," "objective," "plan," "potential," "predict," "projection," "should," "will" or other similar words.

We have based our forward-looking statements on our management's beliefs and assumptions based on information reasonably available to our management at the time the statements are made. We caution you that assumptions, beliefs, expectations, intentions and projections about future events may and often do vary materially from actual results. Therefore, we cannot assure you that actual results will not differ materially from those expressed or implied by our forward-looking statements.

Some of the factors that could cause actual results to differ from those expressed or implied by our forward-looking statements are described under "Risk Factors" in Item 1A and "Management's Narrative Analysis of Results of Operations — Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings" in Item 7 of this report, which discussions are incorporated herein by reference.

You should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. Each forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date of the particular statement, and we undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements.

Item 1. Business

OUR BUSINESS

Overview

We own and operate natural gas distribution systems in six states. We also offer variable and fixed-price physical natural gas supplies primarily to commercial and industrial customers and gas utilities. As of December 31, 2014, we also owned approximately 55.4% of the limited partner interests in Enable Midstream Partners, LP (Enable), an unconsolidated partnership jointly controlled with OGE Energy Corp., which owns, operates and develops natural gas and crude oil infrastructure assets. References to "we," "us," and "our" mean CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. (CERC Corp., together with our subsidiaries, CERC). We are an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of CenterPoint Energy, Inc. (CenterPoint Energy), a public utility holding company.

Our reportable business segments are Natural Gas Distribution, Energy Services, Midstream Investments and Other Operations. Substantially all of our former Interstate Pipelines business segment and Field Services business segment were contributed to Enable in May 2013. As a result, these business segments did not report operating results during 2014. From time to time, we consider the acquisition or the disposition of assets or businesses.

Our principal executive offices are located at 1111 Louisiana, Houston, Texas 77002 (telephone number: 713-207-1111).

We make available free of charge on our parent company's Internet website our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such reports with, or furnish them to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Our parent company's website address is www.centerpointenergy.com. Except to the extent explicitly stated herein, documents and information on our parent company's website are not incorporated by reference herein.

Natural Gas Distribution

Our natural gas distribution business (NGD) engages in regulated intrastate natural gas sales to, and natural gas transportation for, approximately 3.4 million residential, commercial, industrial and transportation customers in Arkansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, Oklahoma and Texas. The largest metropolitan areas served in each state by NGD are Houston, Texas; Minneapolis, Minnesota; Little Rock, Arkansas; Shreveport, Louisiana; Biloxi, Mississippi; and Lawton, Oklahoma. In 2014, approximately 42% of NGD's total throughput was to residential customers and approximately 58% was to commercial and industrial and transportation customers.

The table below reflects the number of natural gas distribution customers by state as of December 31, 2014:

| | Residential | Commercial/ Industrial | Total Customers |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Arkansas | 381,800 | 48,521 | 430,321 |
| Louisiana | 230,990 | 17,076 | 248,066 |
| Minnesota | 762,736 | 69,089 | 831,825 |
| Mississippi | 111,638 | 12,618 | 124,256 |
| Oklahoma | 90,974 | 10,827 | 101,801 |
| Texas | 1,546,404 | 91,141 | 1,637,545 |
| Total NGD | 3,124,542 | 249,272 | 3,373,814 |

NGD also provides unregulated services in Minnesota consisting of residential appliance repair and maintenance services along with heating, ventilating and air conditioning (HVAC) equipment sales.

Seasonality

The demand for intrastate natural gas sales to residential customers and natural gas sales and transportation for commercial and industrial customers is seasonal. In 2014, approximately 71% of the total throughput of NGD's business occurred in the first and fourth quarters. These patterns reflect the higher demand for natural gas for heating purposes during the colder months.

Supply and Transportation. In 2014, NGD purchased virtually all of its natural gas supply pursuant to contracts with remaining terms varying from a few months to four years. Major suppliers in 2014 included BP Energy Company/BP Canada Energy Marketing (15.8% of supply volumes), Tenaska Marketing Ventures (13.9%), Sequent Energy Management (9.0%), Cargill (7.4%), Macquarie Energy (6.4%), Kinder Morgan Tejas Pipeline/Kinder Morgan Texas Pipeline (6.3%), Conoco Phillips (5.2%), Centerpoint Energy Services (4.9%), Mieco (3.5%), and Munich Re Weather & Commodity Risk Holding (2.5%). Numerous other suppliers provided the remaining 25% of NGD's natural gas supply requirements. NGD transports its natural gas supplies through various intrastate and interstate pipelines, including those owned by our other subsidiaries and affiliates, under contracts with remaining terms, including extensions, varying from one to ten years. NGD anticipates that these gas supply and transportation contracts will be renewed or replaced prior to their expiration.

NGD actively engages in commodity price stabilization pursuant to annual gas supply plans presented to and/or filed with each of its state regulatory authorities. These price stabilization activities include use of storage gas and contractually establishing structured prices (e.g., fixed price, costless collars and caps) with our physical gas suppliers. Its gas supply plans generally call for 50-75% of winter supplies to be stabilized in some fashion.

The regulations of the states in which NGD operates allow it to pass through changes in the cost of natural gas, including savings and costs of financial derivatives associated with the index-priced physical supply, to its customers under purchased gas adjustment provisions in its tariffs. Depending upon the jurisdiction, the purchased gas adjustment factors are updated periodically, ranging from monthly to semi-annually. The changes in the cost of gas billed to customers are subject to review by the applicable regulatory bodies.

NGD uses various third-party storage services or owned natural gas storage facilities to meet peak-day requirements and to manage the daily changes in demand due to changes in weather and may also supplement contracted supplies and storage from time to time with stored liquefied natural gas and propane-air plant production.

NGD owns and operates an underground natural gas storage facility with a capacity of 7.0 billion cubic feet (Bcf). It has a working capacity of 2.0 Bcf available for use during the heating season and a maximum daily withdrawal rate of 50 million cubic feet (MMcf). It also owns eight propane-air plants with a total production rate of 180,000 Dekatherms (DTH) per day and on-site storage facilities for 12 million gallons of propane (1.0 Bcf natural gas equivalent). It owns a liquefied natural gas plant facility with a 12 million-gallon liquefied natural gas storage tank (1.0 Bcf natural gas equivalent) and a production rate of 72,000 DTH per day.

On an ongoing basis, NGD enters into contracts to provide sufficient supplies and pipeline capacity to meet its customer requirements. However, it is possible for limited service disruptions to occur from time to time due to weather conditions, transportation constraints and other events. As a result of these factors, supplies of natural gas may become unavailable from time to time, or prices may increase rapidly in response to temporary supply constraints or other factors.

NGD has entered into various asset management agreements associated with its utility distribution service in Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma and Texas. Generally, these asset management agreements are contracts between NGD and an asset manager that are intended to transfer the working capital obligation and maximize the utilization of the assets. In these agreements, NGD agreed to release transportation and storage capacity to other parties to manage gas storage, supply and delivery arrangements for NGD and to use the released capacity for other purposes when it is not needed for NGD. NGD is compensated by the asset manager through payments made over the life of the agreements based in part on the results of the asset optimization. NGD has received approval from the state regulatory commissions in Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi and Oklahoma to retain a share of the asset management agreement proceeds. The agreements have varying terms, the longest of which expires in 2018.

Assets

As of December 31, 2014, NGD owned approximately 73,000 linear miles of natural gas distribution mains, varying in size from one-half inch to 24 inches in diameter. Generally, in each of the cities, towns and rural areas served by NGD, it owns the underground gas mains and service lines, metering and regulating equipment located on customers' premises and the district regulating equipment necessary for pressure maintenance. With a few exceptions, the measuring stations at which NGD receives gas are owned, operated and maintained by others, and its distribution facilities begin at the outlet of the measuring equipment. These facilities, including odorizing equipment, are usually located on land owned by suppliers.

Competition

NGD competes primarily with alternate energy sources such as electricity and other fuel sources. In some areas, intrastate pipelines, other gas distributors and marketers also compete directly for gas sales to end-users. In addition, as a result of federal regulations affecting interstate pipelines, natural gas marketers operating on these pipelines may be able to bypass NGD's facilities and market and sell and/or transport natural gas directly to commercial and industrial customers.

Energy Services

We offer variable and fixed-priced physical natural gas supplies primarily to commercial and industrial customers and electric and gas utilities through CenterPoint Energy Services, Inc. (CES) and its subsidiary, CenterPoint Energy Intrastate Pipelines, LLC (CEIP).

In 2014, CES marketed approximately 631 Bcf of natural gas, related energy services and transportation to approximately 18,000 customers (including approximately 18 Bcf to affiliates) in 23 states. CES customers vary in size from small commercial customers to large utility companies.

CES offers a variety of natural gas management services to gas utilities, large industrial customers, electric generators, smaller commercial and industrial customers, municipalities, educational institutions and hospitals. These services include load forecasting, supply acquisition, daily swing volume management, invoice consolidation, storage asset management, firm and interruptible transportation administration and forward price management. CES also offers a portfolio of physical delivery services designed to meet customers' supply and price risk management needs. These customers are served directly, through interconnects with various interstate and intrastate pipeline companies, and portably, through our mobile energy solutions business.

In addition to offering natural gas management services, CES procures and optimizes transportation and storage assets. CES maintains a portfolio of natural gas supply contracts and firm transportation and storage agreements to meet the natural gas requirements of its customers. CES aggregates supply from various producing regions and offers contracts to buy natural gas with terms ranging from one month to over five years. In addition, CES actively participates in the spot natural gas markets in an effort to balance daily and monthly purchases and sales obligations. Natural gas supply and transportation capabilities are leveraged through contracts for ancillary services including physical storage and other balancing arrangements.

As described above, CES offers its customers a variety of load following services. In providing these services, CES uses its customers' purchase commitments to forecast and arrange its own supply purchases, storage and transportation services to serve customers' natural gas requirements. As a result of the variance between this forecast activity and the actual monthly activity, CES will either have too much supply or too little supply relative to its customers' purchase commitments. These supply imbalances arise each month as customers' natural gas requirements are scheduled and corresponding natural gas supplies are nominated by CES for delivery to those customers. CES' processes and risk control environment are designed to measure and value imbalances on a real-time basis to ensure that CES' exposure to commodity price risk is kept to a minimum. The value assigned to these imbalances is calculated daily and is known as the aggregate Value at Risk (VaR).

Our risk control policy, which is overseen by CenterPoint Energy's Risk Oversight Committee, defines authorized and prohibited trading instruments and trading limits. CES is a physical marketer of natural gas and uses a variety of tools, including pipeline and storage capacity, financial instruments and physical commodity purchase contracts, to support its sales. The CES business optimizes its use of these various tools to minimize its supply costs and does not engage in proprietary or speculative commodity trading. The VaR limit within which CES currently operates, a \$4 million maximum, is consistent with CES' operational objective of matching its aggregate sales obligations (including the swing associated with load following services) with its supply portfolio in a manner that minimizes its total cost of supply. In 2014, CES' VaR averaged \$0.3 million with a high of \$1.7 million.

Assets

CEIP owns and operates over 200 miles of intrastate pipeline in Louisiana and Texas. In addition, CES leases transportation capacity on various interstate and intrastate pipelines and storage to service its shippers and end-users.

Competition

CES competes with regional and national wholesale and retail gas marketers, including the marketing divisions of natural gas producers and utilities. In addition, CES competes with intrastate pipelines for customers and services in its market areas.

Midstream Investments

On March 14, 2013, CenterPoint Energy entered into a Master Formation Agreement (MFA) with OGE Energy Corp. (OGE) and affiliates of ArcLight Capital Partners, LLC (ArcLight), pursuant to which CenterPoint Energy, OGE and ArcLight agreed to form Enable, initially a private limited partnership. On May 1, 2013, the parties closed on the formation of Enable pursuant to which Enable became the owner of substantially all of (i) CERC Corp.'s former Interstate Pipelines and Field Services businesses and (ii) Enogex LLC's midstream assets, which were contributed by OGE and ArcLight.

On April 16, 2014, Enable completed its initial public offering (IPO) of 28,750,000 common units at a price of \$20.00 per unit, which included 3,750,000 common units sold by ArcLight pursuant to an over-allotment option that was fully exercised by the underwriters. Enable received \$464 million in net proceeds from the sale of the units, after deducting underwriting fees, structuring fees and other offering costs. In connection with Enable's IPO, a portion of our common units were converted into subordinated units. As of December 31, 2014, CERC Corp. held an approximate 55.4% limited partner interest in Enable (consisting of 94,126,366 common units and 139,704,916 subordinated units) and OGE held an approximate 26.3% limited partner interest in Enable (consisting of 42,832,291 common units and 68,150,514 subordinated units). Sales of more than 5% of our limited partner interest in Enable or sales by OGE of more than 5% of its limited partner interest in Enable are subject to mutual rights of first offer and first refusal.

Enable is controlled jointly by CERC Corp. and OGE as each own 50% of the management rights in the general partner of Enable. Sale of our ownership interests in Enable's general partner to anyone other than an affiliate prior to May 1, 2016 is prohibited by Enable's general partner's limited liability company agreement. Sale of our or OGE's ownership interests in Enable's general partner to a third party is subject to mutual rights of first offer and first refusal, and we are not permitted to dispose of less than all of our interest in Enable's general partner.

As of December 31, 2014, CERC Corp. and OGE also own a 40% and 60% interest, respectively, in the incentive distribution rights held by the general partner of Enable. Enable is expected to pay a minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.2875 per unit on its outstanding units to the extent it has sufficient cash from operations after establishment of cash reserves and payment of fees and expenses, including payments to its general partner and its affiliates, within 45 days after the end of each quarter. If cash distributions to Enable's unitholders exceed \$0.330625 per unit in any quarter, the general partner will receive increasing percentages or incentive distributions rights, up to 50%, of the cash Enable distributes in excess of that amount. In certain circumstances the general partner of Enable will have the right to reset the minimum quarterly distribution and the target distribution levels at which the incentive distributions receive increasing percentages to higher levels based on Enable's cash distributions at the time of the exercise of this reset election.

Our investment in Enable and our 0.1% interest in Southeast Supply Header, LLC (SESH) are accounted for on an equity basis. Equity earnings associated with our interest in Enable and SESH are reported under the Midstream Investments segment.

Enable. Enable was formed to own, operate and develop strategically located natural gas and crude oil infrastructure assets. Enable serves current and emerging production areas in the United States, including several unconventional shale resource plays and local and regional end-user markets in the United States. Enable's assets and operations are organized into two reportable segments: (i) gathering and processing, which primarily provides natural gas gathering, processing and fractionation services and crude oil gathering for its producer customers, and (ii) transportation and storage, which provides interstate and intrastate natural gas pipeline transportation and storage service primarily to natural gas producers, utilities and industrial customers.

Enable's natural gas gathering and processing assets are located in four states and serve natural gas production from shale developments in the Anadarko, Arkoma and Ark-La-Tex basins. Enable also owns a crude oil gathering business in the Bakken Shale formation of the Williston Basin that commenced initial operations in November 2013. Enable's natural gas transportation and storage assets extend from western Oklahoma and the Texas Panhandle to Alabama and from Louisiana to Illinois.

As of December 31, 2014, Enable's portfolio of energy infrastructure assets included approximately 11,900 miles of gathering pipelines, 12 major processing plants with approximately 2.1 billion cubic feet (Bcf) per day of processing capacity, approximately 7,900 miles of interstate pipelines (including SESH), approximately 2,300 miles of intrastate pipelines and eight storage facilities providing approximately 87.5 Bcf of storage capacity.

Enable's Gathering and Processing segment. Enable provides gathering, compression, treating, dehydration, processing and natural gas liquids (NGL) fractionation for producers who are active in the areas in which Enable operates. Seven of Enable's processing plants in the Anadarko basin are interconnected through its super-header system. Enable has configured this system to facilitate the flow of natural gas from western Oklahoma and the Wheeler County area in the Texas Panhandle to the Cox City, Thomas, McClure, Calumet, Clinton, South Canadian and Wheeler processing plants. Enable is currently constructing two cryogenic processing facilities that it plans to connect to the super-header system in Grady County, Oklahoma, which are expected to add 400 MMcf per day of natural gas processing capacity. The first of the two new plants (the Bradley Plant) is a 200 MMcf per day plant that is expected to be completed in the first quarter of 2015. The second plant (the Grady County Plant) is a 200 MMcf per day plant that is expected to be completed in the first quarter of 2016.

Enable's gathering and processing systems compete with gatherers and processors of all types and sizes, including those affiliated with various producers, other major pipeline companies and various independent midstream entities. In the process of selling natural gas liquids (NGLs), Enable competes against other natural gas processors extracting and selling NGLs. Enable's primary competitors are master limited partnerships who are active in the regions where it operates.

Enable's Transportation and Storage segment. Enable provides fee-based interstate and intrastate transportation and storage services across nine states. Enable's transportation and storage assets were designed and built to serve large natural gas and electric utility companies in its areas of operation. Enable owns and operates approximately 7,900 miles (including SESH) of interstate transportation pipelines. In addition, Enable owns and operates approximately 2,300 miles of intrastate transportation pipelines. Its natural gas assets extend from western Oklahoma and the Texas Panhandle to Alabama and from Louisiana to Illinois. Enable also owns eight natural gas storage facilities in Oklahoma, Louisiana and Illinois with approximately 87.5 Bcf of aggregate storage capacity.

Enable's interstate pipelines compete with other interstate and intrastate pipelines. Enable's intrastate pipeline system competes with numerous interstate and intrastate pipelines, including several of the interconnected pipelines discussed above, as well as other natural gas storage facilities. The principal elements of competition among pipelines are rates, terms of service, and flexibility and reliability of service.

SESH. CenterPoint Southeastern Pipelines Holding, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of CERC, owned a 0.1% interest in SESH as of December 31, 2014. SESH owns a 1.0 Bcf per day, 286-mile interstate pipeline that runs from the Perryville Hub in Louisiana to Coden, Alabama. The pipeline was placed into service in the third quarter of 2008. The rates charged by SESH for interstate transportation services are regulated by the FERC.

On each of May 1, 2013 and May 30, 2014, we contributed a 24.95% interest in SESH to Enable. CERC has certain put rights, and Enable has certain call rights, exercisable with respect to the 0.1% interest in SESH retained by CERC, under which CERC would contribute its retained interest in SESH, in exchange for a specified number of limited partner units in Enable and a cash payment, payable either from CERC to Enable or from Enable to CERC, for changes in the value of SESH. Affiliates of Spectra Energy Corp own the remaining 50% interest in SESH.

Other Operations

Our Other Operations business segment includes unallocated corporate costs and inter-segment eliminations.

Financial Information About Segments

For financial information about our segments, see Note 15 to our consolidated financial statements, which note is incorporated herein by reference.

REGULATION

We are subject to regulation by various federal, state and local governmental agencies, including the regulations described below.

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

The FERC has jurisdiction under the Natural Gas Act and the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, as amended, to regulate the transportation of natural gas in interstate commerce and natural gas sales for resale in interstate commerce that are not first sales. The FERC regulates, among other things, the construction of pipeline and related facilities used in the transportation and storage of natural gas in interstate commerce, including the extension, expansion or abandonment of these facilities. The FERC has authority

to prohibit market manipulation in connection with FERC-regulated transactions and to impose significant civil and criminal penalties for statutory violations and violations of the FERC's rules or orders. Our Energy Services business segment markets natural gas in interstate commerce pursuant to blanket authority granted by the FERC.

As a public utility holding company, under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 2005, CenterPoint Energy and its subsidiaries, including us, are subject to reporting and accounting requirements and are required to maintain certain books and records and make them available for review by the FERC and state regulatory authorities in certain circumstances.

State and Local Regulation

In almost all communities in which NGD provides natural gas distribution services, it operates under franchises, certificates or licenses obtained from state and local authorities. The original terms of the franchises, with various expiration dates, typically range from 10 to 30 years, although franchises in Arkansas are perpetual. NGD expects to be able to renew expiring franchises. In most cases, franchises to provide natural gas utility services are not exclusive.

Substantially all of NGD is subject to cost-of-service rate regulation by the relevant state public utility commissions and, in Texas, by the Railroad Commission of Texas (Railroad Commission) and those municipalities served by NGD that have retained original jurisdiction. In certain of its jurisdictions, NGD has in effect annual rate adjustment mechanisms that provide for changes in rates dependent upon certain changes in invested capital, earned returns on equity or actual margins realized.

For a discussion of certain of NGD's ongoing regulatory proceedings, see "Management's Narrative Analysis of Results of Operations — Liquidity and Capital Resources — Regulatory Matters" in Item 7 of Part II of this report, which discussion is incorporated herein by reference.

Department of Transportation

In December 2006, Congress enacted the Pipeline Inspection, Protection, Enforcement and Safety Act of 2006 (2006 Act), which reauthorized the programs adopted under the Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002 (2002 Act). These programs included several requirements related to ensuring pipeline safety, and a requirement to assess the integrity of pipeline transmission facilities in areas of high population concentration.

Pursuant to the 2006 Act, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) at the Department of Transportation (DOT) issued regulations, effective February 12, 2010, requiring operators of gas distribution pipelines to develop and implement integrity management programs similar to those required for gas transmission pipelines, but tailored to reflect the differences in distribution pipelines. Operators of natural gas distribution systems were required to write and implement their integrity management programs by August 2, 2011. Our natural gas distribution systems met this deadline.

Pursuant to the 2002 Act and the 2006 Act, PHMSA has adopted a number of rules concerning, among other things, distinguishing between gathering lines and transmission facilities, requiring certain design and construction features in new and replaced lines to reduce corrosion and requiring pipeline operators to amend existing written operations and maintenance procedures and operator qualification programs. PHMSA also updated its reporting requirements for natural gas pipelines effective January 1, 2011.

In December 2011, Congress passed the Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job Creation Act of 2011 (2011 Act). This act increases the maximum civil penalties for pipeline safety administrative enforcement actions; requires the DOT to study and report on the expansion of integrity management requirements and the sufficiency of existing gathering line regulations to ensure safety; requires pipeline operators to verify their records on maximum allowable operating pressure; and imposes new emergency response and incident notification requirements.

We anticipate that compliance with PHMSA's regulations, performance of the remediation activities by CERC's natural gas distribution companies and verification of records on maximum allowable operating pressure will require increases in both capital expenditures and operating costs. The level of expenditures will depend upon several factors, including age, location and operating pressures of the facilities. In particular, the cost of compliance with DOT's integrity management rules will depend on integrity testing and the repairs found to be necessary by such testing. Changes to the amount of pipe subject to integrity management, whether by expansion of the definition of the type of areas subject to integrity management procedures or of the applicability of such procedures outside of those defined areas, may also affect the costs we incur. Implementation of the 2011 Act by PHMSA may result in other regulations or the reinterpretation of existing regulations that could impact our compliance costs. In addition, we may be subject to DOT's enforcement actions and penalties if we fail to comply with pipeline regulations. Please also see the discussion under "— Midstream Investments — Safety and Health Regulation" below.

Midstream Investments - Rate and Other Regulation

Federal, state, and local regulation of pipeline gathering and transportation services may affect certain aspects of Enable's business and the market for its products and services.

Interstate Natural Gas Pipeline Regulation

Enable's interstate pipeline systems — Enable Gas Transmission, LLC (EGT), Enable Mississippi River Transmission, LLC (MRT) and SESH — are subject to regulation by FERC under the Natural Gas Act of 1938 (NGA) and are considered natural gas companies. Natural gas companies may not charge rates that have been determined to be unjust or unreasonable by the FERC. In addition, the FERC prohibits natural gas companies from unduly preferring or unreasonably discriminating against any person with respect to pipeline rates or terms and conditions of service. Under the NGA, the rates for service on Enable's interstate facilities must be just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory. Generally, the maximum filed recourse rates for interstate pipelines are based on the pipeline's cost of service including recovery of and a return on the pipeline's actual prudent investment cost. Key determinants in the ratemaking process are costs of providing service, allowed rate of return, volume throughput and contractual capacity commitment assumptions. Enable's interstate pipelines business operations may be affected by changes in the demand for natural gas, the available supply and relative price of natural gas in the Mid-continent and Gulf Coast natural gas supply regions and general economic conditions. Tariff changes can only be implemented upon approval by the FERC.

Market Behavior Rules; Posting and Reporting Requirements

On August 8, 2005, Congress enacted the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAct of 2005). Among other matters, the EPAct of 2005 amended the NGA to add an anti-manipulation provision that makes it unlawful for any entity to engage in prohibited behavior in contravention of rules and regulation to be prescribed by the FERC and, furthermore, provides the FERC with additional civil penalty authority. On January 19, 2006, the FERC issued Order No. 670, a rule implementing the anti-manipulation provisions of the EPAct of 2005. The rules make it unlawful for any entity, directly or indirectly in connection with the purchase or sale of natural gas subject to the jurisdiction of the FERC, to (1) use or employ any device, scheme or artifice to defraud; (2) to make any untrue statement of material fact or omit to make any such statement necessary to make the statements not misleading; or (3) to engage in any act or practice that operates as a fraud or deceit upon any person. The EPAct of 2005 also amends the NGA and the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA) to give the FERC authority to impose civil penalties for violations of these statutes and FERC's regulations, rules, and orders, up to \$1 million per day per violation for violations occurring after August 8, 2005. Should Enable fail to comply with all applicable FERC-administered statutes, rules, regulations and orders, it could be subject to substantial penalties and fines. In addition, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) is directed under the Commodities Exchange Act (CEA) to prevent price manipulations for the commodity and futures markets, including the energy futures markets. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act and other authority, the CFTC has adopted anti-market manipulation regulations that prohibit fraud and price manipulation in the commodity and futures markets. The CFTC also has statutory authority to seek civil penalties of up to the greater of \$1 million or triple the monetary gain to the violator for violations of the anti-market manipulation sections

Intrastate Natural Gas Pipeline and Storage Regulation

Enable's transmission lines are subject to state regulation of rates and terms of service. In Oklahoma, its intrastate pipeline system is subject to regulation by the Oklahoma Corporation Commission. Oklahoma has a non-discriminatory access requirement, which is subject to a complaint-based review. In Illinois, Enable's intrastate pipeline system is subject to regulation by the Illinois Commerce Commission.

Intrastate natural gas transportation is largely regulated by the state in which the transportation takes place. An intrastate natural gas pipeline system may transport natural gas in interstate commerce provided that the rates, terms, and conditions of such transportation service comply with FERC regulation and Section 311 of the NGPA and Part 284 of the FERC's regulations. The NGPA regulates, among other things, the provision of transportation and storage services by an intrastate natural gas pipeline on behalf of an interstate natural gas pipeline or a LDC served by an interstate natural gas pipeline. Under Section 311, rates charged for transportation must be fair and equitable, and amounts collected in excess of fair and equitable rates are subject to refund with interest. The rates under Section 311 are maximum rates and Enable may negotiate contractual rates at or below such maximum rates. Rates for service pursuant to Section 311 of the NGPA are generally subject to review and approval by FERC at least once every five years. Should the FERC determine not to authorize rates equal to or greater than Enable's currently approved Section 311 rates, its business may be adversely affected.

Failure to observe the service limitations applicable to transportation services provided under Section 311, failure to comply with the rates approved by FERC for Section 311 service, or failure to comply with the terms and conditions of service established in the pipeline's FERC-approved Statement of Operating Conditions could result in the assertion of federal NGA jurisdiction by FERC and/or the imposition of administrative, civil and criminal penalties, as described under "— Interstate Natural Gas Pipeline Regulation" above.

Natural Gas Gathering Pipeline Regulation

Section 1(b) of the NGA exempts natural gas gathering facilities from the jurisdiction of the FERC. Although the FERC has not made formal determinations with respect to all of the facilities Enable considers to be gathering facilities, it believes that its natural gas pipelines meet the traditional tests that the FERC has used to determine that a pipeline is a gathering pipeline and is therefore not subject to FERC jurisdiction. The distinction between FERC-regulated transmission services and federally unregulated gathering services, however, has been the subject of substantial litigation, and the FERC determines whether facilities are gathering facilities on a case-by-case basis, so the classification and regulation of Enable's gathering facilities is subject to change based on future determinations by the FERC, the courts or Congress. If the FERC were to consider the status of an individual facility and determine that the facility and/or services provided by it are not exempt from FERC regulation under the NGA and that the facility provides interstate service, the rates for, and terms and conditions of, services provided by such facility would be subject to regulation by the FERC under the NGA or the NGPA. Such regulation could decrease revenue, increase operating costs, and, depending upon the facility in question, could adversely affect Enable's results of operations and cash flows. In addition, if any of Enable's facilities were found to have provided services or otherwise operated in violation of the NGA or NGPA, this could result in the imposition of civil penalties as well as a requirement to disgorge charges collected for such service in excess of the rate established by the FERC.

States may regulate gathering pipelines. State regulation of gathering facilities generally includes various safety, environmental and, in some circumstances, requirements prohibiting undue discrimination, and in some instances complaint-based rate regulation. Enable's gathering operations may be subject to ratable take and common purchaser statutes in the states in which they operate. These statutes are designed to prohibit discrimination in favor of one producer over another producer or one source of supply over another source of supply and have the effect of restricting Enable's right as an owner of gathering facilities to decide with whom it contracts to purchase or transport natural gas.

Enable's gathering operations could be adversely affected should they be subject in the future to the application of state or federal regulation of rates and services. Enable's gathering operations could also be subject to additional safety and operational regulations relating to the design, construction, testing, operation, replacement and maintenance of gathering facilities. Additional rules and legislation pertaining to these matters are considered or adopted from time to time. We cannot predict what effect, if any, such changes might have on Enable's operations, but the industry could be required to incur additional capital expenditures and increased costs depending on future legislative and regulatory changes.

Crude Oil Gathering Regulation

Enable provides interstate transportation on its crude oil gathering system in North Dakota pursuant to a public tariff in accordance with FERC regulatory requirements. Crude oil gathering pipelines that provide interstate transportation service may be regulated as a common carrier by the FERC under the Interstate Commerce Act (ICA), the Energy Policy Act of 1992, and the rules and regulations promulgated under those laws. The ICA and FERC regulations require that rates for interstate service pipelines that transport crude oil and refined petroleum products (collectively referred to as "petroleum pipelines") and certain other liquids, be just and reasonable and are to be non-discriminatory or not confer any undue preference upon any shipper. FERC regulations also require interstate common carrier petroleum pipelines to file with the FERC and publicly post tariffs stating their interstate transportation rates and terms and conditions of service. Under the ICA, the FERC or interested persons may challenge existing or changed rates or services. The FERC is authorized to investigate such charges and may suspend the effectiveness of a new rate for up to seven months. A successful rate challenge could result in a common carrier paying refunds together with interest for the period that the rate was in effect. The FERC may also order a pipeline to change its rates, and may require a common carrier to pay shippers reparations for damages sustained for a period up to two years prior to the filing of a complaint.

For some time now, the FERC has been issuing regulatory assurances that necessarily balance the anti-discrimination and undue preference requirements of common carriage with the expectations of investors in new and expanding petroleum pipelines. There is an inherent tension between the requirements imposed upon a common carrier and the need for owners of petroleum pipelines to be able to enter into long-term, firm contracts with shippers willing to make the commitments which underpin such large capital investments. The FERC's solution has been to allow carriers to hold an "open season" prior to the in-service date of pipeline, during which time interested shippers can make commitments to the proposed pipeline project. Throughput commitments from interested shippers during an open season can be for firm service or for non-firm service. Typically, such an open season is for a 30-day period,

must be publicly announced, and culminates in interested parties entering into transportation agreements with the carrier. Under FERC precedent, a carrier typically may reserve up to 90% of available capacity for the provision of firm service to shippers making a commitment. At least 10% of capacity ordinarily is reserved for "walk-up" shippers.

Midstream Investments - Safety and Health Regulation

Certain of Enable's facilities are subject to pipeline safety regulations. PHMSA regulates safety requirements in the design, construction, operation and maintenance of jurisdictional natural gas and hazardous liquid pipeline facilities. All natural gas transmission facilities, such as Enable's interstate natural gas pipelines, are subject to PHMSA's pipeline safety regulations, but natural gas gathering pipelines are subject to the pipeline safety regulations only to the extent they are classified as regulated gathering pipelines. In addition, several NGL pipeline facilities and crude oil pipeline facilities are regulated as hazardous liquids pipelines. Pursuant to various federal statutes, including the Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act of 1968 (NGPSA) the DOT, through PHMSA, regulates pipeline safety and integrity. NGL and crude oil pipelines are subject to regulation by PHMSA under the Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Safety Act which requires PHMSA to develop, prescribe, and enforce minimum federal safety standards for the transportation of hazardous liquids by pipeline, and comparable state statutes with respect to design, installation, testing, construction, operation, replacement and management of pipeline facilities. PHMSA has developed regulations that require natural gas pipeline operators to implement integrity management programs, including more frequent inspections and other measures to ensure pipeline safety in high consequence areas (HCAs). Although many of Enable's pipeline facilities fall within a class that is currently not subject to these integrity management requirements, Enable may incur significant costs and liabilities associated with repair, remediation, preventive or mitigating measures associated with its non-exempt pipelines. Additionally, should Enable fail to comply with DOT or comparable state regulations, it could be subject to penalties and fines. If future DOT pipeline integrity management regulations were to require that Enable expand its integrity managements program to currently unr

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

Our operations and the operations of Enable are subject to stringent and complex laws and regulations pertaining to the environment. As an owner or operator of natural gas distribution systems, and the facilities that support these systems, we must comply with these laws and regulations at the federal, state and local levels. These laws and regulations can restrict or impact our business activities in many ways, such as:

- restricting the way we can handle or dispose of wastes;
- limiting or prohibiting construction activities in sensitive areas such as wetlands, coastal regions or areas inhabited by endangered species;
- requiring remedial action to mitigate environmental conditions caused by our operations or attributable to former operations;
- enjoining the operations of facilities with permits issued pursuant to such environmental laws and regulations; and
- impacting the demand for our services by directly or indirectly affecting the use or price of natural gas.

In order to comply with these requirements, we may need to spend substantial amounts and devote other resources from time to time to, among other activities:

- construct or acquire new facilities and equipment;
- acquire permits for facility operations;
- · modify, upgrade or replace existing and proposed equipment; and
- · clean or decommission waste disposal areas, fuel storage and management facilities and other locations and facilities.

Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may trigger a variety of administrative, civil and criminal enforcement measures, including the assessment of monetary penalties, the imposition of remedial actions and the issuance of orders enjoining future operations. Certain environmental statutes impose strict, joint and several liability for costs required to clean and restore sites where hazardous substances have been stored, disposed or released. Moreover, it is not uncommon for neighboring landowners and other third parties to file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by the release of hazardous substances or other waste products into the environment.

The recent trend in environmental regulation has been to place more restrictions and limitations on activities that may affect the environment, and thus there can be no assurance as to the amount or timing of future expenditures for environmental compliance or remediation, and actual future expenditures may be different from the amounts we currently anticipate. We try to anticipate future regulatory requirements that might be imposed and plan accordingly to remain in compliance with changing environmental laws and regulations and to ensure the costs of such compliance are reasonable.

Based on current regulatory requirements and interpretations, we do not believe that compliance with federal, state or local environmental laws and regulations will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows. In addition, we believe that our current environmental remediation activities will not materially interrupt or diminish our operational ability. We cannot assure you that future events, such as changes in existing laws, the promulgation of new laws, or the development or discovery of new facts or conditions will not cause us to incur significant costs. The following is a discussion of material current environmental and safety laws and regulations that relate to our operations. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with these environmental laws and regulations.

Global Climate Change

In recent years, there has been increasing public debate regarding the potential impact on global climate change by various "greenhouse gases" (GHGs) such as carbon dioxide, a byproduct of burning fossil fuels, and methane, the principal component of the natural gas that we transport and deliver to customers. The United States Congress has, from time to time, considered adopting legislation to reduce emissions of GHGs, and there has been a wide-ranging policy debate, both nationally and internationally, regarding the impact of these gases and possible means for their regulation. Some of the proposals would require industrial sources to meet stringent new standards that would require substantial reductions in carbon emissions. In addition, efforts have been made and continue to be made in the international community toward the adoption of international treaties or protocols that would address global climate change issues. Following a finding by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) that certain GHGs represent an endangerment to human health, the EPA adopted two sets of rules regulating GHG emissions under the Clean Air Act. One requires a reduction in emissions of GHGs from motor vehicles beginning January 2, 2011. The other regulates emissions of GHGs from certain large stationary sources under the Clean Air Act's Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Title V programs, commencing when the motor vehicle standards took effect on January 2, 2011. Also, the EPA adopted its "Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases Rule" that requires the annual calculation and reporting of GHG emissions from natural gas transmission, gathering, processing and distribution systems and electric distribution systems that emit 25,000 metric tons or more of CO₂ equivalent per year. These additional reporting requirements began in 2012, and we are currently in compliance. These permitting and reporting requirements could lead to further regulation of GHGs by the EPA.

Although the adoption of new legislation is uncertain, action by the EPA to impose new standards and reporting requirements regarding GHG emissions continues. On January 14, 2015, the EPA announced that it will issue a proposed rule in the summer of 2015 and a final rule in 2016 setting standards for methane and volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from new and modified oil and gas production sources and natural gas processing and transmission sources. As part of the same announcement, PHMSA stated that it will propose natural gas pipeline safety standards in 2015 that are expected to reduce methane emissions. Furthermore, in December 2014, the EPA proposed changes to its GHG reporting rule that would require additional reporting from natural gas transmission pipelines. In addition, many states and regions of the United States have begun to regulate GHGs. Our revenues, operating costs and capital requirements could be adversely affected as a result of any regulatory action that would require installation of new control technologies or a modification of our operations or would have the effect of reducing the consumption of natural gas. Likewise, incentives to conserve energy or use energy sources other than natural gas could result in a decrease in demand for our services. Conversely, regulatory actions that effectively promote the consumption of natural gas because of its lower emissions characteristics would be expected to beneficially affect us and our natural gas-related businesses. At this point in time, however, it would be speculative to try to quantify the magnitude of the impacts from possible new regulatory actions related to GHG emissions, either positive or negative, on our businesses.

To the extent climate changes occur, our businesses may be adversely impacted, though we believe any such impacts are likely to occur very gradually and hence would be difficult to quantify. To the extent global climate change results in warmer temperatures in our service territories, financial results from our natural gas distribution businesses could be adversely affected through lower gas sales, and Enable's businesses could experience lower revenues. Another possible effect of climate change is more frequent and more severe weather events, such as hurricanes or tornadoes. Since many of our facilities are located along or near the Gulf Coast, increased or more severe hurricanes or tornadoes could increase our costs to repair damaged facilities and restore service to our customers. When we cannot deliver natural gas to customers, or our customers cannot receive our services, our financial results can be impacted by lost revenues, and we generally must seek approval from regulators to recover restoration costs. To the extent we

are unable to recover those costs, or if higher rates resulting from our recovery of such costs result in reduced demand for our services, our future financial results may be adversely impacted.

Air Emissions

Our operations and the operations of Enable are subject to the federal Clean Air Act and comparable state laws and regulations. These laws and regulations regulate emissions of air pollutants from various industrial sources, including processing plants and compressor stations, and also impose various monitoring and reporting requirements. Such laws and regulations may require pre-approval for the construction or modification of certain projects or facilities expected to produce air emissions or result in the increase of existing air emissions. We may be required to obtain and strictly comply with air permits containing various emissions and operational limitations, or utilize specific emission control technologies to limit emissions. Failure to comply with these requirements could result in monetary penalties, injunctions, conditions or restrictions on operations, and potentially criminal enforcement actions. We may be required to incur certain capital expenditures in the future for air pollution control equipment in connection with obtaining and maintaining operating permits and approvals for air emissions.

The EPA continues to adopt amendments to its regulations regarding maximum achievable control technology for stationary internal combustion engines (sometimes referred to as the RICE MACT rule), the most recent being January 14, 2013. On August 29, 2013, the EPA announced that it was reconsidering three issues related to the RICE MACT rule, but on August 15, 2014, the EPA determined that it would not propose any changes to the regulations at this time. Compressors and back up electrical generators used by our Natural Gas Distribution segment are generally compliant with existing regulations.

In addition, on August 16, 2012, the EPA published final rules that establish new air emission control requirements for natural gas and NGL production, processing and transportation activities, including New Source Performance Standards to address emissions of sulfur dioxide and volatile organic compounds, and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPS) to address hazardous air pollutants frequently associated with gas production and processing activities. The finalized regulations establish specific new requirements for emissions from compressors, controllers, dehydrators, storage tanks, gas processing plants and certain other equipment. The final rules under NESHAPS include maximum achievable control technology standards for "small" glycol dehydrators that are located at major sources of hazardous air pollutants and modifications to the leak detection standards for valves. Compliance with such rules is not expected to result in significant costs that would adversely impact our results of operations.

Water Discharges

Our operations and the operations of Enable are subject to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1972, as amended, also known as the Clean Water Act, and analogous state laws and regulations. These laws and regulations impose detailed requirements and strict controls regarding the discharge of pollutants into waters of the United States. The unpermitted discharge of pollutants, including discharges resulting from a spill or leak incident, is prohibited. The Clean Water Act and regulations implemented thereunder also prohibit discharges of dredged and fill material in wetlands and other waters of the United States unless authorized by an appropriately issued permit. Any unpermitted release of petroleum or other pollutants from our pipelines or facilities could result in fines or penalties as well as significant remedial obligations.

Hazardous Waste

Our operations and the operations of Enable generate wastes, including some hazardous wastes, that are subject to the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), and comparable state laws, which impose detailed requirements for the handling, storage, treatment, transport and disposal of hazardous and solid waste. RCRA currently exempts many natural gas gathering and field processing wastes from classification as hazardous waste. Specifically, RCRA excludes from the definition of hazardous waste waters produced and other wastes associated with the exploration, development or production of crude oil and natural gas. However, these oil and gas exploration and production wastes are still regulated under state law and the less stringent non-hazardous waste requirements of RCRA. Moreover, ordinary industrial wastes such as paint wastes, waste solvents, laboratory wastes and waste compressor oils may be regulated as hazardous waste. The transportation of natural gas in pipelines may also generate some hazardous wastes that would be subject to RCRA or comparable state law requirements.

Liability for Remediation

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA), also known as "Superfund," and comparable state laws impose liability, without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, on certain classes of persons responsible for the release of hazardous substances into the environment. Such classes of persons include the current and past owners or operators of sites where a hazardous substance was released and companies that disposed or arranged for

the disposal of hazardous substances at offsite locations such as landfills. Although petroleum, as well as natural gas, is excluded from CERCLA's definition of a "hazardous substance," in the course of our ordinary operations we generate wastes that may fall within the definition of a "hazardous substance." CERCLA authorizes the EPA and, in some cases, third parties to take action in response to threats to the public health or the environment and to seek to recover from the responsible classes of persons the costs they incur. Under CERCLA, we could be subject to joint and several liability for the costs of cleaning up and restoring sites where hazardous substances have been released, for damages to natural resources, and for the costs of certain health studies.

Liability for Preexisting Conditions

Manufactured Gas Plant Sites. We and our predecessors operated manufactured gas plants (MGPs) in the past. There are seven MGP sites in our Minnesota service territory. We believe we never owned or operated, and therefore have no liability with respect to, two of these sites. With respect to two other sites, we have completed state ordered remediation, other than ongoing monitoring and water treatment.

As of December 31, 2014, we had recorded a liability of \$7 million for remediation of these Minnesota sites. The estimated range of possible remediation costs for the sites we believe we have responsibility for was \$5 million to \$29 million based on remediation continuing for 30 to 50 years. The cost estimates are based on studies of a site or industry average costs for remediation of sites of similar size. The actual remediation costs will be dependent upon the number of sites to be remediated, the participation of other potentially responsible parties (PRPs), if any, and the remediation methods used. As of December 31, 2014, we had collected \$4 million from insurance companies to be used for future environmental remediation.

In addition to the Minnesota sites, the EPA and other regulators have investigated MGP sites that were owned or operated by us or may have been owned by one of our former affiliates. We do not expect the ultimate outcome of these investigations to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Asbestos. Some facilities owned by our predecessors contain or have contained asbestos insulation and other asbestos-containing materials. We or our predecessor companies have been named, along with numerous others, as defendants in lawsuits filed by a number of individuals who claim injury due to exposure to asbestos. Some of the claimants have worked at locations owned by us, but most existing claims relate to facilities previously owned by our subsidiaries. We anticipate that additional claims like those received may be asserted in the future. Although their ultimate outcome cannot be predicted at this time, we intend to continue vigorously contesting claims that we do not consider to have merit and do not expect, based on our experience to date, these matters, either individually or in the aggregate, to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Other Environmental. From time to time we identify the presence of environmental contaminants on property where we conduct or have conducted operations. Other such sites involving contaminants may be identified in the future. We have remediated and expect to continue to remediate identified sites consistent with our legal obligations. From time to time we have received notices from regulatory authorities or others regarding our status as a PRP in connection with sites found to require remediation due to the presence of environmental contaminants. In addition, we have been named from time to time as a defendant in litigation related to such sites. Although the ultimate outcome of such matters cannot be predicted at this time, we do not expect, based on our experience to date, these matters, either individually or in the aggregate, to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2014, we had 4,581 full-time employees, 1,113 of which were seconded to Enable and included below under the Midstream Investments business segment. As of January 1, 2015, following the transfer of substantially all of the previously seconded employees to Enable, we had 3,468 full-time employees, none of which were seconded to Enable. The following table sets forth the number of our employees by business segment as of December 31, 2014:

| Business Segment | Number | Number Represented by Unions or Other Collective Bargaining Groups |
|--------------------------|--------|--|
| Natural Gas Distribution | 3,343 | 1,185 |
| Energy Services | 125 | _ |
| Midstream Investments | 1,113 | _ |
| Total | 4,581 | 1,185 |

As of December 31, 2014, approximately 26% of our employees were covered by collective bargaining agreements. The collective bargaining agreements with the Gas Workers Local Union 340 and International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 949 in Minnesota, which collectively cover approximately 14% of our employees, are scheduled to expire in April and December 2015, respectively. We believe we have good relationships with these bargaining units and expect to negotiate new agreements in 2015.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following, along with any additional legal proceedings identified or incorporated by reference in Item 3 of this report, summarizes the principal risk factors associated with our business.

Risk Factors Associated with Our Consolidated Financial Condition

We are an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of CenterPoint Energy. CenterPoint Energy can exercise substantial control over our dividend policy and business and operations and could do so in a manner that is adverse to our interests.

We are managed by officers and employees of CenterPoint Energy. Our management will make determinations with respect to the following:

- our payment of dividends;
- our financings and our capital raising activities;
- · mergers or other business combinations; and
- our acquisition or disposition of assets.

Other than the financial covenants contained in our credit facility (described under "Liquidity and Capital Resources" in Item 7 of this report), which could have the practical effect of limiting the payment of dividends under certain circumstances, there are no contractual restrictions on our ability to pay dividends to CenterPoint Energy. Our management could decide to increase our dividends to CenterPoint Energy to support its cash needs. This could adversely affect our liquidity. However, under our credit facility, our ability to pay dividends is restricted by a covenant that debt as a percentage of total capitalization may not exceed 65%.

If we are unable to arrange future financings on acceptable terms, our ability to refinance existing indebtedness could be limited.

As of December 31, 2014, we had \$2.5 billion of outstanding indebtedness on a consolidated basis. This amount excludes approximately \$188 million borrowed from the money pool. As of December 31, 2014, approximately \$575 million of this debt is required to be paid through 2017. Our future financing activities may be significantly affected by, among other things:

- general economic and capital market conditions;
- credit availability from financial institutions and other lenders;
- · investor confidence in us and CenterPoint Energy and the markets in which we operate;
- maintenance of acceptable credit ratings by us and CenterPoint Energy;
- market expectations regarding our and CenterPoint Energy's future earnings and cash flows;
- market perceptions of our and CenterPoint Energy's ability to access capital markets on reasonable terms;
- our exposure to GenOn Energy, Inc. (GenOn) (formerly known as RRI Energy, Inc., Reliant Energy, Inc. and Reliant Resources, Inc.(RRI)), a wholly owned subsidiary of NRG Energy, Inc. (NRG) in connection with its indemnification obligations arising in connection with its separation from CenterPoint Energy;
- incremental collateral that may be required due to regulation of derivatives; and

provisions of relevant tax and securities laws.

Our current credit ratings are discussed in "Management's Narrative Analysis of Results of Operations— Liquidity and Capital Resources — Impact on Liquidity of a Downgrade in Credit Ratings" in Item 7 of this report. These credit ratings may not remain in effect for any given period of time and one or more of these ratings may be lowered or withdrawn entirely by a rating agency. We note that these credit ratings are not recommendations to buy, sell or hold our securities. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating. Any future reduction or withdrawal of one or more of our credit ratings could have a material adverse impact on our ability to access capital on acceptable terms.

The creditworthiness and liquidity of our parent company and our affiliates could affect our creditworthiness and liquidity.

Our credit ratings and liquidity may be impacted by the creditworthiness and liquidity of our parent company and our affiliates. As of December 31, 2014, CenterPoint Energy and its subsidiaries other than us had approximately \$519 million principal amount of debt required to be paid through 2017. This amount excludes principal repayments of approximately \$1.2 billion on transition and system restoration bonds, for which dedicated revenue streams exist, and indexed debt securities obligations. If CenterPoint Energy were to experience a deterioration in its creditworthiness or liquidity, our creditworthiness and liquidity could be adversely affected. In addition, CenterPoint Energy or its other subsidiaries or affiliates may from time to time acquire or dispose of assets or businesses or enter into joint ventures or other transactions that could adversely impact the credit capacity, credit ratings or liquidity of CenterPoint Energy or its other subsidiaries or affiliates, which, as a result, could adversely impact our credit ratings and liquidity. Also, from time to time we and other affiliates invest or borrow funds in the money pool maintained by CenterPoint Energy. If CenterPoint Energy or the affiliates that borrow any funds that we might invest from time to time in the money pool were to experience a deterioration in their creditworthiness or liquidity, our creditworthiness, liquidity and the repayment of notes receivable from CenterPoint Energy and our affiliates participating in the money pool could be adversely impacted.

The use of derivative contracts in the normal course of business by us, our subsidiaries or Enable could result in financial losses that could negatively impact our results of operations and those of our subsidiaries or Enable.

We and our subsidiaries use derivative instruments, such as swaps, options, futures and forwards, to manage our commodity, weather and financial market risks. Enable may also use such instruments from time to time to manage its commodity and financial market risk. We, our subsidiaries or Enable could recognize financial losses as a result of volatility in the market values of these contracts, or should a counterparty fail to perform. In the absence of actively quoted market prices and pricing information from external sources, the valuation of these financial instruments can involve management's judgment or use of estimates. As a result, changes in the underlying assumptions or use of alternative valuation methods could affect the reported fair value of these contracts.

We derive a substantial portion of our operating income from subsidiaries through which we hold a substantial portion of our assets.

We derive a substantial portion of our operating income from, and hold a substantial portion of our assets through, our subsidiaries. As a result, we depend on distributions from our subsidiaries, including Enable, in order to meet our payment obligations. In general, these subsidiaries are separate and distinct legal entities and have no obligation to provide us with funds for our payment obligations, whether by dividends, distributions, loans or otherwise. In addition, provisions of applicable law, such as those limiting the legal sources of dividends, limit our subsidiaries' ability to make payments or other distributions to us, and our subsidiaries could agree to contractual restrictions on their ability to make distributions.

For a discussion of risks that may impact the amount of cash distributions we receive with respect to our interests in Enable, please read "—Additional Risk Factors Affecting our Interests in Enable Midstream Partners, LP — Our cash flows will be adversely impacted if we receive less cash distributions from Enable than we currently expect."

Our right to receive any assets of any subsidiary, and therefore the right of our creditors to participate in those assets, will be effectively subordinated to the claims of that subsidiary's creditors, including trade creditors. In addition, even if we were a creditor of any subsidiary, our rights as a creditor would be subordinated to any security interest in the assets of that subsidiary and any indebtedness of the subsidiary senior to that held by us.

An impairment of goodwill, long-lived assets, including intangible assets, and equity-method investments could reduce our earnings.

Goodwill is recorded when the purchase price of a business exceeds the fair market value of the tangible and separately measurable intangible net assets. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require us to test goodwill for

impairment on an annual basis or when events or circumstances occur indicating that goodwill might be impaired. Long-lived assets, including intangible assets with finite useful lives, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable.

For investments we account for under the equity method, the impairment test considers whether the fair value of the equity investment as a whole, not the underlying net assets, has declined and whether that decline is other than temporary. For example, if Enable's unit price, distributions or earnings decline for reasons including, but not limited to, continued declines in commodity prices and producer activity, and that decline is deemed to be other than temporary, we could determine that we are unable to recover the carrying value of our equity investment in Enable. The carrying value of CERC's investment in Enable is approximately \$19.33 per unit. As of December 31, 2014, Enable's common unit price closed at \$19.39 (approximately \$14 million above carrying value). The lowest close price for Enable's common units in January 2015 was \$17.34 (approximately \$465 million below carrying value). If we determine that an impairment is indicated, we would be required to take an immediate noncash charge to earnings with a correlative effect on equity and balance sheet leverage as measured by debt to total capitalization.

Risk Factors Affecting Our Natural Gas Distribution and Energy Services Businesses

Rate regulation of our business may delay or deny our ability to earn a reasonable return and fully recover our costs.

Our rates for NGD are regulated by certain municipalities and state commissions based on an analysis of our invested capital and our expenses in a test year. Thus, the rates that we are allowed to charge may not match our expenses at any given time. The regulatory process in which rates are determined may not always result in rates that will produce full recovery of our costs and enable us to earn a reasonable return on our invested capital.

Our natural gas distribution and energy services businesses are subject to fluctuations in notional natural gas prices as well as geographic and seasonal natural gas price differentials, which could affect the ability of our suppliers and customers to meet their obligations or otherwise adversely affect our liquidity and results of operations and financial condition.

We are subject to risk associated with changes in the notional price of natural gas as well as geographic and seasonal natural gas price differentials. Increases in natural gas prices might affect our ability to collect balances due from our customers and, for NGD, could create the potential for uncollectible accounts expense to exceed the recoverable levels built into our tariff rates. In addition, a sustained period of high natural gas prices could (i) decrease demand for natural gas in the areas in which we operate, thereby resulting in decreased sales and revenues and (ii) increase the risk that our suppliers or customers fail or are unable to meet their obligations. An increase in natural gas prices would also increase our working capital requirements by increasing the investment that must be made in order to maintain natural gas inventory levels. Additionally, a decrease in natural gas prices could increase the amount of collateral that we must provide under our hedging arrangements.

Our businesses must compete with alternate energy sources, which could result in our marketing less natural gas, which could have an adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

We compete primarily with alternate energy sources such as electricity and other fuel sources. In some areas, intrastate pipelines, other natural gas distributors and marketers also compete directly with us for natural gas sales to end-users. In addition, as a result of federal regulatory changes affecting interstate pipelines, natural gas marketers operating on these pipelines may be able to bypass our facilities and market, sell and/or transport natural gas directly to commercial and industrial customers. Any reduction in the amount of natural gas marketed, sold or transported by us as a result of competition may have an adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

A decline in our credit rating could result in our having to provide collateral under our shipping or hedging arrangements or in order to purchase natural gas.

If our credit rating were to decline, we might be required to post cash collateral under our shipping or hedging arrangements or in order to purchase natural gas. If a credit rating downgrade and the resultant cash collateral requirement were to occur at a time when we were experiencing significant working capital requirements or otherwise lacked liquidity, our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows could be adversely affected.

Our revenues and results of operations are seasonal.

A substantial portion of our revenues is derived from natural gas sales. Thus, our revenues and results of operations are subject to seasonality, weather conditions and other changes in natural gas usage, with revenues being higher during the winter months.

Unusually mild weather in the winter months could diminish our results of operations and harm our financial condition. Conversely, extreme cold weather conditions could increase our results of operations in a manner that would not likely be annually recurring.

The states in which we provide regulated local gas distribution may, either through legislation or rules, adopt restrictions regarding organization, financing and affiliate transactions that could have significant adverse impacts on our ability to operate.

Proposals have been put forth in some of the states in which we do business to give state regulatory authorities increased jurisdiction and scrutiny over organization, capital structure, intracompany relationships and lines of business that could be pursued by registered holding companies and their affiliates that operate in those states. Some of these frameworks attempt to regulate financing activities, acquisitions and divestitures, and arrangements between the utilities and their affiliates, and to restrict the level of non-utility business that can be conducted within the holding company structure. Additionally, they may impose record-keeping, record access, employee training and reporting requirements related to affiliate transactions and reporting in the event of certain downgrading of the utility's credit rating.

These regulatory frameworks could have adverse effects on our ability to conduct our utility operations, to finance our business and to provide cost-effective utility service. In addition, if more than one state adopts restrictions on similar activities, it may be difficult for us to comply with competing regulatory requirements.

Risk Factors Affecting Our Interests in Enable Midstream Partners, LP

We hold a substantial limited partnership interest in Enable (55.4% of Enable's outstanding limited partnership interests as of December 31, 2014), as well as 50% of the management rights in Enable's general partner and a 40% interest in the incentive distribution rights held by Enable's general partner. Accordingly, our future earnings, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition will be affected by the performance of Enable, the amount of cash distributions we receive from Enable and the value of our interests in Enable. Factors that may have a material impact on Enable's performance and cash distributions, and, hence, the value of our interests in Enable, include the risk factors outlined below, as well as the risks described elsewhere under "Risk Factors" that are applicable to Enable.

Our cash flows will be adversely impacted if we receive less cash distributions from Enable than we currently expect.

Both CERC Corp. and OGE hold their limited partnership interests in Enable in the form of both common units and subordinated units. Enable is expected to pay a minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.2875 per unit, or \$1.15 per unit on an annualized basis, on its outstanding units to the extent it has sufficient cash from operations after establishment of cash reserves and payment of fees and expenses, including payments to its general partner and its affiliates (referred to as "available cash"). The principal difference between Enable's common units and subordinated units is that in any quarter during the applicable subordination period, holders of the subordinated units are not entitled to receive any distribution of available cash until the common units have received the minimum quarterly distribution plus any arrearages in the payment of the minimum quarterly distribution on common units from prior quarters. If Enable does not pay distributions on its subordinated units, its subordinated units will not accrue arrearages for those unpaid distributions. Accordingly, if Enable is unable to pay its minimum quarterly distribution, the amount of cash distributions we receive from Enable may be adversely affected. Enable may not have sufficient available cash each quarter to enable it to pay the minimum quarterly distribution. The amount of cash Enable can distribute on its units will principally depend upon the amount of cash it generates from its operations, which will fluctuate from quarter to quarter based on, among other things:

- the fees and gross margins it realizes with respect to the volume of natural gas and crude oil that it handles;
- the prices of, levels of production of, and demand for natural gas and crude oil;
- the volume of natural gas and crude oil it gathers, compresses, treats, dehydrates, processes, fractionates, transports and stores;
- the relationship among prices for natural gas, NGLs and crude oil;
- cash calls and settlements of hedging positions;
- · margin requirements on open price risk management assets and liabilities;
- the level of competition from other midstream energy companies;

- adverse effects of governmental and environmental regulation;
- the level of its operation and maintenance expenses and general and administrative costs; and
- · prevailing economic conditions.

In addition, the actual amount of cash Enable will have available for distribution will depend on other factors, including:

- · the level and timing of its capital expenditures;
- the cost of acquisitions;
- its debt service requirements and other liabilities;
- fluctuations in its working capital needs;
- its ability to borrow funds and access capital markets;
- · restrictions contained in its debt agreements;
- the amount of cash reserves established by its general partner; and
- other business risks affecting its cash levels.

The amount of cash Enable has available for distribution to us depends primarily on its cash flow rather than on its profitability, which may prevent Enable from making distributions, even during periods in which Enable records net income.

The amount of cash Enable has available for distribution depends primarily upon its cash flows and not solely on profitability, which will be affected by non-cash items. As a result, Enable may make cash distributions during periods when it records losses for financial accounting purposes and may not make cash distributions during periods when it records net earnings for financial accounting purposes.

We are not able to exercise control over Enable, which entails certain risks.

Enable is controlled jointly by CERC Corp. and OGE, who each own 50% of the management rights in the general partner of Enable. The board of directors of Enable's general partner is composed of an equal number of directors appointed by OGE and by us, the president and chief executive officer of Enable's general partner and three directors who are independent as defined under the independence standards established by the New York Stock Exchange. Accordingly, we are not able to exercise control over Enable.

Although we jointly control Enable with OGE, we may have conflicts of interest with Enable that could subject us to claims that we have breached our fiduciary duty to Enable and its unitholders.

CERC Corp. and OGE each own 50% of the management rights in Enable's general partner, as well as limited partnership interests in Enable, and interests in the incentive distribution rights held by Enable's general partner. Conflicts of interest may arise between us and Enable and its unitholders. Our joint control of the general partner of Enable may increase the possibility of claims of breach of fiduciary duties including claims of conflicts of interest related to Enable. In resolving these conflicts, we may favor our own interests and the interests of our affiliates over the interests of Enable and its unitholders as long as the resolution does not conflict with Enable's partnership agreement. These circumstances could subject us to claims that, in favoring our own interests and those of our affiliates, we breached a fiduciary duty to Enable or its unitholders.

Enable's contracts are subject to renewal risks.

Enable generates a substantial portion of its gross margins under long-term, fee-based agreements. For the year ended December 31, 2014, approximately 72% of Enable's gross margin was generated from contracts that are fee-based and approximately 50% of its gross margin was attributable to fees associated with firm contracts or contracts with minimum volume commitment features. As these and other contracts expire, Enable may have to negotiate extensions or renewals with existing suppliers and customers or enter into new contracts with other suppliers and customers. Enable may be unable to obtain new contracts on favorable commercial terms,

if at all. It also may be unable to maintain the economic structure of a particular contract with an existing customer or the overall mix of its contract portfolio. For example, depending on prevailing market conditions at the time of a contract renewal, gathering and processing customers with fixed-fee or fixed-margin contracts may desire to enter into contracts under different fee arrangements. To the extent Enable is unable to renew its existing contracts on terms that are favorable to it, if at all, or successfully manage its overall contract mix over time, its revenue, results of operations and distributable cash flow could be adversely affected.

Enable depends on a small number of customers for a significant portion of its firm transportation and storage services revenues. The loss of, or reduction in volumes from, these customers could result in a decline in sales of its transportation and storage services and its consolidated financial position, results of operations and its ability to make cash distributions.

Enable provides firm transportation and storage services to certain key customers on its system. Its major transportation customers are affiliates of CenterPoint Energy, Laclede Group (Laclede), OGE, American Electric Power Company, Inc. (AEP) and XTO Energy Inc., an affiliate of Exxon Mobil Corporation.

The loss of all or even a portion of the interstate or intrastate transportation and storage services for any of these customers, the failure to extend or replace these contracts or the extension or replacement of these contracts on less favorable terms, as a result of competition or otherwise, could adversely affect Enable's combined and consolidated financial position, results of operations and its ability to make cash distributions.

Enable's businesses are dependent, in part, on the drilling and production decisions of others.

Enable's businesses are dependent on the continued availability of natural gas and crude oil production. Enable has no control over the level of drilling activity in its areas of operation, the amount of reserves associated with wells connected to its systems or the rate at which production from a well declines. In addition, Enable's cash flows associated with wells currently connected to its systems will decline over time. To maintain or increase throughput levels on its gathering and transportation systems and the asset utilization rates at its natural gas processing plants, Enable's customers must continually obtain new natural gas and crude oil supplies. The primary factors affecting Enable's ability to obtain new supplies of natural gas and crude oil and attract new customers to its assets are the level of successful drilling activity near these systems, its ability to compete for volumes from successful new wells and its ability to expand capacity as needed. If Enable is not able to obtain new supplies of natural gas and crude oil to replace the natural decline in volumes from existing wells, throughput on its gathering, processing, transportation and storage facilities will decline, which could have a material adverse effect on its results of operations and distributable cash flow. Enable has no control over producers or their drilling and production decisions, which are affected by, among other things:

- the availability and cost of capital;
- prevailing and projected commodity prices, including the prices of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil;
- demand for natural gas, NGLs and crude oil;
- levels of reserves;
- geological considerations;
- environmental or other governmental regulations, including the availability of drilling permits and the regulation of hydraulic fracturing; and
- the availability of drilling rigs and other costs of production and equipment.

Fluctuations in energy prices can also greatly affect the development of new natural gas and crude oil reserves. Drilling and production activity generally decreases as commodity prices decrease. In general terms, the prices of natural gas, crude oil and other hydrocarbon products fluctuate in response to changes in supply and demand, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are beyond Enable's control. Because of these factors, even if new natural gas or crude oil reserves are known to exist in areas served by Enable's assets, producers may choose not to develop those reserves. Declines in natural gas or crude oil prices can have a negative impact on exploration, development and production activity and, if sustained, could lead to decreases in such activity. A sustained decline could also lead producers to shut in production from their existing wells. Sustained reductions in exploration or production activity in Enable's areas of operation could lead to further reductions in the utilization of its systems, which could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations and ability to make cash distributions.

In addition, it may be more difficult to maintain or increase the current volumes on Enable's gathering systems, as several of the formations in the unconventional resource plays in which it operates generally have higher initial production rates and steeper production decline curves than wells in more conventional basins. Should Enable determine that the economics of its gathering assets do not justify the capital expenditures needed to grow or maintain volumes associated therewith, Enable may reduce such capital expenditures, which could cause revenues associated with these assets to decline over time. In addition to capital expenditures to support growth, the steeper production decline curves associated with unconventional resource plays may require Enable to incur higher maintenance capital expenditures relative to throughput over time, which will reduce its distributable cash flow.

Because of these and other factors, even if new reserves are known to exist in areas served by Enable's assets, producers may choose not to develop those reserves. Reductions in drilling activity would result in Enable's inability to maintain the current levels of throughput on its systems and could have a material adverse effect on its results of operations and distributable cash flow.

Enable's industry is highly competitive, and increased competitive pressure could adversely affect its results of operations and distributable cash flow.

Enable competes with similar enterprises in its respective areas of operation. The principal elements of competition are rates, terms of service and flexibility and reliability of service. Enable's competitors include large crude oil, natural gas and petrochemical companies that have greater financial resources and access to supplies of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil than Enable. Some of these competitors may expand or construct gathering, processing, transportation and storage systems that would create additional competition for the services Enable provides to its customers. Excess pipeline capacity in the regions served by Enable's interstate pipelines could also increase competition and adversely impact Enable's ability to renew or enter into new contracts with respect to its available capacity when existing contracts expire. In addition, Enable's customers that are significant producers of natural gas may develop their own gathering, processing, transportation and storage systems in lieu of using Enable's systems. Enable's ability to renew or replace existing contracts with its customers at rates sufficient to maintain current revenues and cash flows could be adversely affected by the activities of its competitors and customers. Further, natural gas utilized as a fuel competes with other forms of energy available to end-users, including electricity, coal and liquid fuels. Increased demand for such forms of energy at the expense of natural gas could lead to a reduction in demand for natural gas gathering, processing, transportation and transportation services. All of these competitive pressures could adversely affect Enable's results of operations and distributable cash flow.

Enable may not be able to recover the costs of its substantial planned investment in capital improvements and additions, and the actual cost of such improvements and additions may be significantly higher than it anticipates.

Enable's business plan calls for extensive investment in capital improvements and additions. In Enable's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, Enable stated that it expects that its expansion capital expenditures could range from approximately \$600 million to \$800 million for the year ending December 31, 2015, not including opportunities currently under evaluation which could add up to an additional \$300 million of expansion capital expenditures. For example, Enable is currently constructing two cryogenic processing facilities that it plans to connect to its super-header system in Grady County, Oklahoma, which Enable expects will add 400 MMcf/d of natural gas processing capacity. Enable expects that the first of the two new plants (the Bradley Plant) will be completed in the first quarter of 2015. Enable expects that the second plant (the Grady County Plant), a 200 MMcf/d plant, will be completed in the first quarter of 2016. Enable also plans to construct significant natural gas gathering and compression infrastructure to support producer activity in its growth areas, and Enable anticipates that in 2015 it will complete the construction of two crude gathering systems in North Dakota's Bakken Shale formation with a combined capacity of 49,500 Bbl/d.

The construction of additions or modifications to Enable's existing systems, and the construction of new midstream assets, involves numerous regulatory, environmental, political and legal uncertainties, many of which are beyond Enable's control and may require the expenditure of significant amounts of capital, which may exceed its estimates. These projects may not be completed at the planned cost, on schedule or at all. The construction of new pipeline, gathering, treating, processing, compression or other facilities is subject to construction cost overruns due to labor costs, costs of equipment and materials such as steel, labor shortages or weather or other delays, inflation or other factors, which could be material. In addition, the construction of these facilities is typically subject to the receipt of approvals and permits from various regulatory agencies. Those agencies may not approve the projects in a timely manner, if at all, or may impose restrictions or conditions on the projects that could potentially prevent a project from proceeding, lengthen its expected completion schedule and/or increase its anticipated cost. Moreover, Enable's revenues and cash flows may not increase immediately upon the expenditure of funds on a particular project. For instance, if Enable expands an existing pipeline or constructs a new pipeline, the construction may occur over an extended period of time, and Enable may not receive any material increases in revenues or cash flows until the project is completed. In addition, Enable may construct facilities to capture anticipated future growth in production in a region in which such growth does not materialize. As a result, the new facilities may not be able to achieve Enable's expected investment return, which could adversely affect its results of operations and its ability to make cash distributions.

In connection with Enable's capital investments, Enable may engage a third party to estimate potential reserves in areas to be developed prior to constructing facilities in those areas. To the extent Enable relies on estimates of future production in deciding to construct additions to its systems, those estimates may prove to be inaccurate due to numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating future production. As a result, new facilities may not be able to attract sufficient throughput to achieve expected investment return, which could adversely affect Enable's results of operations and its ability to make cash distributions. In addition, the construction of additions to existing gathering and transportation assets may require new rights-of-way prior to construction. Those rights-of-way to connect new natural gas supplies to existing gathering lines may be unavailable and Enable may not be able to capitalize on attractive expansion opportunities. Additionally, it may become more expensive to obtain new rights-of-way or to renew existing rights-of-way. If the cost of renewing or obtaining new rights-of-way increases, Enable's results of operations and its ability to make cash distributions could be adversely affected.

Natural gas, NGL and crude oil prices are volatile, and changes in these prices could adversely affect Enable's results of operations and its ability to make cash distributions.

Enable's results of operations and its ability to make cash distributions could be negatively affected by adverse movements in the prices of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil depending on factors that are beyond its control. These factors include demand for these commodities, which fluctuates with changes in market and economic conditions and other factors, including the impact of seasonality and weather, general economic conditions, the level of domestic and offshore natural gas production and consumption, the availability of imported natural gas, LNG, NGLs and crude oil, actions taken by foreign natural gas and oil producing nations, the availability of local, intrastate and interstate transportation systems, the availability and marketing of competitive fuels, the impact of energy conservation efforts, technological advances affecting energy consumption and the extent of governmental regulation and taxation.

Enable's keep-whole natural gas processing arrangements, which accounted for 7% of its natural gas processed volumes in 2014, expose it to fluctuations in the pricing spreads between NGL prices and natural gas prices. Under these arrangements, the processor processes raw natural gas to extract NGLs and pays to the producer the natural gas equivalent Btu value of raw natural gas received from the producer in the form of either processed natural gas or its cash equivalent. The processor is generally entitled to retain the processed NGLs and to sell them for its own account. Accordingly, the processor's margin is a function of the difference between the value of the NGLs produced and the cost of the processed natural gas used to replace the natural gas equivalent Btu value of those NGLs. Therefore, if natural gas prices increase and NGL prices do not increase by a corresponding amount, the processor has to replace the Btu of natural gas at higher prices and processing margins are negatively affected.

Enable's percent-of-proceeds and percent-of-liquids natural gas processing agreements accounted for 44% of its natural gas processed volumes in 2014. Under these arrangements, the processor generally gathers raw natural gas from producers at the wellhead, transports the natural gas through its gathering system, processes the natural gas and sells the processed natural gas and/or NGLs at prices based on published index prices. The price paid to producers is based on an agreed percentage of the actual proceeds of the sale of processed natural gas, NGLs or both, or the expected proceeds based on an index price. Enable refers to contracts in which the processor shares in specified percentages of the proceeds from the sale of natural gas and NGLs as "percent-of-proceeds" arrangements, and contracts in which the processor receives proceeds from the sale of a percentage of the NGLs or the NGLs themselves as compensation for processing services as "percent-of-liquids" arrangements. These arrangements expose Enable to risks associated with the price of natural gas and NGLs.

At any given time, Enable's overall portfolio of processing contracts may reflect a net short position in natural gas (meaning that it is a net buyer of natural gas) and a net long position in NGLs (meaning that it is a net seller of NGLs). As a result, Enable's gross margin could be adversely impacted to the extent the price of NGLs decreases in relation to the price of natural gas.

Enable has limited experience in the crude oil gathering business.

In November 2013, Enable commenced operations on its initial crude oil gathering pipeline system, located in Dunn and McKenzie Counties in North Dakota within the Bakken Shale formation. Additionally in February 2014, Enable executed a crude oil gathering agreement to gather crude oil production through a new system in Williams and Mountrail Counties in North Dakota that is expected to commence operations in the first quarter of 2015. These facilities, with a combined capacity of 49,500 barrels per day, are the first crude oil gathering systems that Enable has built and operated. Other operators of gathering systems in the Bakken Shale formation may have more experience in the construction, operation and maintenance of crude oil gathering systems than Enable. This relative lack of experience may hinder Enable's ability to fully implement its business plan in a timely and cost efficient manner, which, in turn, may adversely affect its results of operations and its ability to make cash distributions to unitholders.

Enable provides certain transportation and storage services under long-term, fixed-price "negotiated rate" contracts that are not subject to adjustment, even if its cost to perform such services exceeds the revenues received from such contracts, and, as a result, Enable's costs could exceed its revenues received under such contracts.

Enable has been authorized by the FERC to provide transportation and storage services at its facilities at negotiated rates. Generally, negotiated rates are in excess of the maximum recourse rates allowed by the FERC, but it is possible that costs to perform services under "negotiated rate" contracts will exceed the revenues obtained under these agreements. If this occurs, it could decrease the cash flow realized by Enable's systems and, therefore, decrease the cash it has available for distribution.

As of December 31, 2014, approximately 56% of Enable's contracted transportation firm capacity and 44% of its contracted storage firm capacity was subscribed under such "negotiated rate" contracts. These contracts generally do not include provisions allowing for adjustment for increased costs due to inflation, pipeline safety activities or other factors that are not tied to an applicable tracking mechanism authorized by the FERC. Successful recovery of any shortfall of revenue, representing the difference between "recourse rates" (if higher) and negotiated rates, is not assured under current FERC policies.

If third-party pipelines and other facilities interconnected to Enable's gathering, processing or transportation facilities become partially or fully unavailable for any reason, Enable's results of operations and its ability to make cash distributions could be adversely affected.

Enable depends upon third-party natural gas pipelines to deliver natural gas to, and take natural gas from, its transportation systems. Enable also depends on third-party facilities to transport and fractionate NGLs that are delivered to the third party at the tailgates of the processing plants. Fractionation is the separation of the heterogeneous mixture of extracted NGLs into individual components for end-use sale. For example, an outage or disruption on certain pipelines or fractionators operated by a third party could result in the shutdown of certain of Enable's processing plants, and a prolonged outage or disruption could ultimately result in a reduction in the volume of NGLs Enable is able to produce. Additionally, Enable depends on third parties to provide electricity for compression at many of its facilities. Since Enable does not own or operate any of these third-party pipelines or other facilities, their continuing operation is not within its control. If any of these third-party pipelines or other facilities become partially or fully unavailable for any reason, Enable's results of operations and its ability to make cash distributions to unitholders could be adversely affected.

Enable does not own all of the land on which its pipelines and facilities are located, which could disrupt its operations.

Enable does not own all of the land on which its pipelines and facilities have been constructed, and it is therefore subject to the possibility of more onerous terms and/or increased costs to retain necessary land use if it does not have valid rights-of-way or if such rights-of-way lapse or terminate. Enable may obtain the rights to construct and operate its pipelines on land owned by third parties and governmental agencies for a specific period of time. A loss of these rights, through Enable's inability to renew right-of-way contracts or otherwise, could cause it to cease operations temporarily or permanently on the affected land, increase costs related to the construction and continuing operations elsewhere and adversely affect its results of operations and ability to make cash distributions.

Enable conducts a portion of its operations through joint ventures, which subject it to additional risks that could have a material adverse effect on the success of these operations and Enable's financial position and results of operations.

Enable conducts a portion of its operations through joint ventures with third parties, including affiliates of Spectra Energy Corp, DCP Midstream Partners, LP, Trans Louisiana Gas Pipeline, Inc. and Pablo Gathering LLC. Enable may also enter into other joint venture arrangements in the future. These third parties may have obligations that are important to the success of the joint venture, such as the obligation to pay their share of capital and other costs of the joint venture. The performance of these third-party obligations, including the ability of the third parties to satisfy their obligations under these arrangements, is outside Enable's control. If these parties do not satisfy their obligations under these arrangements, Enable's business may be adversely affected.

Enable's joint venture arrangements may involve risks not otherwise present when operating assets directly, including, for example:

- Enable's joint venture partners may share certain approval rights over major decisions;
- Enable's joint venture partners may not pay their share of the joint venture's obligations, leaving Enable liable for their shares of joint venture liabilities;

- Enable may be unable to control the amount of cash it will receive from the joint venture;
- Enable may incur liabilities as a result of an action taken by its joint venture partners;
- Enable may be required to devote significant management time to the requirements of and matters relating to the joint ventures;
- Enable's insurance policies may not fully cover loss or damage incurred by both Enable and its joint venture partners in certain circumstances;
- Enable's joint venture partners may be in a position to take actions contrary to its instructions or requests or contrary to its policies or objectives; and
- disputes between Enable and its joint venture partners may result in delays, litigation or operational impasses.

The risks described above or the failure to continue Enable's joint ventures or to resolve disagreements with its joint venture partners could adversely affect its ability to transact the business that is the subject of such joint venture, which would in turn negatively affect Enable's financial condition and results of operations. The agreements under which Enable formed certain joint ventures may subject it to various risks, limit the actions it may take with respect to the assets subject to the joint venture and require Enable to grant rights to its joint venture partners that could limit its ability to benefit fully from future positive developments. Some joint ventures require Enable to make significant capital expenditures. If Enable does not timely meet its financial commitments or otherwise does not comply with its joint venture agreements, its rights to participate, exercise operator rights or otherwise influence or benefit from the joint venture may be adversely affected. Certain of Enable's joint venture partners may have substantially greater financial resources than Enable has and Enable may not be able to secure the funding necessary to participate in operations its joint venture partners propose, thereby reducing its ability to benefit from the joint venture.

Enable's ability to grow is dependent on its ability to access external financing sources.

Enable expects that it will distribute all of its "available cash" to its unitholders. As a result, Enable is expected to rely primarily upon external financing sources, including commercial bank borrowings and the issuance of debt and equity securities, to fund acquisitions and expansion capital expenditures. As a result, to the extent Enable is unable to finance growth externally, Enable's cash distribution policy will significantly impair its ability to grow. In addition, because Enable is expected to distribute all of its available cash, its growth may not be as fast as businesses that reinvest their available cash to expand ongoing operations.

To the extent Enable issues additional units in connection with any acquisitions or expansion capital expenditures, the payment of distributions on those additional units may increase the risk that Enable will be unable to maintain or increase its per unit distribution level, which in turn may impact the available cash that it has to distribute on each unit. There are no limitations in Enable's partnership agreement on its ability to issue additional units, including units ranking senior to the common units. The incurrence of additional commercial borrowings or other debt by Enable to finance its growth strategy would result in increased interest expense, which in turn may negatively impact the available cash that Enable has to distribute to its unitholders.

If Enable does not make acquisitions or is unable to make acquisitions on economically acceptable terms, its future growth will be adversely affected.

Enable's growth strategy includes, in part, the ability to make acquisitions that result in an increase in its cash generated from operations. If Enable is unable to make these accretive acquisitions either because: (i) it is unable to identify attractive acquisition targets or it is unable to negotiate purchase contracts on acceptable terms, (ii) it is unable to obtain acquisition financing on economically acceptable terms, or (iii) it is outbid by competitors, then our future growth and ability to increase distributions will be adversely affected.

Enable's debt levels may limit its flexibility in obtaining additional financing and in pursuing other business opportunities.

As of December 31, 2014, Enable had approximately \$1.9 billion of long-term debt outstanding, excluding the premiums on their senior notes. Enable has \$363 million of long-term notes payable-affiliated companies due to CERC Corp. Enable has a \$1.4 billion revolving credit facility for working capital, capital expenditures and other partnership purposes, including acquisitions, of which \$1.1 billion was available as of December 31, 2014. As of January 31, 2015, Enable had the ability to issue up to \$1.2 billion in commercial paper, subject to available borrowing capacity under its revolving credit facility and market conditions to manage the timing of cash flows and fund short-term working capital deficits. As of January 31, 2015, \$224 million was outstanding under

Enable's commercial paper program. Enable will continue to have the ability to incur additional debt, subject to limitations in its credit facilities. The levels of Enable's debt could have important consequences, including the following:

- the ability to obtain additional financing, if necessary, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes may be impaired or the financing may not be available on favorable terms, if at all;
- a portion of cash flows will be required to make interest payments on the debt, reducing the funds that would otherwise be available for operations, future business opportunities and distributions;
- Enable's debt level will make it more vulnerable to competitive pressures or a downturn in its business or the economy generally; and
- Enable's debt level may limit its flexibility in responding to changing business and economic conditions.

Enable's ability to service its debt will depend upon, among other things, its future financial and operating performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions and financial, business, regulatory and other factors, some of which are beyond Enable's control. If operating results are not sufficient to service current or future indebtedness, Enable may be forced to take actions such as reducing distributions, reducing or delaying business activities, acquisitions, investments or capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring or refinancing debt, or seeking additional equity capital. These actions may not be effected on satisfactory terms, or at all.

Enable's credit facilities contain operating and financial restrictions, including covenants and restrictions that may be affected by events beyond Enable's control, which could adversely affect its business, financial condition, results of operations and ability to make quarterly distributions.

Enable's credit facilities contain customary covenants that, among other things, limit its ability to:

- permit its subsidiaries to incur or guarantee additional debt;
- incur or permit to exist certain liens on assets;
- dispose of assets;
- merge or consolidate with another company or engage in a change of control;
- enter into transactions with affiliates on non-arm's length terms; and
- change the nature of its business.

Enable's credit facilities also require it to maintain certain financial ratios. Enable's ability to meet those financial ratios can be affected by events beyond its control, and we cannot assure you that it will meet those ratios. In addition, Enable's credit facilities contain events of default customary for agreements of this nature.

Enable's ability to comply with the covenants and restrictions contained in its credit facilities may be affected by events beyond its control, including prevailing economic, financial and industry conditions. If market or other economic conditions deteriorate, Enable's ability to comply with these covenants may be impaired. If Enable violates any of the restrictions, covenants, ratios or tests in its credit facilities, a significant portion of its indebtedness may become immediately due and payable. In addition, Enable's lenders' commitments to make further loans to it under the revolving credit facility may be suspended or terminated. Enable might not have, or be able to obtain, sufficient funds to make these accelerated payments.

Costs of compliance with existing environmental laws and regulations are significant, and the cost of compliance with future environmental laws and regulations may adversely affect Enable's results of operations and its ability to make cash distributions.

Enable is subject to extensive federal, state and local environmental statutes, rules and regulations relating to air quality, water quality, waste management, wildlife conservation, natural resources and health and safety that could, among other things, delay or increase its costs of construction, restrict or limit the output of certain facilities and/or require additional pollution control equipment and otherwise increase costs. There are significant capital, operating and other costs associated with compliance with these environmental statutes, rules and regulations and those costs may be even more significant in the future.

There is inherent risk of the incurrence of environmental costs and liabilities in Enable's operations due to its handling of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil, air emissions related to its operations and historical industry operations and waste disposal practices. These activities are subject to stringent and complex federal, state and local laws and regulations governing environmental protection, including the discharge of materials into the environment and the protection of plants, wildlife, and natural and cultural resources. These laws and regulations can restrict or impact Enable's business activities in many ways, such as restricting the way it can handle or dispose of wastes or requiring remedial action to mitigate pollution conditions that may be caused by its operations or that are attributable to former operators. Joint and several strict liability may be incurred, without regard to fault, under certain of these environmental laws and regulations in connection with discharges or releases of wastes on, under or from Enable's properties and facilities, many of which have been used for midstream activities for a number of years, oftentimes by third parties not under its control. Private parties, including the owners of the properties through which Enable's gathering systems pass and facilities where its wastes are taken for reclamation or disposal, may also have the right to pursue legal actions to enforce compliance, as well as to seek damages for non-compliance, with environmental laws and regulations or for personal injury or property damage. For example, an accidental release from one of Enable's pipelines could subject it to substantial liabilities arising from environmental cleanup and restoration costs, claims made by neighboring landowners and other third parties for personal injury and property damage and fines or penalties for related violations of environmental laws or regulations. Enable may be unable to recover these costs from insurance. Moreover, the possibility exists that stricter laws, r

Increased regulation of hydraulic fracturing could result in reductions or delays in natural gas production by Enable's customers, which could adversely affect its results of operations and ability to make cash distributions.

Hydraulic fracturing is an important and common practice that is used to stimulate production of natural gas and/or oil from dense subsurface rock formations. The hydraulic fracturing process involves the injection of water, sand, and chemicals under pressure into targeted subsurface formations to fracture the surrounding rock and stimulate production. Many of Enable's customers commonly use hydraulic fracturing techniques in their drilling and completion programs. Hydraulic fracturing typically is regulated by state oil and natural gas commissions. In addition, certain federal agencies have proposed additional laws and regulations to more closely regulate the hydraulic fracturing process. For example, in January 2015, the EPA indicated its intention to propose more stringent rules regulating methane and VOC emissions from hydraulic fracturing and other well completion activity. Congress from time to time has considered the adoption of legislation to provide for federal regulation of hydraulic fracturing under the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) and to require disclosure of the chemicals used in the hydraulic fracturing process. Some states have adopted, and other states are considering adopting, legal requirements that could impose more stringent permitting, public disclosure or well construction requirements on hydraulic fracturing activities. Local government also may seek to adopt ordinances within their jurisdictions regulating the time, place and manner of drilling activities in general or hydraulic fracturing activities in particular, in some cases banning hydraulic fracturing entirely. Other governmental agencies, including the U.S. Department of Energy and the EPA, have evaluated or are evaluating various other aspects of hydraulic fracturing such as the potential environmental effects of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water and groundwater.

If new or more stringent federal, state or local legal restrictions relating to the hydraulic fracturing process are adopted in areas where Enable's oil and natural gas exploration and production customers operate, they could incur potentially significant added costs to comply with such requirements, experience delays or curtailment in the pursuit of exploration, development, or production activities, and perhaps even be precluded from drilling wells, some or all of which activities could adversely affect demand for Enable's services to those customers.

Enable's operations are subject to extensive regulation by federal, state and local regulatory authorities. Changes or additional regulatory measures adopted by such authorities could have a material adverse effect on Enable's results of operations and ability to make cash distributions.

The rates charged by several of Enable's pipeline systems, including for interstate gas transportation service provided by its intrastate pipelines, are regulated by the FERC. Enable's pipeline operations that are not regulated by the FERC may be subject to state and local regulation applicable to intrastate natural and transportation services. The relevant states in which Enable operates include North Dakota, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas, Missouri, Kansas, Mississippi, Tennessee and Illinois.

The FERC and state regulatory agencies also regulate other terms and conditions of the services Enable may offer. If one of these regulatory agencies, on its own initiative or due to challenges by third parties, were to lower its tariff rates or deny any rate increase or other material changes to the types, or terms and conditions, of service Enable might propose or offer, the profitability of Enable's pipeline businesses could suffer. If Enable were permitted to raise its tariff rates for a particular pipeline, there might be

significant delay between the time the tariff rate increase is approved and the time that the rate increase actually goes into effect, which could also limit its profitability. Furthermore, competition from other pipeline systems may prevent Enable from raising its tariff rates even if regulatory agencies permit it to do so. The regulatory agencies that regulate Enable's systems periodically implement new rules, regulations and terms and conditions of services subject to their jurisdiction. New initiatives or orders may adversely affect the rates charged for Enable's services or otherwise adversely affect its financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and its ability to make cash distributions.

A change in the jurisdictional characterization of some of Enable's assets by federal, state or local regulatory agencies or a change in policy by those agencies may result in increased regulation of its assets, which may cause its revenues to decline and operating expenses to increase.

Enable's natural gas gathering and intrastate transportation operations are generally exempt from the jurisdiction of the FERC under the NGA, but FERC regulation may indirectly impact these businesses and the markets for products derived from these businesses. The FERC's policies and practices across the range of its oil and natural gas regulatory activities, including, for example, its policies on interstate open access transportation, ratemaking, capacity release, and market center promotion may indirectly affect intrastate markets. In recent years, the FERC has pursued pro-competitive policies in its regulation of interstate oil and natural gas pipelines. However, we cannot assure you that the FERC will continue to pursue this approach as it considers matters such as pipeline rates and rules and policies that may indirectly affect the intrastate natural gas transportation business. Although the FERC has not made a formal determination with respect to all of Enable's facilities it considers to be gathering facilities, Enable believes that its natural gas gathering pipelines meet the traditional tests that the FERC has used to determine that a pipeline is a gathering pipeline and are therefore not subject to FERC jurisdiction. The distinction between FERC-regulated transmission services and federally unregulated gathering services, however, has been the subject of substantial litigation, and the FERC determines whether facilities are gathering facilities on a case-by-case basis, so the classification and regulation of Enable's gathering facilities is subject to change based on future determinations by the FERC, the courts or Congress. If the FERC were to consider the status of an individual facility and determine that the facility and/or services provided by it are not exempt from FERC regulation under the NGA and that the facility provides interstate service, the rates for, and terms and conditions of, services provided by such facility would be subject to regulation by the FERC under the NGA or the NGPA. Such regulation could decrease revenue, increase operating costs, and, depending upon the facility in question, could adversely affect Enable's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and its ability to make cash distributions. In addition, if any of Enable's facilities were found to have provided services or otherwise operated in violation of the NGA or NGPA, this could result in the imposition of substantial civil penalties, as well as a requirement to disgorge revenues collected for such services in excess of the maximum rates established by the FERC.

Natural gas gathering may receive greater regulatory scrutiny at the state level; therefore, Enable's natural gas gathering operations could be adversely affected should they become subject to the application of state regulation of rates and services. Enable's gathering operations could also be subject to safety and operational regulations relating to the design, construction, testing, operation, replacement and maintenance of gathering facilities. We cannot predict what effect, if any, such changes might have on Enable's operations, but Enable could be required to incur additional capital expenditures and increased costs depending on future legislative and regulatory changes.

Enable may incur significant costs and liabilities resulting from pipeline integrity and other similar programs and related repairs.

The DOT has adopted regulations requiring pipeline operators to develop integrity management programs for transportation pipelines located in "high consequence areas," which are those areas where a leak or rupture could do the most harm. The regulations require operators, including Enable, to, among other things:

- develop a baseline plan to prioritize the assessment of a covered pipeline segment;
- identify and characterize applicable threats that could impact a high consequence area;
- · improve data collection, integration, and analysis;
- repair and remediate pipelines as necessary; and
- implement preventive and mitigating action.

Although many of Enable's pipelines fall within a class that is currently not subject to these requirements, it may incur significant cost and liabilities associated with repair, remediation, preventive or mitigation measures associated with its non-exempt pipelines.

Should Enable fail to comply with DOT or comparable state regulations, it could be subject to penalties and fines. Also, the scope of the integrity management program and other related pipeline safety programs could be expanded in the future.

Other Risk Factors Affecting Our Businesses or Our Interests in Enable Midstream Partners, LP

We are subject to operational and financial risks and liabilities arising from environmental laws and regulations.

Our operations are subject to stringent and complex laws and regulations pertaining to the environment. As an owner or operator of natural gas pipelines and distribution systems, and the facilities that support these systems, we must comply with these laws and regulations at the federal, state and local levels. These laws and regulations can restrict or impact our business activities in many ways, such as:

- restricting the way we can handle or dispose of wastes;
- limiting or prohibiting construction activities in sensitive areas such as wetlands, coastal regions, or areas inhabited by endangered species;
- · requiring remedial action to mitigate environmental conditions caused by our operations, or attributable to former operations;
- · enjoining the operations of facilities with permits issued pursuant to such environmental laws and regulations; and
- impacting the demand for our services by directly or indirectly affecting the use or price of natural gas.

In order to comply with these requirements, we may need to spend substantial amounts and devote other resources from time to time to:

- construct or acquire new facilities and equipment;
- · acquire permits for facility operations;
- modify or replace existing and proposed equipment; and
- · clean or decommission waste disposal areas, fuel storage and management facilities and other locations and facilities.

Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may trigger a variety of administrative, civil and criminal enforcement measures, including the assessment of monetary penalties, the imposition of remedial actions, and the issuance of orders enjoining future operations. Certain environmental statutes impose strict, joint and several liability for costs required to clean and restore sites where hazardous substances have been stored, disposed or released. Moreover, it is not uncommon for neighboring landowners and other third parties to file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by the release of hazardous substances or other waste products into the environment.

The recent trend in environmental regulation has been to place more restrictions and limitations on activities that may affect the environment, and thus there can be no assurance as to the amount or timing of future expenditures for environmental compliance or remediation, and actual future expenditures may be greater than the amounts we currently anticipate.

Our insurance coverage may not be sufficient. Insufficient insurance coverage and increased insurance costs could adversely impact our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

We currently have general liability and property insurance in place to cover certain of our facilities in amounts that we consider appropriate. Such policies are subject to certain limits and deductibles and do not include business interruption coverage. Insurance coverage may not be available in the future at current costs or on commercially reasonable terms, and the insurance proceeds received for any loss of, or any damage to, any of our facilities may not be sufficient to restore the loss or damage without negative impact on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Enable's operations are subject to all of the risks and hazards inherent in the gathering, processing, transportation and storage of natural gas and crude oil, including:

- damage to pipelines and plants, related equipment and surrounding properties caused by hurricanes, tornadoes, floods, fires and other natural disasters, acts of terrorism and actions by third parties;
- · inadvertent damage from construction, vehicles, farm and utility equipment;
- leaks of natural gas, crude oil and other hydrocarbons or losses of natural gas and crude oil as a result of the malfunction of equipment or facilities;
- ruptures, fires and explosions; and
- other hazards that could also result in personal injury and loss of life, pollution and suspension of operations.

We and OGE currently have general liability and property insurance in place to cover certain of Enable's facilities in amounts that we consider appropriate. Such policies are subject to certain limits and deductibles. These risks could result in substantial losses due to personal injury and/or loss of life, severe damage to and destruction of property, plant and equipment and pollution or other environmental damage. These risks may also result in curtailment or suspension of Enable's operations. A natural disaster or other hazard affecting the areas in which Enable operates could have a material adverse effect on Enable's operations. Enable is not fully insured against all risks inherent in its business. Enable currently has general liability and property insurance in place to cover certain of its facilities in amounts that Enable considers appropriate. Such policies are subject to certain limits and deductibles. Enable does not have business interruption insurance coverage for all of its operations. Insurance coverage may not be available in the future at current costs or on commercially reasonable terms, and the insurance proceeds received for any loss of, or any damage to, any of Enable's facilities may not be sufficient to restore the loss or damage without negative impact on its results of operations and its ability to make cash distributions.

We and CenterPoint Energy could incur liabilities associated with businesses and assets that we have transferred to others.

Under some circumstances, we and CenterPoint Energy could incur liabilities associated with assets and businesses we and CenterPoint Energy no longer own. These assets and businesses were previously owned by Reliant Energy, Incorporated (Reliant Energy), a predecessor of CenterPoint Energy, directly or through subsidiaries, including us in some cases. Through a series of transactions, the assets and businesses were transferred to a predecessor of RRI.

In connection with the organization and capitalization of RRI (now GenOn), that company and its subsidiaries assumed liabilities associated with various assets and businesses Reliant Energy transferred to them. RRI also agreed to indemnify, and cause the applicable transferee subsidiaries to indemnify, CenterPoint Energy and its subsidiaries, including us, with respect to liabilities associated with the transferred assets and businesses. These indemnity provisions were intended to place sole financial responsibility on RRI and its subsidiaries for all liabilities associated with the current and historical businesses and operations of RRI, regardless of the time those liabilities arose. If RRI (now GenOn) were unable to satisfy a liability that has been so assumed in circumstances in which Reliant Energy and its subsidiaries were not released from the liability in connection with the transfer, we and CenterPoint Energy could be responsible for satisfying the liability.

Prior to the distribution of CenterPoint Energy's ownership in RRI to its shareholders, we had guaranteed certain contractual obligations of what became RRI's trading subsidiary. When the companies separated, RRI agreed to secure us against obligations under the guarantees RRI had been unable to extinguish by the time of separation. Pursuant to such agreement, as amended in December 2007, RRI (now GenOn) agreed to provide to us cash or letters of credit as security against our obligations under our remaining guarantees for demand charges under certain gas transportation agreements if and to the extent changes in market conditions expose us to a risk of loss on those guarantees based on an annual calculation, with any required collateral to be posted each December. The undiscounted maximum potential payout of the demand charges under these transportation contracts, which will be in effect until 2018, was approximately \$42 million as of December 31, 2014. Based on market conditions in the fourth quarter of 2014 at the time the most recent annual calculation was made under the agreement, GenOn was not obligated to post any security. If GenOn should fail to perform the contractual obligations, we could have to honor our guarantee and, in such event, any collateral then provided as security may be insufficient to satisfy our obligations.

If GenOn were unable to meet its obligations, it could consider, among various options, restructuring under the bankruptcy laws, in which event GenOn might not honor its indemnification obligations and claims by GenOn's creditors might be made against CenterPoint Energy as its former owner.

Reliant Energy and RRI (GenOn's predecessor) are named as defendants in a number of lawsuits arising out of sales of natural gas in California and other markets. Although these matters relate to the business and operations of GenOn, claims against Reliant Energy have been made on grounds that include liability of Reliant Energy as a controlling shareholder of GenOn's predecessor. We and CenterPoint Energy could incur liability if claims in one or more of these lawsuits were successfully asserted against us or CenterPoint Energy and indemnification from GenOn were determined to be unavailable or if GenOn were unable to satisfy indemnification obligations owed with respect to those claims.

Cyber-attacks, physical security breaches, acts of terrorism or other disruptions could adversely impact our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows or the results of operations, financial condition and cash flows of Enable.

We and Enable are subject to cyber- and physical security risks related to breaches in the systems and technology used (i) to manage operations and other business processes and (ii) to protect sensitive information maintained in the normal course of business. The distribution of natural gas to our customers and the gathering, processing and transportation of natural gas or other commodities from Enable's gathering, processing and pipeline facilities, are dependent on communications among Enable's facilities and with third-party systems that may be delivering natural gas or other commodities into or receiving natural gas and other products from Enable's facilities. Disruption of those communications, whether caused by physical disruption such as storms or other natural phenomena, by failure of equipment or technology, or by manmade events, such as cyber-attacks or acts of terrorism, may disrupt our ability or Enable's ability to conduct operations and control assets. Cyber-attacks could also result in the loss of confidential or proprietary data or security breaches of other information technology systems that could disrupt operations and critical business functions, adversely affect reputation, and subject us or Enable to possible legal claims and liability. Neither we nor Enable is fully insured against all cyber-security risks, any of which could have a material adverse effect on either our, or Enable's results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. In addition, gas distribution and pipeline systems may be targets of terrorist activities that could disrupt either our or Enable's ability to conduct our respective businesses and have a material adverse effect on either our or Enable's results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Failure to maintain the security of personally identifiable information could adversely affect us.

In connection with our business we collect and retain personally identifiable information of our customers and employees. Our customers and employees expect that we will adequately protect their personal information, and the United States regulatory environment surrounding information security and privacy is increasingly demanding. A significant theft, loss or fraudulent use of customer, employee or CERC data by cyber-crime or otherwise could adversely impact our reputation and could result in significant costs, fines and litigation.

Our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows may be adversely affected if we are unable to successfully operate our facilities or perform certain corporate functions.

Our performance depends on the successful operation of our facilities. Operating these facilities involves many risks, including:

- operator error or failure of equipment or processes;
- operating limitations that may be imposed by environmental or other regulatory requirements;
- · labor disputes;
- information technology system failures that impair our information technology infrastructure or disrupt normal business operations;
- information technology failure that affects our ability to access customer information or causes us to lose confidential or proprietary data that materially and adversely affects our reputation or exposes us to legal claims; and
- catastrophic events such as fires, earthquakes, explosions, floods, droughts, hurricanes, terrorism, pandemic health events or other similar occurrences.

Such events may result in a decrease or elimination of revenue from our facilities, an increase in the cost of operating our facilities or delays in cash collections, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and/or cash flows.

Our success depends upon our ability to attract, effectively transition and retain key employees and identify and develop talent to succeed senior management.

We depend on our senior executive officers and other key personnel. Our success depends on our ability to attract, effectively transition and retain key personnel. The inability to recruit and retain or effectively transition key personnel or the unexpected loss of key personnel may adversely affect our operations. In addition, because of the reliance on our management team, our future success depends in part on our ability to identify and develop talent to succeed senior management. The retention of key personnel and appropriate senior management succession planning will continue to be critically important to the successful implementation of our strategies.

Failure to attract and retain an appropriately qualified workforce could adversely impact our results of operations.

Our business is dependent on our ability to recruit, retain, and motivate employees. Certain circumstances, such as an aging workforce without appropriate replacements, a mismatch of existing skillsets to future needs, or the unavailability of contract resources may lead to operating challenges such as a lack of resources, loss of knowledge or a lengthy time period associated with skill development. Our costs, including costs for contractors to replace employees, productivity costs and safety costs, may rise. Failure to hire and adequately train replacement employees, including the transfer of significant internal historical knowledge and expertise to the new employees, or the future availability and cost of contract labor may adversely affect the ability to manage and operate our business. If we are unable to successfully attract and retain an appropriately qualified workforce, our results of operations could be negatively affected.

Climate change legislation and regulatory initiatives could result in increased operating costs and reduced demand for our services or Enable's services.

The United States Congress has from time to time considered adopting legislation to reduce emissions of GHGs, and there has been a wide-ranging policy debate, both nationally and internationally, regarding the impact of these gases and possible means for their regulation. In addition, efforts have been made and continue to be made in the international community toward the adoption of international treaties or protocols that would address global climate change issues, such as the most recent United Nations Climate Change Conference in Lima, Peru, in 2014. Following a finding by the EPA that certain GHGs represent an endangerment to human health, the EPA adopted two sets of rules regulating GHG emissions under the Clean Air Act, one that requires a reduction in emissions of GHGs from motor vehicles and another that regulates emissions of GHGs from certain large stationary sources. In addition, the EPA expanded its existing GHG emissions reporting requirements to include upstream petroleum and natural gas systems that emit 25,000 metric tons or more of CO₂ equivalent per year. These permitting and reporting requirements could lead to further regulation of GHGs by the EPA. As a distributor and transporter of natural gas, or a consumer of natural gas in its pipeline and gathering businesses, our or Enable's revenues, operating costs and capital requirements, as applicable, could be adversely affected as a result of any regulatory action that would require installation of new control technologies or a modification of its operations or would have the effect of reducing the consumption of natural gas. Likewise, incentives to conserve energy or use energy sources other than natural gas could result in a decrease in demand for our services.

Climate changes could result in more frequent and more severe weather events which could adversely affect the results of operations of our businesses.

To the extent climate changes occur, our businesses may be adversely impacted, though we believe any such impacts are likely to occur very gradually and hence would be difficult to quantify with specificity. To the extent global climate change results in warmer temperatures in our service territories, financial results from our natural gas distribution businesses could be adversely affected through lower gas sales, and our gas transmission and field services businesses could experience lower revenues. Another possible climate change is more frequent and more severe weather events, such as hurricanes or tornadoes. Since many of our facilities are located along or near the Gulf Coast, increased or more severe hurricanes or tornadoes could increase our costs to repair damaged facilities and restore service to our customers. When we cannot deliver natural gas to customers or our customers cannot receive our services, our financial results can be impacted by lost revenues, and we generally must seek approval from regulators to recover restoration costs. To the extent we are unable to recover those costs, or if higher rates resulting from our recovery of such costs result in reduced demand for our services, our future financial results may be adversely impacted.

Aging infrastructure may lead to increased costs and disruptions in operations that could negatively impact our financial results.

We have risks associated with aging infrastructure assets. The age of certain of our assets may result in a need for replacement, or higher level of maintenance costs as a result of our risk based federal and state compliant integrity management

programs. Failure to achieve timely recovery of these expenses could adversely impact revenues and could result in increased capital expenditures or expenses.

The operation of our facilities depends on good labor relations with our employees.

Several of our businesses have entered into and have in place collective bargaining agreements with different labor unions. There are six separate bargaining units in CERC, each with a unique collective bargaining agreement. These contracts will be renegotiated over the next two years. Any failure to reach an agreement on new labor contracts or to negotiate these labor contracts might result in strikes, boycotts or other labor disruptions. These potential labor disruptions could have a material adverse effect on our businesses, results of operations and/or cash flows. Labor disruptions, strikes or significant negotiated wage and benefit increases, whether due to union activities, employee turnover or otherwise, could have a material adverse effect on our businesses, results of operations and/or cash flows.

Our businesses will continue to have to adapt to technological change and may not be successful or may have to incur significant expenditures to adapt to technological change.

We operate in businesses that require sophisticated data collection, processing systems, software and other technology. Some of the technologies supporting the industries we serve are changing rapidly. We expect that new technologies will emerge or grow that may be superior to, or may not be compatible with, some of our existing technologies, and may require us to make significant expenditures so that we can continue to provide cost-effective and reliable methods of energy delivery.

Our future success will depend, in part, on our ability to anticipate and adapt to technological changes in a cost-effective manner and to offer, on a timely basis, reliable services that meet customer demands and evolving industry standards. If we fail to adapt successfully to any technological change or obsolescence, or fail to obtain access to important technologies or incur significant expenditures in adapting to technological change, our businesses, operating results and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

Our or Enable's merger and acquisition activities may not be successful or may result in completed acquisitions that do not perform as anticipated.

From time to time, we and Enable have made and may continue to make acquisitions of businesses and assets. However, suitable acquisition candidates may not continue to be available on terms and conditions we or Enable, as the case may be, find acceptable. In addition, any completed or future acquisitions involve substantial risks, including the following:

- acquired businesses or assets may not produce revenues, earnings or cash flow at anticipated levels;
- acquired businesses or assets could have environmental, permitting or other problems for which contractual protections prove inadequate;
- we or Enable may assume liabilities that were not disclosed to us, that exceed our estimates, or for which our rights to indemnification from the seller are limited:
- we or Enable may be unable to integrate acquired businesses successfully and realize anticipated economic, operational and other benefits in a timely manner, which could result in substantial costs and delays or other operational, technical or financial problems; and
- acquisitions, or the pursuit of acquisitions, could disrupt ongoing businesses, distract management, divert resources and make it difficult to maintain current business standards, controls and procedures.

We are involved in numerous legal proceedings, the outcome of which are uncertain, and resolutions adverse to us could negatively affect our financial results.

We are subject to numerous legal proceedings, the most significant of which are summarized in Footnote 13 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties, and we cannot predict the outcome of individual matters with assurance. Final resolution of these matters may require additional expenditures over an extended period of time that may be in excess of established reserves and may have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Character of Ownership

We own our principal properties in fee. Most of our gas mains are located, pursuant to easements and other rights, on public roads or on land owned by others.

Natural Gas Distribution

For information regarding the properties of our Natural Gas Distribution business segment, please read "Business — Our Business — Natural Gas Distribution — Assets" in Item 1 of this report, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Energy Services

For information regarding the properties of our Energy Services business segment, please read "Business — Our Business — Energy Services — Assets" in Item 1 of this report, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Midstream Investments

For information regarding the properties of our Midstream Investments business segment, please read "Business — Our Business — Midstream Investments" in Item 1 of this report, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

For a discussion of material legal and regulatory proceedings affecting us, please read "Business — Regulation" and "Business — Environmental Matters" in Item 1 of this report, "Management's Narrative Analysis of Results of Operations — Liquidity and Capital Resources — Regulatory Matters" in Item 7 of this report and Note 13(d) to our consolidated financial statements, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

All of the 1,000 outstanding shares of CERC Corp.'s common stock are held by Utility Holding, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of CenterPoint Energy.

We paid dividends of \$405 million to our parent in 2014. No dividends were paid to our parent in 2013 or 2012.

Our revolving credit facility limits our debt as a percentage of total capitalization to 65%. This covenant could restrict our ability to distribute dividends.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The information called for by Item 6 is omitted pursuant to Instruction I(2) to Form 10-K (Omission of Information by Certain Wholly Owned Subsidiaries).

Item 7. Management's Narrative Analysis of Results of Operations

The following narrative analysis should be read in combination with our consolidated financial statements and notes contained in Item 8 of this report.

Background

We own and operate natural gas distribution systems. A wholly owned subsidiary of CERC Corp. offers variable and fixed-price physical natural gas supplies primarily to commercial and industrial customers and electric and gas utilities. As of December 31, 2014, CERC Corp. also owned approximately 55.4% of the limited partner interests in Enable Midstream Partners, LLC (Enable), which owns, operates and develops natural gas and crude oil infrastructure assets. We are an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of CenterPoint Energy, Inc. (CenterPoint Energy).

Business Segments

Because we are an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of CenterPoint Energy, our determination of reportable segments considers the strategic operating units under which CenterPoint Energy manages sales, allocates resources and assesses performance of various products and services to wholesale or retail customers in differing regulatory environments. In this section, we discuss our results on a consolidated basis and individually for each of our business segments. We also discuss our liquidity, capital resources and critical accounting policies. The results of our business operations are significantly impacted by weather, customer growth, economic conditions, cost management, competition, rate proceedings before regulatory agencies and other actions of the various regulatory agencies to which we are subject. Our natural gas distribution services are subject to rate regulation. A summary of our reportable business segments as of December 31, 2014 is set forth below:

Natural Gas Distribution

We own and operate our regulated natural gas distribution business (NGD), which engages in intrastate natural gas sales to, and natural gas transportation for, approximately 3.4 million residential, commercial and industrial customers in Arkansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, Oklahoma and Texas.

Energy Services

Our operations also include non-rate regulated natural gas sales to, and transportation services for, commercial and industrial customers in 23 states in the central United States.

Midstream Investments

We have a significant equity investment in Enable, an unconsolidated subsidiary that owns, operates and develops natural gas and crude oil assets. Our Midstream Investments segment includes equity earnings associated with the operations of Enable and a 0.1% interest in Southeast Supply Header, LLC (SESH) owned by CERC.

Other Operations

Our other operations business segment includes unallocated corporate costs and inter-segment eliminations.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Factors Influencing Our Businesses

We are an energy delivery company. The majority of our revenues are generated from the sale of natural gas by our subsidiaries. To assess our financial performance, our management primarily monitors operating income and cash flows from our business segments. Within these broader financial measures, we monitor margins, operation and maintenance expense, interest expense, capital spending and working capital requirements. In addition to these financial measures we also monitor a number of variables that management considers important to the operation of our business segments, including the number of customers, throughput, use per customer, commodity prices and heating and cooling degree days. We also monitor system reliability, safety factors and customer satisfaction to gauge our performance.

To the extent adverse economic conditions affect our suppliers and customers, results from our energy delivery businesses may suffer. Reduced demand and lower energy prices could lead to financial pressure on some of our customers who operate within the energy industry. Also, adverse economic conditions, coupled with concerns for protecting the environment, may cause consumers to use less energy or avoid expansions of their facilities, resulting in less demand for our services.

Performance of our Natural Gas Distribution business segment is significantly influenced by the number of customers and energy usage per customer. Weather conditions can have a significant impact on energy usage, and we compare our results on a weather adjusted basis. In 2012, every state in which we distribute natural gas had the warmest winter on record. In 2013, we experienced a colder than normal spring and very cold weather in November and December in Houston and all of the states in which we have gas customers. The cooler weather continued into 2014 and throughout the year, resulting in a colder than normal January and February and milder temperatures for the rest of the year, including the summer months, in the Houston area. Long term national trends indicate customers have reduced their energy consumption, and reduced consumption can adversely affect our results. However, due to more affordable energy prices and continued economic improvement in the areas we serve, the trend toward lower usage has slowed in some of the areas we serve. In addition, in many of our service areas, particularly in the Houston area and in Minnesota, we have benefited from a growth in the number of customers that also tends to mitigate the effects of reduced consumption. We anticipate that this trend will continue as the regions' economies continue to grow. The profitability of our businesses is influenced significantly by the regulatory treatment we receive from the various state and local regulators who set our gas distribution rates.

Our Energy Services business segment contracts with customers for transportation, storage and sales of natural gas on an unregulated basis. Its operations serve customers in the central United States. The segment benefits from favorable price differentials, either on a geographic basis or on a seasonal basis. While this business utilizes financial derivatives to hedge its exposure to price movements, it does not engage in speculative or proprietary trading and maintains a low value at risk level, or VaR, to avoid significant financial exposures. In 2014, basis volatility created asset optimization revenues not experienced in many years and the extreme cold weather increased throughput and margin from our weather sensitive customers. Lower geographic and seasonal price differentials during 2013 and 2012 adversely affected results for this business segment.

The nature of our businesses requires significant amounts of capital investment, and we rely on internally generated cash, borrowings under our credit facility, proceeds from commercial paper and issuances of debt in the capital markets to satisfy these capital needs. We strive to maintain investment grade ratings for our securities in order to access the capital markets on terms we consider reasonable. A reduction in our ratings generally would increase our borrowing costs for new issuances of debt, as well as borrowing costs under our existing revolving credit facility, and may prevent us from accessing the commercial paper markets. Disruptions in the financial markets can also affect the availability of new capital on terms we consider attractive. In those circumstances, companies like us may not be able to obtain certain types of external financing or may be required to accept terms less favorable than they would otherwise accept. For that reason, we seek to maintain adequate liquidity for our businesses through existing credit facilities and prudent refinancing of existing debt.

Consistent with the regulatory treatment of such costs, we can defer the amount of pension expense that differs from the level of pension expense included in our base rates for NGD in Texas.

Factors Influencing Our Midstream Investments Segment

The results of our Midstream Investments segment are primarily dependent upon the results of Enable, which are driven primarily by the volume of natural gas that Enable gathers, processes and transports across its systems, which depends significantly on the level of production from natural gas wells connected to its systems across a number of U.S. mid-continent markets. Aggregate production volumes are affected by the overall amount of oil and gas drilling and completion activities, as production must be maintained or increased by new drilling or other activity, because the production rate of oil and gas wells declines over time.

Oil and gas producers' willingness to engage in new drilling is determined by a number of factors, the most important of which are the prevailing and projected prices of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil, the cost to drill and operate a well, the availability and cost of capital and environmental and government regulations. Prices of natural gas, crude oil, and NGLs have historically experienced periods of significant volatility. Enable's results are also impacted by commodity price differentials between receipt and delivery points on its systems across the various markets that it serves. Enable has attempted to mitigate the impact of commodity prices on its business by entering into hedges, focusing on contracting fee-based business, and converting existing commodity-based contracts to fee-based contracts. Recently, the prices of crude oil, NGLs and natural gas have declined significantly. Should lower commodity prices persist, Enable's future volumes and cash flows may be negatively impacted. The level of drilling is expected to positively correlate with long-term trends in commodity prices. Similarly, production levels nationally and regionally generally tend to positively correlate with drilling activity.

Over the past several years, there has been a fundamental shift in U.S. natural gas and crude oil production towards tight gas formations and shale plays. The emergence of these plays and advancements in technology have been crucial factors that have allowed producers to efficiently extract significant volumes of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil. Recently, declining crude oil and natural gas liquids prices have resulted in current and anticipated decreases in crude oil and natural gas drilling activity. Should lower prices and producer activity persist for a sustained period, Enable's future volumes and cash flows may be negatively impacted. To maintain and increase throughput volumes on its systems, Enable must continue to contract its capacity to shippers, including producers and marketers. Enable's transportation and storage systems compete for customers based on the type of service a customer needs, operating flexibility, receipt and delivery points and geographic flexibility and available capacity and price. To maintain and increase Enable's transportation and storage volumes, it must continue to contract its capacity to shippers, including producers, marketers, LDCs, power generators and industrial end-users.

Natural gas continues to be a critical component of energy supply and demand in the United States. Over the long term, Enable's management believes that the prospects for continued natural gas demand are favorable and will be driven by population and economic growth, as well as the continued displacement of coal-fired electricity generation by natural gas-fired electricity generation due to the low prices of natural gas and stricter government environmental regulations on the mining and burning of coal. According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA), demand for natural gas in the electric power sector is projected to increase from approximately 9.3 Tcf in 2012 to approximately 11.2 Tcf in 2040, with a portion of the growth attributable to the retirement of 50 gigawatts of coal-fired capacity by 2020. The EIA also projects that natural gas consumption in the industrial sector will be higher due to the rejuvenation of the industrial sector as it benefits from low natural gas prices. However, the EIA expects growth in natural gas consumption for power generation and in the industrial sector to be partially offset by decreased usage in the residential sector. Enable's management believes that increasing consumption of natural gas over the long term will continue to drive demand for Enable's natural gas gathering, processing, transportation and storage services.

Enable depends on access to the capital markets to fund expansion capital expenditures. Historically, unit prices of publicly traded midstream master limited partnerships have experienced periods of volatility. In addition, because Enable's common units are yield-based securities, rising market interest rates could impact the relative attractiveness of Enable's common units to investors. Capital market volatility could limit Enable's ability to timely issue units or debt on satisfactory terms, or at all, which may limit its ability to expand its operations or make future acquisitions. Our Midstream Investments segment currently includes a 0.1% interest in SESH owned by CERC that may be contributed by CERC to Enable in the future, upon exercise of certain put or call rights under which CERC would contribute to Enable CERC's retained interest in SESH.

Significant Events

Enable Initial Public Offering. On April 16, 2014, Enable Midstream Partners, LP (Enable) completed its initial public offering (IPO) of 28,750,000 common units at a price of \$20.00 per unit, which included 3,750,000 common units sold by ArcLight Capital Partners, LLC (ArcLight) pursuant to an overallotment option that was fully exercised by the underwriters. Enable received \$464 million in net proceeds from the sale of the units, after deducting underwriting fees, structuring fees and other offering costs.

In connection with its IPO, on March 25, 2014, Enable effected a 1 for 1.279082616 reverse unit split. Immediately following the unit split, we owned 227,508,825 common units, representing a 58.3% limited partner interest in Enable. Also in connection with Enable's IPO, 139,704,916 of our common units were converted into subordinated units. The principal difference between Enable common units and subordinated units is that in any quarter during the subordination period, holders of the subordinated units are not entitled to receive any distribution of available cash until the common units have received the minimum quarterly distribution plus any arrearages in the payment of the minimum quarterly distributions. At the end of the subordination period, our subordinated units, the subordinated units will not accrue arrearages for those unpaid distributions. At the end of the subordination period, our subordinated units in Enable will be converted to common units in Enable on a one-for-one basis.

Subsequent to the IPO, Enable continues to be controlled jointly by us and OGE; each own 50% of the management rights in the general partner of Enable. We and OGE also own a 40% and 60% economic interest, respectively, in the incentive distribution rights held by the general partner of Enable.

As a result of Enable's IPO, our limited partner interest in Enable was reduced from approximately 58.3% to approximately 54.7%. We accounted for the dilution of our investment in Enable as a result of Enable's IPO as a failed partial sale of in-substance real estate. We did not receive any cash from Enable's IPO and, as such, we did not recognize a gain or loss. Our basis difference in Enable was reduced for the impact of the Enable IPO.

In accordance with the Enable formation agreements, we had certain put rights, and Enable had certain call rights, exercisable with respect to the 25.05% interest in SESH retained by us on May 1, 2013 (Closing Date), under which we would contribute our

retained interest in SESH, in exchange for a specified number of limited partner units in Enable and a cash payment, payable either from us to Enable or from Enable to us, to the extent of changes in the value of SESH subject to certain restrictions. Specifically, the rights were and are exercisable with respect to (1) a 24.95% interest in SESH (24.95% Put), which closed on May 30, 2014 as discussed below and (2) a 0.1% interest in SESH, which may be exercised no earlier than June 2015 for 25,341 common units in Enable.

On May 30, 2014, we closed our 24.95% Put and contributed to Enable its 24.95% interest in SESH in exchange for 6,322,457 common units of Enable, which increased our limited partner interest in Enable from approximately 54.7% to approximately 55.4%. No cash payment was required to be made pursuant to the Enable formation agreements in connection with our exercise of the 24.95% Put. We accounted for the contribution of our 24.95% interest in SESH to Enable in exchange for common units of Enable as a non-monetary transaction of in-substance real estate equity method investments. As such, we recorded the 6,322,457 common units at the historical cost of the contributed 24.95% interest in SESH of \$196 million and recorded no gain or loss in connection with our exercise of the 24.95% Put. As a result, our basis difference in Enable was reduced for the impact of our exercise of the 24.95% Put.

We incurred natural gas expenses, including transportation and storage costs, of \$130 million and \$123 million, during the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, for transactions with Enable occurring on or after the Closing Date.

As of December 31, 2014, we held an approximate 55.4% limited partner interest in Enable consisting of 94,126,366 common units and 139,704,916 subordinated units and a 0.1% interest in SESH. On December 31, 2014, Enable's common units closed at \$19.39 per unit on the New York Stock Exchange.

Debt Matters.

On September 9, 2014, our revolving credit facility was amended to, among other things, extend the maturity date of the commitment from September 9, 2018 to September 9, 2019. The amendment also reduced the swingline and letter of credit sub-facility, with the total commitment remaining unchanged.

CERTAIN FACTORS AFFECTING FUTURE EARNINGS

Our past earnings and results of operations are not necessarily indicative of our future earnings and results of operations. The magnitude of our future earnings and results of our operations will depend on or be affected by numerous factors including:

- state and federal legislative and regulatory actions or developments affecting various aspects of our businesses (including the businesses of Enable), including, among others, energy deregulation or re-regulation, pipeline integrity and safety, health care reform, financial reform, tax legislation and actions regarding the rates charged by our regulated businesses;
- local, state and federal legislative and regulatory actions or developments relating to the environment, including those related to global climate change;
- timely and appropriate rate actions that allow recovery of costs and a reasonable return on investment;
- the timing and outcome of any audits, disputes and other proceedings related to taxes;
- problems with regulatory approval, construction, implementation of necessary technology or other issues with respect to major capital projects that result in delays or in cost overruns that cannot be recouped in rates;
- industrial, commercial and residential growth in our service territories and changes in market demand, including the effects of energy efficiency measures and demographic patterns;
- the timing and extent of changes in commodity prices, particularly natural gas, and the effects of geographic and seasonal commodity price differentials;
- weather variations and other natural phenomena, including the impact of severe weather events on operations and capital;
- any direct or indirect effects on our facilities, operations and financial condition resulting from terrorism, cyber-attacks, data security breaches or other attempts to disrupt our businesses or the businesses of third parties, or other catastrophic events;
- the impact of unplanned facility outages;
- timely and appropriate regulatory actions allowing recovery of costs associated with any future hurricanes or natural disasters;
- changes in interest rates or rates of inflation;

- commercial bank and financial market conditions, our access to capital, the cost of such capital, and the results of our financing and refinancing efforts, including availability of funds in the debt capital markets;
- · actions by credit rating agencies;
- effectiveness of our risk management activities;
- inability of various counterparties to meet their obligations to us;
- non-payment for our services due to financial distress of our customers;
- the ability of GenOn Energy, Inc. (formerly known as RRI Energy, Inc., Reliant Energy, Inc. and Reliant Resources, Inc.), a wholly owned subsidiary of NRG Energy, Inc. (NRG), and its subsidiaries to satisfy their obligations to us, including indemnity obligations, or obligations in connection with the contractual arrangements pursuant to which we are their guarantor;
- our ability to recruit, effectively transition and retain management and key employees;
- the outcome of litigation brought by or against us;
- · our ability to control costs;
- the investment performance of CenterPoint Energy's pension and postretirement benefit plans;
- our potential business strategies, including restructurings, joint ventures and acquisitions or dispositions of assets or businesses, which we cannot assure you will be completed or will have the anticipated benefits to us;
- acquisition and merger activities involving us or our competitors;
- · future economic conditions in regional and national markets and their effect on sales, prices and costs;
- the performance of Enable, the amount of cash distributions we receive from Enable, the value of our interest in Enable and factors that may have a material impact on such performance, cash distributions and value, including certain of the factors specified above and:
 - the achievement of anticipated operational and commercial synergies and expected growth opportunities, and the successful implementation of
 its business plan;
 - competitive conditions in the midstream industry and actions taken by Enable's customers and competitors, including the extent and timing of the entry of additional competition in the markets served by Enable;
 - the timing and extent of changes in the supply of natural gas and associated commodity prices, particularly prices of natural gas and natural gas liquids (NGLs), the competitive effects of the available pipeline capacity in the regions served by Enable and the effects of geographic and seasonal commodity price differentials, including the effects of these circumstances on re-contracting available capacity on Enable's interstate pipelines;
 - the demand for natural gas, NGLs and transportation and storage services;
 - environmental and other governmental regulations, including the availability of drilling permits and the regulation of hydraulic fracturing;
 - · changes in tax status;
 - access to growth capital;
 - the availability and prices of raw materials for current and future construction projects; and
- other factors we discuss under "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of this report and in other reports we file from time to time with the SEC.

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our results of operations are affected by seasonal fluctuations in the demand for natural gas and price movements of energy commodities as well as natural gas basis differentials. Our results of operations are also affected by, among other things, the actions of various federal and state governmental authorities having jurisdiction over rates we charge, competition in our various business operations, debt service costs and income tax expense.

The following table sets forth selected financial data (in millions) for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, followed by a discussion of our consolidated results of operations based on operating income. We have provided a reconciliation of consolidated operating income to net income below.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------|----|-------|----|-------|
| | | 2014 | : | 2013 | | 2012 |
| Revenues | \$ | 6,367 | \$ | 5,522 | \$ | 4,901 |
| Expenses: | | | | | | |
| Natural gas | | 4,921 | | 3,908 | | 2,873 |
| Operation and maintenance | | 751 | | 828 | | 951 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 206 | | 230 | | 285 |
| Taxes other than income taxes | | 154 | | 155 | | 146 |
| Goodwill impairment | | _ | | _ | | 252 |
| Total | | 6,032 | | 5,121 | | 4,507 |
| Operating Income | | 335 | | 401 | | 394 |
| Interest and other finance charges | | (141) | | (154) | | (179) |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | | 308 | | 188 | | 31 |
| Step acquisition gain | | _ | | _ | | 136 |
| Other income, net | | 9 | | _ | | 1 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | | 511 | | 435 | | 383 |
| Income Tax Expense | | 188 | | 371 | | 246 |
| Net Income | \$ | 323 | \$ | 64 | \$ | 137 |

2014 Compared to 2013. We reported net income of \$323 million for 2014 compared to \$64 million for 2013. The increase in net income of \$259 million was primarily due to a \$183 million decrease in income tax expense discussed below, a \$120 million increase in equity earnings of unconsolidated affiliates and a \$13 million decrease in interest expense, which were partially offset by a \$66 million decrease in operating income (discussed below by segment).

Income Tax Expense. We reported an effective tax rate of 36.8% and 85.3% for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The effective tax rate of 85.3% for 2013 is primarily attributable to a net \$198 million charge to deferred tax expense due to the formation of Enable. For more information, see Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements.

2013 Compared to 2012. We reported net income of \$64 million for 2013 compared to \$137 million for 2012. The decrease in net income of \$73 million was primarily due to to a \$136 million non-cash step acquisition gain related to the acquisition of an additional 50% interest in Waskom in 2012 and a \$125 million increase in income tax expense discussed below. These decreases were partially offset by a \$157 million increase in equity earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, a \$25 million decrease in interest expense and a \$7 million increase in operating income (discussed below by segment). Operating income in 2012 included a \$252 million non-cash goodwill impairment charge.

Income Tax Expense. We reported an effective tax rate of 85.3% for 2013 compared to 64.2% for the same period in 2012. Our effective tax rate for 2013 increased by 21.1% primarily as a result of the formation of Enable with deferred tax expense of \$225 million related to the book-to-tax basis difference for contributed non-tax deductible goodwill and a tax benefit of \$27 million associated with the remeasurement of state deferred taxes at formation. In addition, we recognized a tax benefit of \$2 million based on the settlement with the Internal Revenue Service of outstanding tax claims for the 2002 and 2003 audit cycles. Our effective tax rate for 2013 was approximately 40.2% excluding the tax effects from the adjustments described above.

Our effective tax rate for 2012 of 64.2% was primarily impacted by an increase in tax expense of \$88 million related to the non-tax deductible impairment of goodwill of \$252 million. Our effective tax rate for 2012 was approximately 41.2% excluding the tax effects from the adjustment described above.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS BY BUSINESS SEGMENT

The following table presents operating income (loss) (in millions) for each of our business segments for 2014, 2013 and 2012. Included in revenues are intersegment sales. We account for intersegment sales as if the sales were to third parties, that is, at current market prices.

Operating Income (Loss) by Business Segment

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|----|------|----|-------|--|--|--|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | | 2012 | | | |
| Natural Gas Distribution | \$ 287 | \$ | 263 | \$ | 226 | | | |
| Energy Services | 52 | | 13 | | (250) | | | |
| Interstate Pipelines | _ | | 72 | | 207 | | | |
| Field Services | _ | | 73 | | 214 | | | |
| Other Operations | (4) | | (20) | | (3) | | | |
| Total Consolidated Operating Income | \$ 335 | \$ | 401 | \$ | 394 | | | |

Natural Gas Distribution

The following table provides summary data of our Natural Gas Distribution business segment for 2014, 2013 and 2012 (in millions, except throughput and customer data):

| | | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|--|
| | <u></u> | 2014 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| Revenues | \$ | 3,301 | \$ | 2,863 | \$ | 2,342 | |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Natural gas | | 1,961 | | 1,607 | | 1,196 | |
| Operation and maintenance | | 700 | | 667 | | 637 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 201 | | 185 | | 173 | |
| Taxes other than income taxes | | 152 | | 141 | | 110 | |
| Total expenses | | 3,014 | | 2,600 | | 2,116 | |
| Operating Income | \$ | 287 | \$ | 263 | \$ | 226 | |
| Throughput (in Bcf): | | | | | | | |
| Residential | | 197 | | 182 | | 140 | |
| Commercial and industrial | | 270 | | 265 | | 243 | |
| Total Throughput | | 467 | | 447 | | 383 | |
| Number of customers at end of period: | | | | | - | | |
| Residential | | 3,124,542 | | 3,090,966 | | 3,058,695 | |
| Commercial and industrial | | 249,272 | | 247,100 | | 246,413 | |
| Total | | 3,373,814 | | 3,338,066 | | 3,305,108 | |

2014 Compared to 2013. Our Natural Gas Distribution business segment reported operating income of \$287 million for 2014 compared to \$263 million for 2013. Operating income increased \$24 million primarily due to increased usage as a result of colder weather compared to the prior year, partially mitigated by weather hedges and weather normalization adjustments (\$16 million), rate increases (\$37 million) and increased economic activity across our footprint including the addition of approximately 36,000 customers (\$10 million). These increases were partially offset by increased contractor expense, including pipeline integrity work (\$10 million), higher depreciation and amortization (\$16 million), an increase in taxes (\$7 million), and increased other operating expenses (\$6 million). Increased expense related to energy efficiency programs (\$8 million) and increased expense related to higher gross receipt taxes (\$4 million) were offset by a corresponding increase in the related revenues.

2013 Compared to 2012. Our Natural Gas Distribution business segment reported operating income of \$263 million for 2013 compared to \$226 million for 2012. Operating income increased \$37 million primarily due to increased usage as a result of colder weather compared to the prior year, partially mitigated by weather hedges and weather normalization adjustments (\$29 million), rate increases (\$29 million), and increased economic activity across our footprint including the addition of approximately 33,000 residential customers (\$7 million). These increases were partially offset by increased operating expenses (\$6 million), higher bad debt expense (\$5 million), higher depreciation and amortization expense (\$12 million) and an increase in taxes (\$5 million), primarily attributable to property taxes. Increased expense related to energy efficiency programs (\$17 million) and increased expense related to higher gross receipt taxes (\$26 million) were offset by a corresponding increase in the related revenues.

Energy Services

The following table provides summary data of our Energy Services business segment for 2014, 2013 and 2012 (in millions, except throughput and customer data):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------|------|--------|----|--------|--|
| | | 2014 | 2013 | | | 2012 | |
| Revenues | \$ | 3,179 | \$ | 2,401 | \$ | 1,784 | |
| Expenses: | , | | | | | | |
| Natural gas | | 3,073 | | 2,336 | | 1,730 | |
| Operation and maintenance | | 47 | | 46 | | 45 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 5 | | 5 | | 6 | |
| Taxes other than income taxes | | 2 | | 1 | | 1 | |
| Goodwill impairment | | _ | | _ | | 252 | |
| Total expenses | | 3,127 | | 2,388 | | 2,034 | |
| Operating Income (Loss) | \$ | 52 | \$ | 13 | \$ | (250) | |
| Throughput (in Bcf) | | 631 | | 600 | | 562 | |
| Number of customers at end of period (1) | | 17,964 | | 17,510 | | 16,330 | |

⁽¹⁾ These numbers do not include approximately 9,700, 8,800 and 12,700 natural gas customers as of December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, that are under residential and small commercial choice programs invoiced by their host utility.

2014 Compared to 2013. Our Energy Services business segment reported operating income of \$52 million compared to \$13 million for 2013. The increase in operating income of \$39 million was primarily due to a \$31 million increase from mark-to-market accounting for derivatives associated with certain natural gas purchases and sales used to lock in economic margins. A \$29 million mark-to-market gain was incurred in 2014 compared to a charge of \$2 million in 2013. The remaining increase in operating income was primarily due to improved margins resulting from weather-related optimization of existing gas transportation assets, reduced fixed costs and increased throughput and price volatility.

2013 Compared to 2012. Our Energy Services business segment reported operating income of \$13 million compared to \$2 million for 2012, excluding the goodwill impairment charge discussed below. The increase in operating income of \$11 million was primarily due to a \$14 million increase from mark-to-market accounting for derivatives associated with certain natural gas purchases and sales used to lock in economic margins. A \$2 million mark-to-market charge was incurred in 2013 compared to a charge of \$16 million for 2012. Energy Services grew both volume and customers in 2013 offsetting the impact of the lower unit margin environment.

Goodwill Impairment

A non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$252 million for our Energy Services business segment was recorded in 2012. The adverse wholesale market conditions facing our energy services business, specifically the prospects for continued low geographic and seasonal price differentials for natural gas, led to a reduction in our estimate of the fair value of goodwill associated with this reporting unit.

Interstate Pipelines

Substantially all of our Interstate Pipelines business segment was contributed to Enable on May 1, 2013. As a result, this segment did not report operating results for 2014. The following table provides summary data of our Interstate Pipelines business segment for 2013 and 2012 (in millions, except throughput data):

| | | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | |
|---|----|-------------------------|----|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | 20 | 13 (1) | | 2012 | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ | 186 | \$ | 502 | | | | |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Natural gas | | 35 | | 57 | | | | |
| Operation and maintenance | | 51 | | 153 | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 20 | | 56 | | | | |
| Taxes other than income taxes | | 8 | | 29 | | | | |
| Total expenses | | 114 | | 295 | | | | |
| Operating Income | \$ | 72 | \$ | 207 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | \$ | 7 | \$ | 26 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Transportation throughput (in Bcf) | | 482 | | 1,367 | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Represents January 2013 through April 2013 results only.

2013 Compared to 2012. Our Interstate Pipeline business segment reported operating income of \$72 million for 2013 compared to \$207 million for 2012. Substantially all of this segment was contributed to Enable on May 1, 2013. As a result, 2013 is not comparable to the prior year. Effective May 1, 2013, our equity method investment and related equity income in Enable are included in our Midstream Investments segment.

Equity Earnings. This business segment recorded equity income of \$7 million and \$26 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, from its interest in Southeast Supply Header, LLC (SESH), a jointly-owned pipeline. The decrease in equity income was primarily due to the contribution of a 24.95% interest in SESH to Enable on May 1, 2013. Beginning May 1, 2013, equity earnings related to our interest in SESH and Enable are reported as components of equity income in our Midstream Investments segment.

Field Services

Substantially all of our Field Services business segment was contributed to Enable on May 1, 2013. As a result, this segment did not report operating results for 2014. The following table provides summary data of our Field Services business segment for 2013 and 2012 (in millions, except throughput data):

| | | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-------------------------|----|------|--|--|--|--|
| | 20: | 13 (1) | | 2012 | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ | 196 | \$ | 506 | | | | |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Natural gas | | 54 | | 122 | | | | |
| Operation and maintenance | | 45 | | 115 | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 20 | | 50 | | | | |
| Taxes other than income taxes | | 4 | | 5 | | | | |
| Total expenses | | 123 | | 292 | | | | |
| Operating Income | \$ | 73 | \$ | 214 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | \$ | | \$ | 5 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Gathering throughput (in Bcf) | | 252 | | 896 | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Represents January 2013 through April 2013 results only.

2013 Compared to 2012. Our Field Services business segment reported operating income of \$73 million for 2013 compared to \$214 million for 2012. Substantially all of this segment was contributed to Enable on May 1, 2013. As a result, 2013 is not comparable to the prior year. Effective May 1, 2013, our equity method investment and related equity income in Enable are included in our Midstream Investments segment.

Equity Earnings. This business segment recorded equity income of \$-0- and \$5 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, from its interest in Waskom. These amounts are included in Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates under the Other Income (Expense) caption in the Statements of Consolidated Income. From August 1, 2012 through April 30, 2013, financial results for Waskom are included in operating income. On May 1, 2013, our 100% investment in Waskom was contributed to Enable.

Midstream Investments

The following table summarizes the equity earnings of our Midstream Investments business segment for 2014 and 2013 (in millions):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------------------|----|----------|--|--|--|
| | 2014 (1) | | 2013 (2) | | | |
| Enable | \$ 303 | \$ | 173 | | | |
| SESH | 5 | | 8 | | | |
| Total | \$ 308 | \$ | 181 | | | |

⁽¹⁾ On April 16, 2014, Enable completed its initial public offering and, as a result, CenterPoint Energy's limited partner interest in Enable was reduced from approximately 58.3% to approximately 54.7%. On May 30, 2014, CenterPoint Energy contributed to Enable its 24.95% interest in SESH, which increased CenterPoint Energy's limited partner interest in Enable from approximately 54.7% to approximately 55.4% and reduced its interest in SESH to 0.1%.

⁽²⁾ Represents our 58.3% limited partner interest in Enable and our 25.05% interest in SESH for the eight months ended December 31, 2013.

Fluctuations in Commodity Prices and Derivative Instruments

For information regarding our exposure to risk as a result of fluctuations in commodity prices and derivative instruments, please read "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in Item 7A of this report.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our liquidity and capital requirements are affected primarily by our results of operations, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, tax payments, working capital needs and various regulatory actions. Our principal anticipated cash requirements for 2015 include capital expenditures of approximately \$569 million.

We expect that anticipated 2015 cash needs will be met with borrowings under our credit facility, proceeds from commercial paper, anticipated cash flows from operations, a tax refund relating to 2014 bonus depreciation and distributions from Enable. Discretionary financing or refinancing may result in the issuance of debt securities in the capital markets or the arrangement of additional credit facilities. Issuances of debt in the capital markets, funds raised in the commercial paper markets and additional credit facilities may not, however, be available to us on acceptable terms.

The following table sets forth our capital expenditures for 2014 and estimates of our capital expenditures for currently identified and planned projects for 2015 through 2019 (in millions):

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Natural Gas Distribution | \$ 525 | \$ 559 | \$ 544 | \$ 545 | \$ 550 | \$ 546 |
| Energy Services | 3 | 10 | 32 | 9 | 9 | 19 |
| Total | \$ 528 | \$ 569 | \$ 576 | \$ 554 | \$ 559 | \$ 565 |

Our capital expenditures are expected to be used for investment in infrastructure for our natural gas transmission, distribution and gathering operations. These capital expenditures are anticipated to maintain reliability and safety as well as expand our systems through value-added projects.

The following table sets forth estimates of our contractual obligations, including payments due by period (in millions):

| Contractual Obligations | Total | 2015 | 2016-2017 | 2018-2019 | 2020 and thereafter |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Long-term debt | \$ 2,469 | \$ _ | \$ 575 | \$ 641 | \$ 1,253 |
| Interest payments — long-term debt(1) | 1,390 | 126 | 222 | 153 | 889 |
| Short-term borrowings | 53 | 53 | _ | _ | _ |
| Operating leases(2) | 21 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 6 |
| Benefit obligations(3) | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Non-trading derivative liabilities | 20 | 19 | 1 | _ | _ |
| Other commodity commitments(4) | 2,728 | 696 | 1,156 | 762 | 114 |
| Total contractual cash obligations | \$ 6,681 | \$ 899 | \$ 1,961 | \$ 1,559 | \$ 2,262 |

⁽¹⁾ We calculated estimated interest payments for long-term debt as follows: for fixed-rate debt and term debt, we calculated interest based on the applicable rates and payment dates; for variable-rate debt and/or non-term debt, we used interest rates in place as of December 31, 2014. We typically expect to settle such interest payments with cash flows from operations and short-term borrowings.

- (2) For a discussion of operating leases, please read Note 13(c) to our consolidated financial statements.
- (3) We expect to contribute approximately \$7 million to our postretirement benefits plan in 2015 to fund a portion of our obligations in accordance with rate orders or to fund pay-as-you-go costs associated with the plan.
- (4) For a discussion of other commodity commitments, please read Note 13(a) to our consolidated financial statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Prior to the distribution of CenterPoint Energy's ownership in Reliant Resources, Inc. (RRI) to its shareholders, we had guaranteed certain contractual obligations of what became RRI's trading subsidiary. When the companies separated, RRI agreed to secure us against obligations under the guarantees RRI had been unable to extinguish by the time of separation. Pursuant to such agreement, as amended in December 2007, RRI (now GenOn Energy, Inc. (GenOn)) agreed to provide to us cash or letters of credit as security against our obligations under our remaining guarantees for demand charges under certain gas transportation agreements if and to the extent changes in market conditions expose us to a risk of loss on those guarantees based on an annual calculation, with any required collateral to be posted each December. The undiscounted maximum potential payout of the demand charges under these transportation contracts, which will be in effect until 2018, was approximately \$42 million as of December 31, 2014. Based on market conditions in the fourth quarter of 2014 at the time the most recent annual calculation was made under the agreement, GenOn was not obligated to post any security. If GenOn should fail to perform the contractual obligations, we could have to honor our guarantee and, in such event, any collateral provided as security may be insufficient to satisfy our obligations.

CERC Corp. has also provided a guarantee of collection of \$1.1 billion of Enable's senior notes due 2019 and 2024. This guarantee is subordinated to all our senior debt and is subject to automatic release on May 1, 2016.

The fair value of these guarantees is not material. Other than the guarantees described above and operating leases, we have no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Regulatory Matters

Cost of Service Adjustment (COSA) Rate Adjustments. In March 2008, NGD filed a request to change its rates with the Railroad Commission of Texas (Railroad Commission) and the 47 cities in its Texas Coast service territory, including a request for an annual cost of service adjustment mechanism, or COSA, that adjusts rates annually for changes in invested capital as well as certain operating expenses. In 2008, the Railroad Commission approved the implementation of rates increasing annual revenues from the Texas Coast service territory by approximately \$3.5 million and a COSA mechanism. The approved rates were contested by a coalition of nine cities and certain state agencies in an appeal to the Travis County District Court. In 2010, the district court ruled that the Railroad Commission lacked authority to impose the approved COSA mechanism both in those nine cities and in those areas in which the Railroad Commission has original jurisdiction, and also found that the commission's order lacked findings to support the inclusion of certain affiliate expenses in rates. The decision by the District Court placed at risk certain revenues collected pursuant to COSA mechanisms. The Railroad Commission and NGD appealed the court's ruling on the COSA mechanism. In October 2011, the court of appeals reversed the district court's ruling on the COSA mechanism. The cities and state agencies appealed that decision to the Texas Supreme Court. In January 2014, the Texas Supreme Court confirmed that the Railroad Commission had authority to approve the COSA rate adjustments utilized by NGD and remanded the case back to state district court. In April 2014, the district court remanded the case to the Railroad Commission to correct deficiencies in the commission's 2008 order related to certain affiliate expenses but affirming the commission's order in all other respects. The matter is currently pending at the Railroad Commission.

Minnesota Rate Proceeding. On August 2, 2013, NGD filed a general rate case in Minnesota to increase base rates by \$44.3 million (including the movement of a \$15 million energy efficiency rider into base rates), based on a rate base of \$700 million and return on equity (ROE) of 10.3%. In compliance with state law, NGD implemented interim rates reflecting \$42.9 million dollars of the requested increase for gas used on and after October 1, 2013. This rate filing is intended to recover significant capital expenditures NGD is making in Minnesota and included moving \$15 million of energy efficiency expenditures to base rates. Evidentiary hearings were held before an administrative law judge (ALJ) in January 2014. On April 9, 2014 the ALJ issued its findings of fact and recommendations, which support a \$31.6 million revenue increase based on a 9.59% ROE. In May 2014, the Minnesota Public Utility Commission (MPUC) entered an order approving a rate increase of \$33 million based on a 9.59% ROE and a 52.6% equity ratio. The MPUC also authorized the implementation of a three-year pilot revenue decoupling mechanism with an effective date of July 1, 2015. NGD implemented final rates in the fourth quarter of 2014. Since the adopted revenue increase is less than the interim revenue increase, a refund to customers, which had already been accrued, was completed in December 2014.

Houston, South Texas and Beaumont/East Texas Gas Reliability Infrastructure Programs (GRIP). NGD's Houston, South Texas and Beaumont/East Texas Divisions each submitted annual GRIP filings on March 31, 2014. For the Houston Division, we had asked that our GRIP filing to recover costs related to \$66.6 million in incremental capital expenditures that were incurred in 2013 be operationally suspended for one year so as to ensure earnings more consistent with those currently approved. For the South Texas Division, the filing is to recover costs related to \$15.9 million in incremental capital expenditures that were incurred in 2013. The increase in revenue requirements for this filing period is \$1.8 million annually based on an authorized rate of return of 8.75%. Rates were implemented for certain customers in May 2014. In those areas in which the jurisdictional deadline was extended by regulatory action, the rates were implemented in July 2014 after final approval by the Railroad Commission of Texas (Railroad Commission).

For the Beaumont/East Texas Division, the first GRIP filing is to recover costs related to \$31.4 million in incremental capital expenditures that were incurred in 2012 and 2013. The increase in revenue requirements for this filing period is \$3.0 million annually based on an authorized rate of return of 8.51%. Rates were implemented for certain customers in May 2014. In those areas in which the jurisdictional deadline was extended by regulatory action, the rates were implemented in July 2014 after final approval by the Railroad Commission.

Oklahoma Performance Based Rate Change (PBRC). In March 2014, NGD made a PBRC filing for the 2013 calendar year proposing to increase revenues by \$1.5 million. On July 3, 2014, the Oklahoma Corporation Commission approved a joint stipulation by NGD and the intervening parties resulting in a rate increase of \$0.3 million, which included an adjustment to amortize over five years \$1.5 million of expense incurred within the 2013 test year. New rates went into effect on July 3, 2014.

Arkansas Government Mandated Expenditure Surcharge Rider (GMESR). On May 1, 2014, NGD made a filing with the Arkansas Public Service Commission (APSC) requesting to increase revenue under its interim GMESR by an additional \$1.8 million. Interim rates were implemented upon filing and are subject to refund pending a final order from the APSC.

Mississippi Rate Regulation Adjustment (RRA). On May 1, 2014, NGD filed for a \$4.1 million RRA with an adjusted ROE of 9.27%. On August 5, 2014, the Mississippi Public Service Commission approved a joint stipulation for a revenue adjustment of \$2.8 million, which included an adjustment to amortize over three years \$0.5 million of expense incurred with the 2013 test year. New rates went into effect in September 2014.

Louisiana Rate Stabilization Plan (RSP). NGD made its 2014 Louisiana RSP filings with the Louisiana Public Service Commission on October 1, 2014. The North Louisiana Rider RSP filing shows a revenue deficiency of \$4.0 million, compared to the authorized ROE of 10.25%. The South Louisiana Rider RSP filing shows a revenue deficiency of \$2.3 million, compared to the authorized ROE of 10.5%. NGD began billing the revised rates in December 2014 subject to refund. On November 19, 2014, NGD sought permission to amend the prior year's South Louisiana RSP filing to use a more representative capital structure and to adjust the filing's equity banding mechanism. On December 2, 2014, NGD sought permission for similar amendments to the prior year's North Louisiana RSP filings. The Louisiana Public Service Commission has yet to take action on either request.

Minneapolis Franchise. In 2014, NGD provided natural gas distribution services to approximately 124,000 customers in Minneapolis, Minnesota under a franchise that was due to expire at the end of the year. In October 2014, the Minneapolis City Council unanimously approved a ten-year franchise agreement with NGD, effective January 1, 2015. The agreement is renewable for two additional five-year terms upon mutual consent of the parties. Also in October 2014, the Minneapolis City Council unanimously approved a newly formed Clean Energy Partnership (CEP) between the city, NGD and Xcel Energy. The CEP board includes the mayor, two council members, the city's coordinator and two senior officials from each of the utilities. The board's work plan will include new ideas to support developing renewable energy, increasing residential and business use of energy-efficiency programs and reducing the city's energy use. The new franchise agreement with NGD can be terminated by the city after five years if the city finds, through a city council vote, that NGD is not acting in good faith to support the city's clean energy goals.

Other Matters

Credit Facility

As of February 17, 2015, we had the following revolving credit facility (in millions):

| | Execution Date | Size of Facility | Utilized at February 17, 2015 | Termination Date |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Ī | September 9, 2011 | \$ 600 | \$ 248 (1) | September 9, 2019 |

(1) Represents outstanding commercial paper.

CERC Corp.'s \$600 million revolving credit facility can be drawn at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 1.50% based on CERC Corp.'s current credit ratings. The revolving credit facility contains a financial covenant which limits our consolidated debt to an amount not to exceed 65% of our consolidated capitalization.

Borrowings under the revolving credit facility are subject to customary terms and conditions. However, there is no requirement that we make representations prior to borrowings as to the absence of material adverse changes or litigation that could be expected

to have a material adverse effect. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility are subject to acceleration upon the occurrence of events of default that we consider customary. The revolving credit facility provides for customary fees, including commitment fees, administrative agent fees, fees in respect of letters of credit and other fees. The LIBOR borrowing spread and the commitment fees fluctuate based on our credit rating. We are currently in compliance with the various business and financial covenants in our revolving credit facility.

On September 9, 2014, our revolving credit facility was amended to, among other things, extend the maturity date of the commitment from September 9, 2018 to September 9, 2019. The amendment also reduced the swingline and letter of credit sub-facility, with the total commitment remaining unchanged.

CERC Corp.'s \$600 million revolving credit facility backstops its \$600 million commercial paper program. As of December 31, 2014, CERC Corp. had \$341 million of outstanding commercial paper.

Securities Registered with the SEC

We have filed a shelf registration statement with the SEC registering an indeterminate principal amount of our senior debt securities.

Temporary Investments

As of February 17, 2015, we had no external temporary investments.

Money Pool

We participate in a money pool through which we and certain of our affiliates can borrow or invest on a short-term basis. Funding needs are aggregated and external borrowing or investing is based on the net cash position. The net funding requirements of the money pool are expected to be met with borrowings by CenterPoint Energy under its revolving credit facility or the sale by CenterPoint Energy of its commercial paper. At February 17, 2015, we had no investment in or borrowings from the money pool. The money pool may not provide sufficient funds to meet our cash needs.

Impact on Liquidity of a Downgrade in Credit Ratings

The interest on borrowings under our credit facilities is based on our credit rating. As of February 17, 2015, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's), Standard & Poor's Ratings Services (S&P), a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, and Fitch, Inc. (Fitch) had assigned the following credit ratings to our senior unsecured debt:

| N | Moody's | | S&P | Fitch | | | | |
|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Rating | Outlook (1) | Rating | Outlook (2) | Rating | Outlook (3) | | | |
| Baa2 | Stable | A- | Stable | BBB | Stable | | | |

- (1) A Moody's rating outlook is an opinion regarding the likely direction of an issuer's rating over the medium term.
- (2) An S&P rating outlook assesses the potential direction of a long-term credit rating over the intermediate to longer term.
- (3) A Fitch rating outlook indicates the direction a rating is likely to move over a one- to two-year period.

We cannot assure you that the ratings set forth above will remain in effect for any given period of time or that one or more of these ratings will not be lowered or withdrawn entirely by a rating agency. We note that these credit ratings are included for informational purposes and are not recommendations to buy, sell or hold our securities and may be revised or withdrawn at any time by the rating agency. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating. Any future reduction or withdrawal of one or more of our credit ratings could have a material adverse impact on our ability to obtain short- and long-term financing, the cost of such financings and the execution of our commercial strategies.

A decline in credit ratings could increase borrowing costs under our \$600 million revolving credit facility. If our credit ratings had been downgraded one notch by each of the three principal credit rating agencies from the ratings that existed at December 31, 2014, the impact on the borrowing costs under our credit facility would have been immaterial. A decline in credit ratings would also increase the interest rate on long-term debt to be issued in the capital markets and could negatively impact our ability to complete

capital market transactions and to access the commercial paper market. Additionally, a decline in credit ratings could increase cash collateral requirements and reduce earnings of our Natural Gas Distribution and Energy Services business segments.

We and our subsidiaries purchase natural gas from one of our suppliers under supply agreements that contain an aggregate credit threshold of \$140 million based on CERC Corp.'s S&P senior unsecured long-term debt rating of A-. Under these agreements, we may need to provide collateral if the aggregate threshold is exceeded or if the S&P senior unsecured long-term debt rating is downgraded below BBB+.

CenterPoint Energy Services, Inc. (CES), our wholly owned subsidiary operating in our Energy Services business segment, provides natural gas sales and services primarily to commercial and industrial customers and electric and gas utilities throughout the central and eastern United States. In order to economically hedge its exposure to natural gas prices, CES uses derivatives with provisions standard for the industry, including those pertaining to credit thresholds. Typically, the credit threshold negotiated with each counterparty defines the amount of unsecured credit that such counterparty will extend to CES. To the extent that the credit exposure that a counterparty has to CES at a particular time does not exceed that credit threshold, CES is not obligated to provide collateral. Mark-to-market exposure in excess of the credit threshold is routinely collateralized by CES. As of December 31, 2014, the amount posted as collateral aggregated approximately \$83 million. Should the credit ratings of CERC Corp. (as the credit support provider for CES) fall below certain levels, CES would be required to provide additional collateral up to the amount of its previously unsecured credit limit. We estimate that as of December 31, 2014, unsecured credit limits extended to CES by counterparties aggregated \$308 million, and \$1 million of such amount was utilized.

Pipeline tariffs and contracts typically provide that if the credit ratings of a shipper or the shipper's guarantor drop below a threshold level, which is generally investment grade ratings from both Moody's and S&P, cash or other collateral may be demanded from the shipper in an amount equal to the sum of three months' charges for pipeline services plus the unrecouped cost of any lateral built for such shipper. If the credit ratings of CERC Corp. decline below the applicable threshold levels, CERC Corp. might need to provide cash or other collateral of as much as \$160 million as of December 31, 2014. The amount of collateral will depend on seasonal variations in transportation levels.

Cross Defaults

Under CenterPoint Energy's revolving credit facility, a payment default on, or a non-payment default that permits acceleration of, any indebtedness for borrowed money and certain other specified types of obligations (including guarantees) exceeding \$75 million by us will cause a default. In addition, three outstanding series of CenterPoint Energy's senior notes, aggregating \$750 million in principal amount as of December 31, 2014, provide that a payment default by us in respect of, or an acceleration of, borrowed money and certain other specified types of obligations (including guarantees), in the aggregate principal amount of \$50 million, will cause a default. A default by CenterPoint Energy would not trigger a default under our debt instruments or revolving credit facility.

Possible Acquisitions, Divestitures and Joint Ventures

From time to time, we consider the acquisition or the disposition of assets or businesses or possible joint ventures or other joint ownership arrangements with respect to assets or businesses. Any determination to take action in this regard will be based on market conditions and opportunities existing at the time, and accordingly, the timing, size or success of any efforts and the associated potential capital commitments are unpredictable. We may seek to fund all or part of any such efforts with proceeds from debt issuances. Debt financing may not, however, be available to us at that time due to a variety of events, including, among others, maintenance of our credit ratings, industry conditions, general economic conditions, market conditions and market perceptions.

Enable Midstream Partners

Certain of the entities contributed to Enable by us are obligated on approximately \$363 million of indebtedness owed to a wholly owned subsidiary of ours that is scheduled to mature in 2017.

Following its IPO in April 2014, Enable is expected to pay a minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.2875 per unit on its outstanding units to the extent it has sufficient cash from operations after establishment of cash reserves and payment of fees and expenses, including payments to its general partner and its affiliates (referred to as "available cash") within 45 days after the end of each quarter. On January 23, 2015, Enable declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.30875 per unit on all of its outstanding common and subordinated units for the quarter ended December 31, 2014. Accordingly, we received a cash distribution of approximately \$72 million from Enable in February 2015.

Dodd-Frank Swaps Regulation

We use derivative instruments such as physical forward contracts, swaps and options to mitigate the impact of changes in commodity prices and weather on our operating results and cash flows. Following enactment of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank) in July 2010, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) has promulgated regulations to implement Dodd-Frank's changes to the Commodity Exchange Act, including the definition of commodity-based swaps subject to those regulations. The CFTC regulations are intended to implement new reporting and record keeping requirements related to their swap transactions and a mandatory clearing and exchange-execution regime for various types, categories or classes of swaps, subject to certain exemptions, including the trade-option and end-user exemptions. Although we anticipate that most, if not all, of our swap transactions should qualify for an exemption to the clearing and exchange-execution requirements, we will still be subject to record keeping and reporting requirements. Other changes to the Commodity Exchange Act made as a result of Dodd-Frank and the CFTC's implementing regulations could increase the cost of entering into new swaps.

Other Factors that Could Affect Cash Requirements

In addition to the above factors, our liquidity and capital resources could be affected by:

- cash collateral requirements that could exist in connection with certain contracts, including our weather hedging arrangements, and gas purchases, gas price and gas storage activities of our Natural Gas Distribution and Energy Services business segments;
- acceleration of payment dates on certain gas supply contracts under certain circumstances, as a result of increased gas prices and concentration of natural gas suppliers;
- increased costs related to the acquisition of natural gas;
- increases in interest expense in connection with debt refinancings and borrowings under credit facilities;
- various legislative or regulatory actions;
- incremental collateral, if any, that may be required due to regulation of derivatives;
- the ability of GenOn and its subsidiaries to satisfy their obligations in respect of GenOn's indemnity obligations to CenterPoint Energy and its subsidiaries or in connection with the contractual obligations to a third party pursuant to which we are their guarantor;
- slower customer payments and increased write-offs of receivables due to higher gas prices or changing economic conditions;
- the outcome of litigation brought by and against us;
- restoration costs and revenue losses resulting from future natural disasters such as hurricanes and the timing of recovery of such restoration costs; and
- · various other risks identified in "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of this report.

Certain Contractual Limits on Our Ability to Issue Securities and Borrow Money

Our revolving credit facility limits our debt as a percentage of our total capitalization to 65%.

Relationship with CenterPoint Energy

We are an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of CenterPoint Energy. As a result of this relationship, the financial condition and liquidity of our parent company could affect our access to capital, our credit standing and our financial condition.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A critical accounting policy is one that is both important to the presentation of our financial condition and results of operations and requires management to make difficult, subjective or complex accounting estimates. An accounting estimate is an approximation made by management of a financial statement element, item or account in the financial statements. Accounting estimates in our historical consolidated financial statements measure the effects of past business transactions or events, or the present status of an asset or liability. The accounting estimates described below require us to make assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time the estimate is made. Additionally, different estimates that we could have used or changes in an accounting estimate that are reasonably likely to occur could have a material impact on the presentation of our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. The circumstances that make these judgments difficult, subjective and/or complex have to do with the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. Estimates and assumptions about future events and their effects cannot be predicted with certainty. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments. These estimates may change as new events occur, as more experience is acquired, as additional information is obtained and as our operating environment changes. Our significant accounting policies are discussed in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements. We believe the following accounting policies involve the application of critical accounting estimates. Accordingly, these accounting estimates have been reviewed and discussed with the audit committee of the board of directors of CenterPoint Energy.

Accounting for Rate Regulation

Accounting guidance for regulated operations provides that rate-regulated entities account for and report assets and liabilities consistent with the recovery of those incurred costs in rates if the rates established are designed to recover the costs of providing the regulated service and if the competitive environment makes it probable that such rates can be charged and collected. Our Natural Gas Distribution business segment applies this accounting guidance. Certain expenses and revenues subject to utility regulation or rate determination normally reflected in income are deferred on the balance sheet as regulatory assets or liabilities and are recognized in income as the related amounts are included in service rates and recovered from or refunded to customers. Regulatory assets and liabilities are recorded when it is probable that these items will be recovered or reflected in future rates. Determining probability requires significant judgment on the part of management and includes, but is not limited to, consideration of testimony presented in regulatory hearings, proposed regulatory decisions, final regulatory orders and the strength or status of applications for rehearing or state court appeals. If events were to occur that would make the recovery of these assets and liabilities no longer probable, we would be required to write off or write down these regulatory assets and liabilities. At December 31, 2014, we had recorded regulatory assets of \$103 million and regulatory liabilities of \$669 million.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets, Including Identifiable Intangibles, Goodwill and Equity Method Investments

We review the carrying value of our long-lived assets, including identifiable intangibles, goodwill and equity method investments whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that such carrying values may not be recoverable, and at least annually for goodwill as required by accounting guidance for goodwill and other intangible assets. A loss in value of an equity method investment is recognized when the decline is deemed to be other than temporary. Unforeseen events and changes in market conditions could have a material effect on the value of long-lived assets, including intangibles, goodwill and equity method investments due to changes in estimates of future cash flows, interest rate and regulatory matters and could result in an impairment charge. We recorded goodwill impairment of \$-0- during 2014 and 2013, and \$252 million during 2012. We did not record material impairments to long-lived assets, including intangibles, or equity method investments during 2014, 2013, and 2012.

We performed our annual goodwill impairment test in the third quarter of 2014 and determined, based on the results of the first step, using the income approach, no impairment charge was required for any reporting unit. Our reporting units approximate our reportable segments.

Fair value is the amount at which the asset could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties and may be estimated using a number of techniques, including quoted market prices or valuations by third parties, present value techniques based on estimates of cash flows, or multiples of earnings or revenue performance measures. The fair value of the asset could be different using different estimates and assumptions in these valuation techniques.

The determination of fair value requires significant assumptions by management which are subjective and forward-looking in nature. To assist in making these assumptions, we utilized a third-party valuation specialist in both determining and testing key assumptions used in the valuation of each of our reporting units. We based our assumptions on projected financial information that we believe is reasonable; however, actual results may differ materially from those projections. These projected cash flows factor in planned growth initiatives, and for our Natural Gas Distribution reporting unit, the regulatory environment. The fair value of our

Natural Gas Distribution reporting unit significantly exceeded the carrying value. The fair value of our Energy Services reporting unit exceeded the carrying value by approximately \$50 million or approximately 14% excess fair value over the carrying value.

A key assumption in the income approach was the weighted average cost of capital of 5.5% and 5.9% applied in the valuation for Natural Gas Distributions and Energy Services, respectively. An increase in the discount rate to greater than 6.5%, a decline in long-term growth rate from 3% to 2.3%, or a decrease in the aggregate cash flows of greater than 15% could have individually triggered a step-two goodwill impairment evaluation for our Energy Services reporting unit in 2014.

Although there was not a goodwill asset impairment in our 2014 annual test, an interim impairment test could be triggered by the following: actual earnings results that are materially lower than expected, significant adverse changes in the operating environment, an increase in the discount rate, changes in other key assumptions which require judgment and are forward looking in nature, or if our market capitalization falls below book value for an extended period of time. No impairment triggers were identified subsequent to our 2014 annual test.

Unbilled Energy Revenues

Revenues related to natural gas sales and services are generally recognized upon delivery to customers. However, the determination of deliveries to individual customers is based on the reading of their meters, which is performed on a systematic basis throughout the month. At the end of each month, deliveries to customers since the date of the last meter reading are estimated and the corresponding unbilled revenue is estimated. Unbilled natural gas sales are estimated based on estimated purchased gas volumes, estimated lost and unaccounted for gas and tariffed rates in effect. As additional information becomes available, or actual amounts are determinable, the recorded estimates are revised. Consequently, operating results can be affected by revisions to prior accounting estimates.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

See Note 2(n) to our consolidated financial statements, incorporated herein by reference, for a discussion of new accounting pronouncements that affect is.

OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS

Pension Plans. As discussed in Note 6(a) to our consolidated financial statements, we participate in CenterPoint Energy's qualified and non-qualified pension plans covering substantially all employees. The expected pension cost for 2015 is \$26 million, of which we expect \$16 million to impact pre-tax earnings, based on an expected return on plan assets of 6.50% and a discount rate of 4.05% as of December 31, 2014. We recorded pension expense of \$29 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. Future changes in plan asset returns, assumed discount rates and various other factors related to the pension plans will impact our future pension expense. We cannot predict with certainty what these factors will be in the future.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Impact of Changes in Interest Rates and Energy Commodity Prices

We are exposed to various market risks. These risks arise from transactions entered into in the normal course of business and are inherent in our consolidated financial statements. Most of the revenues and income from our business activities are affected by market risks. Categories of market risk include exposure to commodity prices through non-trading activities and interest rates. A description of each market risk is set forth below:

- Commodity price risk results from exposures to changes in spot prices, forward prices and price volatilities of commodities, such as natural gas, natural gas liquids and other energy commodities.
- Interest rate risk primarily results from exposures to changes in the level of borrowings and changes in interest rates.

Management has established comprehensive risk management policies to monitor and manage these market risks. We manage these risk exposures through the implementation of our risk management policies and framework. We manage our commodity price risk exposures through the use of derivative financial instruments and derivative commodity instrument contracts. During the normal course of business, we review our hedging strategies and determine the hedging approach we deem appropriate based upon the circumstances of each situation.

Derivative instruments such as futures, forward contracts, swaps and options derive their value from underlying assets, indices, reference rates or a combination of these factors. These derivative instruments include negotiated contracts, which are referred to as over-the-counter derivatives, and instruments that are listed and traded on an exchange.

Derivative transactions are entered into in our non-trading operations to manage and hedge certain exposures, such as exposure to changes in natural gas prices. We believe that the associated market risk of these instruments can best be understood relative to the underlying assets or risk being hedged.

Interest Rate Risk

As of December 31, 2014, we had outstanding long-term debt and borrowings from affiliates that subject us to the risk of loss associated with movements in market interest rates.

Our floating-rate obligations aggregated \$529 million and \$156 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. If the floating interest rates were to increase by 10% from December 31, 2014 rates, our combined interest expense would increase by less than \$1 million annually.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, we had outstanding fixed-rate debt aggregating \$2.2 billion in principal amount and having a fair value of \$2.5 billion and \$2.4 billion, respectively. Because these instruments are fixed-rate, they do not expose us to the risk of loss in earnings due to changes in market interest rates (please read Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements). However, the fair value of these instruments would increase by approximately \$72 million if interest rates were to decline by 10% from their levels at December 31, 2014. In general, such an increase in fair value would impact earnings and cash flows only if we were to reacquire all or a portion of these instruments in the open market prior to their maturity.

Commodity Price Risk From Non-Trading Activities

We use derivative instruments as economic hedges to offset the commodity price exposure inherent in our businesses. The stand-alone commodity risk created by these instruments, without regard to the offsetting effect of the underlying exposure these instruments are intended to hedge, is described below. We measure the commodity risk of our non-trading energy derivatives using a sensitivity analysis. The sensitivity analysis performed on our non-trading energy derivatives measures the potential loss in fair value based on a hypothetical 10% movement in energy prices. At December 31, 2014, the recorded fair value of our non-trading energy derivatives was a net asset of \$47 million (before collateral), all of which is related to our Energy Services business segment. An increase of 10% in the market prices of energy commodities from their December 31, 2014 levels would have decreased the fair value of our non-trading energy derivatives net asset by \$7 million.

The above analysis of the non-trading energy derivatives utilized for commodity price risk management purposes does not include the favorable impact that the same hypothetical price movement would have on our non-derivative physical purchases and sales of natural gas to which the hedges relate. Furthermore, the non-trading energy derivative portfolio is managed to complement the physical transaction portfolio, reducing overall risks within limits. Therefore, the adverse impact to the fair value of the portfolio of non-trading energy derivatives held for hedging purposes associated with the hypothetical changes in commodity prices referenced above is expected to be substantially offset by a favorable impact on the underlying hedged physical transactions.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Stockholder of CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. Houston, Texas

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. and subsidiaries (the "Company", an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of CenterPoint Energy, Inc.) as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related statements of consolidated income, comprehensive income, stockholder's equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas March 11, 2015

STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME

| | | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-------------------------|---------------|----|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 2014 | 2013 | | 2012 | | | | |
| | | | (in millions) | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ | 6,367 | \$ 5,522 | \$ | 4,901 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural gas | | 4,921 | 3,908 | | 2,873 | | | | |
| Operation and maintenance | | 751 | 828 | | 951 | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 206 | 230 | | 285 | | | | |
| Taxes other than income taxes | | 154 | 155 | | 146 | | | | |
| Goodwill impairment | | _ | _ | | 252 | | | | |
| Total | _ | 6,032 | 5,121 | | 4,507 | | | | |
| Operating Income | _ | 335 | 401 | | 394 | | | | |
| | _ | | | | | | | | |
| Other Income (Expense): | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest and other finance charges | | (141) | (154) | | (179) | | | | |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | | 308 | 188 | | 31 | | | | |
| Step acquisition gain | | _ | _ | | 136 | | | | |
| Other, net | | 9 | _ | | 1 | | | | |
| Total | _ | 176 | 34 | | (11) | | | | |
| Income Before Income Taxes | _ | 511 | 435 | | 383 | | | | |
| Income tax expense | | 188 | 371 | | 246 | | | | |
| Net Income | \$ | 323 | \$ 64 | \$ | 137 | | | | |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----|----|---------------|----|------|--|--|
| | 2014 | | | 2013 | | 2012 | | |
| | | | | (in millions) | | | | |
| Net income | \$ | 323 | \$ | 64 | \$ | 137 | | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: | | | | | | | | |
| Adjustment to postretirement and other postemployment plans (net of tax of \$1, \$6 and | | | | | | | | |
| \$5) | | (4) | | 6 | | 6 | | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | (4) | | 6 | | 6 | | |
| Comprehensive income | \$ | 319 | \$ | 70 | \$ | 143 | | |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | | December 31, | | | | |
|---|----|--------------|----------|--------|--|--|
| | | 2014 | | 2013 | | |
| ASSETS | | (in m | illions) | | | |
| Current Assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 2 | \$ | 1 | | |
| Accounts receivable, less bad debt reserve of \$23 million and \$26 million, respectively | | 595 | | 565 | | |
| Accrued unbilled revenue | | 262 | | 311 | | |
| Accounts and notes receivable — affiliated companies | | 18 | | 44 | | |
| Inventory | | 252 | | 179 | | |
| Non-trading derivative assets | | 99 | | 24 | | |
| Taxes receivable | | _ | | 18 | | |
| Deferred income tax assets | | 1 | | 21 | | |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | | 90 | | 51 | | |
| Total current assets | | 1,319 | | 1,214 | | |
| Property, Plant and Equipment, Net | | 3,810 | | 3,436 | | |
| Other Assets: | | | | | | |
| Goodwill | | 840 | | 840 | | |
| Non-trading derivative assets | | 32 | | 10 | | |
| Notes receivable — affiliated companies | | 363 | | 363 | | |
| Investment in unconsolidated affiliates | | 4,521 | | 4,518 | | |
| Other | | 160 | | 151 | | |
| Total other assets | | 5,916 | | 5,882 | | |
| Total Assets | \$ | 11,045 | \$ | 10,532 | | |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY Current Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Short-term borrowings | \$ | 53 | \$ | 43 | | |
| Accounts payable | Ψ | 528 | Ψ | 495 | | |
| Accounts and notes payable — affiliated companies | | 228 | | 103 | | |
| Taxes accrued | | 67 | | 70 | | |
| Interest accrued | | 36 | | 36 | | |
| Customer deposits | | 80 | | 78 | | |
| Non-trading derivative liabilities | | 19 | | 17 | | |
| Other | | 137 | | 163 | | |
| Total current liabilities | | 1,148 | | 1,005 | | |
| Other Liabilities: | | , - | | , | | |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes, net | | 2,252 | | 2,093 | | |
| Non-trading derivative liabilities | | 1 | | 4 | | |
| Benefit obligations | | 111 | | 102 | | |
| Regulatory liabilities | | 669 | | 642 | | |
| Other | | 194 | | 160 | | |
| Total other liabilities | | 3,227 | | 3,001 | | |
| Long-Term Debt | | 2,469 | | 2,240 | | |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Note 13) | | | | | | |
| Stockholder's Equity | | 4,201 | | 4,286 | | |
| Total Liabilities And Stockholder's Equity | \$ | 11,045 | \$ | 10,532 | | |
| | | | | | | |

Year Ended December 31,

STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS

| | | Year Ended December 31, | | | , | | |
|---|----------|-------------------------|-----|-------------|----|-------|--|
| | | 2014 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| Cook Flows from Onewating Activities | | | (11 | n millions) | | | |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: Net income | \$ | 323 | \$ | 64 | \$ | 137 | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | , | 323 | Þ | 04 | Þ | 137 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 206 | | 230 | | 285 | |
| | | 206 | | 230 | | | |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | | | | | | 13 | |
| Deferred income taxes | | 178 | | 357 | | 245 | |
| Goodwill impairment | | _ | | _ | | 252 | |
| Step acquisition gain | | _ | | | | (136) | |
| Write-down of natural gas inventory | | 8 | | 4 | | 4 | |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, net of distributions | | (2) | | (58) | | 8 | |
| Changes in other assets and liabilities: | | - | | (220) | | | |
| Accounts receivable and unbilled revenues, net | | 7 | | (220) | | 6 | |
| Accounts receivable/payable, affiliates | | 1 | | (2) | | 5 | |
| Inventory | | (81) | | (10) | | 41 | |
| Taxes receivable | | 18 | | (18) | | 1 | |
| Accounts payable | | 17 | | 110 | | 2 | |
| Fuel cost recovery | | (41) | | 108 | | (52) | |
| Interest and taxes accrued | | (3) | | 33 | | (11) | |
| Non-trading derivatives, net | | (34) | | 4 | | 19 | |
| Margin deposits, net | | (79) | | 16 | | 53 | |
| Other current assets | | 8 | | 3 | | (10) | |
| Other current liabilities | | (6) | | 5 | | 8 | |
| Other assets | | (11) | | (18) | | (13) | |
| Other liabilities | | 11 | | 6 | | (28) | |
| Other, net | | 6 | | 10 | | 7 | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | | 535 | | 635 | | 836 | |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: | | | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures, net of acquisitions | | (512) | | (495) | | (566) | |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired | | _ | | _ | | (360) | |
| Investment in unconsolidated affiliates | | (1) | | _ | | (5) | |
| Cash contribution to Enable | | _ | | (38) | | _ | |
| Other, net | | _ | | (3) | | 8 | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (513) | | (536) | | (923) | |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: | | | | | | | |
| Increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings, net | | 10 | | 5 | | (24) | |
| Proceeds from (payments of) commercial paper, net | | 223 | | 118 | | (285) | |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | | _ | | 1,050 | | _ | |
| Payments of long-term debt | | _ | | (525) | | _ | |
| Cash paid for debt exchange | | _ | | (5) | | _ | |
| Dividends to parent | | (405) | | _ | | _ | |
| Increase (decrease) in notes payable to affiliates | | 150 | | (741) | | 396 | |
| Other, net | | 1 | | (1) | | _ | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | | (21) | | (99) | | 87 | |
| Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents | | 1 | | _ | | _ | |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of the Year | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of the Year | \$ | 2 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 1 | |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| Cash Payments: | | | | | | | |
| Interest, net of capitalized interest | \$ | 128 | \$ | 148 | \$ | 163 | |
| Income taxes (refunds), net | φ | (1) | Ψ | (5) | φ | 3 | |
| Non-cash transactions: | | (1) | | (3) | | 3 | |
| | \$ | 27 | ¢ | 21 | e | 60 | |
| Accounts payable related to capital expenditures Formation of Enable | \$ | 37 | \$ | 4,252 | \$ | 60 | |

STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

| | : | 2014 | | 2013 | | | 2012 | | |
|--|--------|------|--------|--------------------|---------|--------------|--------|----|--------|
| | Shares | | Amount | Shares | | Amount | Shares | | Amount |
| | | | | (in millions, exce | ept sha | are amounts) | | | |
| Common Stock | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | 1,000 | \$ | _ | 1,000 | \$ | _ | 1,000 | \$ | _ |
| Balance, end of year | 1,000 | | _ | 1,000 | | _ | 1,000 | | _ |
| Additional Paid-in-Capital | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | | | 2,416 | | | 2,416 | | | 2,416 |
| Other | | | 1 | | | _ | | | _ |
| Balance, end of year | | | 2,417 | | | 2,416 | | | 2,416 |
| Retained Earnings | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | | | 1,865 | | | 1,801 | | | 1,664 |
| Net income | | | 323 | | | 64 | | | 137 |
| Dividend to parent | | | (405) | | | _ | | | |
| Balance, end of year | | | 1,783 | | | 1,865 | | | 1,801 |
| Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, end of year: | | | | | | | | | |
| Adjustment to postretirement and other postemployment plans | | | 1 | | | 5 | | | (1) |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), end of year | | | 1 | | | 5 | | | (1) |
| Total Stockholder's Equity | | \$ | 4,201 | | \$ | 4,286 | | \$ | 4,216 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) Background

CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. (CERC Corp. and, together with its subsidiaries, CERC), which owns and operates natural gas distribution systems (NGD). A wholly owned subsidiary of CERC Corp. offers variable and fixed-price physical natural gas supplies primarily to commercial and industrial customers and electric and gas utilities. As of December 31, 2014, CERC Corp. also owned approximately 55.4% of the limited partner interests in Enable, which owns, operates and develops natural gas and crude oil infrastructure assets.

CERC Corp. is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of CenterPoint Energy, Inc. (CenterPoint Energy), a public utility holding company.

For a description of CERC's reportable business segments, see Note 15.

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(b) Principles of Consolidation

The accounts of CERC Corp. and its wholly owned and majority owned subsidiaries are included in CERC's consolidated financial statements. All intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation. CERC uses the equity method of accounting for investments in entities in which CERC has an ownership interest between 20% and 50% and exercises significant influence. CERC also uses the equity method for investments in which it has ownership percentages greater than 50%, when it exercises significant influence, does not have control and is not considered the primary beneficiary, if applicable.

On March 14, 2013, CenterPoint Energy entered into a Master Formation Agreement (MFA) with OGE Energy Corp. (OGE) and affiliates of ArcLight Capital Partners, LLC (ArcLight), pursuant to which CenterPoint Energy, OGE and ArcLight agreed to form Enable as a private limited partnership. On May 1, 2013, the parties closed on the formation of Enable. In connection with the closing (i) CERC Corp. converted its direct wholly owned subsidiary, CenterPoint Energy Field Services, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (CEFS), into a Delaware limited partnership that became Enable, (ii) CERC Corp. contributed to Enable its equity interests in each of CenterPoint Energy Gas Transmission Company, LLC, which has been subsequently renamed Enable Gas Transmission, LLC (EGT), CenterPoint Energy - Mississippi River Transmission, LLC, which has been subsequently renamed Enable Mississippi River Transmission, LLC (MRT), certain of its other midstream subsidiaries (Other CNP Midstream Subsidiaries), and a 24.95% interest in Southeast Supply Header, LLC (SESH and, collectively with CEFS, EGT, MRT and Other CNP Midstream Subsidiaries, CenterPoint Midstream), and (iii) OGE and ArcLight indirectly contributed 100% of the equity interests in Enogex LLC, which has been subsequently renamed Enable Oklahoma Intrastate Transmission, LLC (Enogex), to Enable.

The formation of Enable by CERC was considered a contribution of in-substance real estate to a limited partnership as the businesses are composed of, and reliant upon, substantial real estate assets and integral equipment. Real estate assets and integral equipment primarily include gas transmission pipelines, compressor station equipment, rights of way, storage and processing assets and long-term customer contracts. Accordingly, CERC did not recognize a gain or loss upon contribution and recorded its investment in Enable using the equity method of accounting based on the historical cost of the contributed assets and liabilities as of May 1, 2013 (Closing Date). Approximately \$5.8 billion of assets (which includes \$4.7 billion in property, plant and equipment, net, \$629 million in goodwill and \$197 million for the 24.95% investment in SESH) and \$1.5 billion of liabilities (which includes a term loan and the indebtedness owed to CERC of \$1.05 billion and \$363 million, respectively) were contributed by CERC Corp. CERC has the ability to significantly influence the operating and financial policies of, but not solely control, Enable and, accordingly, recorded an equity method investment, at the historical costs of net assets contributed, of \$4.3 billion in Enable on the Closing Date. Pursuant

to the MFA, CERC retained certain assets and liabilities historically held by CenterPoint Midstream such as balances relating to federal income taxes and benefit plan obligations.

Under the equity method, CERC adjusts its investment in Enable each period for contributions made, distributions received, CERC's share of Enable's comprehensive income and accretion of basis differences, as appropriate. CERC evaluates its equity method investments for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate there is a loss in value of the investment that is other than a temporary decline.

CERC's investment in Enable is considered to be a variable interest entity (VIE) because the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact Enable's economic performance does not reside with the holders of equity investment at risk. However, CERC is not considered the primary beneficiary of Enable since it does not have the power to direct the activities of Enable that are considered most significant to the economic performance of Enable.

As of December 31, 2014, CERC Corp. and OGE held approximately 55.4% and 26.3%, respectively, of the limited partner interests in Enable. Enable is controlled jointly by CERC Corp. and OGE, and each own 50% of the management rights in the general partner of Enable.

As of December 31, 2014, CERC Corp. and OGE also own a 40% and 60% interest, respectively, in the incentive distribution rights held by the general partner of Enable. Enable is expected to pay a minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.2875 per unit on its outstanding units to the extent it has sufficient cash from operations after establishment of cash reserves and payment of fees and expenses, including payments to its general partner and its affiliates, within 45 days after the end of each quarter. If cash distributions to Enable's unitholders exceed \$0.330625 per unit in any quarter, the general partner will receive increasing percentages or incentive distributions rights, up to 50%, of the cash Enable distributes in excess of that amount. In certain circumstances the general partner of Enable will have the right to reset the minimum quarterly distribution and the target distribution levels at which the incentive distributions receive increasing percentages to higher levels based on Enable's cash distributions at the time of the exercise of this reset election.

Prior to July 2012, CERC owned a 50% interest in Waskom Gas Processing Company (Waskom), a Texas general partnership, which owns and operates a natural gas processing plant and natural gas gathering assets. On July 31, 2012, CERC purchased the 50% interest that it did not already own in Waskom, as well as other gathering and related assets from a third-party for approximately \$273 million. The purchase of the 50% interest in Waskom was determined to be a business combination achieved in stages, and as such CERC recorded a pre-tax gain of approximately \$136 million on July 31, 2012, which is the result of remeasuring its original 50% interest in Waskom to fair value.

Other investments, excluding marketable securities, are carried at cost.

(c) Revenues

CERC records revenue for natural gas sales and services under the accrual method and these revenues are recognized upon delivery to customers. Natural gas sales not billed by month-end are accrued based upon estimated purchased gas volumes, estimated lost and unaccounted for gas and currently effective tariff rates.

(d) Long-lived Assets and Intangibles

CERC records property, plant and equipment at historical cost. CERC expenses repair and maintenance costs as incurred.

CERC periodically evaluates long-lived assets, including property, plant and equipment and specifically identifiable intangibles, when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of these assets may not be recoverable. The determination of whether an impairment has occurred is based on an estimate of undiscounted cash flows attributable to the assets, compared to the carrying value of the assets.

(e) Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

CERC applies the guidance for accounting for regulated operations to the Natural Gas Distribution business segment. CERC's rate-regulated subsidiaries may collect revenues subject to refund pending final determination in rate proceedings. In connection with such revenues, estimated rate refund liabilities are recorded which reflect management's current judgment of the ultimate outcomes of the proceedings.

CERC's rate-regulated businesses recognize removal costs as a component of depreciation expense in accordance with regulatory treatment. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, these removal costs of \$605 million and \$593 million, respectively, are classified as regulatory liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. In addition, a portion of the amount of removal costs that relate to asset retirement obligations has been reclassified from a regulatory liability to an asset retirement liability in accordance with accounting guidance for asset retirement obligations.

(f) Depreciation and Amortization Expense

Depreciation and amortization is computed using the straight-line method based on economic lives or regulatory-mandated recovery periods. Amortization expense includes amortization of regulatory assets and other intangibles.

(g) Capitalization of Interest and Allowance for Funds Used During Construction

Interest and allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC) are capitalized as a component of projects under construction and are amortized over the assets' estimated useful lives once the assets are placed in service. AFUDC represents the composite interest cost of borrowed funds and a reasonable return on the equity funds used for construction for subsidiaries that apply the guidance for accounting for regulated operations. During 2014, 2013 and 2012, CERC capitalized interest and AFUDC of \$1 million, \$1 million and \$2 million, respectively.

(h) Income Taxes

CERC is included in the consolidated income tax returns of CenterPoint Energy. CERC calculates its income tax provision on a separate return basis under a tax sharing agreement with CenterPoint Energy. CERC uses the asset and liability method of accounting for deferred income taxes in accordance with accounting guidance for income taxes. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. A valuation allowance is established against deferred tax assets for which management believes realization is not considered to be more likely than not. Current federal and certain state income taxes are payable to or receivable from CenterPoint Energy. CERC recognizes interest and penalties as a component of income tax expense.

(i) Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. It is the policy of management to review the outstanding accounts receivable monthly, as well as the bad debt write-offs experienced in the past, and establish an allowance for doubtful accounts. Account balances are charged off against the allowance when management determines it is probable the receivable will not be recovered. The provision for doubtful accounts in CERC's Statements of Consolidated Income for 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$20 million, \$20 million and \$15 million, respectively.

(j) Inventory

Inventory consists principally of materials and supplies and natural gas. Materials and supplies are valued at the lower of average cost or market. Materials and supplies are recorded to inventory when purchased and subsequently charged to expense or capitalized to plant when installed. Natural gas inventories of CERC's Energy Services business segment are valued at the lower of average cost or market. Natural gas inventories of CERC's Natural Gas Distribution business segment are primarily valued at weighted average cost. During 2014, 2013 and 2012, CERC recorded \$8 million, \$4 million and \$4 million, respectively, in write-downs of natural gas inventory to the lower of average cost or market.

| | <u></u> | Decen | nber 31, |
|------------------------|---------|-------|----------|
| | | 2014 | 2013 |
| | | (in m | illions) |
| Materials and supplies | \$ | 41 | \$ 34 |
| Natural gas | | 211 | 145 |
| Total inventory | \$ | 252 | \$ 179 |

(k) Derivative Instruments

CERC is exposed to various market risks. These risks arise from transactions entered into in the normal course of business. CERC utilizes derivative instruments such as physical forward contracts, swaps and options to mitigate the impact of changes in commodity prices and weather on its operating results and cash flows. Such derivatives are recognized in CERC's Consolidated Balance Sheets

at their fair value unless CERC elects the normal purchase and sales exemption for qualified physical transactions. A derivative may be designated as a normal purchase or normal sale if the intent is to physically receive or deliver the product for use or sale in the normal course of business.

CenterPoint Energy has a Risk Oversight Committee composed of corporate and business segment officers that oversees all commodity price, weather and credit risk activities, including CERC's marketing, risk management services and hedging activities. The committee's duties are to establish CERC's commodity risk policies, allocate board-approved commercial risk limits, approve use of new products and commodities, monitor positions and ensure compliance with CERC's risk management policies and procedures and limits established by CenterPoint Energy's board of directors.

CERC's policies prohibit the use of leveraged financial instruments. A leveraged financial instrument, for this purpose, is a transaction involving a derivative whose financial impact will be based on an amount other than the notional amount or volume of the instrument.

(1) Environmental Costs

CERC expenses or capitalizes environmental expenditures, as appropriate, depending on their future economic benefit. CERC expenses amounts that relate to an existing condition caused by past operations that do not have future economic benefit. CERC records undiscounted liabilities related to these future costs when environmental assessments and/or remediation activities are probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated.

(m) Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows

For purposes of reporting cash flows, CERC considers cash equivalents to be short-term, highly-liquid investments with maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase.

CERC considers distributions received from equity method investments which do not exceed cumulative equity in earnings subsequent to the date of investment to be a return on investment and classifies these distributions as operating activities in the Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows. CERC considers distributions received from equity method investments in excess of cumulative equity in earnings subsequent to the date of investment to be a return of investment and classifies these distributions as investing activities in the Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows.

(n) New Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2014-08, *Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360): Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity* (ASU 2014-08), which significantly changes the existing accounting guidance on discontinued operations. Under ASU 2014-08, only those disposals of components of an entity that represent a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results should be reported as a discontinued operation. ASU 2014-08 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2014. ASU 2014-08 should be applied to components classified as held for sale after its effective date. Early adoption is permitted, but only for disposals (or classifications as held for sale) that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued or available for issuance. The adoption is expected to reduce the number of disposals that meet the definition of a discontinued operation.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* (*Topic 606*) (ASU 2014-09), which supersedes most current revenue recognition guidance. ASU 2014-09 provides a comprehensive new revenue recognition model that requires revenue to be recognized in a manner that depicts the transfer of goods or services to a customer at an amount that reflects the consideration expected to be received in exchange for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is not permitted, and entities have the option of using either a full retrospective or a modified retrospective adoption approach. Accordingly, CERC will adopt ASU 2014-09 on January 1, 2017, and is currently evaluating the impact that this standard will have on its financial position, results of operations, cash flows and disclosures.

In November 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-16, Determining Whether the Host Contract in a Hybrid Financial Instrument Issued in the Form of a Share Is More Akin to Debt or to Equity (ASU 2014-16). ASU 2014-16 clarifies how current guidance should be interpreted in evaluating the economic characteristics and risks of a host contract in a hybrid financial instrument that is issued in the form of a share. Specifically, the amendments clarify that an entity should consider all relevant terms and features, including the embedded derivative feature being evaluated for bifurcation, in evaluating the nature of a host contract. ASU 2014-16 is effective

for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2015. CERC is currently assessing the impact, if any, that this standard will have on its financial position, results of operations, cash flows and disclosures.

In January 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-01, *Income Statement-Extraordinary and Unusual Items (Subtopic 225-20)-Simplifying Income Statement Presentation by Eliminating the Concept of Extraordinary Items* (ASU 2015-01), which eliminates the concept of extraordinary items. ASU 2015-01 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2015, and may be applied either prospectively or retrospectively. CERC will adopt ASU 2015-01 on January 1, 2016 and does not anticipate the adoption to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Management believes that other recently issued standards, which are not yet effective, will not have a material impact on CERC's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows upon adoption.

(o) Other Current Assets and Liabilities

Included in other current assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$19 million and \$4 million, respectively, of margin deposits and \$45 million and \$22 million, respectively of under-recovered gas cost. Included in other current liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$37 million and \$42 million, respectively, of over-recovered gas cost.

(p) Revisions to Prior Period Financial Statements

During the fourth quarter of 2014, CERC completed a tax basis balance sheet review and determined that certain prior period adjustments were required to accumulated deferred income taxes, current taxes accrued and tax related regulatory assets. CERC considered both the quantitative and qualitative factors within the provisions of the Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 99, Materiality, and Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements. Based on evaluation of the error, CERC has concluded that the prior period errors were immaterial to previously issued financial statements. CERC has elected to correct the identified error in the prior periods. In doing so, balances in the consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-K have been adjusted to reflect the correction in the proper periods.

CERC determined that the cumulative adjustment required, representing a decrease to retained earnings of \$17 million, related to periods prior to the year ended December 31, 2012 (the earliest period for which financial data is presented). The accompanying consolidated financial statements reflect the correction of the error as an adjustment to stockholder's equity for the earliest period presented. The adjustment to correct the error did not affect CERC's statements of consolidated income, statements of consolidated comprehensive income and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014. The adjustments affected CERC's reported balances for deferred income tax liabilities, regulatory assets, taxes accrued and stockholder's equity as reflected in the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2013 and the reported balances of retained earnings and total stockholder's equity as reflected in the statements of consolidated stockholder's equity for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. The table below illustrates the effects of the revisions on the reported balances in CERC's consolidated financial statements.

| | As Filed | | A | Adjustment | As Revised |
|--|----------|--------|----|--------------|------------|
| | | | | in millions) | |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | | |
| Other | \$ | 161 | \$ | (10) | \$ 151 |
| Total other assets | | 5,892 | | (10) | 5,882 |
| Total Assets | | 10,542 | | (10) | 10,532 |
| Taxes accrued | | 74 | | (4) | 70 |
| Total current liabilities | | 1,009 | | (4) | 1,005 |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes, net | | 2,082 | | 11 | 2,093 |
| Total other liabilities | | 2,990 | | 11 | 3,001 |
| Retained Earnings | | 1,882 | | (17) | 1,865 |
| Stockholder's Equity | | 4,303 | | (17) | 4,286 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity | | 10,542 | | (10) | 10,532 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| Retained Earnings | | 1,818 | | (17) | 1,801 |
| Stockholder's Equity | | 4,233 | | (17) | 4,216 |
| January 1, 2012 | | | | | |
| Retained Earnings | | 1,681 | | (17) | 1,664 |

(3) Property, Plant and Equipment

(a) Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment includes the following:

| | Weighted Average Useful Lives | Decen | ıber 31, | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------|----------|-------|
| | (Years) | 2014 | | 2013 |
| | | (in m | illions) | |
| Natural Gas Distribution | 33 | \$ 5,235 | \$ | 4,694 |
| Energy Services | 27 | 84 | | 82 |
| Other property | 10 | 45 | | 39 |
| Total | | 5,364 | | 4,815 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization: | | | | |
| Natural Gas Distribution | | 1,493 | | 1,324 |
| Energy Services | | 31 | | 28 |
| Other property | | 30 | | 27 |
| Total accumulated depreciation and amortization | | 1,554 | | 1,379 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | | \$ 3,810 | \$ | 3,436 |

(b) Depreciation and Amortization

The following table presents depreciation and amortization expense for 2014, 2013 and 2012:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----|------|---------------|----|------|--|--|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | | | 2012 | | |
| | | | | (in millions) | | | | |
| Depreciation expense | \$ | 195 | \$ | 218 | \$ | 267 | | |
| Amortization expense | | 11 | | 12 | | 18 | | |
| Total depreciation and amortization expense | \$ | 206 | \$ | 230 | \$ | 285 | | |

(c) Asset Retirement Obligations

A reconciliation of the changes in the asset retirement obligation (ARO) liability is as follows (in millions):

| | December 31, | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|----|------|--|--|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 101 | \$ | 135 | | |
| Accretion expense | 4 | | 4 | | |
| Revisions in estimates of cash flows | 34 | | (38) | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 139 | \$ | 101 | | |

CERC recorded asset retirement obligations associated with the removal of asbestos and asbestos-containing material in its buildings. CERC also recorded asset retirement obligations relating to gas pipelines abandoned in place. The estimates of future liabilities were developed using historical information, and where available, quoted prices from outside contractors.

The increase of \$34 million in the ARO from the revision of estimate in 2014 is primarily attributable to a reduction in the estimated service lives of steel and plastic pipe. The decrease of \$38 million in the ARO from the revision of estimate in 2013 is primarily attributable to a decrease in the future expected cash flows associated with the retirement of steel pipe. There were no material additions or settlements during the years ended December 31, 2014 or 2013.

(4) Goodwill

Goodwill by reportable business segment as of both December 31, 2014 and 2013 are as follows (in millions):

| Natural Gas Distribution | \$ 746 |
|--------------------------|-----------|
| Energy Services (1) | 83 |
| Other | 11 |
| Total | \$ 840 |

(1) Amounts presented are net of accumulated goodwill impairment charge of \$252 million.

CERC performs its goodwill impairment tests at least annually and evaluates goodwill when events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying value may not be recoverable. The impairment evaluation for goodwill is performed by using a two-step process. In the first step, the fair value of each reporting unit, which approximates the reportable business segment, is compared with the carrying amount of the reporting unit, including goodwill. The estimated fair value of the reporting unit is generally determined on the basis of discounted cash flows. If the estimated fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying amount of the reporting unit, then a second step must be completed in order to determine the amount of the goodwill impairment that should be recorded. In the second step, the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is determined by allocating the reporting unit's fair value to all of its assets and liabilities other than goodwill (including any unrecognized intangible assets) in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation. The resulting implied fair value of the goodwill that results from the application of this second step is then compared to the carrying amount of the goodwill and an impairment charge is recorded for the difference.

CERC performed its annual impairment test in the third quarter of each of 2014 and 2013 and determined, based on the results of the first step, that no impairment charge was required for any reportable segment. Other intangibles were not material as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

CERC's annual impairment test in the third quarter of 2012 resulted in a non-cash goodwill impairment charge in the amount of \$252 million for the Energy Services reportable segment. The Energy Services reporting unit fair value analysis resulted in an implied fair value of goodwill of \$83 million for this reporting unit, and as a result, the non-cash impairment charge was recorded in the third quarter of 2012. The adverse wholesale market conditions facing CERC's Energy Services business, specifically the prospects for continued low geographic and seasonal price differentials for natural gas, led to a reduction in the estimate of the fair value of goodwill associated with this reporting unit.

CERC estimated the value of the Energy Services reporting unit using an income approach. Under this approach, the fair value of the reporting unit is determined by using the present value of future expected cash flows, which are based on management projections of revenue growth, gross margin, and overall market conditions. These estimated future cash flows are then discounted using a rate that approximates the weighted average cost of capital of a market participant.

(5) Regulatory Matters

The following is a list of regulatory assets/liabilities reflected on CERC's Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

| | | December 31, | | | | | | |
|---|----|---------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 2 | 2014 | 2013 | | | | | |
| | | (in millions) | | | | | | |
| Regulatory assets in other long-term assets (1) | \$ | 103 \$ | 90 | | | | | |
| Regulatory liabilities | | (669) | (642) | | | | | |
| Net | \$ | (566) \$ | 5 (552) | | | | | |

(1) Regulatory assets that are not earning a return were not material at December 31, 2014 or 2013.

(6) Employee Benefit Plans

(a) Pension Plans

Substantially all of CERC's employees participate in CenterPoint Energy's qualified non-contributory defined benefit pension plan. Under the cash balance formula, participants accumulate a retirement benefit based upon 5% of eligible earnings and accrued interest.

CenterPoint Energy's funding policy is to review amounts annually in accordance with applicable regulations in order to achieve adequate funding of projected benefit obligations. Pension expense is allocated to CERC based on covered employees. This calculation is intended to allocate pension costs in the same manner as a separate employer plan. Assets of the plan are not segregated or restricted by CenterPoint Energy's participating subsidiaries. CERC recognized pension expense of \$27 million, \$29 million and \$32 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In addition to the plan, CERC participates in CenterPoint Energy's non-qualified benefit restoration plans, which allow participants to receive the benefits to which they would have been entitled under CenterPoint Energy's non-contributory pension plan except for federally mandated limits on qualified plan benefits or on the level of compensation on which qualified plan benefits may be calculated. The expense associated with the non-qualified pension plan was \$2 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(b) Savings Plan

CERC participates in CenterPoint Energy's qualified savings plan, which includes a cash or deferred arrangement under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Under the plan, participating employees may contribute a portion of their compensation, on a pre-tax or after-tax basis, generally up to a maximum of 50% of eligible compensation. CERC matches 100% of the first 6% of each employee's compensation contributed. The matching contributions are fully vested at all times. CenterPoint Energy allocates to CERC the savings plan benefit expense related to CERC's employees. Savings plan benefit expense was \$20 million, \$19 million and \$18 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(c) Postretirement Benefits

CERC's employees participate in CenterPoint Energy's plans, which provide certain healthcare and life insurance benefits for retired employees on both a contributory and non-contributory basis. Employees become eligible for these benefits if they have met certain age and service requirements at retirement, as defined in the plans. Under plan amendments effective in early 1999, healthcare benefits for future retirees were changed to limit employer contributions for medical coverage. Such benefit costs are accrued over the active service period of employees. CERC is required to fund a portion of its obligations in accordance with rate orders. All other obligations are funded on a pay-as-you-go basis.

The net postretirement benefit cost includes the following components:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----|------------|-----|----|-----|--|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | | 20 | 012 | |
| | | | (in millio | ns) | | | |
| Service cost — benefits earned during the period | \$ | 1 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 1 | |
| Interest cost on accumulated benefit obligation | | 5 | | 5 | | 5 | |
| Expected return on plan assets | | (1) | | (1) | | (1) | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | | 1 | | 1 | | 2 | |
| Amortization of net loss | | 1 | | 2 | | 3 | |
| Net postretirement benefit cost | \$ | 7 | \$ | 8 | \$ | 10 | |

CERC used the following assumptions to determine net postretirement benefit costs:

| | Yea | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | | | |
| Discount rate | 4.75% | 3.90% | 4.80% | | | |
| Expected return on plan assets | 3.10% | 3.10% | 3.10% | | | |

In determining net periodic benefits cost, CERC uses fair value, as of the beginning of the year, as its basis for determining expected return on plan assets.

Following are reconciliations of CERC's beginning and ending balances of its postretirement benefit plan's benefit obligation, plan assets and funded status for 2014 and 2013. The measurement dates for plan assets and obligations were December 31, 2014 and 2013.

| Change in Plan Assets Change in Plan assets, beginning of year \$ 26 \$ 24 Benefits paid (13) (13) (13) Employer contributions 8 9 Participant contributions 4 4 Actual investment return 1 2 Plan assets, end of year \$ 26 \$ Plan assets, end of year \$ 26 \$ 26 Amounts Recognized in Balance Sheets \$ 7 7 Current liabilities-other of the Shipations (93) (83) Net liability, end of year \$ (10) \$ (90) Actuarial Assumptions \$ (10) \$ (90) Actuarial Assumptions \$ (10) \$ (90) Expected long-term return on assets 4.00% 3.10% Expected long-term return on assets 4.00% 3.10% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Prost 65 7.25% 7.00% Prescription cost trend rate assumed for the next year 6.50% 7.0 | | | December 31, | | |
|--|--|-------------|---|----|-------|
| Change in Benefit Obligation \$ 16 \$ 133 Service cost 1 1 1 Interest cost 5 5 5 Benefit paid (13) (13) (13) Participant contributions 4 4 4 4 Mediciare reimbursement 2 2 2 2 Plan amendment 10 2 2 2 Cutralinent (2) 2 2 2 Actuarial (gain) los 12 (16) 1 Actuarial (gain) los 12 (16) 1 Actuarial (gain) por year 3 16 1 1 Change in Plan Asses 9 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | | | 2014 | | 2013 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation, beginning of year \$ 116 \$ 133 Service cost 1 1 Interest cost 5 5 Benefits paid (13) (13) Participant contributions 4 4 Medicar reimbursement 2 2 Plan amendment 1 1 Curtailment (2) - Actuarial (gain) loss 12 (16) Accumulated benefit obligation, end of year 12 (16) Accumulated benefit obligation, end of year 8 26 16 Plan assets, beginning of year 8 2 2 Plan assets, beginning of year 8 9 2 Participant contributions 8 9 2 Participant contributions 4 4 4 Actual investment return 1 2 2 Participant contributions 5 26 2 2 Participant contributions 4 4 4 4 4 4 | | (in mi | (in millions, except for actuarial assu | | |
| Service cost 1 1 Interest cost 5 5 Benefits paid (13) (13) Participant contributions 4 4 Medicare reimbursement 2 2 Plan amendment 1 | | 0 | 11.6 | Φ. | 122 |
| Interest cost 5 5 Benefits paid (13) (13) Participant contributions 4 4 Mediciare reimbursement 2 2 Plan amendment 1 — Curtailment (2) — Actuarial (gain) los 12 (16) Actuarial (benefit obligation, end of year 5 126 9 116 Curtail benefit obligation, end of year 8 26 24 4 Curtail paining of year 8 26 24 4 Benefits paid (13)< | | \$ | | \$ | |
| Benefits paid (13) (13) Participant contributions 4 4 Medicar reimbursement 2 2 Plan amendment 1 - Curtailment (2) - Cutrailing (s) 12 (16) Actuarial (gain) loss 12 (16) Actuarial plan Assets - 1 (16) Plan assets, beginning of year \$ 26 \$ 2.0 Plan assets, beginning of year 8 9 9 9 13 (13) (14) | | | | | - |
| Participant contributions 4 4 Mediciare reimbursement 2 2 Plan amendment 1 — Curtailment (2) — Actuarial (gain) loss 12 (10) Actuarial (gain) loss 12 (10) Actuarial park sets 12 (10) Change in Plan Assets — — Plan assets, beginning of year \$ 26 \$ 24 Benefits paid (13) (13) (13) (13) (13) Employer contributions 8 9 9 26 \$ 24 | 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 | | | | |
| Mediciare reimbursement 2 2 Plan amendment 1 — Cutraliment (2) — Actuarial (agin) loss 12 (16) Actuarial (agin) loss 12 (16) Actuarial (agin) loss 12 (16) Change in Plan Assets 12 (16) Plan assets, beginning of year \$ 26 24 Benefits paid (13) (13) (13) (13) Employer contributions 8 9 9 Participant contributions 4 4 4 Actual investment return 1 2 2 Participant contributions 4 <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | • | | | | |
| Plan amendment 1 — Curtailment (2) — Actuarial (gain) loss 12 (16) Accumilated benefit obligation, end of year \$ 126 \$ 116 Change in Plan Assets *** *** \$ 26 \$ 24 Benefits paid (13) (13) (13) Employer contributions 8 9 Participant contributions 4 4 Actual investment return 1 2 Plan assets, end of year \$ 26 \$ 26 Amounts Recognized in Balance Sheets ** 4 4 Current liabilities-other of year \$ 70 \$ 70 70 Other liabilities-benefit obligations (93) (83) 83 Net liability, end of year \$ (10) \$ (20) \$ (20) Actuarial Assumptions \$ (10) \$ (20) \$ (20) Actuarial Assumptions \$ (10) \$ (20) \$ (20) Discount rate \$ (20) \$ (20) \$ (20) Actuarial Assumptions | • | | | | |
| Curtailment (2) — Actuarial (gain) loss 12 (16) Accumulated benefit obligation, end of year \$ 126 \$ 116 Change in Plan Assets Plan assets, beginning of year \$ 26 \$ 24 Benefits paid 13 (13) Employer contributions 8 9 Participant contributions 4 4 Actual investment return 1 2 Plan assets, end of year \$ 26 2 26 Amounts Recognized in Balance Sheets 7 (7) Current liabilities-other of Unities of Un | | | | | 2 |
| Actuarial (gain) loss 12 (16) Accumulated benefit obligation, end of year \$ 126 \$ 136 Change in Plan Assets Plan assets, beginning of year \$ 26 \$ 24 Benefits paid (13) (13) Employer contributions 8 9 Participant contributions 4 4 Actual investment return 1 2 Plan assets, end of year \$ 26 26 Participant contributions \$ 26 26 Actual investment return \$ 26 26 Plan assets, end of year \$ 26 26 Amounts Recognized in Balance Sheets \$ 26 26 Current liabilities-other flobligations (93) (83) Net liability, end of year \$ 30 (90) Actuarial Assumption \$ 300 400 Expected long-term return on assets 4.00 3.10% Expected long-term return on assets 3.90 4.75% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Pro6 5 8.50 7.00% | | | _ | | _ |
| Accumulated benefit obligation, end of year \$ 126 \$ 116 Change in Plan Assets \$ 26 \$ 24 Plan assets, beginning of year \$ 26 \$ 24 Benefits paid (13) (13) Employer contributions 8 9 Participant contributions 4 4 Actual investment return 1 2 Plan assets, end of year \$ 26 \$ 26 Participant contributions \$ 26 \$ 26 Actual investment return \$ 26 \$ 26 Plan assets, end of year \$ 26 \$ 26 Amounts Recognized in Balance Sheets \$ 7 \$ 26 Cutrent liabilities-other \$ 9 \$ 7 \$ 7 Other liabilities-benefit obligations \$ 9 \$ 9 \$ 9 Net liability, end of year \$ 9 \$ 9 \$ 9 Actuarial Assumptions \$ 100 \$ 90 Discount rate \$ 3,00% \$ 4,75% Expected long-term return on assets \$ 1,00% \$ 1,00% Expected long-term return or assets | | | | | _ |
| Change in Plan Assets Change in Plan Assets Change in Plan Assets Change in Plan Assets Change in Plan Assets, beginning of year \$ 26 \$ 24 \$ 24 \$ 24 \$ 24 \$ 24 \$ 24 \$ 24 | Actuarial (gain) loss | | 12 | | (16) |
| Plan assets, beginning of year \$ 26 \$ 24 Benefits paid (13) (13) Employer contributions 8 9 Participant contributions 4 4 Actual investment return 1 2 Plan assets, end of year \$ 26 \$ 26 Amounts Recognized in Balance Sheets 5 26 Current liabilities-other \$ (7) \$ (7) Other liabilities-benefit obligations 93 (83) Net liability, end of year \$ (100) \$ (90) Actuarial Assumptions \$ (100) \$ (90) Expected long-term return on assets 4.00% 3.10% Expected long-term return on assets 4.00% 3.10% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Pre 65 7.25% 7.00% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Post 65 8.50% 7.50% Prescription cost trend rate assumed for the next year 6.50% 7.00% Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate) 5.00% 5.50% Year that the healthcare rate reaches th | Accumulated benefit obligation, end of year | \$ | 126 | \$ | 116 |
| Benefits paid (13) (13) Employer contributions 8 9 Participant contributions 4 4 Actual investment return 1 2 Plan assets, end of year \$ 26 26 Amounts Recognized in Balance Sheets ************************************ | Change in Plan Assets | | | | |
| Employer contributions 8 9 Participant contributions 4 4 Actual investment return 1 2 Plan assets, end of year \$ 26 26 Amounts Recognized in Balance Sheets 7 7 Current liabilities-other \$ (7) 7 7 Other liabilities-benefit obligations (93) (83) 8 9 Net liability, end of year \$ (100) 900 900 Actuarial Assumptions 3.90% 4.75% Expected long-term return on assets 4.00% 3.10% Expected long-term return on assets 4.00% 3.10% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Pre 65 7.25% 7.00% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Post 65 8.50% 7.50% Prescription cost trend rate assumed for the next year 6.50% 7.00% Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate) 5.00% 5.50% Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate 2024 2018 | Plan assets, beginning of year | \$ | 26 | \$ | 24 |
| Participant contributions 4 4 Actual investment return 1 2 Plan assets, end of year \$ 26 26 Amounts Recognized in Balance Sheets \$ (7) \$ (7) Current liabilities-other \$ (7) \$ (7) Other liabilities-benefit obligations (93) (83) Net liability, end of year \$ (100) \$ (90) Actuarial Assumptions \$ (100) \$ (90) Expected long-term return on assets 4.00% 3.10% Expected long-term return on assets 4.00% 3.10% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Pre 65 7.25% 7.00% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Post 65 8.50% 7.50% Prescription cost trend rate assumed for the next year 6.50% 7.00% Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate) 5.00% 5.50% Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate 2024 2018 | Benefits paid | | (13) | | (13) |
| Actual investment return 1 2 Plan assets, end of year \$ 26 26 Amounts Recognized in Balance Sheets \$ (7) \$ (7) Current liabilities-other \$ (7) \$ (7) Other liabilities-benefit obligations (93) (83) Net liability, end of year \$ (100) \$ (90) Actuarial Assumptions \$ (100) \$ (90) Expected long-term return on assets 4.00% 3.10% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Pre 65 7.25% 7.00% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Post 65 8.50% 7.50% Prescription cost trend rate assumed for the next year 6.50% 7.00% Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate) 5.00% 5.50% Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate 2024 2018 | Employer contributions | | 8 | | 9 |
| Plan assets, end of year \$ 26 26 Amounts Recognized in Balance Sheets Current liabilities-other \$ (7) \$ (7) Current liabilities-benefit obligations (93) (83) Net liability, end of year \$ (100) (90) Actuarial Assumptions \$ (100) (90) Discount rate 3,90% 4,75% Expected long-term return on assets 4,00% 3,10% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Pre 65 7,25% 7,00% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Post 65 8,50% 7,50% Prescription cost trend rate assumed for the next year 6,50% 7,00% Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate) 5,00% 5,50% Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate 2024 2018 | Participant contributions | | 4 | | 4 |
| Amounts Recognized in Balance Sheets Current liabilities-other \$ (7) (7) Other liabilities-benefit obligations (93) (83) Net liability, end of year \$ (100) (90) Actuarial Assumptions Discount rate 3.90% 4.75% Expected long-term return on assets 4.00% 3.10% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Pre 65 7.25% 7.00% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Post 65 8.50% 7.50% Prescription cost trend rate assumed for the next year 6.50% 7.00% Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate) 5.00% 5.50% Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate 2024 2018 | Actual investment return | | 1 | | 2 |
| Current liabilities-other \$ (7) (7) Other liabilities-benefit obligations (93) (83) Net liability, end of year \$ (100) \$ (90) Actuarial Assumptions \$ (100) \$ (90) Discount rate 3.90% 4.75% Expected long-term return on assets 4.00% 3.10% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Pre 65 7.25% 7.00% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Post 65 8.50% 7.50% Prescription cost trend rate assumed for the next year 6.50% 7.00% Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate) 5.00% 5.50% Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate 2024 2018 | Plan assets, end of year | \$ | 26 | \$ | 26 |
| Other liabilities-benefit obligations(93)(83)Net liability, end of year\$ (100)\$ (90)Actuarial AssumptionsDiscount rate3.90%4.75%Expected long-term return on assets4.00%3.10%Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Pre 657.25%7.00%Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Post 658.50%7.50%Prescription cost trend rate assumed for the next year6.50%7.00%Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate)5.00%5.50%Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate20242018 | Amounts Recognized in Balance Sheets | | | | |
| Other liabilities-benefit obligations(93)(83)Net liability, end of year\$ (100)\$ (90)Actuarial AssumptionsDiscount rate3.90%4.75%Expected long-term return on assets4.00%3.10%Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Pre 657.25%7.00%Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Post 658.50%7.50%Prescription cost trend rate assumed for the next year6.50%7.00%Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate)5.00%5.50%Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate20242018 | Current liabilities-other | \$ | (7) | \$ | (7) |
| Actuarial Assumptions Discount rate Expected long-term return on assets Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Pre 65 Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Post 65 Prescription cost trend rate assumed for the next year Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate) Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate 3.90% 4.75% 7.00% 7.00% 7.00% 7.50% 7.50% 7.00% 7.00% 8.50% 7.00% 9.50% 9.50% 9.50% | Other liabilities-benefit obligations | | (93) | | (83) |
| Actuarial Assumptions Discount rate Expected long-term return on assets Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Pre 65 Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Post 65 Prescription cost trend rate assumed for the next year Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate) Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate 3.90% 4.75% 7.00% 7.00% 7.00% 7.50% 7.50% 7.00% 7.00% 8.50% 7.00% 9.50% 9.50% 9.50% | Net liability, end of year | \$ | (100) | \$ | (90) |
| Expected long-term return on assets 4.00% 3.10% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Pre 65 7.25% 7.00% Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Post 65 8.50% 7.50% Prescription cost trend rate assumed for the next year 6.50% 7.00% Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate) 5.00% 5.50% Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate | Actuarial Assumptions | | | | |
| Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Pre 65 Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Post 65 Prescription cost trend rate assumed for the next year 6.50% 7.00% Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate) 5.00% Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate 2024 2018 | Discount rate | | 3.90% | | 4.75% |
| Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Pre 65 Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Post 65 Prescription cost trend rate assumed for the next year Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate) Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate 2024 7.00% 7.00% 7.00% 7.00% 7.00% | Expected long-term return on assets | | 4.00% | | 3.10% |
| Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the next year - Post 65 Prescription cost trend rate assumed for the next year 6.50% 7.50% Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate) 5.00% 5.50% Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate 2024 2018 | | | 7.25% | | 7.00% |
| Prescription cost trend rate assumed for the next year 6.50% 7.00% Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate) 5.00% 5.50% Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate 2024 2018 | • | | 8.50% | | 7.50% |
| Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate) 5.00% 5.50% Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate 2024 2018 | | | | | 7.00% |
| Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate 2024 2018 | • | | 5.00% | | 5.50% |
| | Year that the healthcare rate reaches the ultimate trend rate | | | | |
| | Year that the prescription drug rate reaches the ultimate trend rate | | | | 2018 |

The discount rate assumption was determined by matching the projected cash flows of CenterPoint Energy's plans against a hypothetical yield curve of high-quality corporate bonds represented by a series of annualized individual discount rates from one-half to 99 years.

The expected rate of return assumption was developed using the targeted asset allocation of CenterPoint Energy's plans and the expected return for each asset class, based on the long-term capital market assumptions, adjusted for investment fees and diversification effects, in addition to expected inflation.

For measurement purposes, medical costs are assumed to increase to 7.25% and 8.50% for the pre-65 and post-65 retirees, respectively during 2015, and the prescription cost is assumed to increase 6.50% during 2015, after which these rates decrease until reaching the ultimate trend rate of 5.00% in 2024.

CERC's changes in accumulated comprehensive income (loss) related to postretirement and other postemployment plans are as follows (in millions):

| | | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|----|-------------------------|------|--|
| | 20 | 014 | 2013 | |
| Beginning Balance | \$ | 5 \$ | (1) | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications (1) | | (5) | 10 | |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Prior service cost (2) | | _ | 1 | |
| Actuarial gains (2) | | _ | 1 | |
| Total reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income | | _ | 2 | |
| Tax expense | | 1 | (6) | |
| Net current period other comprehensive income (loss) | | (4) | 6 | |
| Ending Balance | \$ | 1 \$ | 5 | |

(1) Total other comprehensive income related to the re-measurement of pension, postretirement and other postemployment plans.

(2) These accumulated other comprehensive components are included in the computation of net periodic cost.

Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss consist of the following:

| | December 31, | | | |
|--|--------------|-------|----------|------|
| | 2014 2013 | | | 2013 |
| | | (in m | illions) | |
| Unrecognized actuarial loss | \$ | 13 | \$ | 9 |
| Unrecognized prior service cost | | 2 | | 1 |
| Total recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss | | 15 | ' | 10 |
| Less: deferred tax benefit (1) | | (16) | | (15) |
| Net amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss | \$ | (1) | \$ | (5) |

(1) CERC's postretirement benefit obligation is reduced by the impact of previously non-taxable government subsidies under the Medicare Prescription Drug Act. Because the subsidies were non-taxable, the temporary difference used in measuring the deferred tax impact was determined on the unrecognized losses excluding such subsidies.

The changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive loss during 2014 are as follows:

| | Postretirement Benefits | |
|--|----------------------------|---------------|
| | | (in millions) |
| Net loss | \$ | (4) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | | (1) |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive loss | \$ | (5) |

The total expense recognized in net periodic costs and other comprehensive loss was \$12 million for postretirement benefits for the year ended December 31, 2014.

The amounts in accumulated other comprehensive loss expected to be recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost during 2015 are as follows:

| | P | Postretirement Benefits |
|---|----|----------------------------|
| | | (in millions) |
| Unrecognized actuarial loss | \$ | 1 |
| Unrecognized prior service cost | | _ |
| Amounts in accumulated other comprehensive loss to be recognized as net periodic cost | \$ | 1 |

Assumed healthcare cost trend rates have a significant effect on the reported amounts for CERC's postretirement benefit plans. A 1% change in the assumed healthcare cost trend rate would have the following effects:

| | 1% Incre | | 1% Decrease |
|--|-------------|--------------|----------------|
| | | (in millions | s) |
| Effect on the postretirement benefit obligation | \$ | 3 \$ | 3 |
| Effect on the total of service and interest cost | | _ | _ |

In managing the investments associated with the postretirement benefit plan, CERC's objective is to preserve and enhance the value of plan assets while maintaining an acceptable level of volatility. These objectives are expected to be achieved through an investment strategy that manages liquidity requirements while maintaining a long-term horizon in making investment decisions and efficient and effective management of plan assets.

As part of the investment strategy discussed above, CERC maintained the following asset allocation ranges for its postretirement benefit plan as of December 31, 2014:

| U.S. equity | 15-25% |
|----------------------|--------|
| International equity | 2-12% |
| Fixed income | 68-78% |
| Cash | 0-2% |

The fair values of CERC's postretirement plan assets at December 31, 2014 and 2013, by asset category are as follows:

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2014

| | (in millions) | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|----|----|--|---|--|---|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Total (Level 1) | | | Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2) | | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| Mutual funds (1) | \$ 26 | \$ | 26 | \$ | _ | \$ | _ |
| Total | \$ 26 | \$ | 26 | \$ | _ | \$ | |

^{(1) 70%} of the amount invested in mutual funds was in fixed income securities; 23% was in U.S. equities and 7% was in international equities.

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2013

| | (in millions) | | | | |
|------------------|---------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| Mutual funds (1) | \$ 26 | \$ 26 | \$ | \$ | |
| Total | \$ 26 | \$ 26 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |

^{(1) 73%} of the amount invested in mutual funds was in fixed income securities; 20% was in U.S. equities and 7% was in international equities.

CERC expects to contribute \$7 million to its postretirement benefits plan in 2015. The following benefit payments are expected to be made by the postretirement benefit plan:

| | P | Postretirement Benefit Plan | | |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| | Bene Payme | | | Medicare Subsidy Receipts |
| | | (in m | illions) | |
| 2015 | \$ | 10 | \$ | (2) |
| 2016 | | 11 | | (2) |
| 2017 | | 11 | | (2) |
| 2018 | | 12 | | (2) |
| 2019 | | 12 | | (2) |
| 2020-2024 | | 65 | | (17) |

(d) Postemployment Benefits

CERC participates in CenterPoint Energy's plan that provides postemployment benefits for former or inactive employees, their beneficiaries and covered dependents, after employment but before retirement (primarily healthcare and life insurance benefits for participants in the long-term disability plan). CERC recorded postemployment benefit expense of \$2 million, \$1 million and \$5 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Amounts relating to postemployment benefits included in "Benefit Obligations" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2014 and 2013, were \$12 million and \$13 million, respectively.

(e) Other Non-Qualified Plans

CERC participates in CenterPoint Energy's deferred compensation plans that provide benefits payable to directors, officers and certain key employees or their designated beneficiaries at specified future dates, upon termination, retirement or death. Benefit payments are made from the general assets of CERC. During 2014, 2013 and 2012, the benefit expense relating to these plans was less than \$1 million each year. Amounts relating to deferred compensation plans included in "Benefit Obligations" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets at both December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$3 million.

(f) Other Employee Matters

As of December 31, 2014, approximately 26% of CERC's employees were covered by collective bargaining agreements. The collective bargaining agreements with the Gas Workers Local Union 340 and International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 949 in Minnesota, which collectively cover approximately 14% of CERC's employees, are scheduled to expire in April and December 2015, respectively. CERC believes it has good relationships with these bargaining units and expects to negotiate new agreements in 2015.

(7) Related Party Transactions

CERC participates in a "money pool" through which it can borrow or invest on a short-term basis. Funding needs are aggregated and external borrowing or investing is based on the net cash position. The net funding requirements of the money pool are expected to be met with borrowings under CenterPoint Energy's revolving credit facility or the sale of CenterPoint Energy's commercial paper. CERC had money pool borrowings of \$188 million and \$38 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, which are included in accounts and notes payable — affiliated companies in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. At December 31, 2014, CERC's money pool borrowings had a weighted-average interest rate of 0.64%.

CERC had affiliate related net interest income (expense) of less than \$1 million, \$(2) million and \$(4) million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

CenterPoint Energy provides some corporate services to CERC. The costs of services have been charged directly to CERC using methods that management believes are reasonable. These methods include negotiated usage rates, dedicated asset assignment and proportionate corporate formulas based on operating expenses, assets, gross margin, employees and a composite of assets, gross margin and employees. These charges are not necessarily indicative of what would have been incurred had CERC not been an affiliate

of CenterPoint Energy. Amounts charged to CERC for these services were \$127 million, \$117 million and \$163 million for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and are included primarily in operation and maintenance expenses.

Dividends of \$405 million were paid to the parent in 2014. No dividends were paid to the parent in 2013 and 2012.

See Note 10 for related party transactions with Enable.

(8) Derivative Instruments

CERC is exposed to various market risks. These risks arise from transactions entered into in the normal course of business. CERC utilizes derivative instruments such as physical forward contracts, swaps and options to mitigate the impact of changes in commodity prices and weather on its operating results and cash flows.

(a) Non-Trading Activities

Natural gas derivatives (1) (2) (3)

Total

Derivative Instruments. CERC enters into certain derivative instruments to manage physical commodity price risk and does not engage in proprietary or speculative commodity trading. These financial instruments do not qualify or are not designated as cash flow or fair value hedges.

Weather Hedges. CERC has weather normalization or other rate mechanisms that mitigate the impact of weather on NGD in Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi and Oklahoma. NGD in Texas and Minnesota do not have such mechanisms. As a result, fluctuations from normal weather may have a significant positive or negative effect on NGD's results in Texas and Minnesota.

CERC entered into heating-degree day swaps for certain NGD jurisdictions to mitigate the effect of fluctuations from normal weather on its results of operations and cash flows for the winter heating season, which contained a bilateral dollar cap of \$15 million in 2012 - 2013, \$16 million in 2013 - 2014 and \$16 million in 2014 - 2015. The swaps are based on ten-year normal weather. During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, CERC recognized losses of \$10 million, losses of \$16 million and gains of \$8 million, respectively, related to these swaps. Weather hedge gains and losses are included in revenues in the Statements of Consolidated Income.

(b) Derivative Fair Values and Income Statement Impacts

The following tables present information about CERC's derivative instruments and hedging activities. The first two tables provide a balance sheet overview of CERC's Derivative Assets and Liabilities as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, while the last table provides a breakdown of the related income statement impacts for the years ending December 31, 2014 and 2013.

| | December 31, 2014 | December 31, 2014 | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | Balance Sheet Location | | Derivative Assets Fair Value | Lia | rivative abilities r Value | | | | |
| | | | (in m | illions) | | | | | |
| Natural gas derivatives (1) (2) (3) | Current Assets: Non-trading derivative assets | \$ | 101 | \$ | 1 | | | | |
| Natural gas derivatives (1) (2) (3) | Other Assets: Non-trading derivative assets | | 32 | | _ | | | | |
| Natural gas derivatives (1) (2) (3) | Current Liabilities: Non-trading derivative liabilities | | 14 | | 83 | | | | |

Other Liabilities: Non-trading derivative liabilities

(1) The fair value shown for natural gas contracts is comprised of derivative gross volumes totaling 804 billion cubic feet (Bcf) or a net 60 Bcf long position. Of the net long position, basis swaps constitute 127 Bcf.

18

102

\$

149

(2) Natural gas contracts are presented on a net basis in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Natural gas contracts are subject to master netting arrangements. This netting applies to all undisputed amounts due or past due and causes derivative assets (liabilities) to be ultimately presented net in a liability (asset) account within the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The net of total non-trading derivative assets and liabilities was a \$111 million asset as shown on CERC's Consolidated Balance Sheets (and as detailed in the table below), and was comprised of the natural gas contracts derivative assets and liabilities separately shown above offset by collateral netting of \$64 million.

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

(3) Derivative Assets and Derivative Liabilities include no material amounts related to physical forward transactions with Enable.

Offsetting of Natural Gas Derivative Assets and Liabilities

| | December 31, 2014 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|----|---|----|------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Gross s Recognized (1) | | Amounts Offset in Isolidated Balance Sheets | | | | | | |
| | | | | (in millions) | | | | | | |
| Current Assets: Non-trading derivative assets | \$ | 115 | \$ | (16) | \$ | 99 | | | | |
| Other Assets: Non-trading derivative assets | | 34 | | (2) | | 32 | | | | |
| Current Liabilities: Non-trading derivative liabilities | | (84) | | 65 | | (19) | | | | |
| Other Liabilities: Non-trading derivative liabilities | | (18) | | 17 | | (1) | | | | |
| Total | \$ | 47 | \$ | 64 | \$ | 111 | | | | |

- (1) Gross amounts recognized include some derivative assets and liabilities that are not subject to master netting arrangements.
- (2) The derivative assets and liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets exclude accounts receivable or accounts payable that, should they exist, could be used as offsets to these balances in the event of a default.

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

| | December 31, 201 | 2 | | | |
|---|---|-----|-------------------------------|---|----|
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | Balance Sheet Location | Der | rivative Assets r Value | Derivative Liabilities Fair Value | |
| | | | (in m | illions) | |
| Natural gas derivatives (1) (2) (3) | Current Assets: Non-trading derivative assets | \$ | 28 | \$ | 4 |
| Natural gas derivatives (1) (2) | Other Assets: Non-trading derivative assets | | 10 | | _ |
| Natural gas derivatives (1) (2) | Current Liabilities: Non-trading derivative liabilities | | 4 | | 21 |
| Natural gas derivatives (1) (2) | Other Liabilities: Non-trading derivative liabilities | | 1 | | 5 |
| Total | | \$ | 43 | \$ | 30 |

- (1) The fair value shown for natural gas contracts is comprised of derivative gross volumes totaling 607 Bcf or a net 46 Bcf long position. Of the net long position, basis swaps constitute 99 Bcf.
- (2) Natural gas contracts are presented on a net basis in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Natural gas contracts are subject to master netting arrangements. This netting applies to all undisputed amounts due or past due and causes derivative assets (liabilities) to be ultimately presented net in a liability (asset) account within the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The net of total non-trading derivative assets and liabilities was a \$13 million asset as shown on CERC's Consolidated Balance Sheets (and as detailed in the table below), and was comprised of the natural gas contracts derivative assets and liabilities separately shown above, offset by collateral netting of less than \$1 million.
- (3) The \$28 million Derivative Current Asset includes \$1 million related to physical forwards purchased from Enable.

Offsetting of Natural Gas Derivative Assets and Liabilities

| | December 31, 2013 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------|----|---|--|------|--|--|--|--|
| | Amounts 1 | oss Recognized 1) | | mounts Offset in solidated Balance Sheets | Net Amount Presented the Consolidated Balan Sheets (2) | | | | | |
| | | | | (in millions) | | | | | | |
| Current Assets: Non-trading derivative assets | \$ | 32 | \$ | (8) | \$ | 24 | | | | |
| Other Assets: Non-trading derivative assets | | 11 | | (1) | | 10 | | | | |
| Current Liabilities: Non-trading derivative liabilities | | (25) | | 8 | | (17) | | | | |
| Other Liabilities: Non-trading derivative liabilities | | (5) | | 1 | | (4) | | | | |
| Total | \$ | 13 | \$ | _ | \$ | 13 | | | | |

- (1) Gross amounts recognized include some derivative assets and liabilities that are not subject to master netting arrangements.
- (2) The derivative assets and liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets exclude accounts receivable or accounts payable that, should they exist, could be used as offsets to these balances in the event of a default.

For CERC's price stabilization activities of the Natural Gas Distribution business segment, the settled costs of derivatives are ultimately recovered through purchased gas adjustments. Accordingly, the net unrealized gains and losses associated with these contracts are recorded as net regulatory assets. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on other derivatives are recognized in the Statements of Consolidated Income as revenue for retail sales derivative contracts and as natural gas expense for financial natural gas derivatives and non-retail related physical natural gas derivatives.

Income Statement Impact of Derivative Activity

| | | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------|----|------|--|
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | Income Statement Location | 014 | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| | | (in mi | llions) | | | |
| Natural gas derivatives | Gains (Losses) in Revenue | \$ 35 | \$ 11 | \$ | 43 | |
| Natural gas derivatives (1) (2) | Gains (Losses) in Expense: Natural Gas | 11 | 10 | | (63) | |
| Total | | \$ 46 | \$ 21 | \$ | (20) | |

- (1) The Gains (Losses) in Expense: Natural Gas includes \$2 million and \$(2) million during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, related to physical forwards purchased from Enable.
- (2) The Gains (Losses) in Expense: Natural Gas includes \$-0-, \$-0- and \$(38) million of costs in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, associated with price stabilization activities of the Natural Gas Distribution business segment that will be ultimately recovered through purchased gas adjustments.

(c) Credit Risk Contingent Features

CERC enters into financial derivative contracts containing material adverse change provisions. These provisions could require CERC to post additional collateral if the Standard & Poor's Ratings Services or Moody's Investors Service, Inc. credit ratings of CERC are downgraded. The total fair value of the derivative instruments that contain credit risk contingent features that are in a net liability position at December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$2 million and \$1 million, respectively. The aggregate fair value of assets that are already posted as collateral was less than \$1 million at both December 31, 2014 and 2013. If all derivative contracts (in a net liability position) containing credit risk contingent features were triggered at December 31, 2014 and 2013, \$2 million and \$1 million, respectively, of additional assets would be required to be posted as collateral.

(d) Credit Quality of Counterparties

In addition to the risk associated with price movements, credit risk is also inherent in CERC's non-trading derivative activities. Credit risk relates to the risk of loss resulting from non-performance of contractual obligations by a counterparty. The following table shows the composition of counterparties to the non-trading derivative assets of CERC as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 (in millions):

| | December 31, 2014 | | | | Decembe | er 31, 2013 | |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----|-------|------------------------|-------------|-------|
| | | Investment Grade(1) | | Total | Investment Grade(1) | | Total |
| Energy marketers | \$ | 2 | \$ | 4 | \$ 1 | \$ | 4 |
| Financial institutions | | _ | | _ | 1 | | 9 |
| End users (2) | | 2 | | 127 | 1 | | 21 |
| Total | \$ | 4 | \$ | 131 | \$ 3 | \$ | 34 |

- (1) "Investment grade" is primarily determined using publicly available credit ratings, and considers credit support (including parent company guarantees) and collateral (including cash and standby letters of credit). For unrated counterparties, CERC determines a synthetic credit rating by performing financial statement analysis, and considers contractual rights and restrictions and collateral.
- (2) End users are comprised primarily of customers who have contracted to fix the price of a portion of their physical gas requirements for future periods.

(9) Fair Value Measurements

Assets and liabilities that are recorded at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and are categorized based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their value. Hierarchical levels, as defined below and directly related to the amount of subjectivity associated with the inputs to fair valuations of these assets and liabilities, are as follows:

Level 1: Inputs are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date. The types of assets carried at Level 1 fair value generally are exchange-traded derivatives and equity securities.

Level 2: Inputs, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability. Fair value assets and liabilities that are generally included in this category are derivatives with fair values based on inputs from actively quoted markets. A market approach is utilized to value CERC's Level 2 assets or liabilities.

Level 3: Inputs are unobservable for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. Unobservable inputs reflect CERC's judgments about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability since limited market data exists. CERC develops these inputs based on the best information available, including CERC's own data. A market approach is utilized to value CERC's Level 3 assets or liabilities. At December 31, 2014, CERC's Level 3 assets and liabilities are comprised of physical forward contracts and options. Level 3 physical forward contracts are valued using a discounted cash flow model which includes illiquid forward price curve locations (ranging from \$1.60 to \$4.23 per one million British thermal units (Btu)) as an unobservable input. Level 3 options are valued through Black-Scholes (including forward start) option models which include option volatilities (ranging from 0 to 88%) as an unobservable input. CERC's Level 3 derivative assets and liabilities consist of both long and short positions (forwards and options) and their fair value is sensitive to forward prices and volatilities. If forward prices decrease, CERC's long forwards lose value whereas its short options gain in value.

CERC determines the appropriate level for each financial asset and liability on a quarterly basis and recognizes transfers between levels at the end of the reporting period. For the year ended December 31, 2014, there were no transfers between Level 1 and 2. CERC also recognizes purchases of Level 3 financial assets and liabilities at their fair market value at the end of the reporting period.

The following tables present information about CERC's assets and liabilities (including derivatives that are presented net) measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and indicate the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by CERC to determine such fair value.

| Assets | Active for Iden | l Prices in Markets tical Assets evel 1) | S | ignificant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | A | Netting Adjustments (1) | De | Balance as of ecember 31, 2014 |
|---|--------------------|---|----|---|--|----|----------------------------|----|--------------------------------|
| Corporate equities | \$ | 2 | \$ | _ | \$ _ | \$ | _ | \$ | 2 |
| Investments, including money market funds | | 11 | | _ | _ | | _ | | 11 |
| Natural gas derivatives (2) | | 7 | | 122 | 20 | | (18) | | 131 |
| Total assets | \$ | 20 | \$ | 122 | \$ 20 | \$ | (18) | \$ | 144 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural gas derivatives (2) | \$ | 22 | \$ | 77 | \$ 3 | \$ | (82) | \$ | 20 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 22 | \$ | 77 | \$ 3 | \$ | (82) | \$ | 20 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Amounts represent the impact of legally enforceable master netting arrangements that allow CERC to settle positive and negative positions and also include cash collateral of \$64 million posted with the same counterparties.

(2) Natural gas derivatives include no material amounts related to physical forward transactions with Enable.

| | Activ for Ide | d Prices in e Markets ntical Assets evel 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Netting Adjustments (1) | D | Balance as of ecember 31, 2013 |
|---|------------------|--|--|--|----------------------------|----|--------------------------------|
| Assets | | | | (in millions) | | | |
| Corporate equities | \$ | 2 | \$ _ | \$ _ | \$ _ | \$ | 2 |
| Investments, including money market funds | | 11 | _ | _ | _ | | 11 |
| Natural gas derivatives (2) | | 5 | 33 | 5 | (9) | | 34 |
| Total assets | \$ | 18 | \$ 33 | \$ 5 | \$ (9) | \$ | 47 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | |
| Natural gas derivatives | \$ | 1 | \$ 27 | \$ 2 | \$ (9) | \$ | 21 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 1 | \$ 27 | \$ 2 | \$ (9) | \$ | 21 |

⁽¹⁾ Amounts represent the impact of legally enforceable master netting arrangements that allow CERC to settle positive and negative positions and also include cash collateral of less than \$1 million posted with the same counterparties.

⁽²⁾ The (Level 2) Natural gas derivative assets of \$33 million include \$1 million related to physical forwards purchased from Enable.

The following tables present additional information about assets or liabilities, including derivatives that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis for which CERC has utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value:

| | | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|--|----|------|----|------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Derivative assets and liabilities, net | | | | | | | | |
| | | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2014 | | 2013 | | 2012 | | | | |
| Declaring Library | ø | 2 | Ф | 2 | ¢. | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ | 3 | \$ | 2 | \$ | 6 | | | | |
| Total gains | | 14 | | 3 | | 3 | | | | |
| Total settlements | | 1 | | (3) | | (6) | | | | |
| Transfers out of Level 3 | | _ | | _ | | (1) | | | | |
| Transfers into Level 3 | | (1) | | 1 | | _ | | | | |
| Ending balance (1) | \$ | 17 | \$ | 3 | \$ | 2 | | | | |
| The amount of total gains for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating | ø | 16 | ¢. | | ¢. | | | | | |
| to assets still held at the reporting date | \$ | 16 | \$ | 2 | \$ | I | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ During 2014, 2013 and 2012, CenterPoint Energy did not have significant Level 3 purchases or sales.

Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair values of cash and cash equivalents and short-term borrowings are estimated to be approximately equivalent to carrying amounts and have been excluded from the table below. Non-trading derivative assets and liabilities are stated at fair value and are excluded from the table below. The fair value of each debt instrument is determined by multiplying the principal amount of each debt instrument by the market price. These assets and liabilities, which are not measured at fair value in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets but for which the fair value is disclosed, would be classified as Level 1 or Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

| | December 31, 2014 | | | | December 31, 2013 | | | |
|---|------------------------|----|---------------|----------|--------------------|----|---------------|--|
| | Carrying Amount | | Fair Value | | Carrying Amount | | Fair Value | |
| | | | (in m | illions) | | | | |
| Financial assets: | | | | | | | | |
| Notes receivable - affiliated companies | \$ 363 | \$ | 362 | \$ | 363 | \$ | 363 | |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$ 2,469 | \$ | 2,772 | \$ | 2,240 | \$ | 2,466 | |

(10) Unconsolidated Affiliates

On May 1, 2013 (the Closing Date) CERC Corp., OGE Energy Corp. (OGE) and ArcLight Capital Partners, LLC (ArcLight) closed on the formation of Enable, and CERC recorded an equity method investment in Enable at the historical cost of the contributed net assets. See Note 2 for further information on the formation of Enable.

CERC's maximum exposure to loss related to Enable, a VIE in which CERC is not the primary beneficiary, is limited to its equity investment as presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2014, CERC Corp.'s guarantee of collection of Enable's \$1.1 billion senior notes due 2019 and 2024 (Guaranteed Senior Notes) and other guarantees discussed in Note 13, CERC Corp.'s \$363 million notes receivable from Enable and outstanding current accounts receivable from Enable. The \$363 million of notes receivable from Enable bears interest at an annual rate of 2.10% to 2.45% and matures in 2017. CERC recorded interest income of \$8 million and \$5 million during the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, for interest earned on or after the Closing Date and had interest receivable from Enable of \$4 million as of both December 31, 2014 and 2013 on its notes receivable from Enable.

Effective on the Closing Date, CenterPoint Energy and Enable entered into a Services Agreement, Employee Transition Agreement, Transitional Services Agreement and other agreements (collectively, Transition Agreements) whereby CERC agreed to provide certain support services to Enable such as accounting, legal, risk management and treasury functions for an initial term

ending on April 30, 2016. Effective April 1, 2014, Enable's general partner, CERC and OGE agreed to reduce certain governance related costs billed to Enable for transition services. Effective December 31, 2014, Enable's general partner, CERC and OGE agreed to terminate certain support services provided by CERC to Enable. CERC expects to terminate all remaining support services by April 2016.

CERC billed Enable for reimbursement of transitional services, including the costs of seconded employees, \$163 million and \$119 million during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, under the Transition Agreements for transition services incurred on or after the Closing Date. Actual transitional services costs are recorded net of reimbursements received from Enable. CERC had accounts receivable from Enable of \$28 million and \$21 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, for amounts billed for transitional services, including the cost of seconded employees.

CERC provided seconded employees to Enable to support its operations for a term ending on December 31, 2014. Enable, at its discretion, had the right to select and offer employment to seconded employees from CERC. During the fourth quarter of 2014, Enable notified CERC that it selected seconded employees and provided employment offers to substantially all of the seconded employees from CERC. Substantially all of the seconded employees became employees of Enable effective January 1, 2015.

On April 16, 2014, Enable completed its initial public offering (IPO) of 28,750,000 common units, at a price of \$20.00 per unit, which included 3,750,000 common units sold by ArcLight pursuant to an over-allotment option that was fully exercised by the underwriters. Enable received \$464 million in net proceeds from the sale of the units, after deducting underwriting fees, structuring fees and other offering costs. In connection with Enable's IPO, a portion of CERC's common units were converted into subordinated units, as discussed further below. Subsequent to the IPO, Enable continues to be controlled jointly by CERC and OGE.

As a result of Enable's IPO, CERC's limited partner interest in Enable was reduced from approximately 58.3% to approximately 54.7%. CERC accounted for the dilution of its investment in Enable as a result of Enable's IPO as a failed partial sale of in-substance real estate. CERC did not receive any cash from Enable's IPO and, as such, CERC did not recognize a gain or loss. CERC's basis difference in Enable was reduced for the impact of the Enable IPO.

In accordance with the Enable formation agreements, CERC had certain put rights, and Enable had certain call rights, exercisable with respect to the 25.05% interest in Southeast Supply Header, LLC (SESH) retained by CERC on the Closing Date, under which CERC would contribute its retained interest in SESH, in exchange for a specified number of limited partner common units in Enable and a cash payment, payable either from CERC to Enable or from Enable to CERC, to the extent of changes in the value of SESH subject to certain restrictions. Specifically, the rights were and are exercisable with respect to (1) a 24.95% interest in SESH (24.95% Put), which closed on May 30, 2014 as discussed below and (2) a 0.1% interest in SESH, which may be exercised no earlier than June 2015 for 25,341 common units in Enable.

On May 30, 2014, CERC closed its 24.95% Put and contributed to Enable its 24.95% interest in SESH in exchange for 6,322,457 common units of Enable, which increased CERC's limited partner interest in Enable from approximately 54.7% to approximately 55.4%. No cash payment was required to be made pursuant to the Enable formation agreements in connection with CERC's exercise of the 24.95% Put. CERC accounted for the contribution of its 24.95% interest in SESH to Enable in exchange for common units of Enable as a non-monetary transaction of in-substance real estate equity method investments. As such, CERC recorded the 6,322,457 common units at the historical cost of the contributed 24.95% interest in SESH of \$196 million and recorded no gain or loss in connection with its exercise of the 24.95% Put. As a result, CERC's basis difference in Enable was reduced for the impact of its exercise of the 24.95% Put.

CERC incurred natural gas expenses, including transportation and storage costs, of \$130 million and \$123 million during the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, for transactions with Enable occurring on or after the Closing Date. CERC had accounts payable to Enable of \$23 million and \$22 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, from such transactions.

As of December 31, 2014, CERC held an approximate 55.4% limited partner interest in Enable consisting of 94,126,366 common units and 139,704,916 subordinated units and a 0.1% interest in SESH. The principal difference between Enable common units and subordinated units is that in any quarter during the subordination period, holders of the subordinated units are not entitled to receive any distribution of available cash until the common units have received the minimum quarterly distribution plus any arrearages in the payment of the minimum quarterly distribution from prior quarters. If Enable does not pay distributions on its subordinated units, the subordinated units will not accrue arrearages for those unpaid distributions. At the end of the subordination period, CERC's subordinated units in Enable will be converted to common units in Enable on a one-for-one basis.

CERC evaluates its equity method investments for impairment when factors indicate that a decrease in value of its investment has occurred and the carrying amount of its investment may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized in earnings when

an impairment is deemed to be other than temporary. The carrying value of CERC's investment in Enable is \$19.33 per unit. As of December 31, 2014, Enable's common unit price closed at \$19.39 (approximately \$14 million above carrying value). The lowest close price for Enable's common units in January 2015 was \$17.34 (approximately \$465 million below carrying value). CERC performed an analysis of its investment in Enable as of December 31, 2014. Based on that analysis, CERC believes that the decline in the value of its investment is temporary, and that CERC will recover the value of its investment of \$4.5 billion.

Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliates:

| | | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|----------|----|-------------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| | _ | 2014 | 2013 | | | |
| | | (in millions) | | | | |
| Enable | \$ | 4,520 | \$ 4,319 | | | |
| SESH (1) | | 1 | 199 | | | |
| Total | \$ | 4,521 | \$ 4,518 | | | |

(1) On May 30, 2014, CERC contributed a 24.95% interest in SESH to Enable, leaving CERC with a 0.1% interest in SESH as of December 31, 2014.

Equity in Earnings of Unconsolidated Affiliates, net:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------|---------------|-------|--|--|--|
| _ | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | | | |
| | | (in millions) | | | | |
| \$ | 303 | \$ 173 | \$ — | | | |
| | 5 | 15 | 26 | | | |
| | _ | _ | 5 | | | |
| \$ | 308 | \$ 188 | \$ 31 | | | |
| _ | | | | | | |

- (1) On May 1, 2013, CERC formed Enable with OGE and ArcLight.
- (2) On each of May 1, 2013 and May 30, 2014, CERC contributed a 24.95% interest in SESH to Enable, leaving CERC with a 0.1% interest in SESH as of December 31, 2014.
- (3) On July 31, 2012, Waskom became a wholly owned subsidiary of CenterPoint Energy. Beginning on August 1, 2012, Waskom's operating results are consolidated on the Statements of Consolidated Income. On May 1, 2013, CenterPoint Energy contributed Waskom to Enable.

Summarized consolidated income information for Enable is as follows:

| | Year Ended | Decemb | cember 31, | |
|--|----------------|----------|------------|--|
| | 2014 | 2013 (1) | | |
| | (in m | illions) | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 3,367 | \$ | 2,123 | |
| Cost of sales, excluding depreciation and amortization | 1,914 | | 1,241 | |
| Operating income | 586 | | 322 | |
| Net income attributable to Enable | 530 | | 289 | |
| | | | | |
| CERC's approximate interest | \$ 298 | \$ | 168 | |
| Basis difference accretion | 5 | | 5 | |
| CERC's equity in earnings, net | \$ 303 | \$ | 173 | |

(1) The amounts included in this column represent the eight month period from formation of Enable on May 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013.

| | December 31, | | | |
|---|--------------|--------|----------|--------|
| | | 2014 | | 2013 |
| | | (in m | illions) | |
| Current assets | \$ | 438 | \$ | 549 |
| Non-current assets | | 11,399 | | 10,683 |
| Current liabilities | | 671 | | 720 |
| Non-current liabilities | | 2,343 | | 2,331 |
| Non-controlling interest | | 31 | | 33 |
| Enable partners' capital | | 8,792 | | 8,148 |
| | | | | |
| CERC's ownership interest in Enable's partner capital | | 4,869 | | 4,753 |
| CERC's basis difference attributable to goodwill (1) | | (217) | | (229) |
| CERC's accretable basis difference (2) | | (132) | | (205) |
| CERC's total basis difference | | (349) | | (434) |
| CERC's investment in Enable | \$ | 4,520 | \$ | 4,319 |

- (1) The difference relates to CERC's proportionate share of Enable's goodwill arising from its acquisition of Enogex, and therefore will be recognized by CERC upon dilution or disposition of its interest in Enable.
- (2) The difference will be recognized by CERC over 30 years beginning May 1, 2013. CERC will also adjust the accretable basis difference for dilution or disposition of its interest in Enable.

Enable concluded that the formation of Enable is considered a business combination, and CenterPoint Midstream is the acquirer for accounting purposes. Under this method, the fair value of the consideration paid by CenterPoint Midstream for Enogex was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the Closing Date based on their fair value. Enogex's assets, liabilities and equity were accordingly adjusted to estimated fair value as of May 1, 2013. Determining the fair value of assets and liabilities is judgmental in nature and involves the use of significant estimates and assumptions. Enable used appraisers to assist in the determination of the estimated fair value of certain assets and liabilities contributed by Enogex.

Distributions Received from Unconsolidated Affiliates:

| | | Year | Ended December 31 | l, | |
|----|------|------|-------------------|----|------|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | | 2012 |
| | | | (in millions) | | _ |
| \$ | 298 | \$ | 106 | \$ | _ |
| | 7 | | 23 | | 32 |
| | _ | | _ | | 7 |
| \$ | 305 | \$ | 129 | \$ | 39 |

- (1) On May 1, 2013, CERC formed Enable with OGE and ArcLight.
- (2) On each of May 1, 2013 and May 30, 2014, CERC contributed a 24.95% interest in SESH to Enable, leaving CERC with a 0.1% interest in SESH as of December 31, 2014.
- (3) On July 31, 2012, Waskom became a wholly owned subsidiary of CERC. Beginning on August 1, 2012, Waskom's operating results are consolidated on the Statements of Consolidated Income. On May 1, 2013, CERC contributed Waskom to Enable.

(11) Short-term Borrowings and Long-term Debt

| | | December 31, 2014 | | | | Decembe | er 31, 2013 | |
|---|----|-------------------|-----|---------|---------|---------|-------------|------------|
| | L | ong-Term | Cur | rent(1) | Lon | g-Term | (| Current(1) |
| | | | | (in mi | llions) | | | |
| Short-term borrowings: | | | | | | | | |
| Inventory financing | \$ | _ | \$ | 53 | \$ | _ | \$ | 43 |
| Total short-term borrowings | | | | 53 | ' | _ | | 43 |
| Long-term debt: | | | | | , | | ' | |
| Senior notes 4.50% to 6.625% due 2016 to 2041 | | 2,168 | | _ | | 2,168 | | _ |
| Commercial paper (2) | | 341 | | _ | | 118 | | _ |
| Unamortized discount and premium | | (40) | | _ | | (46) | | _ |
| Total long-term debt | | 2,469 | | | ' | 2,240 | | _ |
| Total debt | \$ | 2,469 | \$ | 53 | \$ | 2,240 | \$ | 43 |
| | | | | | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Includes amounts due or exchangeable within one year of the date noted.

(2) Classified as long-term debt because the termination date of the facility that backstops the commercial paper is more than one year from the date noted.

CERC's short-term borrowings from the money pool are not reflected in the table above. For information regarding CERC's money poor borrowings, please see Note 7.

(a) Short-term Borrowings

Inventory Financing. NGD has asset management agreements associated with its utility distribution service in Arkansas, north Louisiana and Oklahoma that extend through 2018. Pursuant to the provisions of the agreements, NGD sells natural gas and agrees to repurchase an equivalent amount of natural gas during the winter heating seasons at the same cost, plus a financing charge. These transactions are accounted for as a financing and had an associated principal obligation of \$53 million and \$43 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(b) Long-term Debt

Revolving Credit Facility. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, CERC had the following revolving credit facility and utilization of such facility (in millions):

| | | | Dec | cember 31, 2 | 014 | | | Decen | ıber 31, 2013 | 3 | |
|-------------------------|----|-------|-----|---------------------|-----|-------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|----|-------------------|
| Size of Facility |] | Loans | | Letters f Credit | Co | mmercial Paper | Loans | | Letters Credit | | mmercial Paper |
| \$ 600 | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | 341 | \$ | \$ | | \$ | 118 |

CERC Corp.'s \$600 million revolving credit facility, which is scheduled to terminate on September 9, 2019, can be drawn at the London Interbank Offered Rate plus 1.50% based on CERC Corp.'s current credit ratings. The revolving credit facility contains a financial covenant which limits CERC's consolidated debt to an amount not to exceed 65% of CERC's consolidated capitalization.

CERC Corp. was in compliance with all financial covenants in its revolving credit facility as of December 31, 2014.

Maturities. CERC's consolidated maturities of long-term debt are \$-0- in 2015, \$325 million in 2016, \$250 million in 2017, \$300 million in 2018 and \$341 million in 2019.

(12) Income Taxes

The components of CERC's income tax expense were as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|------|---------------|----|------|--|--|
| | | 2014 | 2013 | | 2012 | | |
| | | | (in millions) | | | | |
| Current income tax expense: | | | | | | | |
| Federal | \$ | _ | \$ 5 | \$ | _ | | |
| State | | 10 | 9 | | 1 | | |
| Total current expense | | 10 | 14 | | 1 | | |
| Deferred income tax expense: | | | | | | | |
| Federal | | 171 | 350 | | 198 | | |
| State | | 7 | 7 | | 47 | | |
| Total deferred expense | | 178 | 357 | | 245 | | |
| Total income tax expense | \$ | 188 | \$ 371 | \$ | 246 | | |

A reconciliation of income tax expense using the federal statutory income tax rate to the actual income tax expense and resulting effective income tax rate is as follows:

| | | Year Ended December 31 | 1 | |
|---|-----------|------------------------|----|-------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | 2012 |
| | | (in millions) | | |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 511 | \$ 435 | \$ | 383 |
| Federal statutory income tax rate | 35% | 35% | | 35% |
| Expected federal income tax expense | 179 | 152 | | 134 |
| Increase (decrease) in tax expense resulting from: | | | | |
| State income tax expense, net of federal income tax | 11 | 23 | | 31 |
| Decrease in settled and uncertain income tax positions | _ | (2) | | (7) |
| Tax effect related to the formation of Enable Midstream Partnership | _ | 198 | | _ |
| Goodwill impairment | _ | <u> </u> | | 88 |
| Other, net | (2) | _ | | _ |
| Total | 9 | 219 | | 112 |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 188 | \$ 371 | \$ | 246 |
| Effective tax rate | 36.8% | 85.3% | | 64.2% |

In 2013, CERC recorded a deferred tax expense of \$225 million at the formation of Enable related to the book-to-tax basis difference for contributed non-tax deductible goodwill and a tax benefit of \$27 million associated with the remeasurement of state deferred taxes at formation. In addition, CERC recognized a tax benefit of \$2 million based on the settlement with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) of outstanding tax claims for the 2002 and 2003 tax years.

In 2012, CERC recorded a non-tax deductible impairment of goodwill of \$252 million (\$88 million tax effect) and a tax benefit of \$7 million related to the release of income tax reserves.

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of deferred tax assets and liabilities were as follows:

| 2014 2015 Deferred tax assets: Current: Allowance for doubtful accounts \$ 9 \$ \$ \$ Deferred gas costs —— Other 8 Total current deferred tax assets 10 Smon-current Employee benefits 44 Loss and credit carryforwards 326 1 Other 71 2 Total non-current deferred tax assets before valuation allowance 441 2 Valuation allowance 429 2 Total non-current deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 456 3 Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 456 3 Deferred tax liabilities: 6 3 Current: Deferred gas costs 6 6 Other 10 1 Total current deferred tax liabilities 16 1 Non-current: |
|--|
| Deferred tax assets: Current: |
| Current: Allowance for doubtful accounts \$ 9 \$ Deferred gas costs — Other 8 Total current deferred tax assets 17 Non-current: Employee benefits 44 Loss and credit carryforwards 326 1 Other 71 Total non-current deferred tax assets before valuation allowance 441 2 Valuation allowance (2) Total non-current deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 439 2 Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 456 3 Deferred tax liabilities: 3 Current: 5 6 Deferred gas costs 6 6 Other 10 Total current deferred tax liabilities 16 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts \$ 9 \$ Deferred gas costs — Other 8 Total current deferred tax assets 17 Non-current: **** Employee benefits 44 Loss and credit carryforwards 326 1 Other 71 1 Total non-current deferred tax assets before valuation allowance 441 2 Valuation allowance (2) 2 Total non-current deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 439 2 Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 456 3 Deferred tax liabilities: 5 3 Current: 5 6 6 Other 10 10 10 Total current deferred tax liabilities 16 16 |
| Deferred gas costs — Other 8 Total current deferred tax assets 17 Non-current: — Employee benefits 44 Loss and credit carryforwards 326 Other 71 Total non-current deferred tax assets before valuation allowance 441 2 Valuation allowance (2) Total non-current deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 439 2 Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 456 3 Deferred tax liabilities: Current: 6 Other 10 10 Total current deferred tax liabilities 16 |
| Other 8 Total current deferred tax assets 17 Non-current: Employee benefits 44 Loss and credit carryforwards 326 Other 71 Total non-current deferred tax assets before valuation allowance 441 2 Valuation allowance (2) Total non-current deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 439 2 Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 456 3 Deferred tax liabilities: Current: 6 Other 10 10 Total current deferred tax liabilities 16 |
| Total current deferred tax assets 17 Non-current: 44 Employee benefits 44 Loss and credit carryforwards 326 Other 71 Total non-current deferred tax assets before valuation allowance 441 2 Valuation allowance (2) Total non-current deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 439 2 Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 456 3 Deferred tax liabilities: 5 Current: 6 6 Other 10 10 Total current deferred tax liabilities 16 |
| Non-current: 44 Employee benefits 44 Loss and credit carryforwards 326 Other 71 Total non-current deferred tax assets before valuation allowance 441 2 Valuation allowance (2) Total non-current deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 439 2 Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 456 3 Deferred tax liabilities: 5 Current: 5 6 Other 10 10 Total current deferred tax liabilities 16 16 |
| Employee benefits 44 Loss and credit carryforwards 326 Other 71 Total non-current deferred tax assets before valuation allowance 441 2 Valuation allowance (2) Total non-current deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 439 2 Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 456 3 Deferred tax liabilities: Current: Deferred gas costs 6 6 Other 10 10 Total current deferred tax liabilities 16 16 |
| Loss and credit carryforwards326Other71Total non-current deferred tax assets before valuation allowance441Valuation allowance(2)Total non-current deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance439Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance456Deferred tax liabilities:Current:0Deferred gas costs6Other10Total current deferred tax liabilities16 |
| Other71Total non-current deferred tax assets before valuation allowance4412Valuation allowance(2)Total non-current deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance4392Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance4563Deferred tax liabilities:Current:56Other1010Total current deferred tax liabilities16 |
| Total non-current deferred tax assets before valuation allowance Valuation allowance (2) Total non-current deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance Deferred tax liabilities: Current: Deferred gas costs Other Total current deferred tax liabilities 10 Total current deferred tax liabilities 16 |
| Valuation allowance (2) Total non-current deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 439 2 Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 456 3 Deferred tax liabilities: Current: Deferred gas costs 6 Other 10 Total current deferred tax liabilities 16 |
| Total non-current deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 439 Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance 456 Deferred tax liabilities: Current: Deferred gas costs Other 10 Total current deferred tax liabilities 16 |
| Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance Deferred tax liabilities: Current: Deferred gas costs Other 10 Total current deferred tax liabilities 16 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: Current: Deferred gas costs Other 10 Total current deferred tax liabilities 16 |
| Current: Deferred gas costs 6 Other 10 Total current deferred tax liabilities 16 |
| Deferred gas costs 6 Other 10 Total current deferred tax liabilities 16 |
| Other 10 Total current deferred tax liabilities 16 |
| Total current deferred tax liabilities 16 |
| |
| Non-current: |
| 110H-CHILCH. |
| Depreciation 865 |
| Regulatory assets, net 16 |
| Investment in unconsolidated affiliates (1) 1,789 1, ϵ |
| Other (1) 21 |
| Total non-current deferred tax liabilities (1) 2,691 2,5 |
| Total deferred tax liabilities (1) 2,707 2,3 |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes, net (1) \$ 2,251 \$ 2,6 |

(1) For tax year ended December 31, 2013, the amounts are presented after the effect of the revisions to the prior period financial statement discussed in Note 2(p).

CERC is included in the consolidated income tax returns of CenterPoint Energy. CERC calculates its income tax provision on a separate return basis under a tax sharing agreement with CenterPoint Energy.

Tax Attribute Carryforwards and Valuation Allowance. CERC has \$806 million of federal net operating loss carryforwards which begin to expire in 2031, \$16 million of federal capital loss carryforwards which expire in 2018, \$723 million of state net operating loss carryforwards which expire between 2015 and 2034, and \$4 million of state tax credits which do not expire. CERC has \$244 million of state capital loss carryforwards which expire in 2017 for which management has established a full valuation allowance of \$2 million net of federal tax. The valuation allowance was established based upon management's evaluation that loss carryforwards may not be fully realized.

Uncertain Income Tax Positions. The following table reconciles the beginning and ending balance of CERC's unrecognized tax benefits (expenses):

| | December 31, | | | | |
|--|--------------|---|---------------|------|------|
| | 201 | 4 | 2013 | | 2012 |
| | | | (in millions) | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ | _ | \$ (20 |) \$ | 8 |
| Tax Positions related to prior years: | | | | | |
| Additions | | _ | (2 | 2) | _ |
| Reductions | | _ | _ | - | (27) |
| Tax Positions related to current year: | | | | | |
| Settlements | | _ | 22 | ! | (1) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ | _ | \$ | - \$ | (20) |

CERC reported no uncertain tax liability as of December 31, 2014 and expects no significant change to the uncertain tax liability over the next twelve months ending December 31, 2015.

CERC recognizes interest and penalties as a component of income tax expense. CERC recognized \$4 million of income tax expense and \$3 million of income tax expense related to interest on tax positions during 2013 and 2012, respectively. CERC had approximately \$11 million of interest receivable on tax positions accrued at December 31, 2013.

Tax Audits and Settlements. CenterPoint Energy's tax years through 2011 have been audited and settled with the IRS. The consolidated federal income tax returns for the years 2012 and 2013 are currently under audit by the IRS. For 2014, CenterPoint Energy is a participant in the IRS's Compliance Assurance Process. CenterPoint Energy has considered the effects of these examinations in its accrual for settled issues and liability for uncertain income tax positions as of December 31, 2014.

(13) Commitments and Contingencies

(a) Natural Gas Supply Commitments

Natural gas supply commitments include natural gas contracts related to CERC's Natural Gas Distribution and Energy Services business segments, which have various quantity requirements and durations, that are not classified as non-trading derivative assets and liabilities in CERC's Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 as these contracts meet an exception as "normal purchases contracts" or do not meet the definition of a derivative. Natural gas supply commitments also include natural gas transportation contracts that do not meet the definition of a derivative. As of December 31, 2014, minimum payment obligations for natural gas supply commitments are approximately \$696 million in 2015, \$605 million in 2016, \$551 million in 2017, \$507 million in 2018, \$255 million in 2019 and \$114 million after 2019.

(b) Asset Management Agreements

NGD has asset management agreements (AMAs) associated with its utility distribution service in Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma and Texas. Generally, these AMAs are contracts between NGD and an asset manager that are intended to transfer the working capital obligation and maximize the utilization of the assets. In these AMAs, NGD agreed to release transportation and storage capacity to other parties to manage gas storage, supply and delivery arrangements for NGD and to use the released capacity for other purposes when it is not needed for NGD. NGD is compensated by the asset manager through payments made over the life of the AMAs based in part on the results of the asset optimization. NGD has an obligation to purchase its winter storage requirements that have been released to the asset manager under these AMAs. The AMAs have varying terms, the longest of which expires in 2018.

(c) Lease Commitments

The following table sets forth information concerning CERC's obligations under non-cancelable long-term operating leases at December 31, 2014, which primarily consist of rental agreements for building space, data processing equipment, compression equipment and rights of way (in millions):

| 2015 | \$ 5 |
|-----------------|----------|
| 2016 | 4 |
| 2017 | 3 |
| 2018 | 2 |
| 2019 | 1 |
| 2020 and beyond | 6 |
| Total | \$ 21 |

Total lease expense for all operating leases was \$9 million, \$20 million and \$26 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(d) Legal, Environmental and Other Regulatory Matters

Legal Matters

Gas Market Manipulation Cases. CenterPoint Energy, CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC or their predecessor, Reliant Energy, Incorporated (Reliant Energy), and certain of their former subsidiaries have been named as defendants in certain lawsuits described below. Under a master separation agreement between CenterPoint Energy and a former subsidiary, Reliant Resources, Inc. (RRI), CenterPoint Energy and its subsidiaries are entitled to be indemnified by RRI and its successors for any losses, including certain attorneys' fees and other costs, arising out of these lawsuits. In May 2009, RRI sold its Texas retail business to a subsidiary of NRG and RRI changed its name to RRI Energy, Inc. In December 2010, Mirant Corporation merged with and became a wholly owned subsidiary of RRI, and RRI changed its name to GenOn Energy, Inc. (GenOn). In December 2012, NRG acquired GenOn through a merger in which GenOn became a wholly owned subsidiary of NRG. None of the sale of the retail business, the merger with Mirant Corporation, or the acquisition of GenOn by NRG alters RRI's (now GenOn's) contractual obligations to indemnify CenterPoint Energy and its subsidiaries, including CenterPoint Houston, for certain liabilities, including their indemnification obligations regarding the gas market manipulation litigation, nor does it affect the terms of existing guarantee arrangements for certain GenOn gas transportation contracts discussed below.

A large number of lawsuits were filed against numerous gas market participants in a number of federal and western state courts in connection with the operation of the natural gas markets in 2000-2002. CenterPoint Energy and its affiliates have since been released or dismissed from all but one such case. CenterPoint Energy Services, Inc. (CES), a subsidiary of CERC Corp., is a defendant in a case now pending in federal court in Nevada alleging a conspiracy to inflate Wisconsin natural gas prices in 2000-2002. In July 2011, the court issued an order dismissing the plaintiffs' claims against other defendants in the case, each of whom had demonstrated Federal Energy Regulatory Commission jurisdictional sales for resale during the relevant period, based on federal preemption, and stayed the remainder of the case pending outcome of the appeals. The plaintiffs appealed this ruling to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, which reversed the trial court's dismissal of the plaintiffs' claims. In August 2013, the other defendants filed a petition for review with the U.S. Supreme Court, which the court granted on July 1, 2014. Four amicus briefs favorable to our co-defendants were filed by the United States, Interstate Natural Gas Association of America, et. al., Washington Legal Foundation and Noble America Corporation, et. al. The Supreme Court heard arguments on January 12, 2015, and a ruling is expected by summer 2015. CenterPoint Energy believes that CES is not a proper defendant in this case and will continue to pursue a dismissal. CERC does not expect the ultimate outcome of this matter to have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Environmental Matters

Manufactured Gas Plant Sites. CERC and its predecessors operated manufactured gas plants (MGPs) in the past. There are seven MGP sites in CERC's Minnesota service territory. CERC believes it never owned or operated, and therefore has no liability with respect to, two of these sites. With respect to two other sites, CERC has completed state ordered remediation, other than ongoing monitoring and water treatment.

At December 31, 2014, CERC had recorded a liability of \$7 million for remediation of these Minnesota sites. The estimated range of possible remediation costs for the sites for which CERC believes it may have responsibility was \$5 million to \$29 million

based on remediation continuing for 30 to 50 years. The cost estimates are based on studies of a site or industry average costs for remediation of sites of similar size. The actual remediation costs will be dependent upon the number of sites to be remediated, the participation of other potentially responsible parties (PRPs), if any, and the remediation methods used. As of December 31, 2014, CERC had collected \$4 million from insurance companies to be used for future environmental remediation.

In addition to the Minnesota sites, the United States Environmental Protection Agency and other regulators have investigated MGP sites that were owned or operated by CERC or may have been owned by one of its former affiliates. CERC and CenterPoint Energy do not expect the ultimate outcome of these investigations to have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of either CenterPoint Energy or CERC.

Asbestos. Some facilities owned by CERC's predecessors contain or have contained asbestos insulation and other asbestos-containing materials. CERC or its predecessor companies have been named, along with numerous others, as a defendant in lawsuits filed by a number of individuals who claim injury due to exposure to asbestos. Some of the claimants have worked at locations owned by CERC, but most existing claims relate to facilities previously owned by CERC's subsidiaries. CERC anticipates that additional claims like those received may be asserted in the future. Although their ultimate outcome cannot be predicted at this time, CERC intends to continue vigorously contesting claims that it does not consider to have merit and, based on its experience to date, does not expect these matters, either individually or in the aggregate, to have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Other Environmental. From time to time CERC identifies the presence of environmental contaminants on property where it conducts or has conducted operations. Other such sites involving contaminants may be identified in the future. CERC has and expects to continue to remediate identified sites consistent with its legal obligations. From time to time CERC has received notices from regulatory authorities or others regarding its status as a PRP in connection with sites found to require remediation due to the presence of environmental contaminants. In addition, CERC has been named from time to time as a defendant in litigation related to such sites. Although the ultimate outcome of such matters cannot be predicted at this time, CERC does not expect, based on its experience to date, these matters, either individually or in the aggregate, to have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Other Proceedings

CERC is involved in other legal, environmental, tax and regulatory proceedings before various courts, regulatory commissions and governmental agencies regarding matters arising in the ordinary course of business. From time to time, CERC is also a defendant in legal proceedings with respect to claims brought by various plaintiffs against broad groups of participants in the energy industry. Some of these proceedings involve substantial amounts. CERC regularly analyzes current information and, as necessary, provides accruals for probable liabilities on the eventual disposition of these matters. CERC does not expect the disposition of these matters to have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

(e) Guarantees

Prior to the distribution of CenterPoint Energy's ownership in RRI to its shareholders, CERC had guaranteed certain contractual obligations of what became RRI's trading subsidiary. When the companies separated, RRI agreed to secure CERC against obligations under the guarantees RRI had been unable to extinguish by the time of separation. Pursuant to such agreement, as amended in December 2007, RRI (now GenOn) agreed to provide to CERC cash or letters of credit as security against CERC's obligations under its remaining guarantees for demand charges under certain gas transportation agreements if and to the extent changes in market conditions expose CERC to a risk of loss on those guarantees based on an annual calculation, with any required collateral to be posted each December. The undiscounted maximum potential payout of the demand charges under these transportation contracts, which will be in effect until 2018, was approximately \$42 million as of December 31, 2014. Based on market conditions in the fourth quarter of 2014 at the time the most recent annual calculation was made under the agreement, GenOn was not obligated to post any security. If GenOn should fail to perform the contractual obligations, CERC could have to honor its guarantee and, in such event, any collateral then provided as security may be insufficient to satisfy CERC's obligations.

CERC Corp. has also provided a guarantee of collection of \$1.1 billion of Enable's Guaranteed Senior Notes. This guarantee is subordinated to all senior debt of CERC Corp. and is subject to automatic release on May 1, 2016.

The fair value of these guarantees is not material.

(14) Unaudited Quarterly Information

Summarized quarterly financial data is as follows:

| | | Year Ended D | ecember | 31, 2014 | |
|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|------------------|-------------------|
| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
| | | (in n | illions) | | |
| Revenues | \$ 2,531 | \$ 1,183 | \$ | 964 | \$ 1,689 |
| Operating income (loss) | 188 | 39 | | (3) | 111 |
| Net income | 152 | 48 | | 28 | 95 |

| | | Year Ended De | cember | 31, 2013 | |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------|------------------|-------------------|
| | First Quarter | Second Quarter (1) | | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
| | | (in m | illions) | | |
| Revenues | \$ 1,853 | \$ 1,235 | \$ | 891 | \$ 1,543 |
| Operating income | 250 | 56 | | 4 | 91 |
| Net income (loss) | 128 | (162) | | 32 | 66 |

(1) Effective May 1, 2013, CERC Corp. contributed CenterPoint Midstream to Enable. See Note 2(b) and Note 10 for further discussion on the formation of Enable and CERC's investment in Enable, respectively.

(15) Reportable Business Segments

Because CERC is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of CenterPoint Energy, CERC's determination of reportable business segments considers the strategic operating units under which CenterPoint Energy manages sales, allocates resources and assesses performance of various products and services to wholesale or retail customers in differing regulatory environments. CERC uses operating income as the measure of profit or loss for its business segments.

CERC's reportable business segments include the following: Natural Gas Distribution, Energy Services, Midstream Investments and Other Operations. Natural Gas Distribution consists of intrastate natural gas sales to, and natural gas transportation and distribution for, residential, commercial, industrial and institutional customers. Energy Services represents CERC's non-rate regulated gas sales and services operations. Midstream Investments consists primarily of CERC's investment in Enable and its retained interest in SESH. The Other Operations business segment includes unallocated corporate costs and intersegment eliminations.

Prior to May 1, 2013, CERC also reported an Interstate Pipelines business segment, which included CERC's interstate natural gas pipeline operations, and a Field Services business segment, which included CERC's non-rate regulated natural gas gathering, processing and treating operations. The formation of Enable closed on May 1, 2013. Enable now owns substantially all of CERC's former Interstate Pipelines and Field Services business segments, except for a 0.1% interest in SESH. As a result, effective May 1, 2013, CERC reports equity earnings associated with its interest in Enable and equity earnings associated with its interest in SESH under its Midstream Investments segment, and no longer has Interstate Pipelines and Field Services reporting segments prospectively.

Financial data for business segments and products and services are as follows (in millions):

| | Revenues from External Customers | Inter-segment Revenues | Depreciation and Amortization | | Operating Income (Loss) | Total Assets | Expenditures for Long- Lived Assets |
|---|---|-------------------------------|---|----|-------------------------------|------------------|---|
| As of and for the year ended December 31, 2014: | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas Distribution | \$ 3,271 | \$ 30 | \$ 201 | \$ | 287 | \$ 5,464 | \$ 525 |
| Energy Services | 3,095 | 84 | 5 | | 52 | 978 | 3 |
| Midstream Investments (1) | _ | _ | _ | | _ | 4,521 | _ |
| Other | 1 | _ | _ | | (4) | 1,046 | _ |
| Reconciling Eliminations | _ | (114) | _ | | _ | (964) | _ |
| Consolidated | \$ 6,367 | \$ _ | \$ 206 | \$ | 335 | \$ 11,045 | \$ 528 |
| As of and for the year ended December 31, 2013: | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas Distribution | \$ 2,837 | \$ 26 | \$ 185 | \$ | 263 | \$ 4,976 | \$ 430 |
| Energy Services | 2,374 | 27 | 5 | | 13 | 895 | 3 |
| Interstate Pipelines (2) (4) | 133 | 53 | 20 | | 72 | _ | 29 |
| Field Services (3) (4) | 178 | 18 | 20 | | 73 | _ | 16 |
| Midstream Investments (1) | _ | _ | _ | | _ | 4,518 | _ |
| Other | _ | _ | _ | | (20) | 1,139 | _ |
| Reconciling Eliminations | _ | (124) | _ | | _ | (996) | _ |
| Consolidated | \$ 5,522 | \$ _ | \$ 230 | \$ | 401 | \$ 10,532 | \$ 478 |
| As of and for the year ended December 31, 2012: | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas Distribution | \$ 2,320 | \$ 22 | \$ 173 | \$ | 226 | \$ 4,775 | \$ 359 |
| Energy Services | 1,758 | 26 | 6 | | (250) | 839 | 6 |
| Interstate Pipelines (2) | 356 | 146 | 56 | | 207 | 4,004 | 132 |
| Field Services (3) | 467 | 39 | 50 | | 214 | 2,453 | 52 |
| Other | _ | _ | _ | | (3) | 637 | _ |
| Reconciling Eliminations | _ | (233) | _ | | _ | (1,528) | _ |
| Consolidated | \$ 4,901 | \$ | \$ 285 | \$ | 394 | \$ 11,180 | \$ 549 |
| | | | | _ | | | |

- (1) Midstream Investments reported equity earnings of \$303 million from Enable and \$5 million of equity earnings from CERC's interest in SESH for the year ended December 31, 2014. Midstream Investments reported equity earnings of \$173 million from Enable and \$8 million of equity earnings from CERC's interest in SESH for the eight months ended December 31, 2013. Included in total assets of Midstream Investments as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 is \$4,520 million and \$4,319 million, respectively, related to CERC's investment in Enable and \$1 million and \$199 million related to CERCs retained interest in SESH, respectively.
- (2) Interstate Pipelines recorded equity income of \$7 million and \$26 million in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, from its interest in SESH, a jointly-owned pipeline. These amounts are included in Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates under the Other Income (Expense) caption. Interstate Pipelines' investment in SESH was \$404 million as of December 31, 2012, and is included in Investment in unconsolidated affiliates. As discussed above, effective May 1, 2013, CenterPoint Energy reports equity earnings associated with its interest in Enable and equity earnings associated with its interest in SESH under its Midstream Investments segment, and no longer has an Interstate Pipelines reporting segment prospectively.
- (3) Field Services recorded equity income of \$5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from its interest in Waskom. This amount is included in Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates under the Other Income (Expense) caption. Beginning on August 1, 2012, financial results for Waskom are included in operating income due to the July 31, 2012 purchase of the 50% interest in Waskom that CenterPoint Energy did not already own. CERC contributed 100% interest in Waskom to Enable on May 1, 2013. Effective May 1, 2013, CERC reports equity earnings associated with its

interest in Enable under its Midstream Investments segment, and no longer has a Field Services reporting segment prospectively.

(4) Results reflected in the year ended December 31, 2013 represent only January 2013 through April 2013.

| | | Year | Ended December 31 | , | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|------|-------------------|----|-------|
| Revenues by Products and Services: | 2014 | | 2013 | | 2012 |
| | | | (in millions) | | |
| Retail gas sales | \$ 5,049 | \$ | 4,150 | \$ | 3,328 |
| Wholesale gas sales | 1,159 | | 913 | | 613 |
| Gas transportation and processing | 38 | | 345 | | 847 |
| Energy products and services | 121 | | 114 | | 113 |
| Total | \$ 6,367 | \$ | 5,522 | \$ | 4,901 |

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

In accordance with Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2014 to provide assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

There has been no change in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the three months ended December 31, 2014 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

The Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) pertains to the assessment of internal control effectiveness in an organization. This framework was first implemented in 1992 and revised in 2013. CERC utilizes this framework for assessing the effectiveness of our internal controls and transitioned to the new 2013 COSO framework during the fourth quarter of 2014.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the company's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company;
- Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally
 accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of
 management and directors of the company; and
- Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management has designed its internal control over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Management's assessment included review and testing of both the design effectiveness and operating effectiveness of controls over all relevant assertions related to all significant accounts and disclosures in the financial statements.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation under the framework in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* (2013), our management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2014.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit us to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Item 9B. Other Information

The ratio of earnings to fixed charges as calculated pursuant to Securities and Exchange Commission rules was 4.50, 3.34, 3.05, 3.50 and 3.05 for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information called for by Item 10 is omitted pursuant to Instruction I(2) to Form 10-K (Omission of Information by Certain Wholly Owned Subsidiaries).

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information called for by Item 11 is omitted pursuant to Instruction I(2) to Form 10-K (Omission of Information by Certain Wholly Owned Subsidiaries).

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information called for by Item 12 is omitted pursuant to Instruction I(2) to Form 10-K (Omission of Information by Certain Wholly Owned Subsidiaries).

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information called for by Item 13 is omitted pursuant to Instruction I(2) to Form 10-K (Omission of Information by Certain Wholly Owned Subsidiaries).

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Aggregate fees billed to CERC during the fiscal years ending December 31, 2014 and 2013 by its principal accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, are set forth below.

| Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|
| 2014 | | 2013 | |
| \$ 1,124,640 | \$ | 1,898,216 | |
| 60,000 | | 58,000 | |
| 1,184,640 | | 1,956,216 | |
| _ | | _ | |
| _ | | _ | |
| \$ 1,184,640 | \$ | 1,956,216 | |
| \$ | \$ 1,124,640 60,000 1,184,640 — | 2014 \$ 1,124,640 \$ 60,000 1,184,640 ———————————————————————————————————— | |

- (1) For 2014 and 2013, amounts include fees for services provided by the principal accounting firm relating to the integrated audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting, statutory audits, attest services, and regulatory filings.
- (2) For 2014 and 2013, includes fees for consultations concerning financial accounting and reporting standards and various agreed-upon or expanded procedures related to accounting records to comply with financial accounting or regulatory reporting matters.

CERC is not required to have, and does not have, an audit committee.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a)(1) Financial Statements.

| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | <u>51</u> |
|---|-----------|
| Statements of Consolidated Income for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2014 | <u>52</u> |
| Statements of Consolidated Comprehensive Income for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2014 | <u>53</u> |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2014 and 2013 | <u>54</u> |
| Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2014 | <u>55</u> |
| Statements of Consolidated Stockholder's Equity for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2014 | <u>56</u> |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | <u>57</u> |

The financial statements of Enable Midstream Partners, LP required pursuant to Rule 3-09 of Regulation S-X are included in this filing as Exhibit 99.1.

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2014

| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | <u>89</u> |
|---|-----------|
| II— Valuation and Qualifying Accounts | <u>90</u> |

The following schedules are omitted because of the absence of the conditions under which they are required or because the required information is included in the financial statements:

I, III, IV and V.

(a)(3) Exhibits.

See Index of Exhibits beginning on page 92.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Stockholder of CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. Houston, Texas

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. and subsidiaries (the "Company", an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of CenterPoint Energy, Inc.) as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014, and have issued our report thereon dated March 11, 2015; such report is included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule of the Company listed in the index at Item 15(a)(2). This financial statement schedule is the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas March 11, 2015

CENTERPOINT ENERGY RESOURCES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

(An Indirect Wholly Owned Subsidiary of CenterPoint Energy, Inc.)

SCHEDULE II — VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS For the Three Years Ended December 31, 2014

| Column A | | Column B | | Colu | Column C | | | Column D | | Column E | |
|--|----|--------------------------------------|----|----------------------|----------|---------------------------------|----|------------------------------------|----|--------------------------------|--|
| | | | | Add | lition | 15 | | | | | |
| <u>Description</u> | | Balance at Beginning of Period | | Charged to Income | | Charged to Other Accounts | | Deductions From Reserves (1) | | Balance at End of Period | |
| | | | | | | (in millions) | | | | | |
| Year Ended December 31, 2014: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Accumulated provisions: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Uncollectible accounts receivable | \$ | 25 | \$ | 20 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 23 | \$ | 23 | |
| Deferred tax asset valuation allowance | | 2 | | _ | | _ | | _ | | 2 | |
| Year Ended December 31, 2013: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Accumulated provisions: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Uncollectible accounts receivable | \$ | 23 | \$ | 20 | \$ | _ | \$ | 18 | \$ | 25 | |
| Deferred tax asset valuation allowance | | 2 | | _ | | _ | | _ | | 2 | |
| Year Ended December 31, 2012: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Accumulated provisions: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Uncollectible accounts receivable | \$ | 24 | \$ | 15 | \$ | _ | \$ | 16 | \$ | 23 | |
| Deferred tax asset valuation allowance | | 3 | | (1) | | _ | | _ | | 2 | |

⁽¹⁾ Deductions from reserves represent losses or expenses for which the respective reserves were created. In the case of the uncollectible accounts reserve, such deductions are net of recoveries of amounts previously written off.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Houston, the State of Texas, on the 11th day of March, 2015.

CENTERPOINT ENERGY RESOURCES CORP.

(Registrant)

| By: | /s/ SCOTT M. PROCHAZKA |
|-----|---------------------------------------|
| | Scott M. Prochazka |
| | President and Chief Executive Officer |

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on March 11, 2015.

| Signature | Title |
|--|--|
| /s/ SCOTT M. PROCHAZKA (Scott M. Prochazka) | Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer and Director) |
| /s/ WILLIAM D. ROGERS (William D. Rogers) | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) |
| /s/ KRISTIE L. COLVIN (Kristie L. Colvin) | Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer) |

CENTERPOINT ENERGY RESOURCES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

EXHIBITS TO THE ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K For Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2014

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

Exhibits not incorporated by reference to a prior filing are designated by a cross (+); all exhibits not so designated are incorporated herein by reference to a prior filing as indicated.

| Exhibit Number | Description | Report or Registration Statement | SEC File or Registration Number | Exhibit Reference |
|-------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 2(a)(1) | Agreement and Plan of Merger among CERC, Houston Lighting and Power Company ("HL&P"), HI Merger, Inc. and NorAm Energy Corp. ("NorAm") dated August 11, 1996 | Houston Industries' ("HI's") Form 8-K dated August 11, 1996 | 1-7629 | 2 |
| 2(a)(2) | Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger among CERC, HL&P, HI Merger, Inc. and NorAm dated August 11, 1996 | Registration Statement on Form S-4 | 333-11329 | 2(c) |
| 2(b) | Agreement and Plan of Merger dated December 29, 2000 merging Reliant Resources Merger Sub, Inc. with and into Reliant Energy Services, Inc. | Registration Statement on Form S-3 | 333-54526 | 2 |
| 2(c) | Master Formation Agreement dated March 14, 2013 by and among CenterPoint Energy, Inc., OGE Energy Corp., Bronco Midstream Holdings, LLC and Bronco Midstream Holdings II, LLC. | Form 8-K dated March 14, 2013 | 1-31447 | 2.1 |
| 3(a)(1) | Certificate of Incorporation of Reliant Energy Resources Corp. ("RERC Corp.") | Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997 | 1-3187 | 3(a)(1) |
| 3(a)(2) | Certificate of Merger merging former NorAm Energy Corp. with and into HI Merger, Inc. dated August 6, 1997 | Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997 | 1-3187 | 3(a)(2) |
| 3(a)(3) | Certificate of Amendment changing the name to Reliant Energy Resources Corp. | Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 | 1-3187 | 3(a)(3) |
| 3(a)(4) | Certificate of Amendment changing the name to CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. | Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 | 1-13265 | 3(a)(4) |
| 3(b) | Bylaws of RERC Corp. | Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997 | 1-3187 | 3(b) |
| 4(a)(1) | Indenture, dated as of February 1, 1998, between RERC Corp. and Chase Bank of Texas, National Association, as Trustee | Form 8-K dated February 5, 1998 | 1-13265 | 4.1 |
| 4(a)(2) | Supplemental Indenture No. 1, dated as of February 1, 1998, providing for the issuance of RERC Corp.'s 6 1/2% Debentures due February 1, 2008 | Form 8-K dated February 5, 1998 | 1-13265 | 4.2 |
| 4(a)(3) | Supplemental Indenture No. 2, dated as of November 1, 1998, providing for the issuance of RERC Corp.'s 6 3/8% Term Enhanced ReMarketable Securities | Form 8-K dated November 9, 1998 | 1-13265 | 4.1 |
| 4(a)(4) | Supplemental Indenture No. 3, dated as of July 1, 2000, providing for the issuance of RERC Corp.'s 8.125% Notes due 2005 | Registration Statement on Form S-4 | 333-49162 | 4.2 |

| Exhibit Number | Description | Report or Registration Statement | SEC File or Registration Number | Exhibit Reference |
|-------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 4(a)(5) | Supplemental Indenture No. 4, dated as of February 15, 2001, providing for the issuance of RERC Corp.'s 7.75% Notes due 2011 | Form 8-K dated February 21, 2001 | 1-13265 | 4.1 |
| 4(a)(6) | Supplemental Indenture No. 5, dated as of March 25, 2003, providing for the issuance of CERC Corp.'s 7.875% Senior Notes due 2013 | Form 8-K dated March 18, 2003 | 1-13265 | 4.1 |
| 4(a)(7) | Supplemental Indenture No. 6, dated as of April 14, 2003, providing for the issuance of CERC Corp.'s 7.875% Senior Notes due 2013 | Form 8-K dated April 7, 2003 | 1-13265 | 4.2 |
| 4(a)(8) | Supplemental Indenture No. 7, dated as of November 3, 2003, providing for the issuance of CERC Corp.'s 5.95% Senior Notes due 2014 | Form 8-K dated October 29, 2003 | 1-13265 | 4.2 |
| 4(a)(9) | Supplemental Indenture No. 8, dated as of December 28, 2005, providing for the issuance of CERC Corp.'s 6 1/2% Debentures due 2008 | CenterPoint Energy, Inc.'s ("CNP's") Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 | 1-31447 | 4(f)(9) |
| 4(a)(10) | Supplemental Indenture No. 9, dated as of May 18, 2006, providing for the issuance of CERC Corp.'s 6.15% Senior Notes due 2016 | CNP's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 | 1-31447 | 4.7 |
| 4(a)(11) | Supplemental Indenture No. 10, dated as of February 6, 2007, providing for the issuance of CERC Corp.'s 6.25% Senior Notes due 2037 | CNP's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 | 1-31447 | 4(f)(11) |
| 4(a)(12) | Supplemental Indenture No. 11 dated as of October 23, 2007, providing for the issuance of CERC Corp.'s 6.125% Senior Notes due 2017 | CNP's Form 10-Q for quarter ended September 30, 2007 | 1-31447 | 4.8 |
| 4(a)(13) | Supplemental Indenture No. 12 dated as of October 23, 2007, providing for the issuance of CERC Corp.'s 6.625% Senior Notes due 2037 | CNP's Form 10-Q for quarter ended September 30, 2007 | 1-31447 | 4.9 |
| 4(a)(14) | Supplemental Indenture No. 13 dated as of May 15, 2008, providing for the issuance of CERC Corp.'s 6.00% Senior Notes due 2018 | CNP's Form 10-Q for quarter ended June 30, 2008 | 1-31447 | 4.9 |
| 4(a)(15) | Supplemental Indenture No. 14 to Exhibit 4(a)(1) dated as of January 11, 2011, providing for the issuance of CERC Corp.'s 4.50% Senior Notes due 2021 and 5.85% Senior Notes due 2041 | CNP's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 | 1-31447 | 4(a)(15) |
| 4(a)(16) | Supplemental Indenture No. 15 to Exhibit 4(a)(1) dated as of January 20, 2011, providing for the issuance of CERC Corp.'s 4.50% Senior Notes due 2021 | CNP's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 | 1-31447 | 4(a)(16) |
| 4(b)(1) | \$950,000,000 Credit Agreement dated as of September 9, 2011, among CERC Corp., as Borrower, and the banks named therein | Form 8-K dated September 9, 2011 | 1-31447 | 4.3 |
| 4(b)(2) | First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated April 11, 2013, by and among CERC Corp., Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent, and the banks party thereto. | Form 8-K dated April 11, 2013 | 1-31447 | 4.2 |
| 4(b)(3) | Second Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated September 9, 2013, by and among CERC Corp., Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent, and the banks party thereto. | Form 8-K dated September 9, 2013 | 1-31447 | 4.3 |

| Exhibit Number | Description | Report or Registration Statement | SEC File or Registration Number | Exhibit Reference |
|-------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 4(b)(4) | Third Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated September 9, 2014, by and among CERC Corp., as Borrower, and the banks named therein | Form 8-K dated September 10, 2014 | 1-31447 | 4.3 |

There have not been filed as exhibits to this Form 10-K certain long-term debt instruments, including indentures, under which the total amount of securities do not exceed 10% of the total assets of CERC. CERC hereby agrees to furnish a copy of any such instrument to the SEC upon request.

| Exhibit Number | Description | Report or Registration Statement | SEC File or Registration Number | Exhibit Reference |
|-------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 10(a) | Service Agreement by and between Mississippi River Transmission Corporation and Laclede Gas Company dated August 22, 1989 | NorAm's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989 | 1-13265 | 10.20 |
| 10(b) | Commitment Letter dated March 14, 2013 by and among CenterPoint Energy, Inc., Enogex LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., UBS Loan Finance LLC and UBS Securities LLC relating to a \$1,050,000,000 3-year unsecured term loan facility. | Form 8-K dated March 14, 2013 | 1-31447 | 10.1 |
| 10(c) | Commitment Letter dated March 14, 2013 by and among CenterPoint Energy, Inc., Enogex LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., UBS Loan Finance LLC and UBS Securities LLC relating to a \$1,400,000,000 5-year unsecured revolving credit facility. | Form 8-K dated March 14, 2013 | 1-31447 | 10.2 |
| 10(d) | First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of CenterPoint Energy Field Services LP dated as of May 1, 2013. | Form 8-K dated May 1, 2013 | 1-31447 | 10.1 |
| 10(e) | First Amendment to the First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of CenterPoint Energy Field Services LP dated as of July 30, 2013. | CNP's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 | 1-31447 | 10.1 |
| 10(f) | Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Enable Midstream Partners, LP dated April 16, 2014 | CNP's Form 8-K dated April 16, 2014 | 1-31447 | 10.1 |
| 10(g) | Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of CNP OGE GP LLC dated as of May 1, 2013. | Form 8-K dated May 1, 2013 | 1-31447 | 10.2 |
| 10(h) | Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Enable GP, LLC dated as of July 30, 2013. | CNP's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 | 1-31447 | 10.2 |
| 10(i) | First Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Enable GP, LLC dated as of April 16, 2014 | CNP's Form 8-K dated April 16, 2014 | 1-31447 | 10.2 |
| 10(j) | Registration Rights Agreement dated as of May 1, 2013 by and among CenterPoint Energy Field Services LP, CERC Corp., OGE Enogex Holdings LLC, and Enogex Holdings LLC. | Form 8-K dated May 1, 2013 | 1-31447 | 10.3 |
| 10(k) | Omnibus Agreement dated as of May 1, 2013 among CenterPoint Energy, Inc., OGE Energy Corp., Enogex Holdings LLC and CenterPoint Energy Field Services LP. | Form 8-K dated May 1, 2013 | 1-31447 | 10.4 |

| Exhibit Number | Description | Report or Registration Statement | SEC File or Registration Number | Exhibit Reference | |
|-------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| 10(1) | Term Loan Facility dated as of May 1, 2013 by and among CenterPoint Energy Field Services LP and Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent, UBS Securities LLC, as syndication agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as co-documentation agents, and the several lenders thereto relating to a \$1,050,000,000 3-year unsecured term loan facility. | Form 8-K dated May 1, 2013 | 1-31447 | 10.5 | |
| 10(m) | First Amendment and Waiver to Term Loan Agreement dated as of January 23, 2014 by and among Enable Midstream Partners, LP, the lenders party thereto and Citibank, N.A., as agent. | CNP's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 | 1-31447 | 99.4 | |
| 10(n) | Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of May 1, 2013 by and among CenterPoint Energy Field Services LP and Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent, UBS Securities LLC, as syndication agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as co-documentation agents, the several lenders from time to time party thereto and the letter of credit issuers from time to time party thereto relating to a \$1,400,000,000 5-year unsecured revolving credit facility. | Form 8-K dated May 1, 2013 | 1-31447 | 10.6 | |
| 10(o) | First Amendment and Waiver to Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of January 23, 2014 by and among Enable Midstream Partners, LP, the lenders party thereto and Citibank, N.A., as agent. | CNP's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 | 1-31447 | 99.3 | |
| 10(p) | Subordinated Guaranty of Collection dated as of May 1, 2013 by CERC Corp. in favor of Citibank, N.A., as agent. | Form 8-K dated May 1, 2013 | 1-31447 | 10.7 | |
| 10(q) | Indenture, dated as of May 27, 2014, between Enable Midstream Partners, LP and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee. | Form 8-K dated May 27, 2014 | 1-13265 | 10.1 | |
| 10(r) | First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 27, 2014, among Enable Midstream Partners, LP, CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp., as guarantor, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee. | Form 8-K dated May 27, 2014 | 1-13265 | 10.2 | |
| 10(s) | Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of May 27, 2014, by and among Enable Midstream Partners, LP, CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp., as guarantor, and RBS Securities Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, and RBC Capital Markets, LLC, as representatives of the initial purchasers. | Form 8-K dated May 27, 2014 | 1-13265 | 10.3 | |
| +12 | Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges | | | | |
| +23.1 | Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP | | | | |
| +23.2 | Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm of Enable Midstream Partners, LP | | | | |
| +31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Scott M. Prochazka | | | | |
| +31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of William D. Rogers | | | | |
| +32.1 | Section 1350 Certification of Scott M. Prochazka | | | | |

| Exhibit Number | Description | SEC File or Registration Number | Exhibit Reference | |
|-------------------|---|---|----------------------|--------|
| +32.2 | Section 1350 Certification of William D. Rogers | | | |
| 99.1 | Financial Statements of Enable Midstream Partners, LP as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 | Part II, Item 8 of Enable Midstream Partners, LP's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 | 001-36413 | Item 8 |
| +101.INS | XBRL Instance Document | | | |
| +101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document | | | |
| +101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document | | | |
| +101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document | | | |
| +101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document | | | |
| +101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document | | | |

CENTERPOINT ENERGY RESOURCES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES (An Indirect Wholly Owned Subsidiary of CenterPoint Energy, Inc.)

COMPUTATION OF RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES (Millions of Dollars)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------|----|----------|----|----------|-----------|----|----------|
| | | 2014 (1) | | 2013 (1) | | 2012 (1) | 2011 (1) | | 2010 (1) |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Income | \$ | 323 | \$ | 64 | \$ | 137 | \$ 316 | \$ | 300 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, net of distributions | | (2) | | (58) | | 8 | 8 | | 13 |
| Income taxes | | 188 | | 371 | | 246 | 187 | | 187 |
| Capitalized interest | | (1) | | (1) | | (2) | _ | | (7) |
| | | 508 | | 376 | | 389 | 511 | | 493 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed charges, as defined: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest | | 141 | | 154 | | 179 | 190 | | 208 |
| Capitalized interest | | 1 | | 1 | | 2 | _ | | 7 |
| Interest component of rentals charged to operating expense | | 3 | | 6 | | 9 | 14 | | 25 |
| Total fixed charges | | 145 | | 161 | | 190 | 204 | | 240 |
| | | | | | | | | • | |
| Earnings, as defined | \$ | 653 | \$ | 537 | \$ | 579 | \$ 715 | \$ | 733 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Ratio of earnings to fixed charges | | 4.50 | | 3.34 | | 3.05 | 3.50 | | 3.05 |

⁽¹⁾ Excluded from the computation of fixed charges for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is interest income of \$-0-, \$3 million, \$3 million, \$-0- and \$1 million, respectively, which is included in income tax expense.

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement No. 333-193695-01 on Form S-3 of our reports dated March 11, 2015, relating to the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule of CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. and subsidiaries, appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. for the year ended December 31, 2014.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas March 11, 2015

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement No. 333-193695-01 on Form S-3 of CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. of our report dated February 18, 2015, relating to the combined and consolidated financial statements of Enable Midstream Partners, LP and subsidiaries, (collectively the "Partnership") (which report expresses an unqualified opinion and includes an explanatory paragraph relating to the preparation of the combined and consolidated financial statements of Enable Midstream Partners, LP from the historical accounting records maintained by CenterPoint Energy, Inc. and its subsidiaries), appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. for the year ended December 31, 2014.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas March 11, 2015

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Scott M. Prochazka, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 11, 2015

/s/ Scott M. Prochazka

Scott M. Prochazka

President and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATIONS

I, William D. Rogers, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 11, 2015

/s/ William D. Rogers

William D. Rogers

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 (the "Report"), as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof, I, Scott M. Prochazka, Chief Executive Officer, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to the best of my knowledge, that:

- 1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- 2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Scott M. Prochazka

Scott M. Prochazka President and Chief Executive Officer March 11, 2015

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 (the "Report"), as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof, I, William D. Rogers, Chief Financial Officer, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to the best of my knowledge, that:

- 1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- 2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ William D. Rogers

William D. Rogers
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
March 11, 2015