UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(MARK ONE)

[X]

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF

THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998

0R

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF [ ]

THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 1-3187

HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED d/b/a RELIANT ENERGY, INCORPORATED

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)\*

TEXAS

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or

organization)

1111 LOUISIANA
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002
(Address and zip code of principal executive offices) (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

74-0694415 (I.R.S. employer identification number)

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF

THE ACT:

TITLE OF EACH CLASS

and associated rights to purchase preference stock

NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE ON WHICH REGISTERED

New York Stock Exchange Chicago Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange

Preferred Securities, Series A
REI Trust I 7.20% Trust Originated Preferred Securities,
Series C\*\*

Common Stock, without par value,

Securities due July 1, 2000 HL&P Capital Trust I 8.125% Trust

7% Automatic Common Exchange

New York Stock Exchange

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT:

Preferred Stock, cumulative, no par, \$4 series

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 1-13265 RELIANT ENERGY RESOURCES CORP. (FORMERLY NORAM ENERGY CORP.)

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

1111 LOUISIANA

Houston, Texas 77002 (Address and zip code of principal executive offices)

76-0511406

(I.R.S. employer identification number)

(713) 207-3000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

#### TITLE OF EACH CLASS

NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE ON WHICH REGISTERED

NorAm Financing I 6 % Convertible Trust Originated Preferred Securities 6% Convertible Subordinated Debentures due 2012 New York Stock Exchange
New York Stock Exchange

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT: NONE

RELIANT ENERGY RESOURCES CORP. MEETS THE CONDITIONS SET FORTH IN GENERAL INSTRUCTION I(1)(a) AND (b) OF FORM 10-K AND IS THEREFORE FILING THIS FORM 10-K WITH THE REDUCED DISCLOSURE FORMAT.

Indicate by check mark whether each of the registrants: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of each of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [X]

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of Houston Industries Incorporated d/b/a Reliant Energy, Incorporated (Company) was \$8,242,087,474 as of March 11, 1999, using the definition of beneficial ownership contained in Rule 13d-3 promulgated pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and excluding shares held by directors and executive officers. As of March 11, 1999, the Company had 296,329,510 shares of Common Stock outstanding, including 11,424,063 ESOP shares not deemed outstanding for financial statement purposes. Excluded from the number of shares of Common Stock outstanding are 91,512 shares held by the Company as treasury stock. As of March 11, 1999, all 1,000 outstanding shares of Reliant Energy Resources Corp.'s Common Stock were held by the Company.

Portions of the definitive proxy statement relating to the 1999 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company, which will be filed within 120 days of December 31, 1998, are incorporated by reference in Item 10, Item 11, Item 12 and Item 13 of Part III of this Form 10-K.

\*On May 5, 1999, the Company's shareholders will vote on a proposal to amend the Articles of Incorporation of the Company to change its name from "Houston Industries Incorporated" to "Reliant Energy, Incorporated."

 $\ensuremath{^{**} \text{Issued}}$  on February 26, 1999.

THIS COMBINED ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K IS SEPARATELY FILED BY HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED, d/b/a reliant energy, incorporated and reliant energy resources corp. (formerly known as noram energy corp.). Information contained herein relating to reliant energy resources corp. Is filed by reliant energy, incorporated and separately by reliant energy resources corp. On its own behalf. Reliant energy resources corp. Makes no representation as to information relating to reliant energy, incorporated (except as it may relate to reliant energy resources corp. and its subsidiaries), reliant energy international, inc. (formerly known as houston industries energy, inc.), reliant energy power generation, inc. (formerly known as hi power generation, inc.) or any other affiliate or subsidiary of reliant energy, incorporated.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART	I	
Item	1.	Business
Item	2.	Properties
Item	3.	Legal Proceedings23
Item	4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders23
PART	II	
Item	5.	Market for the Company's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters24
Item	6.	Selected Financial Data of the Company25
Compa	any	
Item	7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and
		Results of Operations of the Company27
Item	7A	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk54
Item	8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of the Company58
Reso	urces	
Item	7.	Management's Narrative Analysis of the Results of Operations of Reliant Energy Resources Corp. and Consolidated Subsidiaries
Item	8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of Resources
Item	9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure148
PART	III	
Item	10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Company and Resources
Item	11.	Executive Compensation
Item	12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management
Item	13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions148
PART	IV	
Item	14.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K149

## CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING INFORMATION

From time to time, Reliant Energy, Incorporated (Company) and Reliant Energy Resources Corp. (Resources) may make statements regarding their assumptions, projections, expectations, intentions or beliefs about future events. These statements and other statements that are not historical facts are intended as "forward-looking statements" under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The Company and Resources caution that assumptions, projections, expectations, intentions or beliefs about future events may and often do vary materially from actual results and the differences between assumptions, projections, expectations, intentions or beliefs and actual results can be material. Accordingly, there can be no assurance actual results will not differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements.

The following are some of the factors that could cause actual results to differ from those expressed or implied in forward-looking statements: (i) state and federal legislative and regulatory initiatives that affect cost and investment recovery, have an impact on rate structures and affect the speed and degree to which competition enters the electric and natural gas industries; (ii) industrial, commercial and residential growth in service territories of the Company and Resources; (iii) the weather and other natural phenomena; (iv) the timing and extent of changes in commodity prices and interest rates; (v) changes in environmental and other laws and regulations to which the Company, Resources and their respective subsidiaries are subject or other external factors over which the Company and Resources have no control; (vi) the results of financing efforts; (vii) growth in opportunities for the Company's and Resources' subsidiaries and diversified operations; and (viii) risks incidental to the Company's overseas operations (including the effects of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates).

The following sections of this Form 10-K contain forward-looking statements: "Business -- Certain Factors," "Business -- Electric Operations -- System Capability," "Business -- Electric Operations -- Capital Expenditures," "Business -- Electric Operations -- Fuel," "Business -- Wholesale Energy Power Generation," "Business -- Wholesale Energy-- Energy Marketing and Risk Management," "Business -- International -- Major Foreign Investments," "Business -- Regulation -- Federal Energy Regulatory Commission," and "Business --Environmental Matters" in Item 1 of this Form 10-K; "Legal Proceedings" in Item 3 of this Form 10-K; "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Results of Operations by Business Segment -- Wholesale Energy ," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Results of Operations by Business Segment -- International," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries -- Competition -- Other Operations," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries -- Impact of the Year 2000 Issue and Other System Implementation Issues," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries -- Risks of International Operations," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries -- Environmental Expenditures" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Liquidity and Capital Resources -- Company Consolidated Capital Requirements" and "-- Consolidated Sources of Capital Resources and Liquidity" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K; and "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in Item 7A of this Form 10-K.

PART I

#### ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

Reliant Energy, Incorporated (Company) is a diversified international energy services company. Its Reliant Energy HL&P division (formerly Houston Lighting & Power Company) provides electric utility services to approximately 1.6 million customers in Houston, Texas and surrounding areas on the Texas Gulf Coast. Its largest subsidiary, Reliant Energy Resources Corp. (Resources) (formerly NorAm Energy Corp.), is a natural gas utility serving over 2.8 million customers in Arkansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, Oklahoma and Texas. Resources, through its subsidiaries, is also a major interstate natural gas pipeline company and a provider of energy marketing services.

The Company's other principal subsidiaries include Reliant Energy International, Inc. (formerly Houston Industries Energy, Inc.) which participates in the privatization of foreign generating and distribution facilities and the development and acquisition of foreign independent power projects, and Reliant Energy Power Generation, Inc. (formerly Houston Industries Power Generation, Inc.), which participates in the acquisition, development and operation of non-rate regulated power generation assets.

The Company, subject to certain limited exceptions, is exempt from regulation as a public utility holding company pursuant to Section 3(a)(2) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended (1935 Act). For additional information regarding the Company's status under the 1935 Act, see "--Regulation--Public Utility Holding Company Act."

The Company, incorporated in 1906, is a Texas corporation. Resources, incorporated in 1996, is a Delaware corporation. The executive offices of the Company and Resources are located at 1111 Louisiana, Houston, Texas 77002, P.O. Box 4567 (telephone number 713-207-3000). On May 5, 1999, the Company's shareholders will vote on a proposal to amend the Company's Restated Articles of Incorporation to change its name from "Houston Industries Incorporated" to "Reliant Energy, Incorporated."

#### CERTAIN FACTORS

The Company's and its subsidiaries' operations are affected by various factors, including competition, legislative and regulatory changes, stringent environmental regulations, contingencies associated with nuclear plant ownership, diversification into businesses outside of traditional regulated utility operations and currency fluctuations and emerging market risks in connection with international operations. The effects of these and other factors on the business and operations of the Company and its subsidiaries are described in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries."

Regulation of electric utility operations on both state and federal levels is in flux, with the goal of, among other things, enhancing competition. The Texas legislature is considering several bills relating to the deregulation of retail electricity sales. The adoption of such legislation is expected to have a major impact on the Company. For information regarding these developments, as they affect the Company, including without limitation, the Company's ability to recover its existing investment in certain electric utility facilities, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries -- Competition and Restructuring of Electric Utility Industry," which section is incorporated herein by reference.

## BUSINESS SEGMENTS

Effective January 1, 1998, the Company reconfigured its consolidated financial reporting segments to include the following: Electric Operations, Natural Gas Distribution, Interstate Pipelines, Wholesale Energy Marketing and Generation (Wholesale Energy), International and Corporate. Electric Operations includes the operations of Reliant

Energy HL&P. Natural Gas Distribution includes the gas utility operations of Resources. Interstate Pipeline includes the interstate natural gas pipeline operations of Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Company (REGT) and Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (MRT). Wholesale Energy includes the operations of Reliant Energy Power Generation, Inc. (Power Generation), as well as the energy marketing and trading operations of Reliant Energy Services, Inc. (Reliant Energy Services) and the natural gas gathering operations of Reliant Energy Field Services. International includes the operations of Reliant Energy International, Inc. (Reliant Energy International). Corporate includes the operations of Reliant Energy Retail, Inc. (Reliant Energy Retail), which conducts a non-rate regulated retail services business, certain real estate holdings of the Company and corporate expenses.

For financial and accounting information regarding the Company's segments, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Results of Operations by Business Segment" and Note 15 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements, which note is incorporated herein by reference.

Information regarding historical and projected capital expenditures for each segment is set forth in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Liquidity and Capital Resources -- Company's Consolidated Capital Requirements." Information regarding the impact of competition on each segment is set forth in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations on the Company -- Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries -- Competition and Restructuring of the Electric Utility Industry" and "-- Competition -- Other Operations," which sections are incorporated herein by reference. Information regarding regulatory matters affecting each segment is set forth below under "-- Regulation" and Note 3 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following sections describe generally the business of each of the Company's segments.

#### **ELECTRIC OPERATIONS**

The Electric Operations segment includes Reliant Energy HL&P, the Company's regulated electric utility division, which generates, purchases, transmits and distributes electricity to approximately 1.6 million residential, commercial and industrial customers in a 5,000 square-mile service area on the Texas Gulf Coast, including Houston, Texas, the nation's fourth largest city. The Company's non-rate regulated generation business is conducted by Power Generation. For information regarding Power Generation, see "-- Wholesale Energy." For the year ended December 31, 1998, Electric Operations represented approximately 38% of the Company's total consolidated revenues and 69% of its operating income. For the years ended December 31, 1997 and 1996, Electric Operations represented approximately 62% and 98%, respectively, of the Company's total consolidated revenues and 93% and 100%, respectively, of its operating income.

All projections and other forward-looking data set forth under "Electric Operations," including information regarding System Capability and Capital Expenditures, assume the continued existence of a cost-based regulatory system.

## SERVICE AREA

Houston's economy, although it has become increasingly diversified over the past ten years, is still centered primarily on energy sector industries, such as oil companies, petrochemical and refining complexes, industrial and petrochemical construction firms and natural gas distribution and processing centers. During the year ended December 31, 1998, energy sector industries accounted for approximately 33% of Electric Operations' industrial electric base revenues and 8% of its total electric base revenues. Other important sectors of Houston's economy include the Port of Houston, the Johnson Space Center, the Texas Medical Center and a growing electronics and computer industry.

The Company is a member of the Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc. (ERCOT) and is interconnected to a transmission grid encompassing most of the State of Texas.

#### ELECTRIC UTILITY ASSETS

The Company owns and operates (i) 12 electric generating stations (62 generating units) with a combined turbine nameplate rating of 13,554,608 kilowatts (KW) and (ii) 213 major substation sites (240 substations) having a total installed rated transformer capacity of 53,413 megavolt amperes (Mva). The Company is one of four co-owners of the South Texas Project Electric Generating Station (South Texas Project), a nuclear generating plant consisting of two 1,250 megawatt (MW) nuclear generating units in which the Company has a 30.8% ownership interest. All of the electric generating stations and other operating properties used in the business of Electric Operations are located in the State of Texas. For additional information regarding the assets used in Electric Operations' business, see "Properties" in Item 2 of this Form 10-K.

#### SYSTEM CAPABILITY

The following table sets forth information regarding Electric Operations' system capability:

YEAR	INSTALLED NET CAPABILITY AT PEAK (MW)	FIRM PURCHASED POWER CONTRACTS (MW)(1)	TOTAL NET CAPABILITY (MW)		OURLY FIRM AND MW(2)(3)	% CHANGE FROM PRIOR YEAR	CALCULATED RESERVE MARGIN (%)
1994	13,666	720	14,386	Jun. 28	11,126	(1.9)	29.3
	13,921	445	14,366	Jul. 27	11,452	2.9	25.4
	13,960	445	14,405	Jul. 23	11,694	2.1	23.2
	13,960	445	14,405	Aug. 21	12,246	4.7	17.6
	14,040	320	14,360	Aug. 3	13,006	6.2	10.4

- (1) Purchased power capability for 1998 excludes a 125 MW contract that expired in June 1998. For additional information on purchased power contracts, see "-- Fuel -- Purchased Power Contracts."
- (2) Excludes loads on interruptible service tariffs, residential direct load control and commercial/industrial load cooperative capability. Including these loads, the maximum hourly demand served in 1998 was 14,272 MW compared to 13,459 MW in 1997.
- (3) Maximum hourly firm demand increased in 1998 primarily due to significantly warmer than normal summer weather.

Based on present trends, the Company estimates that the maximum hourly firm demand for electricity in Electric Operations' service area will grow at a compound annual rate of approximately 1.4% over the next ten years. Assuming average weather conditions and including the net effects of demand-side management programs, the Company projects that Electric Operations' reserve margin in excess of maximum hourly firm demand load requirements will be 15% by 2001 (a reserve margin which the Company intends to maintain for long-term planning purposes). The reduced reserve margins for 1998 reflect the extremely hot weather conditions in Electric Operations' service area during that summer, which increased system peak load by approximately 400 MW.

Electric Operations experiences significant seasonal variation in its sales of electricity. Sales during the summer months are higher than sales during other months of the year due to the reliance on air conditioning in Electric Operations' service territory.

#### CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

The Company has an ongoing program to maintain the existing production, transmission and distribution facilities of Electric Operations and to expand its physical plant in response to customer needs. Assuming a target reserve margin of 15%, the Company does not currently forecast a need for additional capacity until 2002. However, no determination has been made by the Company as to whether it would build or seek to purchase additional capacity in order to meet additional requirements for capacity in 2002 or thereafter. Any additional capacity requirements would be subject to public solicitation pursuant to the integrated resource planning rules of the Public Utility Commission of Texas (Texas Utility Commission).

In 1998, Electric Operations' capital expenditures were approximately \$429 million, excluding Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC). Electric Operations' capital program (excluding AFUDC) is currently estimated to be approximately \$490 million in 1999, \$432 million in 2000 and \$379 million in 2001. These expenditures relate primarily to improvements to Electric Operations' existing electric generating, distribution and general plant facilities. For the three-year period ending December 31, 2001, the aggregate capital program for Electric Operations is estimated to be:

	•	MOUNT LLIONS)	PERCENT OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES
Generating facilities	\$	181	14%
Transmission facilities		125	10
Distribution facilities		483	37
Substation facilities		119	9
General plant facilities		335	26
Nuclear fuel		58	4
Total	\$	1,301	100%

Actual capital expenditures will vary from estimates as a result of numerous factors, including, but not limited to, changes in the rate of inflation, availability and relative cost of fuels and purchased power, changes in environmental laws, regulatory and legislative changes and the effect of regulatory proceedings. For information regarding expenditures associated with nuclear fuel costs and environmental programs, see "-- Fuel -- Nuclear Fuel Supply" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company -- Environmental Expenditures."

Actual capital expenditures could be significantly affected if Electric Operations were forced to separate its generation and distribution activities and sell its generating facilities as has occurred in some states in recent years. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries -- Competition and Restructuring of the Electric Utility Industry - -- Legislative Proposals."

#### FUEL

Electric Operations' historical and estimated future energy mix are set forth below. Estimates are based on current assumptions regarding the cost and availability of fuel, plant operation schedules, load growth, load management and the impact of environmental regulations.

	ENERGY MIX (%)				
	HISTORICAL ESTIM		MATED		
	1998	1999	2002		
Natural Gas	33 38 8 21	34 43 8 15	29 44 8 19		
Total	100 ===	100 ===	100 ===		

These estimates reflect the Company's existing sources of fuel supply. For information regarding current and historical fuel costs, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Results of Operations by Business Segment -- Electric Operations -- Fuel and Purchased Power Expense--Electric Operations" in Item 7 of this Report, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Natural Gas Supply. During 1998, Electric Operations purchased approximately 41% of its natural gas requirements pursuant to long-term contracts with two suppliers, KN Energy, Inc. and Enron Corp, which contracts expire in 2004 and 2002, respectively. Electric Operations purchased an additional 20% of its natural gas requirements under long-term contracts with other suppliers, and the remaining 39% of its natural gas requirements on the spot market. Substantially all of Electric Operations' natural gas contracts contain pricing provisions based on fluctuating spot market prices.

Based on the current market for and availability of natural gas, the Company believes that Electric Operations will be able to replace the supplies of natural gas covered under any expiring long-term contracts with gas purchased on the spot market or under long-term or short-term contracts. The average daily gas consumption of Electric Operations during 1998 was 696 billion British thermal units (BBtu) with peak daily consumption of 1,511 BBtu. Electric Operations' average cost of natural gas was \$2.18 per million British thermal units (MMBtu) in 1998, \$2.60 per MMBtu in 1997 and \$2.31 per MMBtu in 1996.

Although natural gas has been relatively plentiful in recent years, available supplies are vulnerable to disruption due to weather conditions, transportation constraints and other events. As a result of these factors, supplies of natural gas may become unavailable from time to time, or prices may increase rapidly in response to temporary supply constraints or other factors.

Coal and Lignite Supply. Electric Operations purchases approximately 80% of the coal required to operate its four coal-fired units at the W. A. Parish Electric Generating Station (W. A. Parish) under two long-term contracts from mines in the Powder River Basin area of Wyoming. The first of these contracts expires in 2010, and the other expires in 2011. Electric Operations obtains the remaining coal required to operate these units under short-term contracts. The majority of the coal purchased for W. A. Parish is currently transported under a rail transportation contract with Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad; the contract expires in March 2000. In 1997, Electric Operations completed construction of a 10-mile rail line to connect its W. A. Parish coal-handling facilities to Union Pacific Railroad Company rail lines. Shipments of coal pursuant to a 1996 rail transportation contract with Union Pacific Railroad Company experienced significant delays in completing shipments of coal. To date these delays have not had a material adverse impact on Electric Operations' generation capability or its financial results of operations.

Electric Operations obtains the lignite used to fuel the two units of its Limestone Electric Generating Station (Limestone) from a surface mine adjacent to the plant. The Company owns the mining equipment, facilities and a portion of the lignite reserves. The lignite reserves currently under lease and contract are expected to be sufficient to provide substantially all of the lignite requirements for Limestone through 2015.

Nuclear Fuel Supply. Fuel supply requirements for the South Texas Project consist of (i) the acquisition of uranium concentrates, (ii) the conversion of such concentrates into uranium hexafluoride, (iii) the enrichment of uranium hexafluoride and (iv) the fabrication of nuclear fuel assemblies. The South Texas Project has contracted for the raw materials and services necessary to operate the plant through the following years:

Uranium	2002(1)
Conversion	2002
Enrichment	2004(2)
Fabrication	2005

<sup>(1)</sup> Contracts provide for over 50% of the uranium concentrates required through 2002. The South Texas Project expects to obtain the balance of uranium concentrates through future spot and medium-term contracts.

<sup>(2)</sup> Contracts provide for up to 100% of enrichment services through 2004, and up to 60% of enrichment requirements through 2008. The South Texas Project expects to obtain the balance of enrichment services through future spot, medium- and long-term contracts.

Although the Company and the other South Texas Project owners cannot predict the future availability of uranium and related services, it is not anticipated, based on current market conditions, that the South Texas Project will have difficulty in obtaining fuel requirements for its remaining years of operation. For information regarding assessments for spent fuel disposal, decontamination and decommissioning costs, see Note 4 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Purchased Power Contracts. At December 31, 1998, Electric Operations had two purchased power contracts covering 320 MW of firm capacity and associated energy. These contracts have initial terms ending in 2005. Capacity payments under firm purchased power commitments for the next three years are approximately \$22 million per year. The Company's two principal firm capacity contracts contain provisions allowing Electric Operations to suspend or reduce purchased power payments in the event that the Texas Utility Commission disallows future recovery of these costs through Electric Operations' rates for electric service. In addition, Electric Operations purchases power from various qualifying facilities exercising their rights under the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978. Such purchases are generally at the discretion of the qualifying facility, and are made pursuant to a pricing methodology defined in Electric Operations' tariffs and approved by the Texas Utility Commission. From time to time when market conditions dictate it, Electric Operations also purchases power from various wholesale market participants including qualifying facilities, exempt wholesale generators, power marketers and other utilities. For additional information, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company --Results of Operations by Business Segment -- Electric Operations -- Fuel and Purchased Power Expense -- Electric Operations" and Note 12(b) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

## YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
			1996	
Electric Energy Generated and Purchased (Megawatt-Hours (MWH)):				
Generated Net Station Output		56,066,845	55,170,841	
Purchased	16,041,143	14,008,452 841	12,540,172	
Net Interchange	(677)		1,486	
Total	76,014,626	70,076,138	67,712,499	
Company Use, Lost and Unaccounted for Energy	(3,281,851)	(2,998,375)	(3,002,774)	
Total Energy Sold			64,709,725	
Electric Sales (MWH):				
Residential	, ,	19,365,892	19,048,238	
Commercial		15,474,761	14,640,762	
Small Industrial(1)	11,801,292	11,439,753	11,727,500	
Large Industrial(1)	14,805,199	14,380,499	13,519,845	
Street Lighting Government and Municipal	133,644	127,761	119,339	
Total Firm Retail Sales	64,159,653	60,788,666	59,055,684	
Other Electric Utilities	203,542	190,878	205,463	
Total Firm Sales	64 262 105	60,979,544	59,261,147	
Interruptible		4 270 460	4,038,277	
Off-System		4,278,458 1,742,993	1,062,675	
Unbilled		76,768		
Total	72,732,775	67,077,763 =======	64,709,725 ======	
Number of Customers (End of Period):				
Residential	1,417,206	1,378,658	1,353,631	
Commercial		190,437	185,031	
Small Industrial(1)	1,600	1,526	1,692	
Large Industrial (Including Interruptible)(1)		132	126	
Street Lighting Government and Municipal	87	86	83	
		20	15	
Total		1,570,859	1,540,578	
Operating Revenue (Thousands of Dollars):	=======================================	=========	=========	
Residential	\$ 1 786 662	\$ 1,662,177	\$ 1,603,591	
Commercial		1,065,917	986,591	
Small Industrial(1)	637,124	616 /10	611,495	
Large Industrial(1)	534,814	520 718	473,451	
Street Lighting Government and Municipal	25,964	24 868	22,125	
Total Electric Revenue Firm Retail Sales	4.092.892	3.899.099	3,697,253	
Other Electric Utilities	12,609	11,330	18,841	
Total Electric Revenue Firm Sales		3,910,429		
Interruptible	114,574	108,053	97,164	
Off-System	87,510	39,724	25,995	
Total Electric Revenue	4,307,585	4,058,206	3,839,253	
Miscellaneous Electric Revenues (including Unbilled Revenues)		193,037	185,774	
(=g,,				
Total	\$ 4,350,275 =======	\$ 4,251,243 =========	\$ 4,025,027 =======	
Installed Net Generating Capability (KW) (End of Period)		14,040,370		
Cost of Fuel (Cents per MMBtu):			13,960,370	
Gas		259.9	231.3	
Coal		201.8	210.8	
Lignite		108.4	111.1	
Nuclear		54.2	61.6	
Average	169.9	186.8	181.6	

<sup>(1)</sup> For reporting purposes, customers of Electric Operations with an electric demand in excess of 600 kilovolt-amperes are classified as industrial. Small industrial customers typically are retail stores, office buildings, universities and other customers not associated with large industrial plants.

#### NATURAL GAS DISTRIBUTION

Resources, through three of its unincorporated divisions, purchases, transports, stores and distributes natural gas and provides natural gas utility services to over 2.8 million residential, commercial and industrial customers in six states, including the metropolitan areas of Minneapolis, Minnesota; Houston, Texas; Little Rock, Arkansas; and Shreveport, Louisiana. The operations of Natural Gas Distribution are regulated as gas utility operations in the jurisdictions served by these divisions.

#### LOCAL DISTRIBUTION DIVISIONS

Reliant Energy Arkla. Reliant Energy Arkla provides natural gas distribution services in approximately 621 communities in the States of Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma and Texas. The largest metropolitan areas served by Reliant Energy Arkla are Little Rock, Arkansas and Shreveport, Louisiana. In 1998, approximately 69% of its total throughput was composed of retail sales of gas and approximately 31% was attributable to transportation services. Sales to residential and commercial customers in 1998 accounted for approximately 89% of its total gas revenues and 64% of natural gas volumes sold or transported.

Reliant Energy Entex. Reliant Energy Entex provides natural gas distribution services in approximately 502 communities in the States of Louisiana, Mississippi and Texas. The largest metropolitan area served by Reliant Energy Entex is Houston, Texas. In 1998, approximately 96% of its total throughput was composed of retail sales of gas and approximately 4% was attributable to transportation services. Sales to residential and commercial customers in 1998 accounted for approximately 86% of its total gas revenues and 79% of natural gas volumes sold.

Reliant Energy Minnegasco. Reliant Energy Minnegasco provides natural gas distribution services in approximately 243 communities in the State of Minnesota. The largest metropolitan area served by Reliant Energy Minnegasco is Minneapolis, Minnesota. In 1998, approximately 97% of its total throughput was composed of retail sales of gas and approximately 3% was attributable to transportation services. Sales to residential and commercial customers in 1998 accounted for approximately 90% of its total gas revenues and 86% of natural gas volumes sold.

The demand for natural gas distribution services is seasonal in nature. In 1998, approximately 70% of Natural Gas Distribution's revenues were reported in the first and fourth quarters. These patterns reflect the higher demand for natural gas for heating purposes during those periods.

#### SUPPLY AND TRANSPORTATION

Reliant Energy Arkla. In 1998, Reliant Energy Arkla purchased approximately 23% of its natural gas supply from a subsidiary of Resources, Reliant Energy Services, 55% pursuant to third party contracts (having terms varying from 3 months to 1 year) and 22% on the spot market. During 1998, Arkla's major third party natural gas suppliers included Seagull Marketing Services, Inc., Marathon Oil Company, Producers Energy Marketing, LLC, Aquila Energy Marketing Corporation, PG&E Energy Trading--Gas Corporation and Oneok Gas Marketing Company. Reliant Energy Arkla transports substantially all of its natural gas supplies under contracts with the Company's interstate pipeline subsidiaries. These contracts will expire in 2002.

Reliant Energy Entex. In 1998, Reliant Energy Entex purchased approximately 99% of its natural gas supply pursuant to term contracts (having terms varying from one to five years) and 1% on the spot market. During 1998, Entex's major natural gas suppliers were Enron Capital and Trade Resources Corp., Koch Gas Services Company, Tejas Gas Corp. and KN Energy, Inc. Reliant Energy Entex transports its natural gas supplies on both interstate and intrastate pipelines under long-term contracts with terms varying from one to five years.

Reliant Energy Minnegasco. In 1998, Reliant Energy Minnegasco purchased approximately 75% of its natural gas supply pursuant to term contracts (having terms varying from one to ten years) with more than 30 different suppliers and 25% on the spot market. Most of the natural gas volumes under long-term contracts are committed under terms providing for delivery during the winter heating season, November through March. During 1998, Reliant Energy Minnegasco purchased approximately two-thirds of its natural gas requirements from four suppliers: Pan-Alberta Gas Ltd., TransCanada Gas Services Inc., Duke Energy Trading and Marketing, LLC and Reliant Energy Services. Reliant Energy Minnegasco transports its natural gas supplies on various interstate pipelines under long-term contracts with terms varying from five to ten years.

Reliant Energy Arkla and Reliant Energy Minnegasco make use of various leased and owned natural gas storage facilities to meet peak-day requirements and to manage the daily changes in demand due to changes in weather. Contracted supplies and storage for Reliant Energy Minnegasco are also supplemented from time to time with stored liquefied natural gas and propane-air plant production.

Although natural gas supplies have been relatively plentiful in recent years, available supplies are subject to disruption due to weather conditions, transportation constraints and other events. As a result of these factors, supplies of natural gas may become unavailable from time to time or prices may increase rapidly in response to temporary supply constraints or other factors.

#### INTERSTATE PIPELINES

Resources' interstate natural gas pipeline business (Interstate Pipelines) is conducted through REGT and MRT, which are both wholly owned subsidiaries of

Interstate Pipelines owns and operates approximately 8,200 miles of transmission lines and six natural gas storage facilities located across the following eight states in the south-central United States: Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Oklahoma, Tennessee and Texas. Interstate Pipelines stores, transports and delivers natural gas on behalf of various shippers primarily to utilities, industrial customers and third party pipeline interconnects.

In 1998, approximately 40% of Interstate Pipelines' total operating revenues was attributable to services provided by REGT and MRT to Reliant Energy Arkla and approximately 12% of its operating revenues was attributable to services provided by MRT to Laclede Gas Company (Laclede), an unaffiliated distribution company that provides natural gas utility service to the greater St. Louis metropolitan area in Illinois and Missouri. An additional 11% of Interstate Pipelines' operating revenues was attributable to the transportation of gas marketed by Reliant Energy Services. Interstate Pipeline provides service to Reliant Energy Arkla and Laclede under several long-term firm storage and transportation agreements. The expiration dates for the service agreements with Laclede range from October 1999 through May 2000. Interstate Pipelines and Laclede are currently negotiating the terms and conditions of a proposed renewal of these agreements. The service agreement with Reliant Energy Arkla is scheduled to expire in March 2002.

The business and operations of Interstate Pipelines are affected by seasonal changes in the demand for natural gas, the relative price of natural gas in the Mid-Continent and Gulf Coast Natural Gas supply regions and, to a lesser extent, general economic conditions.

#### WHOLESALE ENERGY

The Company's Wholesale Energy Marketing and Generation segment (Wholesale Energy) is engaged in the acquisition, development and operation of, and sales of capacity and energy from, non-utility power generation facilities, wholesale energy trading and marketing, and natural gas gathering businesses. The Company formed this segment in 1998 in order to more effectively pursue synergies existing between its unregulated power generation and wholesale energy trading businesses.

#### POWER GENERATION

Power Generation participates in independent power markets through the acquisition of existing power plants and the development of new power plants (greenfield projects). Power Generation's business strategy is to develop a commercial generation portfolio in key regions to support the Company's electric and natural gas trading and marketing operations. Reliant Energy Services, the segment's trading and marketing unit, supplies fuel to these generating plants and sells electricity produced by the plants. In 1998, Power Generation generated, and Reliant Energy Services sold, approximately 3 million MWHs of electricity, substantially all of which sales were in the California marketplace.

In April 1998, Power Generation acquired four natural gas-fired, electric generating plants (2,276 MW) from Southern California Edison Company (SCE) for approximately \$230 million. In July 1998, Power Generation acquired another generating plant (1,500 MW) from SCE for approximately \$43 million. All of the plants are located in southern California. Reliant Energy Services is acting as the plants' exclusive power marketer and supplier of natural gas. In accordance with the provisions of the asset sale agreements, Power Generation was required to contract with SCE to operate and maintain the plants through April 2000. Power Generation does, however, exercise management authority over the plants' operations. For information on regulation of these units, see "Regulation - Federal Energy Regulatory Commission" below.

Power Generation, through its subsidiaries, has under construction the following power projects:

PROJECT	LOCATION	INTEREST	PROJECTED COMPLETION DATE
El Dorado Project (492 MW gas-fired merchant plant)	Boulder City, Nevada	50%	Fourth Quarter of 1999
Sabine Cogeneration Project (100 MW gas-fired cogeneration plant)	Orange, Texas	50%	Fourth Quarter of 1999

In 1998, Power Generation also announced plans for power projects in Arizona, Illinois and Rhode Island with a combined capacity of 1,648 MW. Negotiation of development contracts regarding these projects is underway.

The Company expects that Power Generation will continue to participate actively in non-rate regulated power projects, including greenfield projects, competitive auctions and other acquisitions of generation assets. The amount of expenditures associated with these activities is dependent upon the nature and extent of future project opportunities and commitments; however, some of these expenditures could be substantial. Power Generation intends to finance a portion of its non-rate regulated power projects through the proceeds from project financings (financings where lenders limit their recourse for the payment of amounts loaned to project revenues, equity investment and physical assets), borrowings at subsidiaries and through equity investment and loans from the Company and financing subsidiaries of the Company.

The successful completion of greenfield projects and other non-rate regulated power projects is dependent upon a number of factors, which include, among other things, risks associated with failures of siting, financing, construction and permitting; failure to obtain governmental approvals; termination of power sales contracts (if any) as a result of a failure to meet certain construction milestones; and the uncertainties arising from the changing regulatory system affecting Power Generation's markets. Many of the facilities being acquired or developed by Power Generation are

"merchant plants," that is, plants lacking dedicated offtake customers, making such facilities sensitive to market and regulatory factors and other considerations. For information with respect to a proposed investment in a Netherlands wholesale generating company which was announced on March 10, 1999, and would be made through subsidiaries of Power Generation, see Note 16(c) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### ENERGY MARKETING AND RISK MANAGEMENT

Reliant Energy Services, a wholly owned subsidiary of Resources, buys, sells and trades natural gas, electricity, certain emissions credits, crude oil, coal and refined products. In addition, it offers physical and financial wholesale energy marketing and price risk management products and services to a variety of customers, including natural gas distribution companies, municipalities, cooperatives, power plants, marketers, aggregators and large volume industrial customers.

Reliant Energy Services purchases natural gas from a variety of suppliers under daily, monthly, variable load and base load and term contracts that include either market sensitive or fixed pricing provisions. Reliant Energy Services sells natural gas under sales agreements that have varying terms and conditions, most of which are intended to match seasonal and other changes in demand. In 1998, Reliant Energy Services sold over 1,168 billion cubic feet (BCF) of natural gas, substantially all of which was to non-affiliates.

Reliant Energy Services' natural gas marketing activities include contracting to buy specified volumes of natural gas from suppliers at various points of receipt to be supplied over a specified period of time; aggregating natural gas supplies and arranging for their transportation; negotiating the sale of specific volumes of natural gas over a specified period of time; and matching natural gas receipts and deliveries based on volumes required by customers. Additionally, Reliant Energy Services from time to time arranges for the transportation of the natural gas it markets from the supplier receipt point to the delivery point requested by the purchasers. Transportation arrangements are made with affiliated and non-affiliated interstate and intrastate pipelines through a variety of means, including short-term and long-term firm and interruptible agreements.

Reliant Energy Services also enters into various short-term and long-term firm and interruptible agreements for natural gas storage in order to offer peak delivery services to satisfy winter heating and summer electric generating demands. Such services are also intended to provide an additional level of performance security and backup services to Reliant Energy Services' customers.

Reliant Energy Services sells electric power primarily to electric utilities and other marketing companies. In 1998, Reliant Energy Services sold over 65 million MW hours of electric power and 25 million MW hours in 1997. Reliant Energy Services intends to seek to supply natural gas to, and purchase electricity for resale from, non-regulated power plants in deregulated markets, including generating plants currently owned or to be developed, acquired or operated by Power Generation.

Additionally, Reliant Energy Services purchases and sells emissions credits, crude oil, coal and refined products as part of its comprehensive energy trading, marketing and risk management portfolio.

Reliant Energy Services uses derivative financial instruments to manage and hedge its fixed-price purchase and sale commitments, to provide fixed-price commitments as a service to its customers and suppliers, to reduce its exposure relative to the volatility of the cash market prices and to protect its investment in storage inventories. In 1998, Reliant Energy Services financially settled on average over 1l trillion British thermal units equivalents (TBtue) per day of energy derivative financial instruments in its trading and price risk management activities.

Although Reliant Energy Services generally attempts to balance its fixed-price physical and financial purchase and sale obligations, commodity price exposure often exists or is created due to the origination of new transactions and the assessment of, and response to, changing market conditions. Reliant Energy Services is accordingly exposed in such transactions to the risk that fluctuating market prices may adversely affect its, the Company's and Resources' financial position or results of operations. For additional information with respect to the Company's and Resources' financial exposure to derivative financial instruments, see Item 7A of this Form 10-K, Notes 1(r) and 2 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes 1(r) and 2 to Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements.

In addition to the risk associated with price movements, credit risk is also inherent in Reliant Energy Services' trading, marketing and risk management activities. Credit risk relates to the risk of loss resulting from the nonperformance of contractual obligations by a counterparty. Reliant Energy Services maintains credit policies intended to minimize overall credit risk with regard to its counterparties. For additional information on the Company's credit risk management, see Note 2 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 2 to Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company has established a Corporate Risk Oversight Committee, comprised of corporate and business segment officers, to oversee all corporate price and credit risks, including Reliant Energy Services' trading, marketing and risk management activities. The Corporate Risk Oversight Committee's responsibilities include reviewing the Company's and its subsidiaries' hedging, trading and price risk management strategies, activities and limits and monitoring to ensure compliance with the Company's risk management policies and procedures, as well as trading limits established by the Company's board of directors. For additional information regarding risk management accounting policies, see Note 2 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 2 to Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### NATURAL GAS GATHERING

Reliant Energy Field Services, a wholly owned subsidiary of Resources, provides natural gas gathering services, including related liquids extraction and marketing activities. Reliant Energy Field Services operates approximately 4,000 miles of gathering pipeline which collect natural gas from more than 200 separate systems located in major producing fields in Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma and Texas.

#### INTERNATIONAL

International operations (International) includes the operations of Reliant Energy International, a subsidiary of the Company that participates in the privatization of foreign generating and distribution facilities and the development and acquisition of foreign independent power projects, primarily in Latin America. The international operations of Resources (Resources International), which are managed by Reliant Energy International, are included in International.

As of December 31, 1998, the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets reflected \$1,127 million of foreign investments, a substantial portion of which represents equity investments in foreign utility companies. The operations of Resources International were not material to the 1998 results of operations of International.

For a discussion of certain risks associated with international operations, including the impact of currency fluctuations in countries such as Brazil, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries -- Risks of International," "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk -- Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk" in Item 7A of this Form 10-K and Note 16(a) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

### MAJOR FOREIGN INVESTMENTS

Argentina. As of December 31, 1998, approximately 10% of International's foreign investments was located in Argentina. In June 1998, Reliant Energy International sold its 63% ownership interest in the electric utility serving La Plata, Argentina. Reliant Energy International currently owns, through its subsidiaries, a 100% interest in a corporation formed to develop, own and operate a 160 MW cogeneration project (Argener) located at a steel plant near San Nicolas, Argentina and a 90% interest in a utility in north-central Argentina (EDESE). Argener commenced operations in November 1998.

Brazil. As of December 31, 1998, approximately 35% of International's foreign investments was located in Brazil. Reliant Energy International indirectly owns 11.69% of the common stock of Light Servicos de Eletricidade S.A., a publicly held Brazilian corporation (Light), which is the operator of an integrated electric power and distribution system that serves a portion of the state of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, including the city of Rio de Janeiro. The subsidiary of Reliant Energy International and the other winning bidders in the government sponsored auction for Light formed a consortium whose aggregate ownership interest of 50.44% represents a controlling interest in Light. In April 1998, Light purchased 74.88% of the common stock of Metropolitana Electricidade de Sao Paulo S.A. (Metropolitana), an electric distribution company that serves the metropolitan area of Sao Paulo, Brazil.

Colombia. As of December 31, 1998, approximately 40% of International's foreign investments was located in Colombia. In August 1998, Reliant Energy International and Corporacion EDC S.A.C.A. (CEDC), jointly acquired, through subsidiaries, 65% of the stock of two Colombian electric distribution companies, Electricaribe and Electrocosta. The companies serve approximately 1.2 million customers in the Atlantic coastal region of Colombia, including the cities of Santa Marta, Barranquilla and Cartagena.

Additionally, Reliant Energy International and CEDC jointly hold a 56.7% indirect controlling ownership interest in Empresa de Energia del Pacifico S.A.E.S.P. (EPSA), an electric utility system serving the Valle del Cauca province of Colombia, including the area surrounding the city of Cali. Reliant Energy International itself has a 28.35% indirect ownership interest in EPSA. In addition to its distribution facilities, EPSA owns 850 MW of electric generation capacity. In December 1998, EPSA acquired an additional 56.4% interest in Compania de Electricidad de Tulua (CET), increasing EPSA's total holdings to an 86.4% interest. CET, the electric utility in Tulua, in the center of the Valle Del Cauca province, serves 37,000 customers and has three hydro-generating units.

Resources International owns interests in four natural gas distribution concessions under construction in Colombia. As of December 31, 1998, aggregate expenditures incurred with respect to these concessions were approximately \$5 million. Based on current projections, total additional expenditures for these systems over the next five years are estimated to be approximately \$5 million.

El Salvador. As of December 31, 1998, approximately 14% of International's foreign investments was located in El Salvador. In early 1998, CEDC acquired majority equity interests in three electric distribution systems located in northern and eastern El Salvador, including the city of San Salvador. On June 30, 1998, CEDC closed the sale of approximately half of its interests in the systems to a subsidiary of Reliant Energy International for approximately \$150 million.

India. In July 1998, a subsidiary of Reliant Energy International, together with various other investors, completed development of a coke calcining and power generation facility in India. Reliant Energy International's total investment in this project is approximately \$12 million.

Mexico. In January 1998, Resources International and a local investor accepted an award of a 30-year concession from the Mexican government to build, operate and maintain a natural gas distribution system in northeastern Mexico. Based on current projections, the project is expected to be completed in 2002 at a total cost of approximately \$15 million.

## CORPORATE

The Company's Corporate business segment includes (i) the operations of Reliant Energy Retail which conducts retail energy marketing services; (ii) various office buildings and other real estate used in the Company's and its subsidiaries' business operations; (iii) unallocated corporate costs; and (iv) inter-unit eliminations. Reliant Energy Retail, a wholly owned subsidiary of Resources, markets natural gas and related energy services to industrial customers who are served by large local gas distribution companies or connected to interstate and intrastate pipelines offering unbundled transportation services. Included in Reliant Energy Retail's retail marketing operations are three intrastate pipeline subsidiaries of Resources that market and deliver natural gas to large volume customers at market-based rates. Corporate

also includes the Company's retail marketing operations, which offer energy products and services to customers in and outside the Company's and Resources' regulated service areas. For additional information about Corporate, see Note 15 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### REGULATION

The Company and Resources and their respective subsidiaries are subject to regulation by various federal, state, local and, in the case of Reliant Energy International, foreign governmental agencies, including those regulations described below.

#### PUBLIC UTILITY HOLDING COMPANY ACT

Holding Company Status. The Company is both a holding company and an electric utility as defined in the 1935 Act; however, it is exempt from regulation as a holding company based on an order granted in July 1997 by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under Section 3(a)(2) of the 1935 Act. Although Resources is a natural gas utility company as defined under the 1935 Act, it is not a holding company within the meaning of the 1935 Act. The Company and Resources remain subject to regulation under the 1935 Act with respect to the acquisition of certain voting securities of other domestic public utility companies and utility holding companies.

Regulation of Investments in Exempt Wholesale Generation Facilities. Companies, like Power Generation, which own facilities used exclusively for the generation of electricity for sale at wholesale, are not deemed electric utility companies under the 1935 Act, provided certain conditions are met. The generating units owned by Power Generation's subsidiaries qualify as exempt wholesale generators under these provisions. However, such companies may be subject to regulation as public utilities under the Federal Power Act. For information regarding the regulation of two of Power Generation's California facilities under jurisdiction of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), see "--Federal Energy Regulatory Commission" below.

Regulation of Foreign Utility Company Investments. Section 33(a)(1) of the 1935 Act exempts foreign utility company affiliates of the Company and Resources from regulation as "public utility companies," thereby permitting the Company and Resources to invest in foreign utility companies without registration under the 1935 Act as a holding company or approval by the SEC thereunder. The exemption, however, is subject to the SEC's having received from each state commission having jurisdiction over the retail rates of any electric or gas utility company affiliated with the Company or Resources, a certification to the effect that such commission has the authority and resources to protect ratepayers subject to its jurisdiction and that such commission intends to exercise its authority. The Texas Utility Commission and the state regulatory commissions exercising jurisdiction over Resources (Arkansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, Oklahoma and Texas) have provided such a certification to the SEC subject, however, to the right of such commissions to revise or withdraw their certifications as to any future acquisition of a foreign utility company. The Texas Utility Commission and the state regulatory commissions of Arkansas and Minnesota have imposed limitations on the amount of investments by utility companies (including the Company and Resources) in foreign utility companies and, in certain cases, foreign electric wholesale generating companies. These limitations are based upon the Company's consolidated net worth, retained earnings and capitalization, respectively.

Subject to certain limited exceptions, Section 33(f)(1) of the 1935 Act also prohibits any public utility from issuing any security for the purpose of financing the acquisition, ownership or operation of a foreign utility company, or assuming any obligation or liability in respect of any security of a foreign utility company.

Proposals to Repeal the 1935 Act. Several bills have been introduced in Congress that would repeal the 1935 Act. Repeal or significant modification to the 1935 Act could have a significant impact on the Company and the electric utility industry. At this time, however, the Company is not able to predict the outcome of any bills to repeal the 1935 Act or the outlook for additional legislation in 1999.

#### FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

The transportation and sale for resale of natural gas in interstate commerce is subject to regulation by the FERC under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and, to a lesser extent, the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, as amended (NGPA). The FERC has jurisdiction over, among other things, the construction of pipeline and related facilities used in the transportation and storage of natural gas in interstate commerce, including the extension, expansion or abandonment of such facilities. The rates charged by interstate pipelines for interstate transportation and storage services are also regulated by the FERC.

REGT and MRT periodically file applications with the FERC for changes in their rates and charges designed to allow them to recover their costs of providing service to customers (to the extent allowed by prevailing market conditions), including a reasonable rate of return. These rates are normally allowed to become effective after a suspension period, and in certain cases are subject to refund under applicable law, until such time as FERC issues an order on the allowable level of rates. REGT is currently operating under rates approved by the FERC which took effect in February 1995, and MRT is currently providing services pursuant to a negotiated rate settlement approved by the FERC in October 1997.

In July 1998, FERC issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking directed at its regulation of short-term natural gas transportation services, which are services sold for periods of less than one year. The proposals in this rulemaking, if adopted, would modify in various ways the current market practices for buying and selling these short-term services. As a companion to this rulemaking, the FERC also issued a Notice of Inquiry for the purpose of studying the effect of its existing policies on the market for long-term natural gas transportation services. FERC asked for comments from all industry segments on its two notices. If adopted, the Company expects the FERC initiatives will broadly affect all market participants. The Company and Resources are not able to determine at this time the ultimate outcome of FERC's initiatives.

The California plants owned by Power Generation are subject to FERC jurisdiction and regulation. The FERC generally permits the California plants to make sales at market based rates and has waived most of the regulatory requirements otherwise applicable to regulated public utilities under the Federal Power Act. Special rules, however, apply to the two California plants which have been designated as "reliability must-run" facilities by the California Independent System Operator Corporation. Units at these plants must provide electric service to the system operator when required for reliability purposes at rates and under terms and conditions regulated by the FERC. At other times, the plants may sell at market based rates.

Reliant Energy Services is also subject to jurisdiction under both the NGA and the Federal Power Act. As a gas marketer, Reliant Energy Services makes sales of natural gas in interstate commerce at wholesale pursuant to a blanket certificate issued by the FERC, but the FERC does not otherwise regulate the rates, terms or conditions of these gas sales. Reliant Energy Services is a "public utility" under the Federal Power Act, and its wholesale sales of electricity in interstate commerce are subject to a FERC-filed rate schedule that authorizes Reliant Energy Services to make sales at negotiated, market-based rates. Reliant Energy Services' market-based rate tariffs are filed with the FERC. The FERC also imposes certain restrictions on Reliant Energy Services' transactions with Electric Operations and with REGT and MRT, including a prohibition on the receipt of goods or services on a preferential basis. Similar restrictions apply to transactions between Reliant Energy Services and Electric Operations under the Public Utility Regulatory Act of 1995 (now the Texas Utilities Code).

#### STATE AND LOCAL UTILITY REGULATIONS

Electric Operations. The Company conducts its electric utility operations under a certificate of convenience and necessity granted by the Texas Utility Commission. The certificate of convenience and necessity covers the present service area and facilities of Electric Operations. In addition, the Company holds non-exclusive franchises to provide electric service within the incorporated municipalities in the service territory of Electric Operations. None of these franchises expires before 2007.

Under the Texas Utilities Code, the Texas Utility Commission has original jurisdiction over electric rates and services in unincorporated areas of the State of Texas and in the incorporated municipalities that have relinquished original jurisdiction. Original jurisdiction over electric rates and services in the remaining incorporated municipalities served by Electric Operations is exercised by such municipalities, including the city of Houston, but the Texas Utility Commission has appellate jurisdiction over electric rates and services within those incorporated municipalities. For additional information, including information about the status of legislation governing competition in the retail electric market in Texas, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation of the Company -- Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and Its Subsidiaries -- Competition and Restructuring of the Electric Utility Industry."

Natural Gas Distribution Operations. In almost all communities in which Natural Gas Distribution provides service, Resources operates under franchises, certificates or licenses obtained from state and local authorities. The terms of the franchises, with various expiration dates, typically range from ten to thirty years. None of Natural Gas Distribution's material franchises expires before 2005. In most cases, franchises to provide natural gas utility services are not exclusive.

Substantially all of Natural Gas Distribution's retail sales are subject to traditional cost-of-service regulation at rates regulated by the relevant state public service commissions and, in Texas, by municipalities served by Natural Gas Distribution. None of Natural Gas Distribution's local distribution companies is currently a party to any pending rate proceeding.

#### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Under the 1954 Atomic Energy Act and the 1974 Energy Reorganization Act, operation of nuclear plants is extensively regulated by the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), which has broad power to impose licensing and safety requirements. In the event of non-compliance, the NRC has the authority to impose fines or shut down nuclear plants, or both, depending upon its assessment of the severity of the situation, until compliance is achieved.

The 1980 Federal Low-Level Radioactive Waste Policy Act directed states to assume responsibility for the disposal of low-level nuclear waste generated within their borders. Under this Act, states may combine with other states and seek consent from the U.S. Congress for regional compacts to construct and operate low-level nuclear waste sites. Only two sites (the Envirocare facility in Utah and the Barnwell facility in South Carolina) are currently licensed and available to the South Texas Project for low-level waste disposal. The South Texas Project has entered into a contract with the operator of the Barnwell facility to dispose of all of the South Texas Project's low-level nuclear waste through June 1999.

A bill establishing an interstate compact among Texas, Maine and Vermont was signed into law in September 1998. The compact limits access to a Texas waste disposal facility to the three compact members and provides for contributions from Maine and Vermont toward the construction of such a facility. In October 1998, the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission (TNRCC) denied the application of the Texas Low-Level Radioactive Waste Disposal Authority (Waste Disposal Authority) to build and operate a low-level waste disposal facility in Hudspeth County, Texas. In the event the Barnwell facility stops accepting waste before a Texas site is opened, the South Texas Project would store its waste in an interim storage facility located at the nuclear plant. The plant currently has storage capacity for at least five years of low-level nuclear waste generated by the project.

The Waste Disposal Authority is currently authorized to assess a planning and implementation fee upon waste generators to fund development of the proposed Texas disposal facility. However, the Texas legislature is considering several measures that could change the Company's share of this assessment from prior years. In 1998, the Company's share of the Waste Disposal Authority's fiscal year assessment was \$0.7 million.

For information regarding the NRC's regulation of nuclear decommissioning trust funds and the NRC's obligation to provide storage for nuclear fuel, see Note 4 of the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS**

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to a number of federal, state and local environmental requirements that govern the discharge of emissions into the air and water and regulate the handling of solid and hazardous waste. The Company and its subsidiaries have incurred substantial expenditures in the past to comply with these requirements and anticipate that further expenditures will be incurred in the future.

A provision of the Federal Clean Air Act (Clean Air Act) affecting electric power producers is the Acid Rain Program, which is designed to reduce emissions of sulfur dioxide (SO2) from generating units. The program requires that after a certain date an electric power producer must have been granted a regulatory "allowance" for each ton of SO2 emitted from its facilities. Allowances have been distributed to utilities by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) based on their historical operations. If a utility is not allocated sufficient allowances to cover its future SO2 emissions, it must either purchase allowances or reduce its emissions of SO2. Electric Operations believes it holds sufficient allowances for continued operations of its facilities for the foreseeable future, including sufficient allowances to meet the Phase II (year 2000 and later) requirements of the Clean Air Act.

Provisions of the Clean Air Act dealing with urban air pollution require establishing new emission limitations for oxides of nitrogen (NOx) from existing sources. The limitations applicable to Electric Operations' generating units in the Houston, Texas area were established by the TNRCC and require implementation by November 1999. In addition, Governor Bush of Texas has proposed that "grandfathered" emission units (units constructed prior to permitting requirements) voluntarily secure permits from the TNRCC and accomplish emission reductions. Electric Operations has voluntarily committed to seek permits for all such units, and will reduce NOx emissions from these units accordingly.

As a result of the new regulatory requirements and its voluntary commitments, Electric Operations incurred approximately \$7 million in 1998 for NOx emission reductions at its Texas facilities and estimates that it will expend approximately \$8 million in 1999 and \$10 million in 2000 for NOx controls. Current TNRCC analyses indicate that further NOx reductions will be required after 2000 to attain the prescribed ozone standard in the Houston area. However, neither the timing nor the magnitude of possible future reduction requirements has been identified at this time.

Various NOx emission reduction initiatives and emission credit purchases were made in association with the acquisition of generation assets by Power Generation in California. Approximately \$1 million is anticipated to be spent on continuous emission monitoring system upgrades of the Southern California generating units in 1999.

The EPA has issued regulations for State Implementation Plan development to implement NOx reductions in 22 states, including Illinois and Rhode Island; however, it is not anticipated that current regulations or implementation of these plans would have a material impact on the Company's or Resources' facilities.

The Clean Air Act also required a study to determine if additional regulations are needed to improve visibility in the southwestern United States. The Company does not expect that this study will require the installation of additional pollution controls on the Company's and its subsidiaries' generating units, including the generating units acquired by, or expected to be completed by, Power Generation.

The EPA was directed by the Clean Air Act to perform a study of the risk to public health from emissions of toxic air pollutants from power plants, and to regulate such emissions as necessary. The EPA issued a report to Congress in February 1998. The report makes no determination as to the need to issue regulations applicable to the utility industry, and such a determination is not expected until the National Academy of Science completes a review of studies in mid-2000. It is, therefore, not possible to make any determination as to the potential need for additional controls on emissions from the Company's or Resources' facilities.

Concern about possible increases in the earth's temperature has resulted in an international treaty to control emissions of gases, including those generated in the course of power production and distribution which are believed to contribute to the condition. The treaty, known as the Kyoto Protocol, has not been ratified by the United States, and no current regulations are applicable to the Company's or Resources' facilities in the United States. However, the Kyoto Protocol could have an impact on the Company's international operations.

The Company's and Resources' expenditures associated with respect to permits, registrations and authorizations for operation of facilities under the statutes regulating the discharge of pollutants into surface water, and for the handling and disposal of solid wastes have not been, and are not expected to be material.

The issue of whether exposure to electric and magnetic fields (EMFs) may result in adverse health effects or damage to the environment continues to be debated. EMFs are produced by all devices which carry or use electricity, including home appliances as well as electric transmission and distribution lines. Results of studies concerning the effect of EMFs have been inconclusive and EMFs are not the subject of any regulations affecting the Company or Resources or their respective subsidiaries. However, lawsuits have arisen in several states (including Texas) against electric utilities and others alleging that the presence or use of electric power transmission and distribution lines has an adverse effect on health and/or property values.

For a discussion of specific environmental contingencies for the Company and Resources, projected expenditures in connection with environmental matters, and a quantification of costs associated with these matters, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries -- Environmental Expenditures," Note 12(h) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 8(g) to Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### **EMPLOYEES**

As of December 31, 1998, the Company and its subsidiaries had 12,916 full-time employees. The following table sets forth information about the Company's and Resources' employees by business segment as of such date:

Electric Operations	5,005
Interstate PipelineInternational	
Wholesale Energy	
Corporate	
out por acc.	473
Total	12,916

The number of employees of the Company and its subsidiaries who are represented by unions or other collective bargaining groups include (i) Electric Operations, 2,779; (ii) Natural Gas Distribution, 1,524; and (iii) Wholesale Energy, 13.

# EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY AS OF MARCH 15, 1999

NAME 	AGE(1)	OFFICER SINCE	PRESENT POSITION
DON D. JORDAN	66	1971	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Director
R. STEVE LETBETTER	50	1978	President and Chief Operating Officer and Director
LEE W. HOGAN	54	1990	Executive Vice President and Director
HUGH RICE KELLY	56	1984	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary
STEPHEN W. NAEVE	51	1988	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
CHARLES M. OGLESBY	45	1997	Chief Executive Officer, Reliant Energy Wholesale Group
JOE BOB PERKINS	38	1996	President and Chief Operating Officer, Reliant Energy Wholesale Group
DAVID M. MCCLANAHAN	49	1986	President and Chief Operating Officer, Reliant Energy Delivery Group
MARY P. RICCIARDELLO	43	1993	Vice President and Comptroller

#### (1) As of December 31, 1998

Mr. Jordan has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since 1982. He has been a director of the Company since 1974. He has served in various executive officer capacities with the Company and its subsidiaries since 1971

Mr. Letbetter has served as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company since 1993. He has been a director of the Company since 1995. He has served in various executive officer capacities with the Company and its subsidiaries since 1978.

Mr. Hogan has served as an Executive Vice President of the Company since 1997. He has been a director of the Company since 1995. He has served in various executive officer capacities with the Company and its subsidiaries since 1990, including President and Chief Operating Officer of Reliant Energy International Inc. between 1993 and 1997.

Mr. Kelly has served as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of the Company since 1997. Between 1984 and 1997, he served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of the Company.

Mr. Naeve has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer with the Company since 1997. Between 1996 and 1997, he served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company. He has served in various executive officer capacities with the Company and its subsidiaries since 1984, including Vice President - Strategic Planning and Administration between 1993 and 1996.

Mr. Oglesby has served as Chief Executive Officer - Reliant Energy Wholesale Group since 1998. He served as Senior Vice President of the Company and President and Chief Operating Officer - Trading & Transportation Group between 1997 and 1998. Between 1995 and 1997, he served as President - NorAm Trading and Transportation Group. Between 1994 and 1995, he served as Vice President of Coastal Corporation and President and Chief Executive Officer of Coastal Gas Services Company.

Mr. Perkins has served as President and Chief Operating Officer, Reliant Energy Wholesale Group and as President and Chief Operating Officer, Reliant Energy Power Generation, Inc. since 1998. In 1998, Mr. Perkins served as President and Chief Operating Officer of HI Power Generation Group. Between 1996 and 1998, Mr. Perkins served as Vice President - Corporate Planning and Development. Prior to joining the Company, he served as Vice President of Business Development and Corporate Secretary of Coral Energy Resources, L.P. Between 1995 and 1996, he served as Vice President and General Manager of Coral Power, L.L.C. Between 1994 and 1995, he was Director of Business Development for Tejas Gas Corporation.

Mr. McClanahan was appointed President and Chief Operating Officer of the Reliant Energy Delivery Group in February 1999. Previously, he served as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Reliant Energy HL&P division between 1997 and 1999. He has served in various executive officer capacities with the Company and its subsidiaries since 1986, including Group Vice President - - Finance and Regulatory Relations between 1993 and 1996.

Ms. Ricciardello has served as Vice President and Comptroller of the Company since 1996. She has served in various executive officer capacities of the Company and its subsidiaries since 1993.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

#### CHARACTER OF OWNERSHIP

The principal properties of the Company, Resources and their respective subsidiaries are owned in fee, except that most electric lines and gas mains are located, pursuant to easements and other rights, in public roads or on land owned by others.

Substantially all of the real estate, electric distribution system properties, buildings and franchises owned directly by the Company (excluding real estate and other properties of subsidiaries of the Company) are subject to a lien created under a Mortgage and Deed of Trust dated as of November 1, 1944 (as supplemented, Mortgage) between the Company and South Texas Commercial National Bank of Houston (Chase Bank of Texas, National Association, as Successor Trustee). The lien of the Mortgage excludes cash, stock in subsidiaries and certain other assets. Additionally, substantially all properties of the subsidiaries of International and Power Generation that own interests in operating plants are subject to liens of creditors of the respective subsidiaries.

#### **ELECTRIC OPERATIONS**

All of the electric generating stations and other operating properties of Electric Operations are located in the State of Texas.

Electric Generating Stations. As of December 31, 1998, the Company owned 12 electric generating stations (62 generating units) with a combined turbine nameplate rating of 13,554,608 KW, including a 30.8% interest in one nuclear generating station (two units) with a combined turbine nameplate rating of 2,623,676 KW.

South Texas Project. The Company is one of four owners of the South Texas Project, a nuclear generating plant consisting of two 1,250 MW generating units in which the Company has a 30.8% ownership interest.

Substations. As of December 31, 1998, the Company owned 213 major substation sites (240 substations) having a total installed rated transformer capacity of 53,413 Mva, including a 30.8% interest in one major substation with an installed rated transformer capacity of 3,080 Mva.

Electric Lines -- Overhead. As of December 31, 1998, the Company owned 25,154 pole miles of overhead distribution lines and 3,606 circuit miles of overhead transmission lines, including 502 circuit miles operated at 69,000 volts, 2,059 circuit miles operated at 138,000 volts and 1,044 circuit miles operated at 345,000 volts.

Electric Lines -- Underground. As of December 31, 1998, the Company owned 10,780 circuit miles of underground distribution lines and 12.6 circuit miles of underground transmission lines, including 4.5 circuit miles operated at 69,000 volts and 8.1 circuit miles operated at 138,000 volts.

## NATURAL GAS DISTRIBUTION

Resources' approximately 55,000 linear miles of gas distribution mains vary in size from one-half inch to 24 inches in diameter. Generally, in each of the cities, towns and rural areas served by Natural Gas Distribution, Resources owns the underground gas mains and service lines, metering and regulating equipment located on customers' premises and the district regulating equipment necessary for pressure maintenance. With a few exceptions, the measuring stations at which Resources receives gas from its suppliers are owned, operated and maintained by others, and the distribution facilities of Resources begin at the outlet of the measuring equipment. These facilities, including odorizing equipment, are usually located on the land owned by suppliers.

#### INTERSTATE PIPELINE

Resources owns and operates, through REGT and MRT, approximately 8,200 miles of transmission lines and transportation service to various shippers across eight states in the south-central and midwestern United States. Resources or its subsidiaries also own and operate six storage fields with a combined daily deliverability of approximately 1.2 BCF per day and a combined working gas capacity of approximately 51.8 BCF. Most of Interstate Pipelines' storage operations are in north Louisiana and Oklahoma.

#### WHOLESALE ENERGY

Resources, through its subsidiaries, owns and operates gathering pipelines which collect gas from more than 200 separate systems located in major producing fields in Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma and Texas.

As of December 31, 1998, Wholesale Energy owned five electric generating stations (15 generating units) with a combined nameplate rating of 3,906 MW. For additional information regarding the properties of Power Generation, see "Business -- Wholesale Energy" in Item 1 of this Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

#### INTERNATIONAL

For information regarding the investments of International, see "Business - -- International" in Item 1 of this Form 10-K.

#### OTHER

For information regarding the properties of Corporate, see "Business -- Corporate" in Item 1 of this Form 10-K.

## ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

## (a) Company.

For a description of certain legal and regulatory proceedings affecting the Company, see Notes 3(b), 12(h) and 12(i) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements, which notes are incorporated herein by reference.

#### (b) Resources.

For a description of certain legal and regulatory proceedings affecting Resources, see Note 8(g) to Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements, which note is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

None.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE COMPANY'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

The Company's Common Stock, which at March 11, 1999 was held of record by approximately 85,534 shareholders, is listed on the New York and Chicago Stock Exchanges (symbol: REI). All of Resources' common stock is held by the Company.

The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of the Company's Common Stock on the composite tape during the periods indicated, as reported by The Wall Street Journal, and the dividends declared for such periods. Dividend payout was \$1.50 per share for 1998 and 1997, respectively. The dividend declared during the fourth quarter of 1998 is payable in March 1999.

	MARKET PRICE				VIDEND CLARED
	 HIGH		LOW		SHARE
1998					
First Quarter	28 15/16	\$	25	\$	0.375
Second Quarter		\$	27 5/16	\$	0.375
June 24 Third Quarter August 7	32	\$	26 5/8	\$	0.375
September 30. Fourth Quarter.	\$ 32	Ψ	20 3/0	\$	0.375
October 12  November 16	33 3/8	\$	29 7/16		
First QuarterFebruary 25	23 5/8			\$	0.375
March 21Second Quarter		\$	20 5/8	\$	0.375
April 18	\$ 23 5/8	\$	18 7/8	\$	0.375
Third Quarter	22 1/8	\$	20 1/8	Φ	0.375
Fourth Quarter	27 1/4			\$	0.375
October 28		\$	20 3/4		

The closing market price of the Company's Common Stock on December 31, 1998 was  $$32\ 1/16$  per share.

Future dividends will be subject to determination based upon the results of operations and financial condition of the Company, the Company's future business prospects, any applicable contractual restrictions and such other factors as the Company's Board of Directors considers relevant. For information regarding restrictions on the payment of dividends in the Company's credit agreements, see Note 8(c) of the Notes to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

## ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA OF THE COMPANY.

The following table sets forth selected financial data with respect to the Company's consolidated financial condition and results of consolidated operations and should be read in conjunction with the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes in Item 8 of this Form 10-K. Certain amounts from prior years have been reclassified to conform with the 1998 presentation. Such reclassifications do not affect earnings. In July 1995, the Company sold its former cable television subsidiary, the operations of which were accounted for as discontinued operations.

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,									
	1998			1997(1)		1996		1995		1994
								HARE AMOUNTS	)	
Revenues	\$ 11,488,		\$	6,878,225	\$	4,095,277	\$	3,729,271		3,752,573
Income (loss) from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting(2)		092)	\$	421,110	\$	404,944	\$	397,400 708,124	\$	423,985
Loss from discontinued operations  Cumulative effect of change in accounting(3)										(16,524) (8,200)
Preferred dividends		390		162						
Net income (loss)(2)	\$ (141,		\$ ===	420,948 ======	\$ ===	404,944		1,105,524 ======	\$ ==	399,261 ======
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share(4): Continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting(2)		(.50)		1.66	\$	1.66	\$	1.60	\$	1.72 (.07) (.03)
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per	Ф.	( 50)	Φ.	1 66	Φ.	1 66		4 46		1 60
common share(2) (4)		(.50)	\$	1.66	\$	1.66	\$	4.46	\$	1.62
share(4) Dividend payout ratio from continuing operations	Φ -	L.50	\$	1.50 96%	\$	1.50 89%	\$	1.50 94%	\$	1.50 87%
Return on average common equity(5) (6) Ratio of earnings from continuing operations to fixed charges before cumulative effect of change in	(	(3.1%)		9.7%		10.2%		29.5%		12.0%
accounting(7)				2.41		2.76		2.71		2.89
At year-end: Book value per common share(4) Market price per common share(4) Market price as a percent of book value		5.16 2.06 211%	\$	17.28 26.75 155%	\$	16.41 22.63 138%	\$	16.61 24.25 146%	\$	13.64 17.82 131%
At year-end: Total assets of continuing operations Net assets of discontinued operations	, ,			18,445,606		12,287,857		11,819,606		10,784,095 618,982
Total assets		522	\$ :	18,445,606	\$ 1	L2,287,857	\$	11,819,606	\$	11,403,077
Long-term obligations, including current maturities-continuing operations(8)	\$ 7,540,			5,831,356		3,254,413		3,692,173		3,737,908 504,580
operations: Common stock equity		36%		46%		53%		50%		44%
Cumulative preferred stock (including current maturities)						2%		5%		7%
Long-term debt (including current maturities)(8)		64%		54%		45%		45%		49%

#### YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,

_					
	1998	1997(1)	1996	1995	1994
	(TH	OUSANDS OF DOLI	LARS, EXCEPT PE	ER SHARE AMOUNTS	)
Capital expenditures:					
Purchase of Resources, net of cash acquired		\$ 1,422,672			
Electric Operations' capital and nuclear fuel expenditures					
(excluding AFUDC)(9)\$	428,611	234,068	\$ 314,934	\$ 296,635	\$ 412,899
Natural Gas Distribution	161,735	61,078			
Interstate Pipelines	59,358	16,304			
Wholesale Energy (excluding					
capitalized interest)	363,792	14,038			
International (excluding capitalized	,	,			
interest)	427,232	223,807	493,179	49,835	7,087
Corporate	30,166	23,899	13,446	4,643	13,562
Cable television additions and other cable-related investments -		,	,	.,	
discontinued				47,601	84,071
Corporate headquarters expenditures (excluding capitalized				, 002	2.,0.2
interest)(9)			5,308	89,627	44,250

- (1) Includes the financial statement effect of Resources since its August 1997 acquisition, which was accounted for under the purchase method. See Note 1(b) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (2) Includes a non-cash, unrealized accounting loss of \$764 million and \$79 million (after-tax), or \$2.69 and \$0.31 basic earnings per share, on the Company's 7% Automatic Common Exchange Securities (ACES) in 1998 and 1997, respectively. For additional information on the ACES, see Note 1(n) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (3) The 1994 cumulative effect relates to the change in accounting for postemployment benefits. See also Note 10(e) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.
- All common share data reflect a two-for-one common stock dividend distribution in December 1995.
- (5) The return on average common equity for 1995 includes the gain on the sale of the Company's cable television subsidiary. The return on average common equity, excluding the gain, was 11.7%.
- (6) The return on average common equity for 1998 includes a non-cash, unrealized accounting loss of \$764 million on the ACES. The return on average common equity excluding the loss was 12.4%.
- (7) Fixed charges exceeds earnings by \$181 million in 1998. Excluding the effect of the non-cash, unrealized accounting loss of \$764 million, the ratio of earnings to fixed charges would have been 2.77.
- (8) Includes Cumulative Preferred Stock subject to mandatory redemption and Company/Resources obligated mandatorily redeemable preferred securities of subsidiary trusts holding solely junior subordinated debentures of Company/Resources.
- During 1995 and 1996, Electric Operations made payments toward the purchase of its corporate headquarters building. Such payments were not reflected in Electric Operations' capital and nuclear fuel expenditures because they were affiliate transactions eliminated upon consolidation.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OF THE COMPANY.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in combination with the consolidated financial statements and notes of Reliant Energy, Incorporated (Company) contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K (Company's Consolidated Financial Statements).

#### RELIANT ENERGY, INCORPORATED

The Company is a diversified international energy services company. It operates the nation's tenth largest electric utility in terms of kilowatt-hour (KWH) sales, and its three natural gas distribution divisions together form the nation's third largest natural gas distribution operation in terms of customers served. The Company also invests in international and domestic electric utility privatizations, gas distribution projects and the development of non-rate regulated power generation projects. The Company is also a major interstate natural gas pipeline and energy services company, providing gas transportation, supply, gathering and storage, and wholesale natural gas and electric power marketing services.

Effective January 1, 1998, the Company reconfigured its financial reporting segments to include the following: Electric Operations, Natural Gas Distribution, Interstate Pipelines, Wholesale Energy Marketing and Generation (Wholesale Energy), International and Corporate. For segment reporting information, see Note 15 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

On August 6, 1997 (Acquisition Date), the Company acquired Reliant Energy Resources Corp. (formerly, NorAm Energy Corp.) (Resources), a natural gas gathering, transmission, marketing and distribution company. The acquisition (Merger) was accounted for as a purchase; accordingly, the Company's results of operations for 1997 include the results of operations of Resources only for the period beginning on August 6, 1997 (Acquisition Date).

To enhance comparability between reporting periods, certain information is presented on a pro forma basis and reflects the acquisition of Resources as if it had occurred at the beginning of the 1996 and 1997 reporting periods presented. Pro forma purchase-related adjustments include amortization of goodwill and the allocation of the fair value of certain assets and liabilities of Resources. The pro forma results of operations are not necessarily indicative of the combined results of operations that actually would have occurred had the acquisition occurred on such dates. The Company believes, however, that the presentation of pro forma data provides a more meaningful comparative standard for assessing changes in the Company's financial condition and results of operations during the years ended December 31, 1997 and 1996, since the pro forma presentation (i) combines a full year of results of the Company's and Resources' operations and (ii) gives retroactive effect to purchase - related adjustments, including the amortization of goodwill and the allocation of the fair market value of certain Resources assets and liabilities.

All dollar amounts in tables that follow are in millions, except for per share data, percentages and throughput and other operations data.

#### CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

	ACTU	AL	PRO FORMA				
-	TWEL MONTHS DECEMBE	ENDED					
-	1998	1997	PERCENT CHANGE	1997 	PERCENT CHANGE		
Revenues	\$ 11,488	\$ 6,878	67%	\$ 10,191	13%		
Operating Expenses	10,011	5,813	72%	8,976	12%		
Operating Income	1,477	1,065	39%	1,215	22%		
Other Expenses, Net(1)	1,649	437	277%	546	202%		
Income Taxes	(30)	206		232			
Net Income (Loss)(2) Basic and Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per	(142)	421		437			
Share	(.50)	1.66		1.55			

(2) Includes \$37 million of interest income attributable to a tax refund in

	ACTUAL  TWELVE MONTHS ENDED  DECEMBER 31,								
-					PERCENT	TW	/ELVE MONTH DECEMBER	DEDOENT	
-		1997		1996	CHANGE		1997	1996	PERCENT CHANGE
Revenues Operating Expenses Operating Income	\$	6,878 5,813 1,065	\$	4,095 3,105 990	68% 87% 8%	\$	10,191 8,976 1,215	8,884 7,617 1,267	15% 18% (4%)
Other Expenses, Net Income Taxes Net Income		437 206 421		385 200 405	14% 3% 4%		546 232 437	596 245 426	(8%) (5%) 3%
Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share		1.66		1.66			1.55	1.46	6%

1998 Compared to 1997 (Actual). The Company reported a consolidated net loss for 1998 of \$142 million (\$.50 per share) compared to consolidated net income of \$421 million (\$1.66 per share) in 1997. The consolidated net loss resulted from the accounting treatment of the ACES, which were issued in July 1997. The Company recorded a non-cash, unrealized accounting loss (after-tax) of \$764 million on the ACES in 1998. In 1997, the Company recorded a non-cash, unrealized accounting loss (after-tax) of \$79 million on the ACES, which was partially offset by \$37 million of non-recurring interest income related to a refund of federal income taxes in 1997. For a discussion of the ACES accounting loss, see "--Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries - Accounting Treatment of ACES."

After adjusting for non-recurring and other charges (as described above) in both years, net income for 1998 would have been \$622 million (\$2.19 per share) compared to \$463 million (\$1.83 per share) in 1997. The increase in adjusted net income for 1998 compared to 1997 was due to improved results from the Company's Interstate Pipeline, Wholesale Energy and International segments. Net income for 1998 included an \$80 million, or \$.28 per share, gain on the sale of an investment in an electric distribution system in Argentina. Also contributing to the increase were earnings from the businesses acquired in the Merger. These effects were partially offset by additional depreciation of regulated power generation assets in compliance with Reliant Energy HL&P's rate of return cap, as described below, and increased interest expense primarily related to the Merger.

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes a \$1,176 million unrealized accounting loss in 1998 compared to a \$121 million unrealized accounting loss incurred in 1997 relating to the Company's 7% Automatic Common Exchange Securities (ACES). See Note 1(n) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

1998 Compared to 1997 (Pro Forma). The Company's reported consolidated net loss for 1998 was \$142 million (\$.50 per share) compared to pro forma earnings of \$437 million (\$1.55 per share) in 1997.

Excluding the non-recurring and other charges described above, net income for 1998 would have been \$622 million (\$2.19 per share) compared to pro forma net income of \$479 million (\$1.70 per share) in 1997. The increase in adjusted net income compared to adjusted pro forma 1997 net income is due primarily to the same factors discussed above.

1997 Compared to 1996 (Actual). The Company reported consolidated net income in 1997 of \$421 million (\$1.66 per share) compared to \$405 million (\$1.66 per share) in 1996. Although net income increased by \$16 million, the Company's basic and diluted earnings per share remained the same due to the issuance of approximately 47.8 million additional shares of the Company's common stock in the Merger. The Company's net income in 1997 reflected the net impact of \$42 million from the ACES accounting loss partially offset by non-recurring interest income. In 1996, the Company recorded non-recurring, after-tax charges of (i) \$62 million for the settlement of South Texas Project Electric Generating Station (South Texas Project) litigation claims and (ii) \$5 million associated with an investment in two tire-to-energy plants in Illinois.

After adjusting for non-recurring and other charges in both years, net income for 1997 would have been \$463 million (\$1.83 per share) compared to \$472 million (\$1.93 per share) in 1996. The decrease is due in part to the additional amortization of certain lignite reserves by Electric Operations, the amortization of goodwill recorded in the Merger and increased interest expense. The increase in interest on long-term debt and other interest reflect both (i) the \$1.4 billion indebtedness incurred by the Company to fund a portion of the cost of the Merger and (ii) the consolidation of Resources' existing indebtedness with that of the Company. Partially offsetting these effects were increased Electric Operations' sales due to customer growth, improved results at International and the additional operating income generated by the new business units acquired in the Merger.

1997 Compared to 1996 (Pro Forma). The Company's pro forma consolidated net income for 1997 was \$437 million (\$1.55 per share) compared to \$426 million (\$1.46 per share) in 1996.

Excluding the non-recurring and other charges described above, the Company's 1997 pro forma net income would have been \$479 million (\$1.70 per share) compared to \$493 million (\$1.69 per share) in 1996. This decrease in pro forma earnings, as adjusted for non-recurring and other charges, was principally the result of (i) hedging-related losses incurred in the first quarter of 1997 by a subsidiary of Resources, which losses were not reflected in the Company's actual results of operations since they were incurred prior to the Merger, (ii) a weather-related decline in sales volumes of Natural Gas Distribution and (iii) increased administrative and general expenses associated with increased staffing and marketing in connection with increasing the scope of energy marketing activities.

Pro forma consolidated net income for 1997 and 1996 exceeds actual consolidated net income for such years because purchase-related costs were more than offset on a pro forma basis by Resources' earnings for the periods prior to the Acquisition Date. Such earnings were not part of the reported actual results of the Company.

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS BY BUSINESS SEGMENT

All business segment data (other than data relating to Electric Operations) are presented on a pro forma basis for 1997 and 1996 as if the acquisition of Resources had occurred on January 1 of each year presented.

The following table presents operating income on (i) an actual basis for the years ended December 31, 1998 and 1997, and (ii) a pro forma basis for each of the Company's business segments for the years ended December 31, 1997 and 1996.

	ACTUAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,				PRO FORMA YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
		1998 1997(1)		1997(2)		1996(2)		
Electric Operations. Natural Gas Distribution. Interstate Pipeline. Wholesale Energy. International. Corporate.	\$	1,014 138 128 59 182 (44)	\$	995 55 32 1 20 (38)	\$	995 152 99 (15) 17 (32)	\$	997 160 108 22 (1) (19)
Total Consolidated	\$	1,477	\$	1,065	\$	1,216	\$	1,267

#### **ELECTRIC OPERATIONS**

Electric Operations' business is conducted under the name "Reliant Energy HL&P," an unincorporated division of the Company. Electric Operations provides electric generation, transmission, distribution, and sales to approximately 1.6 million customers in a 5,000 square mile area on the Texas Gulf Coast, including Houston (the nation's fourth largest city). Electric Operations constitutes the Company's largest business segment, representing 69% of the Company's consolidated operating income for 1998.

Electric Operations' earnings are capped at an agreed overall rate of return formula on a calendar year basis as part of the transition to competition plan (Transition Plan) approved by the Public Utility Commission of Texas (Texas Utility Commission) and effective January 1, 1998. As a result of this plan, any earnings in 1998 or 1999 above the maximum allowed return cap of 9.844% on invested capital will be offset by additional depreciation of Electric Operations' generation assets. The Transition Plan also approved the implementation of base rate credits to residential customers of 4% in 1998 and an additional 2% in 1999. Commercial customers whose monthly billing is 1000 kva or less receive base rate credits of 2% in 1998 and 1999. For more information regarding the Transition Plan, including a pending judicial review of portions of the Transition Plan, see Note 3(b) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table provides summary data, before taxes, regarding the actual results of operations of Electric Operations for 1998, 1997 and 1996.

		YEAR DECEMB	PERCENT			
	1998 19			1997	CHANGE	
Operating Revenues:						
Base Revenues(1)	\$	2,969	\$	2,839	5%	
Reconcilable Fuel Revenues(2)		1,381		1,413	(2%)	
Total Operating Revenues		4,350		4,252	2%	
·						
Operating Expenses:						
Fuel Expense		1,064		1,091	(2%)	
Purchased Power		391		386	1%	
Operation Expense		635		641	(1%)	
Maintenance Expense		234		228	3%	
Depreciation and Amortization Expense		650		569	14%	
Other Operating Expenses		362		342	6%	
Total Operating Expenses		3,336		3,257	2%	
Operating Income	¢	1,014	¢	995	2%	
operacing incomerments	Ψ ===	======	Ψ ===	======	270	

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes Resources business segments beginning on the Acquisition Date.

<sup>(2)</sup> Electric Operations operating income data are actual and not pro forma.

	YEAR DECEME	DEDOENT	
	1998	1997	PERCENT CHANGE
Operating Revenues: Base Revenues(1)		,	3% 10%
Total Operating Revenues	4, 252	4,025	6%
Operating Expense:    Fuel Expense.    Purchased Power.    Operation Expense.    Maintenance Expense.    Depreciation and Amortization Expense.    Other Operating Expenses.  Total Operating Expenses.	1,091 386 641 228 569 342 3,257	1,025 322 543 249 546 343	6% 20% 18% (8%) 4% 
Operating Income\$	995	\$ 997	

- Includes miscellaneous revenues, certain non-reconcilable fuel revenues and certain purchased power-related revenues.
- (2) Includes revenues collected through a fixed fuel factor and surcharges net of adjustments for over/under recovery. See -- Operating Revenues --Electric Operations."

#### OPERATING INCOME -- ELECTRIC OPERATIONS

1998 Compared to 1997. Electric Operations' operating income (before income taxes) was \$1,014 million compared with \$995 million the previous year. The increase of \$19 million in operating income was due to higher revenues from the unusually hot weather in 1998 and customer growth, reduced by base rate credits provided under the Transition Plan. Under the Transition Plan, the Company recorded additional depreciation on Electric Operation's generation assets, which resulted in depreciation and amortization expense increasing by \$82 million between 1997 and 1998. Total KWH sales rose 8% during 1998, with increases of 9% in residential sales, 6% in commercial sales and 3% in firm industrial sales.

1997 Compared to 1996. Electric Operations' operating income (before income taxes) was \$995 million in 1997 compared with \$997 million in 1996. The decrease in operating income was due to increases in operations expense and depreciation and amortization expense in 1997, partially offset by increased revenues from electric sales growth and decreases in maintenance expense, as described below. Total KWH sales rose 3% during 1997, with increases of 1% in residential sales, 6% in commercial sales and 2% in firm industrial sales.

## OPERATING REVENUES -- ELECTRIC OPERATIONS

1998 Compared to 1997. Electric Operations' \$130 million increase in 1998 base revenues is primarily the result of unusually hot weather and the impact of customer growth, net of base rate credits implemented under the Transition Plan. In 1998, Electric Operations implemented a base rate discount of \$74 million. In addition, growth in usage and number of customers contributed an additional \$48 million in base revenues in 1998.

Electric Operations' 2% decrease in reconcilable fuel revenue in 1998 resulted primarily from decreased natural gas prices. The decrease in natural gas prices, however, was largely offset by increased KWH sales resulting from hotter weather. The Texas Utility Commission provides for recovery of certain fuel and purchased power costs through a fixed fuel factor included in electric rates. The fixed fuel factor is established during either a utility's general rate proceeding or its fuel factor proceeding and is generally effective for a minimum of six months. Revenues collected through such factor are adjusted monthly to equal expenses; therefore, such revenues and expenses have no effect on earnings unless fuel costs are determined not to be recoverable. The adjusted over/under recovery of fuel costs is recorded on the

Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets as fuel-related credits or fuel-related debits, respectively. Fuel costs are reviewed during periodic fuel reconciliation proceedings, which are required at least every three years. Electric Operations filed a fuel reconciliation proceeding with the Texas Utility Commission on January 30, 1998 covering \$3.5 billion of fuel costs for the three year period ending July 31, 1997. In December 1998, the Texas Utility Commission issued a final order that allowed Electric Operations to recover eligible fuel costs for the three-year period ending July 31, 1997, with some exceptions. Under the order, the Company reclassified \$40 million in costs associated with certain fuel-related capital improvement projects from eligible fuel expense to invested capital. The order also required an additional reduction of \$12 million in eligible fuel expense relating to the three-year period ending July 31, 1997.

In April 1998, Electric Operations filed a petition to revise the fixed fuel factor and implement a surcharge for under-collected fuel costs. The Texas Utility Commission approved implementation of the revised overall fixed fuel factor and a temporary fuel surcharge in the amount of \$125 million (inclusive of the previously existing fuel surcharge balance) to be collected over a 12 to 18 month period. The approved fuel factor and surcharge were implemented for customer billings beginning July 1, 1998. As of December 31, 1998 and 1997, Electric Operations' cumulative under-recovery of fuel costs was \$45 million and \$172 million including interest, respectively.

1997 Compared to 1996. Electric Operations' 3% increase in base revenue in 1997 compared to 1996 was primarily the result of newly recorded transmission revenues. Electric Operations' transmission revenues (which are considered miscellaneous revenues) in 1997 were \$86 million but were offset by transmission expenses of \$88 million. For information regarding these transmission revenues, see "-- Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries -- Competition and Restructuring of Electric Utility Industry" below. Electric Operations' 10% increase in reconcilable fuel revenue in 1997 resulted primarily from increased natural gas prices in that year.

In 1997, Electric Operations implemented (i) a \$70 million temporary fuel surcharge (inclusive of interest) effective for the first six months of 1997 and (ii) a \$62 million temporary fuel surcharge (inclusive of interest) effective for the last six months of 1997. In December 1997, the Texas Utility Commission approved the implementation of a \$102 million (inclusive of interest) temporary fuel surcharge which was implemented by Electric Operations on January 1, 1998 with recovery extending from 8 months to 16 months depending on the customer class. Electric Operations requested the surcharge in order to recover its under-recovery of fuel expenses for the period March 1997 through August 1997. This under-recovery was included in the surcharge that began July 1, 1998.

#### FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER EXPENSE -- ELECTRIC OPERATIONS

Fuel costs constitute the single largest expense for Electric Operations. The mix of fuel sources for generation of electricity is determined primarily by system load and the unit cost of fuel consumed. The average cost of fuel used by Electric Operations in 1998 was \$1.70 per million British Thermal Units (MMBtu) (\$2.18 for natural gas, \$1.78 for coal, \$1.19 for lignite, and \$.48 for nuclear). In 1997, the average cost of fuel was \$1.87 per MMBtu (\$2.60 for natural gas, \$2.02 for coal, \$1.08 for lignite, and \$0.54 for nuclear). Fuel costs are reconciled to fuel revenues resulting in no effect on earnings unless fuel costs are determined not to be recoverable.

1998 Compared to 1997. Fuel expenses in 1998 decreased by \$27 million or 2% below 1997 expenses. The decrease was driven by a significant decrease in the average unit cost of natural gas, which declined from \$2.60 per MMBtu in 1997 to \$2.18 per MMBtu in 1998. Purchased power expenses increased in 1998 by \$5 million or 1% over 1997 expenses. This increase was a result of additional purchases through the Electric Reliability Council of Texas (ERCOT) of \$18 million offset by a reduction in purchases from cogenerators of \$13 million. Additionally, 1998 fuel expense includes a \$12 million charge to non-recoverable fuel in accordance with the Fuel Reconciliation Proceeding discussed above.

1997 Compared to 1996. Fuel expenses in 1997 increased by \$66 million or 6% over 1996 expenses. The increase was driven by significant increases in the average unit cost of natural gas, which rose to \$2.60 per MMBtu in 1997 from \$2.31 per MMBtu in 1996. Purchased power expenses increased in 1997 by \$63 million or 20% over 1996 expenses. This change was driven primarily due to higher prices paid to qualifying facilities for purchased electric energy principally as a result of increases in natural gas prices, energy purchased under Electric Operations' joint dispatching agreement with the city of San Antonio (see Note 12(c) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements) and Electric Operations' participation in the newly deregulated Texas wholesale energy market in order to buy and sell energy to provide lower costs to its customers.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES, DEPRECIATION, AMORTIZATION AND OTHER -- ELECTRIC OPERATIONS

In order to reduce Electric Operations' exposure to potentially stranded costs related to generating assets, the Transition Plan permits the redirection of depreciation expense to generation assets from transmission, distribution and general plant assets. In addition, the Transition Plan provides that all earnings above a 9.844% overall annual rate of return on invested capital be used to recover Electric Operations' investment in generation assets. As a result, Electric Operations recorded \$194 million in additional depreciation and redirected \$195 million in existing depreciation expense to generation assets in 1998.

1998 Compared to 1997. Operation, maintenance and other operating expenses increased \$20 million in 1998, including \$9 million due to transmission tariffs within ERCOT. These transmission expenses were largely offset by \$7 million in transmission tariff revenue. Franchise fees paid to cities increased \$11 million due to increased sales in 1998.

In 1998, the Company recorded additional depreciation expense for Electric Operations of \$194 million, which is \$144 million more than recorded during the same period last year, as provided by the Transition Plan. The comparative increase was less than it otherwise would have been because amortization of the investment in lignite reserves associated with a canceled generation project was \$62 million lower in 1998 than in 1997. In 1996, Electric Operations began amortizing its \$153 million investment in these lignite reserves. The lignite reserves will be fully amortized no later than 2002.

1997 Compared to 1996. Operations and maintenance expense increased \$76 million in 1997. The increase included \$88 million due to transmission tariff expenses within ERCOT, offset by \$86 million of transmission tariff revenue.

In 1997, Electric Operations incurred \$17 million in work force severance expenses compared to \$30 million of such expenses in 1996.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$23 million in 1997 compared to 1996. The increase is due to the additional amortization of \$16 million of Electric Operations' investment in lignite reserves. In 1997 and 1996, Electric Operations wrote down its investment in the South Texas Project by \$50 million in addition to ordinary depreciation associated with the South Texas Project. The additional amortization of the lignite reserves and the depreciation of the South Texas Project were permitted under Electric Operations' rate order in Docket 12065. For additional information regarding these amortizations, see Note 1(f) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

### NATURAL GAS DISTRIBUTION

Natural Gas Distribution operations are conducted through three unincorporated divisions of Resources (Reliant Energy Arkla, Reliant Energy Entex and Reliant Energy Minnegasco) and are included in the Company's actual consolidated results of operations beginning on the Acquisition Date. These operations consist of natural gas sales to, and natural gas transportation for, residential, commercial and industrial customers in six states: Arkansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, Oklahoma and Texas.

The following tables provide summary data regarding the actual results of operations of Natural Gas Distribution for 1998 and unaudited pro forma financial results of operations for 1997 and 1996.

	ACTUAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998	PRO FORMA YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1997	PERCENT CHANGE
Operating Revenues:  Base Revenues  Recovered Gas Revenues	\$ 779 1,034	\$ 814 1,388	(4%) (26%)
Total Operating Revenues		2,202	(18%)
Operating Expenses:			
Natural Gas Operation and Maintenance Depreciation and Amortization Other Operating Expenses	92	1,440 384 124 102	(25%) (4%) 5% (10%)
Total Operating Expenses		2,050	(18%)
Operating Income	\$ 138 ========	\$ 152 =======	(9%)
Throughput Data (in billion cubic feet (BCF)): Residential and Commercial Sales	286 56 44	326 59 42	(12%) (5%) 5%
Total Throughput	386	427 =======	(10%)
	DECEME	YEAR ENDED BER 31,	
	1997	PERCENT	
		1996	CHANGE
Operating Revenues:			CHANGE
Operating Revenues: Base Revenues	\$ 814 1,388	\$ 764 1,349	
Base Revenues	\$ 814 1,388  2,202	\$ 764 1,349  2,113	7%
Base Revenues	\$ 814 1,388	\$ 764 1,349	7% 3%
Base Revenues Recovered Gas Revenues  Total Operating Revenues  Operating Expenses: Natural Gas Operation and Maintenance Depreciation and Amortization	\$ 814 1,388 	\$ 764 1,349 	7% 3% 4% 7% 1% 2%
Base Revenues Recovered Gas Revenues  Total Operating Revenues  Operating Expenses: Natural Gas Operation and Maintenance. Depreciation and Amortization Other Operating Expenses(1).	\$ 814 1,388 	\$ 764 1,349 	7% 3% 4% 7% 1% 2% (1%)
Base Revenues. Recovered Gas Revenues.  Total Operating Revenues.  Operating Expenses: Natural Gas. Operation and Maintenance. Depreciation and Amortization. Other Operating Expenses(1).  Total Operating Expenses.	\$ 814 1,388 	\$ 764 1,349  2,113  1,348 381 121 103  1,953	7% 3% 4% 7% 1% 2% (1%)

<sup>(1)</sup> Before a \$6 million one-time charge incurred in 1996 for early retirement and severance costs.

<sup>1998 (</sup>Actual) Compared to 1997 (Pro Forma). Operating income was \$138 million in 1998 compared to pro forma operating income of \$152 million in 1997. The \$14 million decrease reflects the lower demand for natural gas heating that resulted from milder weather in 1998. The negative impact of weather was partially offset by (i) the favorable impact of purchased gas adjustments during this period on Reliant Energy Arkla's operating income, (ii) lower operating expenses and (iii) increased revenue resulting from Reliant Energy Minnegasco's performance based rate plan.

The \$389 million decrease in 1998 actual operating revenues compared to 1997 pro forma operating revenues is primarily attributable to a decrease in the price of purchased gas and decreased sales volume primarily due to milder weather in 1998.

1997 Compared to 1996 (Pro Forma). Pro forma operating income was \$152 million in 1997 compared to \$160 million (before a one-time charge of \$6 million for early retirement and severance) in 1996. The decrease of approximately \$8 million in 1997 pro forma operating income is principally due to decreased Reliant Energy Minnegasco customer usage because of warmer weather and customer conservation, decreased Reliant Energy Arkla customer usage because of warmer weather (primarily in the first quarter of 1997) and the unfavorable impact in 1997 of a purchased gas adjustment mechanism on Reliant Energy Arkla. Partially offsetting the decrease was an increase in Reliant Energy Minnegasco's performance based rate incentive recoveries and customer growth and increased revenues from Reliant Energy Entex due to rate relief granted in 1996 and fully reflected in 1997.

The increase of approximately \$89 million in pro forma Natural Gas Distribution operating revenue for the year ended December 31, 1997 in comparison to the corresponding period of 1996 is principally due to the increase in the market price of gas.

The \$92 million increase in purchased gas costs in 1997 compared to 1996 primarily reflects the increase in Natural Gas Distribution's average cost of gas in 1997 (consistent with the overall increase in the market price of gas during such period) along with the purchased gas adjustment referenced above.

### INTERSTATE PIPELINES

Interstate Pipelines' operations are conducted through Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Company (REGT) and Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (MRT), two wholly owned subsidiaries of Resources.

The following table provides summary data regarding the actual results of operations of Interstate Pipelines for 1998 and pro forma results of operations for 1997 and 1996.

	YEAR	YEAR ENDED		FORMA E ENDED BER 31, 997	PERCENT CHANGE
Operating Revenues Operating Expenses:	\$	282	\$	295	(4%)
Natural Gas		27		45	(40%)
Operation and Maintenance		69		88	(22%)
Depreciation and Amortization		44		48	(8%)
Other Operating Expenses		14		15	(7%)
Total Operating Expenses		154		196	(21%)
Operating Income	\$	128	\$	99	29%
	====	======	====	=====	
Throughput Data (in MMBtu):					
Natural Gas Sales		16		18	(11%)
Transportation		825		911	(9%)
Elimination(1)		(15)		(17)	12%
• •				'	
Total Throughput		826		912	(9%)
	====	======	====	=====	

	PRO FORMA YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,				PERCENT CHANGE	
	1	1997 1996		.996	-	
Operating Revenues	\$	295	\$	347	(15%)	
Natural Gas		45		76	(41%)	
Operation and Maintenance		88		82	7%	
Depreciation and Amortization		48		50	(4%)	
Other Operating Expenses(1)		15		31	(52%)	
Total Operating Expenses		196		239	(18%)	
Operating Income	\$	99	\$	108	(8%)	
	====	=====	====	=====		
Throughput Data (in MMBtu):						
Natural Gas Sales		18		33	(45%)	
Transportation		911		952	(4%)	
Elimination(1)		(17)		(31)	45%	
					( 40()	
Total Throughput		912		954	(4%)	
	====	======	====	=====		

- -----

1998 (Actual) Compared to 1997 (Pro Forma). Interstate Pipelines' operating income for 1998 was \$128 million compared to \$99 million for 1997 on a pro forma basis. The increase in operating income for 1998 is primarily due to \$11 million of pre-tax, non-recurring items recorded in 1998 for favorable litigation and rate case settlements. The increase in operating income also reflects improved operating margins and reductions in operating expenses. The increase in operating income for 1998 was partially offset by \$7 million of non-recurring transportation revenues recorded in the first quarter of 1997, as discussed below.

Operating revenues for Interstate Pipelines decreased by \$13 million in 1998 compared to pro forma 1997 revenues. The decrease in revenues is due in part to \$7 million of non-recurring transportation revenues recognized in the first quarter of 1997. These revenues were recognized following a settlement with Reliant Energy Arkla related to transportation service. The settlement with Reliant Energy Arkla resulted in reduced transportation rates which also reduced revenues for 1998. Lower spot prices in the fourth quarter of 1998 and reduced sales volumes also contributed to the reduction in operating revenues. These decreases were partially offset by the settlement of outstanding gas purchase contract litigation, which resulted in the recognition of approximately \$6 million of revenues in the second quarter of 1998. The 4% decline in total throughput reflected the impact of unseasonably warm winter weather.

Interstate Pipelines' 1998 operating expense declined \$42 million in comparison to 1997 pro forma operating expense. Contributing to the decrease were the MRT rate settlement in the first quarter of 1998, which provided for a retroactive reduction of MRT's depreciation rates, the impact of continued cost control initiatives and reduced pension and benefit expenses.

Natural gas expense decreased \$18 million in 1998 compared to pro forma natural gas expense in 1997 primarily due to lower gas sales volumes and lower prices for purchased gas.

Operation and maintenance expense decreased \$19 million in 1998 in comparison to pro forma operation and maintenance expense for 1997. The decrease was primarily due to the impact of cost control initiatives and decreased maintenance due to milder weather in the first quarter of 1998.

Depreciation expense decreased \$4 million in 1998, compared to pro forma depreciation expense in 1997 primarily due to a rate settlement recorded in the first quarter of 1998. The rate settlement, effective January 1998, provided for a \$5 million reduction of MRT's depreciation rates retroactive to July 1996.

<sup>(1)</sup> Elimination of volumes both transported and sold.

During 1998, Interstate Pipelines' largest unaffiliated customer was a natural gas utility that serves the greater St. Louis metropolitan area. Revenues from this customer are generated pursuant to several long-term firm transportation and storage contracts that currently are scheduled to expire at various dates between October 1999 and May 2000. Interstate Pipeline is currently negotiating with the natural gas utility to renew these agreements. If such contracts are not renewed, the results of operations of Interstate Pipelines will be adversely affected.

1997 Compared to 1996 (Pro Forma). Pro forma operating income was \$99 million in 1997 compared to \$108 million (before a one-time charge of \$17 million for early retirement and severance) in 1996. This decrease of approximately \$9 million in Interstate Pipelines' pro forma operating income between 1997 and 1996 results primarily from three factors: (i) a 6% decrease in transportation revenues, (ii) a 43% decrease in natural gas sales revenue (as described below) and (iii) lower demand for natural gas transportation as a result of lower natural gas consumption (primarily weather-related) in the eastern markets served by the segment. These factors were offset partially by an approximately 18% decline in operating expenses primarily due to decreases in gas purchased.

Pro forma operating revenues for Interstate Pipelines decreased by \$52 million (15%) for the year ended December 31, 1997 in comparison to the corresponding period of 1996. The decrease in revenues primarily reflects a decline in natural gas sales revenue resulting from the expiration in 1996 of an unbundled natural gas sales contract between Interstate Pipelines and Reliant Energy Arkla. Natural gas sales to Natural Gas Distribution were \$60 million in 1996 and none in 1997.

The decline in transportation revenues is largely attributable to price differentials between the average spot price for Mid-continent natural gas (Interstate Pipelines' primary supply area) and Gulf Coast natural gas in 1997.

The \$31 million decrease in gas purchased costs in 1997 compared to 1996 is largely attributable to the expiration of long-term supply contracts entered into prior to unbundling, as discussed above. Other operating expenses decreased in 1997 compared to 1996 primarily due to the elimination of non-recurring costs combined with cost reductions related to the 1996 early retirement and severance program and reductions in costs allocated from Resources.

### WHOLESALE ENERGY

Wholesale Energy includes the acquisition, development and operation of, and sales of capacity and energy from, non-utility power generation facilities; the operations of the Company's wholesale energy trading and marketing business; and natural gas gathering activities.

Reliant Energy Power Generation, Inc. (Power Generation) was formed in March 1997 to pursue the acquisition of electric generation assets as well as the development of new non-rate regulated power generation facilities. Since March 1997, the Company has invested approximately \$348 million in Power Generation acquisitions and development projects. Power Generation currently has entered into commitments associated with various generation projects amounting to \$252 million. The Company expects that Power Generation will actively pursue the acquisition of additional generation assets and the development of additional new non-rate regulated generation projects. Depending on the timing and success of Power Generation's future efforts, the Company believes that resulting expenditures could be substantial. During 1997, Power Generation's results were included in the Corporate segment. Segment information for 1997 for Wholesale Energy has been revised to reflect the inclusion of Power Generation and the exclusion of Reliant Energy Retail, Inc., which is now reported as part of the Corporate segment.

To minimize the Company's risks associated with fluctuations in the price of natural gas and transportation, the Company, primarily through Reliant Energy Services, Inc. (Reliant Energy Services), a wholly owned subsidiary of Resources, enters into futures transactions, swaps and options in order to hedge against market price changes affecting (i) certain commitments to buy, sell and transport natural gas, (ii) existing natural gas storage and heating oil inventory, (iii) future power sales by and natural gas purchase by generation facilities, (iv) crude oil and refined products and (v) certain anticipated transactions, some of which carry off-balance sheet risk. Reliant Energy Services also enters into commodity derivatives in its trading and price risk management activities. For a discussion of the Company's accounting treatment of derivative instruments, see Note 2 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in Item 7A of this Form 10-K.

The Company believes that Reliant Energy Services' energy trading, marketing, and risk management activities complement Power Generation's strategy of developing and/or acquiring unregulated generation assets in key markets. Reliant Energy Services supplies fuel to Power Generation's existing generation assets and sells electricity produced by these assets. As a result, the Company has made, and expects to continue to make, significant investments in developing Reliant Energy Services' internal software, trading and personnel resources.

The following table provides summary data regarding the actual results of operations of Wholesale Energy for 1998 and pro forma results of operations of Wholesale Energy for 1997 and 1996.

	ACTUAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998		YEAR ENDED YEAR ENDED ECEMBER 31, DECEMBER 31,		R ENDED MBER 31, PERCENT
Operating Revenues	Ф.	4,456	\$	3,042	46%
Operating Expenses:	Ψ .	+, 430	Ψ	3,042	40%
Natural Gas	2	2,367		2,618	(10%)
Purchased Power	1	1,829		313	484%
Operation and Maintenance		178		117	52%
Depreciation and Amortization		18		7	157%
Other Operating Expenses		5		2	150%
Total Operating Expenses	4	4,397		3,057	44%
On and the Tanana				(45)	
Operating Income	\$	59	\$	(15)	
Operations Data:					
Natural Gas (in BCF):					
Sales	=	1,168		958	22%
Gathering		237		242	(2%)
Total	:	1,405		1,200	17%
Electricity (in they and Mark)	======	====	===	======	
Electricity (in thousand MWH):	61	- 000		04 007	1010/
Wholesale Power Sales	6	5,228		24,997	161%
	======	=====	===	======	

	PRO FORMA YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,				PERCENT CHANGE
	1997		1996		
Operating Revenues	\$	3,042	\$	2,146	42%
Natural Gas		2,618		1,967	33%
Purchased Power		313		63	397%
Operation and Maintenance		117		87	34%
Depreciation and Amortization		7		6	17%
Other Operating Expenses		2		1	100%
Total Operating Expenses		3,057		2,124	44%
Operating Income (Loss)		(15)	\$	22	(168%)
Operations Data:					
Natural Gas (in BCF):					
Sales		958		877	9%
Gathering		242		231	5%
·					
Total		1,200		1,108	8%
	===:	======	===	======	
Electricity (in thousand MWH):					
Wholesale Power Sales		24,997		2,776	800%
	====	======	===	======	

1998 (Actual) Compared to 1997 (Pro Forma). Wholesale Energy reported operating income of \$59 million compared to a pro forma loss of \$15 million in 1997. The increase was primarily due to operating results from Power Generation's investment in non-regulated generating assets and related trading and marketing activities. Capitalization of previously expensed development costs related to successful project starts in Nevada, California and Texas also contributed to the increase. These improved results were partially offset by increased operating expenses at Reliant Energy Services, as discussed below. In 1997, operating income was negatively affected by hedging losses at Reliant Energy Services associated with sales under peaking contracts and losses from the sale of natural gas held in storage and unhedged in the first quarter of 1997 totaling \$17 million.

Operating revenues for Wholesale Energy increased \$1.4 billion (46%) in comparison to pro forma 1997 operating revenues due almost entirely to an increase in wholesale power sales by Power Generation and Reliant Energy Services. The benefit of the increase in natural gas sales volume was primarily offset by lower gas sales prices.

Operating expense increased \$1.3 billion compared to pro forma operating expense for 1997 primarily due to \$1.5 billion in increased power costs related to energy trading and marketing activities. Natural gas expenses decreased \$251 million (10%) compared to pro forma 1997 due to the reduction in the price of natural gas in 1998. Operation and maintenance expense increased \$61 million (52%) in 1998 primarily due to power plant acquisitions in California and costs associated with staffing increases at Reliant Energy Services to support increased sales and marketing efforts and an increase in a credit reserve due to increased counterparty credit and performance risk associated with higher prices and higher volatility in the electric power market recorded in the second quarter of 1998.

1997 Compared to 1996 (Pro Forma). The pro forma operating loss for 1997 was \$15 million compared to operating income of \$22 million in 1996. This decrease of approximately \$37 million (168%) was primarily attributed to: (i) hedging losses associated with anticipated first quarter 1997 sales under peaking contracts and (ii) losses from the sale of natural gas held in storage and unhedged in the first quarter of 1997 totaling \$17 million. In addition, operating expenses increased \$11 million largely due to increased staffing and marketing activities made in support of the increased sales and expanded marketing efforts. Partially offsetting these unfavorable impacts were increased margins from natural gas gathering activities.

Pro forma operating revenues for Wholesale Energy increased by \$896 million (42%) for 1997 in comparison to 1996 due to increased natural gas and electricity trading volumes. Increased volumes in 1997 had minimal effect on operating income due to low operating margins in both periods.

Natural gas and purchased power expense increased \$901 million (44%) in 1997 compared to 1996 primarily due to increased gas and electricity marketing activities net of hedging losses and losses from the sale of natural gas, as discussed above.

### INTERNATIONAL

International includes the results of operations of Reliant Energy International, Inc. (Reliant Energy International) and the international operations of Resources (Resources International). Reliant Energy International is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company that participates in the development and acquisition of foreign independent power projects and the privatization of foreign generation and distribution facilities. Substantially all of Reliant Energy International's operations to date have been in Central and South America.

International intends to evaluate and consider a wide array of potential business strategies, including possible acquisitions, restructurings, reorganizations and/or dispositions of currently owned properties or investments. The Company believes pursuit of any of the above strategies, or any combination thereof could have a significant impact on the business, operations and financial condition of International or the Company.

For information regarding foreign currency matters, including the impact of the devaluation of the Brazilian real in the first quarter of 1999, see Note 16 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and "-- Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries -- Risks of International Operations" and "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk" in Item 7A of this Form 10-K. For additional information about the accounting treatment of certain of International's foreign investments, see Note 5 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Results of operations data for International are presented in the following table on an actual basis for 1998 and on a pro forma basis as if the acquisition of Resources had occurred as of January 1, 1997 and 1996, as applicable. The primary pro forma adjustment made to this segment in connection with the acquisition is to give effect to the development costs and other expenditures incurred by Resources International prior to the Acquisition Date. The adjustment had no effect on operating revenues.

	YEAR	TUAL ENDED 998	YEAR	FORMA ENDED 997	PERCENT CHANGE	PRO FOR YEAR EN 1997			 1996	PERCENT CHANGE
Operating Revenues	\$	259	\$	92	182%	\$	92	\$	62	48%
Operating Expenses: Fuel		20		21	(5%)		21		19	11%
Operation and Maintenance Depreciation and amortization		53 4		50 4	6%		50 4		42	19% 100%
·										
Total Operating Expenses		77 		75 	3%		75 		63	19%
Operating Income (Loss)	\$	182	\$	17		\$	17	\$	(1)	

1998 (Actual) Compared to 1997 (Pro Forma). International had operating income of \$182 million compared to pro forma operating income of \$17 million in 1997. The increase in operating income is primarily due to a \$138 million pretax gain on the sale of Reliant Energy International's 63% interest in an Argentine electric distribution company. Equity earnings from Reliant Energy International's 1998 acquisitions of equity interests in utility systems in El Salvador and Colombia also contributed to the increase in operating income.

1997 Compared to 1996 (Pro Forma). Pro forma operating income for 1997 was \$17 million compared to an operating loss of \$1 million for 1996. 1996 includes an \$8 million pre-tax non-recurring charge related to the write-off of a portion of Reliant Energy International's investment in two tire-to-energy plants. Excluding non-recurring charges, International would have had operating income in 1996 of \$7 million. The remaining increase in 1997 operating income is due to increased equity earnings of \$32 million partially offset by higher operation expenses resulting from increased

45

corporate and project development costs. Equity earnings increased primarily due to investments in Brazil and Colombia. Light Servicos de Eletricidade S.A. (Light) reported enhanced results and a full year of operations in 1997 compared to only seven months in 1996. Reliant Energy International's June 1997 investment in a 28.35% indirect interest in Empresa de Energia del Pacifico S.A.E.S.P (EPSA), a Colombian electric utility also contributed to the increase in equity income.

### CORPORATE

Corporate. Corporate includes the operations of certain non-rate regulated retail services businesses, certain real estate holdings of the Company, unallocated corporate costs and inter-unit eliminations.

Corporate had an operating loss of \$44 million for 1998 compared to a proforma operating loss of \$32 million for 1997. The increased loss was primarily due to expensed development costs, increased expenses associated with information system costs and increased liabilities associated with certain compensation plans.

In 1997, Corporate's pro forma operating loss was \$32 million compared to a pro forma operating loss of \$19 million in 1996. The increase in pro forma operating loss was primarily due to losses from the Company's non-regulated utility service businesses, consumer services and non-regulated retail electric services businesses.

### CERTAIN FACTORS AFFECTING FUTURE EARNINGS OF THE COMPANY AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

Earnings for the past three years are not necessarily indicative of future earnings and results. The level of future earnings depends on numerous factors including (i) the future growth in the Company's and its subsidiaries' energy sales; (ii) weather; (iii) the success of the Company's and its subsidiaries' entry into non-rate regulated businesses such as energy marketing and international and domestic power projects; (iv) the Company's and its subsidiaries' ability to respond to rapid changes in a competitive environment and in the legislative and regulatory framework under which they have traditionally operated; (v) rates of economic growth in the Company's and its subsidiaries' service areas; (vi) the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries to control costs and to maintain pricing structures that are both attractive to customers and profitable; (vii) the outcome of future rate proceedings; (viii) the effect that foreign exchange rate changes may have on the Company's investments in international operations; and (ix) future legislative initiatives.

In order to adapt to the increasingly competitive environment in which the Company operates, the Company continues to evaluate a wide array of potential business strategies, including business combinations or acquisitions involving other utility or non-utility businesses or properties, internal restructuring, reorganizations or dispositions of currently owned properties or currently operating business units and new products, services and customer strategies. In addition, the Company continues to engage in new business ventures, such as electric power trading and marketing, which arise from competitive and regulatory changes in the utility industry.

### COMPETITION AND RESTRUCTURING OF THE ELECTRIC UTILITY INDUSTRY

The electric utility industry is becoming increasingly competitive due to changing government regulations, technological developments and the availability of alternative energy sources.

Long-Term Trends in Electric Utility Industry. The electric utility industry historically has been composed of vertically integrated companies providing electric service on an exclusive basis within governmentally-defined geographic areas. Prices for electric service have typically been set by governmental authorities under principles designed to provide the utility with an opportunity to recover its cost of providing electric service plus a reasonable return on its invested capital. Federal legislation and regulation as well as legislative and regulatory initiatives in various states have encouraged competition among electric utility and non-utility owned power generators. These developments, combined with increased demand for lower-priced electricity and technological advances in electric generation, have continued to move the electric utility industry in the direction of more competition.

Based on a strategic review of the Company's business and of ongoing developments in the electric utility and related industries regarding competition, regulation and consolidation, the Company's management believes that the electric utility industry will continue its path toward competition, albeit on a state-by-state basis. The Company's management also believes the business of electricity and natural gas are converging and consolidating and these trends will alter the structure and business practices of companies serving these markets in the future.

Competition in Wholesale Market. The Federal Energy Policy Act of 1992, the Public Utility Regulatory Act of 1995 (now the Texas Utilities Code) and regulations promulgated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) contain provisions intended to facilitate the development of a wholesale energy market. Although Reliant Energy HL&P's wholesale sales traditionally have accounted for less than 1% of its total revenues, the expansion of competition in the wholesale electric market is significant in that it has increased the range of non-utility competitors, such as exempt wholesale generators (EWGs) and power marketers, in the Texas electric market as well as resulted in fundamental changes in the operation of the state transmission grid.

In February 1996, the Texas Utility Commission adopted rules granting third-party users of transmission systems open access to such systems at rates, terms and conditions comparable to those available to utilities owning such transmission assets. Under the Texas Utility Commission order implementing the rule, Reliant Energy HL&P was required to separate, on an operational basis, its wholesale power marketing operations from the operations of the transmission grid and, for purposes of transmission pricing, to disclose each of its separate costs of generation, transmission and distribution.

Within ERCOT, an independent system operator (ISO) manages the state's electric grid, ensuring system reliability and providing non-discriminatory transmission access to all power producers and traders. The ERCOT ISO, the first in the nation, is a key component for implementing the Texas Utility Commission's overall strategy to create a

competitive wholesale market. ERCOT formed an ad hoc committee in early 1998 to investigate the potential impacts of a competitive retail market on the ISO. The ERCOT committee report was released in December 1998 and concluded that the ISO's role and function would necessarily expand in a competitive retail environment, but the changes required of the ISO to support retail choice should not impede introduction of retail choice.

Competition in Retail Market. The Company estimates that, since 1978, cogeneration projects representing approximately one-third of current total peak generating capability have been built in the Houston area and that, as a result, Reliant Energy HL&P has seen a reduction of approximately 2,500 MW in customer load to self-generation. Reliant Energy HL&P has utilized flexible pricing to respond to situations where large industrial customers have an alternative to buying power from it, primarily by constructing their own generating facilities. Under a tariff option approved by the Texas Utility Commission in 1995, Reliant Energy HL&P was permitted to implement contracts based upon flexible pricing for up to 700 MW. Currently, this rate is fully subscribed.

Texas law currently does not permit retail sales by unregulated entities such as cogenerators. The Company anticipates that cogenerators and other interests will continue to exert pressure to obtain access to the electric transmission and distribution systems of regulated utilities for the purpose of making retail sales to customers of regulated utilities.

Legislative Proposals. A number of proposals to restructure the electric utility industry have been introduced in the 1999 session of the Texas legislature. If adopted, legislation may permit and encourage alternative suppliers to compete to serve Reliant Energy HL&P's current rate-regulated retail customers. The various legislative proposals include provisions governing recovery of stranded costs and permitting securitization of those costs; freezing rates until 2002; requiring firm sales of energy to competing retail electric providers; requiring disaggregation of generation, transmission and distribution, and retail sales into separate companies and limiting the ability of existing utilities' affiliates competing for retail electric customers on the basis of price until they have lost a substantial percentage of their residential and small commercial load to alternative retail providers. In addition to the Texas legislative proposals, a number of federal legislative proposals to promote retail electric competition or restructure the U.S. electric utility industry have been introduced during the current congressional session.

At this time, the Company is unable to make any prediction as to whether any legislation to restructure electric operations or provide retail competition will be enacted or as to the content or impact on the Company of any legislation which may be enacted. However, because the proposed legislation is intended to fundamentally restructure electric utility operations, it is likely that enacted legislation would have a material impact on the Company.

Stranded Costs. As the U.S. electric utility industry continues its transition to a more competitive environment, a substantial amount of fixed costs previously approved for recovery under traditional utility regulatory practices (including regulatory assets and liabilities) may become "stranded," i.e., unrecoverable at competitive market prices. The issue of stranded costs could be particularly significant with respect to fixed costs incurred in connection with the past construction of generation plants, such as nuclear power plants, which, because of their high fixed costs, would not command the same price for their output as they have in a regulated environment.

In January 1997, the Texas Utility Commission delivered a report to the Texas legislature on stranded investments in the electric utility industry in Texas (referred to by the Texas Utility Commission as "Excess Cost Over Market") (ECOM). In April 1998, the Texas Utility Commission submitted to the Texas Senate Interim Committee on Electric Utility Restructuring an updated study of ECOM estimates. Assuming that retail competition is adopted at the beginning of 2002, the updated study estimated that the total amount of stranded costs for all Texas electric utilities could be \$4.5 billion. If instead, retail competition is adopted one year later, the study estimates statewide ECOM to be \$3.3 billion. Estimates of ECOM vary widely and there is inherent uncertainty in calculating these costs.

Transition Plan. In June 1998, the Texas Utility Commission approved the Transition Plan filed by Reliant Energy HL&P in December 1997. The Transition Plan included base rate credits to residential and certain commercial

customers in 1998 and 1999, an overall rate of return cap formula for 1998 and 1999 and approval of accounting procedures designed to accelerate recovery of stranded costs which may arise under restructuring legislation. The Transition Plan permits the redirection of depreciation expense to generation assets that Electric Operations otherwise would apply to transmission, distribution and general plant assets. In addition, the Transition Plan provides that all earnings above a 9.844% overall annual rate of return on invested capital be used to recover Electric Operations' investment in generation assets. In 1998, Reliant Energy HL&P recorded an additional \$194 million in depreciation under the Transition Plan. Certain parties have appealed the order approving the Transition Plan. For additional information, see Notes 1(f) and 3(b) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

### COMPETITION -- OTHER OPERATIONS

Natural Gas Distribution competes primarily with alternate energy sources such as electricity and other fuel sources as well as with providers of energy conservation products. In addition, as a result of federal regulatory changes affecting interstate pipelines, it has become possible for other natural gas suppliers and distributors to bypass Natural Gas Distribution's facilities and market, sell and/or transport natural gas directly to small commercial and/or large volume customers.

The Interstate Pipeline segment competes with other interstate and intrastate pipelines in the transportation and storage of natural gas. The principal elements of competition among pipelines are rates, terms of service, and flexibility and reliability of service. Interstate Pipeline competes indirectly with other forms of energy available to its customers, including electricity, coal and fuel oils. The primary competitive factor is price. Changes in the availability of energy and pipeline capacity, the level of business activity, conservation and governmental regulations, the capability to convert to alternative fuels, and other factors, including weather, affect the demand for natural gas in areas served by Interstate Pipeline and the level of competition for transport and storage services.

Reliant Energy Services competes for sales in its gas and power trading and marketing business with other natural gas and power merchants, producers and pipelines based on its ability to aggregate supplies at competitive prices from different sources and locations and to efficiently utilize transportation from third-party pipelines and transmission from electric utilities. Reliant Energy Services also competes against other energy marketers on the basis of its relative financial position and access to credit sources. This competitive factor reflects the tendency of energy customers, natural gas suppliers and natural gas transporters to seek financial guarantees and other assurances that their energy contracts will be satisfied. As pricing information becomes increasingly available in the energy trading and marketing business and as deregulation in the electricity markets continues to accelerate, the Company anticipates that Reliant Energy Services will experience greater competition and downward pressure on per-unit profit margins in the energy marketing industry.

Competition for acquisition of international and domestic non-rate regulated power projects is intense. International and Power Generation compete against a number of other participants in the non-utility power generation industry, some of which have greater financial resources and have been engaged in non-utility power projects for periods longer than the Company and have accumulated greater portfolios of projects. Competitive factors relevant to the non-utility power industry include financial resources, access to non-recourse funding and regulatory factors.

### FLUCTUATIONS IN COMMODITY PRICES AND DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

For information regarding the Company's exposure to risk as a result of fluctuations in commodity prices and derivative instruments, see "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in Item 7A of this Report.

### ACCOUNTING TREATMENT OF ACES

The Company accounts for its investment in Time Warner Convertible Preferred Stock (TW Preferred) under the cost method. As a result of the Company's issuance of the ACES, a portion of the increase in the market value above \$27.7922 per share of Time Warner common stock (the security into which the TW Preferred is convertible) (TW

Common) results in unrealized accounting losses to the Company, pending the conversion of the Company's TW Preferred into TW Common. For consistency purposes, the TW Common and related per share prices retroactively reflect a 2 for 1 stock split effective December 15, 1998.

Prior to the conversion of the TW Preferred into TW Common, when the market price of TW Common increases above \$27.7922, the Company records in Other Income (Expense) an unrealized, non-cash accounting loss for the ACES equal to the aggregate amount of such increase as applicable to all ACES multiplied by 0.8264. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, this accounting loss (which reflects the unrealized increase in the Company's indebtedness with respect to the ACES) may not be offset by accounting recognition of the increase in the market value of the TW Common that underlies the TW Preferred. Upon conversion of the TW Preferred (which is anticipated to occur in June 1999 when the preferential dividend on the TW Preferred expires), the Company will begin recording future unrealized net changes in the market prices of the TW Common and the ACES as a component of common stock equity and other comprehensive income.

As of December 31, 1998, the market price of TW Common was \$62.062 per share. Accordingly, the Company recognized an increase of \$1.2 billion in 1998 in the unrealized liability relating to its ACES indebtedness (which resulted in an after-tax earnings reduction of \$764 million or \$2.69 basic earnings per share in 1998). The Company believes that the cumulative unrealized loss for the ACES of approximately \$1.3 billion is more than economically offset by the approximately \$1.8 billion unrecorded unrealized gain at December 31, 1998 relating to the increase in the fair value of the TW Common underlying the investment in TW Preferred since the date of its acquisition. Any gain related to the increase in fair value of TW Common would be recognized as a component of net income upon the sale of the TW Preferred or the shares of TW Common into which such TW Preferred is converted. As of March 11, 1999, the price of TW Common was \$70.75 per share, which would have resulted in the Company recognizing an additional increase of \$329 million in the unrealized liability represented by its indebtedness under the ACES. The related unrecorded unrealized gain as of March 11, 1999 would have been computed as an additional \$398 million.

Excluding the unrealized, non-cash accounting loss for ACES, the Company's retained earnings and total common stock equity would have been \$2.3 billion and \$5.2 billion, respectively.

### IMPACT OF THE YEAR 2000 ISSUE AND OTHER SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

Year 2000 Problem. At midnight on December 31, 1999, unless the proper modifications have been made, the program logic in many of the world's computer systems will start to produce erroneous results because, among other things, the systems will incorrectly read the date "01/01/00" as being January 1 of the year 1900 or another incorrect date. In addition, certain systems may fail to detect that the year 2000 is a leap year. Problems can also arise earlier than January 1, 2000, as dates in the next millennium are entered into non-Year 2000 compliant programs.

Compliance Program. In 1997, the Company initiated a corporate-wide Year 2000 project to address mainframe application systems, information technology (IT) related equipment, system software, client-developed applications, building controls and non-IT embedded systems such as process controls for energy production and delivery. Incorporated into this project were Resources' and other Company subsidiaries' mainframe applications, infrastructures, embedded systems and client-developed applications that will not be migrated into existing or planned Company or Resources systems prior to the year 2000. The evaluation of Year 2000 issues included those related to significant customers, key vendors, service suppliers and other parties material to the Company's and its subsidiaries' operations. In the course of this evaluation, the Company has sought written assurances from such third parties as to their state of Year 2000

State of Readiness. Work has been prioritized in accordance with business risk. The highest priority has been assigned to activities that would disrupt the physical delivery of energy (Priority 1); activities that would impact back office activities such as billing (Priority 2); activities that would cause inconvenience or productivity loss in normal business operations (e.g. air conditioning systems and elevators) (Priority 3). All business units have completed an analysis of critical systems and equipment that control the production and delivery of energy, as well as corporate, departmental and personnel systems and equipment. The remediation and replacement work on the majority of IT

systems, non-IT systems and infrastructure began in the first quarter of 1998 and is expected to be completed by the second quarter of 1999. Testing of these systems began in the second quarter of 1998 and is scheduled to be completed in third quarter of 1999. The following table illustrates the Company's completion percentages for the Year 2000 activities as of February 28, 1999:

	PRIORITY 1	PRIORITY 2	PRIORITY 3
Assessment	95%	86%	96%
Conversion	86%	70%	91%
Testing	80%	61%	87%
Implementation	76%	54%	75%

Costs to Address Year 2000 Compliance Issues. Based on current internal studies, as well as recently solicited bids from various computer software vendors, the Company estimates that the total direct cost of resolving the Year 2000 issue with respect to the Company and its subsidiaries will be between \$35 and \$40 million. This estimate includes approximately \$7 million related to salaries and expenses of existing employees and approximately \$3 million in hardware purchases that the Company expects to capitalize. In addition, the \$35 to \$40 million estimate includes approximately \$2 million spent prior to 1998 and approximately \$12 million during 1998. The remaining costs related to resolving the Year 2000 issue are expected to be expended in 1999. The Company expects to fund these expenditures through internal sources.

In September 1997, the Company entered into an agreement with SAP America, Inc. (SAP) to license SAP proprietary R/3 enterprise software. The licensed software includes customer care, finance and accounting, human resources, materials management and service delivery components. The Company's purchase of this software license and related computer hardware is part of its response to changes in the electric utility and energy services industries, as well as changes in the Company's businesses and operations resulting from the acquisition of Resources and the Company's expansion into the energy trading and marketing business. Although it is anticipated that the implementation of the SAP system will have the incidental effect of negating the need to modify many of the Company's computer systems to accommodate the Year 2000 problem, the Company does not deem the costs of the SAP system as directly related to its Year 2000 compliance program. Portions of the SAP system were implemented in December 1998 and March 1999, and it is expected that the final portion of the SAP system will be fully implemented by July 2000. The estimated costs of implementing the SAP system is approximately \$182 million, inclusive of internal costs. In 1998, the Company and its subsidiaries spent \$108 million of such costs. In 1999, the Company and its subsidiaries expect to spend \$59 million with the remaining amounts to be spent in 2000.

The estimated Year 2000 project costs do not give effect to any future corporate acquisitions or divestitures made by the Company or its subsidiaries.

Risks and Contingency Plans. The major systems which pose the greatest Year 2000 risks for the Company and its subsidiaries if implementation of the Year 2000 compliance program is not successful are the process control systems for energy delivery systems; the time in use, demand and recorder metering system for commercial and industrial customers; the outage analysis system; and the power billing systems. The potential problems related to these systems are temporary electric service interruptions to customers, temporary interruptions in revenue data gathering and temporary poor customer relations resulting from delayed billing. Although the Company does not believe that this scenario will occur, the Company has considerable experience responding to emergency situations, including computer failure. Existing emergency operations, disaster recovery and business continuation plans are being enhanced to ensure preparedness and to mitigate the long-term effect of such a scenario.

The North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC) is coordinating electric utility industry contingency planning on a national level. Additional contingency planning is being done at the regional electric reliability council level. Reliant Energy HL&P filed a draft Year 2000 Contingency Plan with NERC and with the Texas Utility Commission in December 1998. The draft plan addresses restoration of electric service and related business processes, and is designed to work in conjunction with the Emergency Operating Plan and with the plans of NERC and ERCOT.

A final contingency plan is scheduled to be complete by June 30, 1999. In addition, Reliant Energy HL&P will participate in industry preparedness drills, such as the two NERC drills scheduled to be held on April 9, 1999 and September 9, 1999

The existing business continuity disaster recovery and emergency operations plans are being reviewed and enhanced, and where necessary, additional plans will be developed to include mitigation strategies and action plans specifically addressing potential Year 2000 scenarios. The expected completion date for these plans is June 30, 1999.

In order to assist in preparing for and mitigating the foregoing scenarios, the Company intends to complete all mission critical Year 2000 remediation and testing activity by the end of the second quarter of 1999. In addition, the Company has initiated Year 2000 communications with significant customers, key vendors, service suppliers and other parties material to the Company's operations and is diligently monitoring the progress of such third parties' Year 2000 projects. The Company expects to meet with mission-critical third parties, including suppliers, in order to ascertain and assess the relative risks of Year-2000-related issues, and to mitigate such risks. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Company cautions that (i) the nature of testing is such that it cannot comprehensively address all future combinations of dates and events and (ii) it is impossible for the Company to assess with precision or certainty the compliance of third parties with Year 2000 remediation efforts. Due to the speculative and uncertain nature of contingency planning, there can be no assurance that such plans actually will be sufficient to reduce the risk of material impacts on the Company's and its subsidiaries' operations.

### RISKS OF INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS

The Company's international operations are subject to various risks incidental to investing or operating in emerging market countries. These risks include political risks, such as governmental instability, and economic risks, such as fluctuations in currency exchange rates, restrictions on the repatriation of foreign earnings and/or restrictions on the conversion of local currency earnings into U.S. dollars. The Company's international operations are also highly capital intensive and, thus, dependent to a significant extent on the continued availability of bank financing and other sources of capital on commercially acceptable terms.

Impact of Currency Fluctuations on Company Earnings. The Company, through Reliant Energy International's subsidiaries, owns 11.69% of the stock of Light and, through its investment in Light, an 8.753% interest in the stock of Metropolitana Electricidade de Sao Paulo S.A. (Metropolitana). The Company accounts for its investment in Light under the equity method of accounting and records its proportionate share, based on stock ownership, in the net income of Light and its affiliates (including Metropolitana) as part of the Company's consolidated net income.

At December 31, 1998, Light and Metropolitana had total borrowings of approximately \$3.2 billion denominated in non-local currencies. Because of the devaluation of the Brazilian real subsequent to December 31, 1998, Light and Metropolitana are expected to record a charge to March 31, 1999 earnings that reflects the increase in the liability represented by their non-local currency denominated bank borrowings relative to the Brazilian real. Because the Company uses the Brazilian real as the functional currency in which it reports Light's equity earnings, the resulting decrease in Light's earnings will also be reflected in the Company's consolidated earnings to the extent of the Company's 11.69% ownership interest in Light. At December 31, 1998, one U. S. dollar could be exchanged for 1.21 Brazilian reais. Using the exchange rate of 2.06 Brazilian reais in effect at the end of February, and the average exchange rate in effect since the end of the year, the Company estimates that its share of the after-tax charge to be recorded by Light would be approximately \$125 million. This estimate does not reflect the possibility of additional fluctuations in the exchange rate and does not include other non-debt-related impacts of Brazil's currency devaluation on Light's and Metropolitana's future earnings.

None of Light's or Metropolitana's tariff adjustment mechanisms are directly indexed to the U.S. dollar or other non-local currencies. Each company currently is evaluating various options including regulatory rate relief to mitigate the impact of the devaluation of the Brazilian real. For example, the long-term concession contracts under which Light and Metropolitana operate contain mechanisms for adjusting electricity tariffs to reflect changes in operating costs resulting from inflation. If the devaluation of the Brazilian real results in an increase in the local rate of inflation and if an adjustment to tariff rates is made promptly to reflect such increase, the Company believes that the financial results of Light and Metropolitana should be protected, at least in part, from the effects of devaluation. However, there can be no assurance the implementation of such tariff adjustments will be timely or that the economic impact of the devaluation will be completely reflected in increased inflation rates.

Certain of Reliant Energy International's other foreign electric distribution companies have incurred U.S. dollar and other non-local currency indebtedness (approximately \$71 million at December 31, 1998). For further analysis of foreign currency fluctuations in the Company's earnings and cash flows, see "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk -- Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk" in Item 7A of this Form 10-K.

Impact of Foreign Currency Devaluation on Project Capital Resources. In the first quarter of 1999, approximately \$117 million of Metropolitana's U.S. dollar denominated debt will mature. In the second quarter of 1999, approximately \$980 million of Light's and approximately \$696 million of Metropolitana's U.S. and non-local currency denominated bank debt will mature. In March 1999, Light refinanced approximately \$130 million of its U.S. dollar denominated debt through a local - currency denominated loan. The ability of Light and Metropolitana to repay or refinance their debt obligations at maturity is dependent on many factors, including local and international economic conditions prevailing at the time such debt matures.

If economic conditions in the international markets continue to be unsettled or deteriorate, it is possible that Light, Metropolitana and the other foreign electric distribution companies in which the Company holds investments might encounter difficulties in refinancing their debt (both local currency and non-local currency borrowings) on terms and conditions that are commercially acceptable to them and their shareholders. In such circumstances, in lieu of declaring a default or extending the maturity, it is possible that lenders might seek to require, among other things, higher borrowing rates, and additional equity contributions and/or increased levels of credit support from the shareholders of such entities. The availability or terms of refinancing such debt cannot be assured.

Currency fluctuation and instability affecting Latin America may also adversely affect Reliant Energy International's ability to refinance its equity investments with debt. In 1998, Reliant Energy International invested \$411 million in Colombia and El Salvador. As of January 1999, \$100 million of these investments were refinanced with debt. Reliant Energy International intends to refinance approximately \$75 million more of such initial investments with debt.

### ENVIRONMENTAL EXPENDITURES

The Company and its subsidiaries, including Resources, are subject to numerous environmental laws and regulations, which require them to incur substantial costs to operate existing facilities, construct and operate new facilities, and mitigate or remove the effect of past operations on the environment.

Clean Air Act Expenditures. The Company expects the majority of capital expenditures associated with environmental matters to be incurred by Electric Operations in connection with new emission limitations under the Federal Clean Air Act (Clean Air Act) for oxides of nitrogen (Nox). The standards applicable to Electric Operations' generating units in the Houston, Texas area will become effective in November 1999. Nox reduction costs incurred by Electric Operations totaled approximately \$7 million in 1998. The Company estimates that Electric Operations will incur approximately \$8 million in 1999 and \$10 million in 2000 for such expenditures. The Texas Natural Resources Conservation Commission (TNRCC) has indicated that additional Nox reduction will be required after 2000; however, since the magnitude and timing of these reductions have not yet been established, it is impossible for the Company to estimate a reasonable range of such expenditures at this time.

In 1998, the Wholesale Energy spent approximately \$100,000 in order to comply with NOx reduction with respect to Southern California generating facilities acquired by Power Generation from Southern California Edison (SCE) in 1998. In 1999, based on existing requirements, the Company projects that it will spend an additional \$100,000 on NOx reduction standards with respect to such plants and approximately \$1 million on continuous emission monitoring system upgrades for such plants.

Site Remediation Expenditures. From time to time the Company and its subsidiaries have received notices from regulatory authorities or others regarding their status as potentially responsible parties in connection with sites found to require remediation due to the presence of environmental contaminants.

The Company's identified sites with respect to which it may be claimed to have a remediation liability include several sites for which there is a lack of current available information, including the nature and magnitude of contamination, and the extent, if any, to which the Company may be held responsible for contributing to any costs incurred for remediating these sites. Thus, no reasonable estimate of cleanup costs can now be made for these sites. Based on currently available information, the Company believes that such costs ultimately will not materially affect its financial position, results of operations or cash flows. There can be no assurance, however, that future developments, including additional information about existing sites or the identification of new sites, will not require material revisions to such estimates. For information about specific sites that are the subject of remediation claims, see Note 12(h) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 8(g) to Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements, each of which is incorporated herein by reference.

Mercury Contamination. Like other natural gas pipelines, Resources' pipeline operations have in the past employed elemental mercury in meters used on its pipelines. Although the mercury has now been removed from the meters, it is possible that small amounts of mercury have been spilled at some of those sites in the course of normal maintenance and replacement operations and that such spills have contaminated the immediate area around the meters with elemental mercury. Such contamination has been found by Resources at some sites in the past, and Resources has conducted remediation at sites found to be contaminated. Although Resources is not aware of additional specific sites. is possible that other contaminated sites exist and that remediation costs will be incurred for such sites. Although the total amount of such costs cannot be known at this time, based on experience of Resources and others in the natural gas industry to date and on the current regulations regarding remediation of such sites, the Company and Resources believe that the cost of any remediation of such sites will not be material to the Company's or Resources' financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Other. In addition, the Company has been named as a defendant in litigation related to such sites and in recent years has been named, along with numerous others, as a defendant in several lawsuits filed by a large number of individuals who claim injury due to exposure to asbestos while working at sites along the Texas Gulf Coast. Most of these claimants have been workers who participated in construction of various industrial facilities, including power plants, and some of the claimants have worked at locations owned by the Company. The Company anticipates that additional claims like those received may be asserted in the future and intends to continue its practice of vigorously contesting claims which it does not consider to have merit. Although their ultimate outcome cannot be predicted at this time, the Company does not believe, based on its experience to date, that these matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

### OTHER CONTINGENCIES

For a description of certain other legal and regulatory proceedings affecting the Company and its subsidiaries, see Notes 3, 4, 5 and 12 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 8 to Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements, which notes are incorporated herein by reference.

### LIOUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

### COMPANY CONSOLIDATED CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

The liquidity and capital requirements of the Company and its subsidiaries are affected primarily by capital programs and debt service requirements. The capital requirements for 1998 were, and as estimated for 1999 through 2003 are, as follows (in millions):

<u>-</u>											
	1998		1999	2	2000	2	001	2	002	2	003
-											
Electric capital and nuclear fuel											
<pre>(excluding allowance for funds used during construction) (AFUDC)</pre>	\$ 42	9 \$	490	\$	432	\$	379	\$	370	\$	370
Natural Gas Distribution(3)	16		185	•	173	•	172	•	168	*	169
<pre>Interstate Pipeline(3)</pre>	5	9	30		28		17		17		17
Wholesale Energy (excluding capitalized interest)(2)	36	4	154		156		25		12		10
International (excluding capitalized	30	+	154		130		25		12		10
interest)(2)	42	-	3		2		3				
Corporate	3	0	64		42		53		55		54
Maturities of long-term debt, preferred stock and minimum capital lease											
payments(3)	24	0	402		2,758		438		1,895		199
T-t-1(4)											
Total(1)	\$ 1,71 =====	= =:	1,328 ======	\$ ===	3,591 =====	\$ ===	1,087 =====	\$ ===	2,517 =====	\$ ===:	819 =====

- (1) Expenditures in the table do not reflect expenditures associated with the Year 2000 issue. For a discussion of these expenditures, see "--Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries --Impact of the Year 2000 Issue and Other System Implementation Issues."
- (2) Expenditures in the table reflect only expenditures made or to be made under existing contractual commitments entered into by International and Wholesale Energy. The Company expects that Reliant Energy International and Power Generation will continue to participate as bidders in future acquisitions of independent power projects, privatizations of generation and distribution facilities and sales of generating assets. International and Wholesale Energy capital requirements are expected to be met through advances from the Company, the proceeds of project financings and the proceeds of borrowings at the Company's finance subsidiaries. Additional capital expenditures are dependent upon the nature and extent of future project commitments (some of which may be substantial).
- (3) All of the capital requirements for Natural Gas Distribution and Interstate Pipelines represent requirements at Resources. Wholesale Energy requirements allocable to Resources for the respective periods shown are \$21 million, \$45 million, \$23 million, \$16 million, \$12 million and \$10 million. The components of "Maturities of long-term debt, preferred stock and minimum capital lease payments" allocable to Resources for the respective periods shown are \$227 million, \$207 million, \$228 million, \$151 million, \$7 million and \$7 million.

For the year ended December 31, 1998, the Company's net cash provided by operating activities increased \$315 million over the same period in 1997. The increase in net cash from operating activities is due primarily to (i) incremental cash flow provided by the business segments purchased in the Resources acquisition, (ii) increased sales at Electric Operations due to unusually hot weather during the second and third quarters of 1998 and (iii) the receipt of a refund of federal income taxes and related interest income.

Net cash used in investing activities decreased \$752 million for the year ended December 31, 1998, compared to the same period in 1997, due to the Resources acquisition. Investing activities for the year ended December 31, 1998 included (i) the acquisition and construction of non-rate regulated power generation projects, (ii) the acquisition of investments in foreign electric distribution systems and (iii) the sale of an investment in an Argentine electric distribution company.

Net cash used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 1998 reflected a \$218 million outflow compared to an inflow of \$914 million in the same period in 1997. The cash inflow in 1997 included \$1 billion in proceeds from the issuance of the ACES and the proceeds from the issuance of \$1.4 billion in commercial paper borrowings used to finance a portion of the cost of the Resources acquisition. The proceeds from the ACES were used to retire \$1 billion of commercial paper borrowings.

In June 1998, the Company repaid at maturity \$5 million of floating-rate pollution control revenue bonds issued on its behalf. In February 1999, the Company repaid at maturity \$170.5 million of medium-term notes collateralized by first mortgage bonds.

The Company has approximately \$400 million of consolidated debt maturing in 1999.

During 1998, Resources repaid at maturity \$76 million of medium-term notes and a \$150 million term loan. In March 1998, Resources satisfied the \$6.5 million sinking fund requirement for its 6% convertible subordinated debentures due 2012 using debentures purchased in 1996 and 1997. During 1998, Resources purchased and retired \$6.7 million aggregate principal amount of its 6% convertible subordinated debentures due 2012 at an average purchase price of 97.3% of the aggregate principal amount plus accrued interest. During 1999, Resources purchased and retired \$5.8 million aggregate principal amount of its 6% convertible subordinated debentures due 2012 at an average purchase price of 98.4% of the aggregate principal amount plus accrued interest. The debentures purchased in 1998 and 1999 are expected to be used to satisfy the March 1999, March 2000 and March 2001 sinking fund requirements. Resources has \$200 million of debt maturing in July 1999.

During 1998, a subsidiary of Reliant Energy International retired \$13.1 million of its debt under a loan facility established in connection with the financing of the acquisition costs of Light. Approximately \$22.3 million of debt under this loan facility is scheduled to be retired in 1999.

### COMPANY CONSOLIDATED SOURCES OF CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

At December 31, 1998, FinanceCo, a limited partnership subsidiary of the Company, had a \$1.6 billion revolving credit facility (FinanceCo Facility) terminating in 2002. At December 31, 1998, the FinanceCo Facility supported \$1.4 billion in commercial paper borrowings having a weighted average interest rate of 5.88%. For additional information regarding the FinanceCo Facility, see Note 8(c) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

At December 31, 1998, the Company, exclusive of Resources and other subsidiaries, had a revolving credit facility of \$200 million which could be used to support the Company's issuance of up to \$200 million of commercial paper. At December 31, 1998, the Company had no loans outstanding under the facility and no commercial paper borrowings.

In March 1998, Resources replaced its \$400 million revolving credit facility with a five-year \$350 million revolving credit facility (Resources Credit Facility), which could be used to support Resources' issuance of up to \$350 million of commercial paper. At December 31, 1998, Resources had no loans outstanding under the unsecured facility and no commercial paper borrowings. The Company expects to amend the Resources Credit Facility in March 1999 to include a \$65 million sub-facility under which letters of credit may be obtained. Resources previously obtained letters of credit under a \$65 million committed facility which was terminated in December 1998. Subsequent to the termination and prior to the amendment to the Credit Facility, Resources obtained letters of credit under an uncommitted line.

At December 31, 1998, Resources had a trade receivables facility of \$300 million under which receivables of \$300 million had been sold. For additional information regarding Resources' Credit Facilities, see Note 8(g) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In March 1998, a limited partnership special purpose subsidiary of the Company (FinanceCo II) executed a \$150 million credit agreement with a bank (FinanceCo II Facility). Proceeds from \$150 million of borrowings under the FinanceCo II Facility were used to fund a portion of Wholesale Energy's April 1998 purchase of four electric generation plants. Borrowings under the FinanceCo II Facility were repaid at maturity in March 1999 with commercial paper issued at FinanceCo. For additional information regarding the FinanceCo II Facility, see Note 8(c) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In January 1998, 5.25% (\$29.7 million) and 5.15% (\$75 million) pollution control revenue refunding bonds were issued on behalf of the Company by the Matagorda County Navigation District Number One (MCND). Proceeds from the issuance were used in February 1998 to redeem, at 102% of the \$104.7 million aggregate principal amount, pollution control revenue bonds.

In February 1998, Resources issued \$300 million of 6 1/2 % debentures due February 1, 2008. The proceeds from the sale of the debentures were used to repay short-term indebtedness of Resources, including the short-term indebtedness incurred in connection with the purchase in 1997 of \$101.4 million of its 10% debentures and the repayment of \$53 million of Resources debt that matured in December 1997 and January 1998.

In February 1998, 5 1/8% pollution control revenue refunding bonds aggregating \$290 million were issued on behalf of the Company by the Brazos River Authority (BRA). Proceeds from the issuance were used in May 1998 to redeem, at 102% of the \$290 million aggregate principal amount, pollution control revenue bonds.

In September 1998, 4.90% pollution control revenue refunding bonds aggregating \$68.7 million were issued on behalf of the Company by the BRA. Proceeds from the issuance were used in October 1998 to redeem, at 102% of the \$68.7 million aggregate principal amount, pollution control revenue bonds.

In November 1998, the Company effected a change in the method of interest rate determination on the MCND Series 1997 pollution control revenue refunding bonds due November 2028 (\$68 million aggregate principal amount outstanding) and the BRA Series 1997 pollution control revenue refunding bonds due November 2018 (\$50 million aggregate principal amount outstanding). The method by which interest on the bonds is determined changed from a floating rate mode to a long-term fixed rate mode. The interest rate on the MCND Series 1997 bonds and the BRA Series 1997 bonds until their maturity is 5 1/8% and 5.05%, respectively.

In November 1998, Resources sold \$500 million of its 6 3/8% Term Enhanced ReMarketable Securities (TERM Notes). The net proceeds from the offering were used for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of (i) \$178.5 million of Resources' outstanding commercial paper and (ii) a \$150 million term loan of Resources that matured on November 13, 1998. For additional information regarding the TERM Notes offering, see Note 8 (h) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 4(b) to Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements.

In December 1997, Sempra Energy Resources and Power Generation formed El Dorado Energy, a joint venture formed to build, own and operate a 492MW natural gas power plant in Boulder City, Nevada. Power Generation invested \$25 million and \$2 million in El Dorado in 1998 and 1997, respectively. Total cost for the project is estimated to be \$263 million. In October 1998, El Dorado Energy obtained a 15 year, \$158 million non-recourse loan to finance the project. The loan represents approximately 60% of the estimated total project cost.

In February 1999, a Delaware statutory business trust (REI Trust I) established by the Company issued \$375 million of preferred securities. The preferred securities have a distribution rate of 7.20% payable quarterly in arrears, a stated liquidation amount of \$25 per preferred security and must be redeemed by March 2048. REI Trust I sold the preferred securities to the public and used the proceeds to purchase \$375 million aggregate principal amount of subordinated debentures (REI Debentures) from the Company having an interest rate corresponding to the distribution rate of the preferred securities and a maturity date corresponding to the mandatory redemption date of the preferred securities. Proceeds from the sale of the REI Debentures were used by the Company for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of short-term debt. For further discussion, see Note 16(b) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

At December 31, 1998, the Company had shelf registration statements providing for the issuance of \$230 million aggregate liquidation value of its preferred stock and \$580 million aggregate principal amount of its debt securities. In the first quarter of 1999, the Company registered \$500 million of trust preferred securities and junior subordinated debt securities, of which \$125 million remains available for issuance. The issuance of all securities registered by the Company and its affiliates is subject to market and other conditions.

The Company owns 11 million shares of non-publicly traded TW Preferred. The TW Preferred, which is entitled to cumulative annual dividends of \$3.75 per share until July 6, 1999, and is currently convertible at the option of the Company into 45.8 million shares of Time Warner common stock. The Company's ability to transfer, sell or pledge the shares of TW Preferred is not restricted pursuant to the terms of the ACES. The Company reviews its investment in Time Warner on a regular basis and does not expect to maintain its investment in Time Warner indefinitely. For additional information regarding the Company's investment in Time Warner securities, see Notes 1(n) and (e) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

For information regarding the potential impact of foreign currency devaluation on the Company's future liquidity needs, see "--Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company's and its Subsidiaries -- Risks of International Operations."

The Company and its subsidiaries participate from time to time in competitive bids for generating and distribution assets through its Wholesale Energy and International segments. Although the Company believes that its current level of cash and borrowing capability along with future cash flows from operations are sufficient to meet the existing operational needs of its businesses, the Company may, when it deems necessary, or when it acquires and operates new businesses and assets, supplement its available cash resources by seeking funds in the equity or debt markets.

### NEW ACCOUNTING ISSUES

In 1998, the Company and Resources adopted SFAS No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income" (SFAS No. 130), SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" (SFAS No. 131) and SFAS No. 132, "Employers Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits" (SFAS No. 132). For further discussion of these accounting statements, see Note 15 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 9 to Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements.

In 2000, the Company and Resources expect to adopt SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" (SFAS No. 133), which establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts (collectively referred to as derivatives) and for hedging activities. The Company is in the process of determining the effect of adoption of SFAS No. 133 on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 1998, The Emerging Issues Task Force of the Financial Accounting Standards Board reached consensus on Issue 98-10, "Accounting for Contracts Involved in Energy Trading and Risk Management Activities" (EITF Issue 98-10). EITF Issue 98-10 requires energy trading contracts to be recorded at fair value on the balance sheet, with the changes in fair value included in earnings. EITF Issue 98-10 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1998. The Company expects to adopt EITF Issue 98-10 in the first quarter of 1999. The Company does not expect the implementation of EITF Issue 98-10 to be material to its consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

### INTEREST RATE RISK

The Company and its subsidiaries have long-term debt, Company/ Resources obligated mandatorily redeemable preferred securities of subsidiary trusts holding solely junior subordinated debentures of the Company/Resources (Trust Securities), securities held in the Company's nuclear decommissioning trust, bank facilities, certain lease obligations and interest rate swaps which subject the Company, Resources and certain of their subsidiaries to the risk of loss associated with movements in market interest rates.

At December 31, 1998, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries had issued fixed-rate long-term debt (excluding ACES) and Trust Securities aggregating \$5.0 billion in principal amount and having a fair value of \$5.2 billion. These instruments are fixed-rate and, therefore, do not expose the Company and its subsidiaries to the risk of earnings loss due to changes in market interest rates (see Notes 8 and 9 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements). However, the fair value of these instruments would increase by approximately \$260.6 million if interest rates were to decline by 10% from their levels at December 31, 1998. In general, such an increase in fair value would impact earnings and cash flows only if the Company and its subsidiaries were to reacquire all or a portion of these instruments in the open market prior to their maturity.

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries' floating-rate obligations aggregated \$1.8 billion at December 31, 1998 (see Note 8 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements), inclusive of (i) amounts borrowed under short-term and long-term credit facilities of the Company and its subsidiaries (including the issuance of commercial paper supported by such facilities), (ii) borrowings underlying Resources' receivables facility and (iii) amounts subject to a master leasing agreement of Resources under which lease payments vary depending on short-term interest rates. These floating-rate obligations expose the Company, Resources and their subsidiaries to the risk of increased interest and lease expense in the event of increases in short-term interest rates. If the floating rates were to increase by 10% from December 31, 1998 levels, the Company's consolidated interest expense and expense under operating leases would increase by a total of approximately \$0.9 million each month in which such increase continued.

As discussed in Notes 1(0), 4(c) and 13 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company contributes \$14.8 million per year to a trust established to fund the Company's share of the decommissioning costs for the South Texas Project. The securities held by the trust for decommissioning costs had an estimated fair value of \$119.1 million as of December 31, 1998, of which approximately 44% were fixed-rate debt securities that subject the Company to risk of loss of fair value with movements in market interest rates. If interest rates were to increase by 10% from their levels at December 31, 1998, the decrease in fair value of the fixed-rate debt securities would not be material to the Company. In addition, the risk of an economic loss is mitigated at this time as a result of the Company's regulated status. Any unrealized gains or losses are accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 71 as a regulatory asset/liability because the Company believes that its future contributions which are currently recovered through the rate-making process will be adjusted for these gains and losses.

Certain subsidiaries of the Company have entered into interest rate swaps for the purpose of decreasing the amount of debt subject to interest rate fluctuations. At December 31, 1998, these interest rate swaps had an aggregate notional amount of \$75.4 million, which the Company could terminate at a cost of \$3.2 million (see Notes 2 and 13 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements). An increase of 10% in the December 31, 1998 level of interest rates would not increase the cost of termination of the swaps by a material amount to the Company. Swap termination costs would impact the Company's and its subsidiaries' earnings and cash flows only if all or a portion of the swap instruments were terminated prior to their expiration.

As discussed in Note 8(h) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements, Resources sold \$500 million aggregate principal amount of its 6 3/8% TERM Notes which included an embedded option to remarket the securities. The option is expected to be exercised in the event that the ten-year Treasury rate in 2003 is below 5.66%. At December 31, 1998, the Company could terminate the option at a cost of \$30.7 million. A decrease of 10% in the December 31, 1998 level of interest rates would not increase the cost of termination of the option by a material amount to the Company.

The change in exposure to loss in earnings and cash flows related to interest rate risk from December 31, 1997 to December 31, 1998 is not material to the Company.

### **EQUITY MARKET RISK**

The Company holds an investment in TW Preferred which is convertible into Time Warner common stock (TW Common) as described in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries -- Accounting Treatment of ACES" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K. As a result, the Company is exposed to losses in the fair value of this security. For purposes of analyzing market risk in this Item 7A, the Company assumed that the TW Preferred was converted into TW Common. In addition, Resources' investment in the common stock of Itron, Inc. (Itron) exposes the Company and Resources to losses in the fair value of Itron common stock. A 10% decline in the market value per share of TW Common and Itron common stock from the December 31, 1998 levels would result in a loss in fair value of approximately \$284.4 million and \$1.1 million, respectively.

The Company's and its subsidiaries' ability to realize gains and losses related to the TW Preferred and the Itron common stock is limited by the following: (i) the TW Preferred is not publicly traded and its sale is subject to certain limitations and (ii) the market for the common stock of Itron is fairly illiquid.

The ACES expose the Company to accounting losses as the Company is required to record in Other Income (Expense) an unrealized accounting loss equal to (i) the aggregate amount of the increase in the market price of TW Common above \$27.7922 as applicable to all ACES multiplied by (ii) 0.8264. Prior to the conversion of the TW Preferred into TW Common, such loss would affect earnings. After conversion, such loss would be recognized as an adjustment to common stock equity through a reduction of other comprehensive income. However, there would be an offsetting increase in common stock equity through an increase in accumulated other comprehensive income on the Company's Statements of Consolidated Retained Earnings and Comprehensive Income for the fair value increase in the investment in TW Common. For additional information on the accounting treatment of the ACES and related accounting losses recorded in 1998, see Note 1(n) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. An increase of 15% in the price of the TW Common above its December 31, 1998 market value of \$62.062 per share would result in the recognition of an additional unrealized accounting loss (net of tax) of approximately \$229.1 million. The Company believes that this additional unrealized loss for the ACES would be more than economically hedged by the unrecorded unrealized gain relating to the increase in the fair value of the TW Common underlying the investment in TW Preferred since the date of its acquisition.

For a discussion of the non-cash, unrealized accounting loss recorded in 1998 and 1997 related to the ACES, see "-- Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries -- Accounting Treatment of ACES" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

As discussed above under "-- Interest Rate Risk," the Company contributes to a trust established to fund the Company's share of the decommissioning costs for the South Texas Project which held debt and equity securities as of December 31, 1998. The equity securities expose the Company to losses in fair value. If the market prices of the individual equity securities were to decrease by 10% from their levels at December 31, 1998, the resulting loss in fair value of these securities would not be material to the Company. Currently, the risk of an economic loss is mitigated as a result of the Company's regulated status as discussed above under "--Interest Rate Risk."

#### FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE RATE RISK

As further described in "Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and Its Subsidiaries -- Risks of International Operations" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K, the Company, through Reliant Energy International invests in certain foreign operations which to date have been primarily in South America. As of December 31, 1998, the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets reflected \$1.1 billion of foreign investments, a substantial portion of which represent investments accounted for under the equity method. These foreign investments expose the Company to risk of loss in earnings and cash flows due to the fluctuation in foreign currencies relative to the Company's consolidated reporting currency, the U.S. dollar. The Company accounts for adjustments resulting from translation of its investments with functional currencies other than the U.S. dollar as a charge or credit directly to a separate component of stockholders' equity. For further discussion of the accounting for foreign currency adjustments, see Note 1(p) in the Notes to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. The cumulative translation loss of \$34 million, recorded as of December 31, 1998, will be realized as a loss in earnings and cash flows only upon the disposition of the related investment. The foreign currency loss in earnings and cash flows related to debt obligations held by foreign operations in currencies other than their own functional currencies was not material to the Company as of December 31, 1997.

In addition, certain of Reliant Energy International's foreign operations have entered into obligations in currencies other than their own functional currencies which expose the Company to a loss in earnings. In such cases, as the respective investment's functional currency devalues relative to the non-local currencies, the Company will record its proportionate share of its investments' foreign currency transaction losses related to the non-local currency denominated debt. At December 31, 1998, Light and Metropolitana had borrowings of approximately \$3.2 billion denominated in non-local currencies. Because of the devaluation of the Brazilian real subsequent to December 31, 1998, Light and Metropolitana are expected to record a charge to earnings for the quarter ended March 31, 1999, primarily related to foreign currency transaction losses on their non-local currency denominated debt. For further discussion and analysis of the possible effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements, see "Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and Its Subsidiaries - -- Risks of International Operations" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

The company attempts to manage and mitigate this foreign risk by properly balancing the higher cost of financing with local denominated debt against the risk of devaluation of that local currency and including a measure of the risk of devaluation in all its financial plans. In addition, where possible, Reliant Energy International attempts to structure its tariffs and revenue contracts to ensure some measure of adjustment due to changes in inflation and currency exchange rates; however, there can be no assurance that such efforts will compensate for the full effect of currency devaluation, if any.

### ENERGY COMMODITY PRICE RISK

As further described in Note 2 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements, certain of the Company's subsidiaries utilize a variety of derivative financial instruments (Derivatives), including swaps and exchange-traded futures and options, as part of the Company's overall hedging strategies and for trading purposes. To reduce the risk from the adverse effect of market fluctuations in the price of electric power, natural gas, crude oil and refined products and related transportation, Resources and certain subsidiaries of the Company and Resources enter into futures transactions, forward contracts, swaps and options (Energy Derivatives) in order to hedge certain commodities in storage, as well as certain expected purchases, sales and transportation of energy commodities (a portion of which are firm commitments at the inception of the hedge). The Company's policies prohibit the use of leveraged financial instruments. In addition, Reliant Energy Services, a subsidiary of Resources, maintains a portfolio of Energy Derivatives to provide price risk management services and for trading purposes (Trading Derivatives).

The Company uses value-at-risk and a sensitivity analysis method for assessing the market risk of its derivatives.

With respect to the Energy Derivatives (other than Trading Derivatives) held by subsidiaries of the Company and Resources as of December 31, 1998, a decrease of 10% in the market prices of natural gas and electric power from year-end levels would decrease the fair value of these instruments by approximately \$3 million. As of December 31, 1997, a decrease of 10% in the prices of natural gas would have resulted in a loss of \$7 million in fair values of the Energy Derivatives (other than for trading purposes).

The above analysis of the Energy Derivatives utilized for hedging purposes does not include the favorable impact that the same hypothetical price movement would have on the Company's and its subsidiaries' physical purchases and sales of natural gas and electric power to which the hedges relate. The portfolio of Energy Derivatives held for hedging purposes is no greater than the notional quantity of the expected or committed transaction volume of physical commodities with equal and opposite commodity price risk for the same time periods. Furthermore, the Energy Derivative portfolio is managed to complement the physical transaction portfolio, reducing overall risks within limits. Therefore, the adverse impact to the fair value of the portfolio of Energy Derivatives held for hedging purposes associated with the hypothetical changes in commodity prices referenced above would be offset by a favorable impact on the underlying hedged physical transactions, assuming (i) the Energy Derivatives are not closed out in advance of their expected term, (ii) the Energy Derivatives continue to function effectively as hedges of the underlying risk and (iii) as applicable, anticipated transactions occur as expected.

The disclosure with respect to the Energy Derivatives relies on the assumption that the contracts will exist parallel to the underlying physical transactions. If the underlying transactions or positions are liquidated prior to the maturity of the Energy Derivatives, a loss on the financial instruments may occur, or the options might be worthless as determined by the prevailing market value on their termination or maturity date, whichever comes first.

With respect to the Trading Derivatives held by Reliant Energy Services, consisting of natural gas, electric power, crude oil and refined products, physical forwards, swaps, options and exchange-traded futures, this subsidiary is exposed to losses in fair value due to changes in the price and volatility of the underlying derivatives. During the year ended December 31, 1998 and 1997, the highest, lowest and average monthly value-at-risk in the Trading Derivative portfolio was less than \$5 million at a 95% confidence level and for a holding period of one business day. The Company uses the variance/covariance method for calculating the value-at-risk and includes the delta approximation for options positions.

The Company has established a Corporate Risk Oversight Committee comprised of corporate and business segment officers that oversees all corporate price and credit risk activities, including derivative trading activities discussed above. The committee's duties are to establish the Company's policies and to monitor and ensure compliance with risk management policies and procedures and the trading limits established by the Company's board of directors.

## STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31. -----1998 1997 -----**REVENUES:** \$ 4,251,243 Electric Operations ..... \$ 4,350,275 \$ 4,025,027 1,812,676 892,569 108,333 282,496 4,456,158 1,364,658 62,059 258,945 92,028 748,922 339,731 8,191 Other ..... Eliminations ..... (421,008)(170, 337)Total ..... 11,488,464 6,878,225 4.095.277 ---------------**EXPENSES:** 2,852,375 Fuel and cost of gas sold ..... 4,784,704 1,043,618 2,215,049 1,660,531 Purchased power ..... 698,823 322,263 Operation and maintenance ...... 942,604 246,288 1,216,126 Taxes other than income taxes
Depreciation and amortization 494,175 394,526 651,875 550,038 856,617 Total ..... 10,011,076 5,813,725 3,104,811 OPERATING INCOME ..... 1,064,500 990,466 1,477,388 OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE): Unrealized loss on ACES ..... (1, 176, 211)(121, 402)(95,000) 41,250 41,340 41,610 56,269 Interest income -- IRS refund ...... 981 10,347 Other -- net ..... 23,870 (2,022)Total ..... (1.110.110)(13,446) (55,412) INTEREST AND OTHER CHARGES: Interest on long-term debt ..... 416,138 320.845 276,242 97,767 29,201 77,112 33,738 26,230 Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction ... (4,304) (2.872)(2.598)Preferred dividends of subsidiary ..... 2,255 22,563 538,802 423,570 Total ..... 329,945 INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND PREFERRED DIVIDENDS ... (171,524) 627,484 605,109 INCOME TAX EXPENSE (BENEFIT) ..... (30,432) 206,374 200,165 (141,092) 421,110 NET INCOME (LOSS) 404,944 ........... 390 162 PREFERRED DIVIDENDS ..... NET INCOME (LOSS) AVAILABLE FOR COMMON STOCK ..... \$ (141,482) \$ 420,948 \$ 404,944 -----BASIC AND DILUTED EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE ..... (0.50)1.66 1.66

=========

# STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED RETAINED EARNINGS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, \_\_\_\_\_\_ 1998 1997 1996 -----RETAINED EARNINGS: Balance at beginning of year ...... \$ 2,013,055 \$ 1,997,490 \$ 1,953,672 Net income (loss) available for 420,948  $\hbox{common stock } \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ (141,482) \$ (141,482) \$ 420,948 404,944 404,944 Total ......
Common stock dividends: 1,871,573 2,358,616 2,418,438 \$1.50 per share (1996-1998) ...... (426,492) (405,383) (361, 126)Balance at end of year ..... \$ 1,445,081 \$ 2,013,055 \$ 1,997,490 ======== ======== ======== ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS), NET OF TAX: Balance at beginning of year ..... (6,455) (363) Foreign currency translation adjustments ..... (32,790)(32,790)(458) (458) (363) (363) Unrealized loss on available for sale securities (net of tax) ..... (10,370) (10,370)(5,634)(5,634)Balance at end of year ..... (6,455) \$ (49,615) \$ (363) ========= COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) ..... \$ 404,581 \$ (184,642) \$ 414,856 ========

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

ASSETS

	DECEM	BER 31,
	1998	1997
CURRENT ASSETS:		
CORRENT ASSETS:  Cash and cash equivalents  Accounts receivable - net  Accrued unbilled revenues  Time Warner dividends receivable	\$ 29,673 726,377 175,515 10,313	\$ 51,712 962,974 205,860 10,313
Fuel stock and petroleum products	211,750 171,998 265,203 78,342	88,819 156,160 93,903
Total current assets	1,669,171	1,569,741
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT AT COST:		
Electric  Natural gas  Interstate pipelines  Other property	13,969,302 1,686,159 1,302,829 72,299	13,249,855 1,500,278 1,258,087 42,321
Total Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	17,030,589 5,499,448	16,050,541 4,770,179
Property, plant and equipment - net	11,531,141	11,280,362
OTHER ASSETS:		
Goodwill - net	2,098,890 1,051,600 990,000 535,787 514,930	2,026,395 704,102 990,000 561,569 478,686
Unamortized debt expense and premium on reacquired debt  Regulatory tax asset - net  Fuel-related debits	208,350 418,339 65,278 55,036	202,453 356,509 197,304 78,485
Total other assets	5,938,210	5,595,503
Total	\$19,138,522 =======	\$18,445,606 =======

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

### CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES

	DECEM	BER 31,
	1998	1997
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Notes payable	\$ 1,812,739	\$ 2,124,956
Accounts payable	807,977	879,612
Taxes accrued	252,581	240,739
Interest accrued	115,201	109,901
Dividends declared	111,058	110,716
Customer deposits	77,937	82,437
Price risk management liabilities	227,652	
Current portion of long-term debt	397,454	251,169
Other	268,343	224, 435
Total current liabilities	4,070,942	4,023,965
DEFERRED CREDITS:		
Accumulated deferred income taxes	2,364,036	2,792,781
Benefit obligations	378,747	397,586
Unamortized investment tax credit	328,949	349,072
Fuel-related credits	88,639	75,956
Other	442,361	329,514
Total deferred credits	3,602,732	3,944,909
CAPITALIZATION (STATEMENTS ON FOLLOWING PAGES):		
Long-term debt	6,800,748	5,218,015
Company/Resources obligated mandatorily redeemable preferred securities of subsidiary trusts holding solely junior subordinated debentures of		
Company/Resources	342,232	362,172
Preference stock, none outstanding	,	,
Cumulative preferred stock, not subject to mandatory redemption	9,740	9,740
Common stock equity	4,312,128	4,886,805
Total capitalization	11,464,848	10,476,732
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (NOTE 12)		
Total	\$19,138,522	\$18,445,606
	========	========

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CAPITALIZATION (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

	DECEMB	,
	1998	1997
Long-Term Debt:		
7% Automatic common exchange securities, due 2000	\$ 2,349,997	\$ 1,173,786
Debentures:		
9 3/8% series, due 2001	250,000	250,000
7 7/8% series, due 2002	100,000	100,000
8.9% series, due 2006	163, 357	165,055
6% convertible subordinated, due 2012	104,617	107,180
10% series, due 2019	47,562	47,773
6 1/2% series, due 2008	300,000	
6 3/8% series, due 2003	500,000	
Unamortized premium	17,046	,x
Unamortized discount	(532)	(717)
Total debentures	1,482,050	669,291
First Mortgage Bonds:		
9.15% series, due 2021	102,442	102,442
8 3/4% series, due 2022	62,275	62,275
7 3/4% series, due 2023	250,000	250,000
7 1/2% series, due 2023	200,000	200,000
4.90% pollution control series, due 2003	16,600	16,600
7% pollution control series, due 2008	19,200	19,200
6 3/8% pollution control series, due 2012	33,470	33,470
6 3/8% pollution control series, due 2012 8 1/4% pollution control series, due 2015	12,100	12,100 90,000
5.80% pollution control series, due 2015	91,945	91,945
7 3/4% pollution control series, due 2015	91, 943	68,700
5.80% pollution control series, due 2015	58,905	58,905
6.70% pollution control series, due 2017	43,820	43,820
5.60% pollution control series, due 2017	83,565	83,565
7.20% pollution control series, due 2018	75,000	75,000
7.20% pollution control series, due 2018	100,000	100,000
7 7/8 % pollution control series, due 2019		29,685
7.70% pollution control series, due 2019		75,000
8 1/4% pollution control series, due 2019		100,000
8.10% pollution control series, due 2019		100,000
7 5/8% pollution control series, due 2019	100,000	100,000
7 1/8% pollution control series, due 2019	100,000	100,000
7.60% pollution control series, due 2019	70,315	70,315
6.70% pollution control series, due 2027	56,095	56,095
6.10% medium-term notes, series C, due 2000 8.15% medium-term notes, series B, due 2002	150,000 100,000	150,000 100,000
6.50% medium-term notes, series C, due 2003	150,000	150,000
9.85% medium-term notes, due 1999	25,400	25,400
9.80% medium-term notes, due 1999	145,100	145,100
Unamortized discount	(9,948)	(14, 158)
Total first mortgage bonds	2,036,284	2,495,459
TOTAL TITIST MOTEGAGE DOMAS	2,030,204	2,455,459

(continued on next page)

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CAPITALIZATION -- (CONTINUED) (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

	DECEMBE	R 31,
	1998	1997
Pollution Control Revenue Bonds:    Gulf Coast 1980-T series, floating rate, due 1998 4.90% BRA 1998D, due 2015 5.05% BRA 1997, due 2018 5.125% BRA 1998A, due 2019 5.125% BRA 1998C, due 2019 5.125% BRA 1998B, due 2020 5.125% MCND 1997, due 2028 5.25% MCND 1998A, due 2029 5.15% MCND 1998B, due 2029	\$ 68,700 50,000 100,000 100,000 90,000 68,000 29,685 75,000	\$ 5,000 50,000 68,000
Total pollution control revenue bonds	581,385	123,000
Medium-Term Notes: Series A, 9.30%-9.39%, due 1999-2000 Series B, 8.43%-9.23%, due 1999-2001  Total medium-term notes	23,063 154,626  177,689	24,838 236,367 261,205
Capitalized lease obligations, discount rates of 5.2%-11.7%, due 1999-2018 Notes payable	14,883 555,914	16,166 730,277
Subtotal	570,797	746,443
Total Current maturities	7,198,202 (397,454)	5,469,184 (251,169)
Total long-term debt	6,800,748	5,218,015
Company/Resources obligated mandatorily redeemable preferred securities of subsidiary trusts holding solely junior subordinated debentures of Company/Resources:		
8.125% Trust Preferred Securities, Series A 8.257% Trust Capital Securities, Series B 6 1/4% Convertible Trust Originated Preferred Securities Unamortized Issuance Costs	250,000 100,000 1,178 (8,946)	250,000 100,000 21,730 (9,558)
Total Company/Resources obligated mandatorily redeemable preferred securities of subsidiary trusts holding solely junior subordinated debentures of Company/Resources-net	342,232	362,172
Cumulative Preferred Stock, no par; authorized, 10,000,000 shares; outstanding 97,397 shares each at December 31, 1998 and 1997, (entitled upon involuntary liquidation to \$100 per share):		
Not subject to mandatory redemption: \$4.00 series, 97,397 shares	9,740	9,740

Preference Stock, no par; authorized, 10,000,000 shares; none outstanding......

(continued on next page)

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CAPITALIZATION -- (CONTINUED) (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

	DECEMBER 31,			
	1998	1997		
Common Stock Equity:				
Common stock, no par; authorized, 700,000,000 shares; issued, 296,271,063				
and 295,357,276 shares at December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively Treasury stock, at cost; 102,805 and 93,459 shares at December 31, 1998	\$ 3,136,826	\$ 3,112,098		
and 1997, respectively	(2,384)	(2,066)		
1998 and 1997, respectively	(217,780)	(229,827)		
Retained earnings	1,445,081	2,013,055		
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(49,615)	(6,455)		
Total common stock equity	4,312,128	4,886,805		
Total capitalization	\$ 11,464,848	\$ 10,476,732		

### STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS

# INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	1998	1997	1996	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:  Net income (loss) available for common stock	\$ (141,482)	\$ 420,948	\$ 404,944	
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization	856,617	651,875	550,038	
Amortization of nuclear fuel	25,529	28,237	33,875	
Deferred income taxes	(423,904)	35,523	54,098	
Investment tax credit	(20, 123)	(19,777)	(18,404)	
	, , ,	• • •	(10,404)	
Unrealized loss on ACES  Contribution of marketable equity securities to charitable	1,176,211	121,402		
		10 460		
trust		19,463		
Undistributed earnings of equity investments in	(07.050)	(0.440)	(45 000)	
unconsolidated subsidiaries	(27, 350)	(3,142)	(15,290)	
Fuel cost over (under) recovery	(22,545)	(212,683)	(137, 362)	
Accounts receivable - net	266,938	(436,580)	(15,478)	
Fuel surcharge	94,912	128,864		
Inventory	(121,793)	55,111	21,624	
Other current assets	(15,705)	6,966	(306)	
Accounts payable	(92,652)	191,840	21,674	
Interest and taxes accrued	7,044	18,425	4,413	
Other current liabilities	33,078	2,985	(4, 135)	
Net price risk management assets	(29,857)	,	. , ,	
Other - net	(139,559)	101,302	14,629	
Net and manifold by acception activities	4 405 050	4 440 750	044.000	
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,425,359	1,110,759	914,320	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Capital expenditures (including allowance for borrowed funds	(742, 455)	(220, 724)	(217 522)	
used during construction)	(743, 455)	(328,724) (1,422,672)	(317,532)	
(including capitalized interest)	(292,398)			
Sale of equity investments in foreign electric system projects	242,744			
Equity investment and advances to unconsolidated subsidiaries	(445,042)	(234,852)	(495,379)	
Sale of Time Warner securities		25,043		
Other-net	8,375	(20,248)	(19,989)	
Net cash used in investing activities	\$(1,229,776)	\$(1,981,453)	\$ (832,900)	

(continued on next page)

## $\begin{array}{c} \text{HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED} \\ \text{D/B/A RELIANT ENERGY, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES} \end{array}$

STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS - (CONTINUED)

INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,				
	1998	1997	1996		
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:  Proceeds from sale of ACES - net  Proceeds from sale of Company obligated mandatorily  redeemable trust preferred securities of subsidiary trusts  holding solely subordinated debentures of Company - net  Purchase of treasury stock  Payment of matured bonds  Proceeds from issuance of debentures  Proceeds from issuance of pollution control revenue bonds	\$ (226,000) 812,849 454,258	\$ 1,020,777 340,785 (277,000) 115,739	\$ (361,196) (150,000)		
Redemption of preferred stock Payment of common stock dividends Increase/(decrease) in notes payable - net Extinguishment of long-term debt Conversion of convertible securities Other - net	(426, 265) (348, 044) (471, 287) (10, 450) (2, 683)	(153,628) (405,288) 587,791 (303,893) (9,504) (1,374)	(271,400) (361,126) 1,331,572 (285,263) 12,215		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(217,622)	914,405	(85,198)		
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(22,039)	43,711	(3,778)		
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	51,712	8,001	11,779		
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 29,673	\$ 51,712 =======	\$ 8,001 ======		
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:					
Cash Payments: Interest (net of amounts capitalized)	\$ 511,165 484,376	\$ 437,952 171,539	\$ 311,792 139,898		

The aggregate consideration paid in August 1997 to former stockholders of Resources in connection with the Merger consisted of \$1.4 billion in cash and 47.8 million shares of the Company's common stock valued at approximately \$1.0 billion. The overall transaction was valued at \$4.0 billion consisting of \$2.4 billion for Former Resources' common stock and common stock equivalents and \$1.6 billion of Former Resources' debt.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998

### (1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### (a) Nature of Operations.

Houston Industries Incorporated d/b/a Reliant Energy, Incorporated (Company), together with its subsidiaries, is a diversified international energy services company. The Company is both an electric utility company and a utility holding company. The Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Reliant Energy Resources Corp. (Resources), operates in various phases of the natural gas industry, including distribution, transmission, marketing and gathering.

Effective January 1, 1998, the Company reconfigured its financial reporting segments to include the following: Electric Operations, Natural Gas Distribution, Interstate Pipeline, Wholesale Energy Marketing and Generation (Wholesale Energy), International and Corporate. Electric Operations includes operations of Reliant Energy HL&P. Natural Gas Distribution consists of natural gas sales to, and natural gas transportation for, residential commercial and industrial customers. Interstate Pipeline includes the interstate natural gas pipeline operations of Resources. Wholesale Energy is engaged in the acquisition, development and operation of non-rate regulated power generation facilities as well as the wholesale energy marketing and natural gas gathering businesses. International participates in the development and acquisition of foreign independent power projects and the privatization of foreign generation and distribution facilities. Corporate includes the Company's unregulated retail electric services business, certain real estate holdings of the Company and corporate costs.

In February 1999, the Company began doing business as Reliant Energy, Incorporated. On May 5, 1999, the Company's shareholders will vote on a proposal to amend the Restated Articles of Incorporation to change its name to "Reliant Energy, Incorporated."

### (b) Resources Acquisition.

On August 6, 1997 (Acquisition Date), the former parent corporation (Former Parent) of the Company merged with and into the Company, and NorAm Energy Corp., a natural gas gathering, transmission, marketing and distribution company (Former NorAm), merged with and into Resources. Effective upon the mergers (collectively, the Merger), each outstanding share of common stock of Former Parent was converted into one share of common stock (including associated preference stock purchase rights) of the Company, and each outstanding share of common stock of Former NorAm was converted into the right to receive \$16.3051 cash or 0.74963 shares of common stock of the Company. The aggregate consideration paid to Former NorAm stockholders in connection with the Merger consisted of \$1.4 billion in cash and 47.8 million shares of the Company's common stock valued at approximately \$1.0 billion. The overall transaction was valued at \$4.0 billion consisting of \$2.4 billion for Former NorAm's common stock and common stock equivalents and \$1.6 billion of Former NorAm debt (\$1.3 billion of which was long-term debt).

The Company recorded the acquisition under the purchase method of accounting with assets and liabilities of Former NorAm reflected at their estimated fair values as of the Acquisition Date. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired was initially estimated at \$2 billion. In 1998, the fair value estimates of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed were finalized resulting in a \$78 million increase in goodwill. The Company has recorded the excess of the acquisition cost over the fair value of the net assets acquired as goodwill and is amortizing this amount over 40 years. The Company's fair value adjustments included increases in property, plant and equipment, long-term debt, unrecognized pension and postretirement benefits liabilities and related deferred taxes.

### HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED d/b/a RELIANT ENERGY, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The Company's results of operations incorporate Resources' results of operations only for the period beginning on the Acquisition Date. The following table presents certain actual financial information for the year ended December 31, 1998 and unaudited pro forma information for the years ended December 31, 1997 and 1996, as if the Merger had occurred on January 1, 1997 and 1996, respectively.

> ACTUAL AND PRO FORMA COMBINED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (IN MILLIONS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)

-	1998	1997		1996	
	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	PRO FORMA	ACTUAL	PRO FORMA
		(UNAUDITED)		(UNAUDITED)	
Revenues  Net Income (Loss) Available for Common Stock(1)  Basic and Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share(1)	\$ (142)	\$ 6,878 \$ 421 \$ 1.66	\$ 10,191 \$ 437 \$ 1.55	\$ 4,095 \$ 405 \$ 1.66	\$ 8,884 \$ 426 \$ 1.46

(1) Net income (loss) available for common stock for 1998 and 1997 are negatively impacted by \$764 million and \$79 million (\$2.69 and \$0.31 per share) respectively, related to the unrealized loss on the Company's 7% Automátic Common Exchange Securities (ACES).

These and other pro forma results appearing in this Form 10-K are based on assumptions deemed appropriate by the Company's management, have been prepared for informational purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of the combined results that would have resulted had the Merger occurred at the beginning of the 1996 and 1997 reporting periods presented. Purchase-related adjustments to results of operations include amortization of goodwill and the effects on depreciation, amortization, interest expense and deferred income taxes of the assessed fair value of certain Resources assets and liabilities.

(c) Regulatory Assets and Other Long-Lived Assets.

The Company and certain subsidiaries of Resources apply the accounting policies established in SFAS No. 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation" (SFAS No. 71), to the accounts of Electric Operations, Natural Gas Distribution and the Interstate Pipeline operations of a subsidiary of Resources. In general, SFAS No. 71 permits a company with cost-based rates to defer certain costs that would otherwise be expensed to the extent that the rate regulated company is recovering or expects to recover such costs in rates charged to its customers.

The following is a list of regulatory assets/liabilities reflected on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 1998, detailed by Electric Operations and other segments.

	ELECTRIC OPERATIONS		OTHER		TOTAL COMPANY	
	(MILLIONS OF DOLLARS)					
Deferred plant costs net Recoverable project costs net Regulatory tax asset net Unamortized loss on reacquired debt. Fuel-related debits/credits net Other deferred debits	\$	536 55 418 140 (15) 54	\$	12	\$	536 55 418 140 (15) 66
Total	\$	1,188	\$	12	\$	1,200

If, as a result of changes in regulation or competition, the Company's and Resources' ability to recover these assets and liabilities would not be assured, then pursuant to SFAS No. 101, "Accounting for the Discontinuation of Application of SFAS No. 71" (SFAS No. 101) and SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Assets to Be Disposed Of" (SFAS No. 121), the Company and Resources would be required to write off or write down such regulatory assets and liabilities, unless some form of transition cost recovery continues through rates established and collected for their remaining regulated operations. In addition, the Company and Resources would be required to determine any impairment to the carrying costs of deregulated plant and inventory assets. In order to reduce exposure to potentially stranded costs related to generation assets, Electric Operations redirected \$195 million of depreciation in 1998 from transmission, distribution and general plant assets to generation assets. Such redirection is in accordance with the Company's transition to competition plan (Transition Plan) described in Note 1(f). If Electric Operations was required to apply SFAS No. 101 to the generation portion of its business only, the cumulative amount of redirected depreciation of \$195 million would become a regulatory asset of the transmission and distribution portion of its business.

Effective January 1, 1996, the Company and Resources adopted SFAS No. 121. SFAS No. 121 requires that long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles to be held and used or disposed of by an entity be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Adoption of the standard did not result in a write-down of the carrying amount of any asset on the books of the Company or Resources.

In July 1997, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) of the Financial Accounting Standards Board reached a consensus on Issue No. 97-4, "Deregulation of the Pricing of Electricity -- Issues Related to the Application of FASB Statements No. 71, Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation, and No. 101, Regulated Enterprises -- Accounting for the Discontinuation of Application of FASB Statement No. 71" (EITF 97-4). EITF 97-4 concluded that the application of SFAS No. 71 to a segment which is subject to a deregulation plan should cease when the legislation and enabling rate order contain sufficient detail for the utility to reasonably determine how the plan will affect the segment to be deregulated. In addition, EITF 97-4 requires the regulatory assets and liabilities to be allocated to the applicable portion of the electric utility from which the source of the regulated cash flows will be derived. As a part of the Transition Plan, the Company has agreed to support future legislation providing for retail customer choice and other provisions consistent with those in the 1997 proposed Texas legislation. At this time, the Company is unable to make any predictions as to the details of legislation being considered by the Texas legislature or the likelihood that such legislation will ultimately be enacted. Although the Company has determined that no impairment loss or write-offs of regulatory assets or carrying costs of plant and inventory assets need to be recognized for applicable assets of Electric Operations as of December 31, 1998, this conclusion may change in the future (i) as competition influences wholesale and retail pricing in the electric utility industry, (ii) depending on regulatory action, if any and (iii) depending on legislation, if any, that is passed.

### (d) Principles of Consolidation.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned and majority owned subsidiaries including, effective as of the Acquisition Date, the accounts of Resources and its wholly owned and majority owned subsidiaries.

Investments in entities in which the Company or its subsidiaries have an ownership interest between 20% and 50% or are able to exercise significant influence are accounted for using the equity method. For additional information regarding investments recorded using the equity method or the cost method of accounting, see Note 5.

All significant intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

### (e) Property, Plant and Equipment and Goodwill.

Property, plant and equipment are stated at original cost of the acquirer. Repair and maintenance costs are expensed. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Goodwill is being amortized on a straight-line basis over 30 to 40 years. The Company had \$76.3 million and \$21.6 million accumulated goodwill amortization at December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively. The Company will periodically compare the carrying value of its goodwill to the anticipated undiscounted future operating income from the businesses whose acquisition gave rise to the goodwill and as of yet no impairment is indicated or expected.

#### (f) Depreciation and Amortization Expense.

The Company's consolidated depreciation expense for 1998 was \$548 million compared to \$475 million for 1997 and \$410 million for 1996.

In June 1998, the Public Utility Commission of Texas (Texas Utility Commission) issued an order approving the Transition Plan filed by Electric Operations in December 1997. In order to reduce Electric Operations' exposure to potentially stranded costs related to generation assets, the Transition Plan permits the redirection to generation assets of depreciation expense that Electric Operations otherwise would apply to transmission, distribution and general plant assets. In addition, the Transition Plan provides that all earnings above a 9.844% overall annual rate of return on invested capital be used to recover Electric Operations' investment in generation assets. Electric Operations implemented the Transition Plan effective January 1, 1998 and pursuant to its terms, recorded an aggregate of \$194 million in additional depreciation and \$195 million in redirected depreciation in 1998.

The Company's depreciation and amortization expenses included \$50 million of additional depreciation relating to the South Texas Project Electric Generating Station (South Texas Project) in both 1997 and 1996 and goodwill amortization relating to the acquisition of Resources of \$55 million in 1998 and \$22 million in 1997. For additional information regarding the operation of goodwill in connection with the Merger, see Note 1(b) above. The depreciation expense recorded for the South Texas Project was made pursuant to the terms of the Company's 1995 rate case settlement (1995 Rate Case Settlement), which permitted the Company to write down as much as \$50 million per year of its investment in the South Texas Project through December 31, 1999. These write-downs are treated under the 1995 Rate Case Settlement as reasonable and necessary expenses for purposes of any future earnings reviews or other proceedings.

In 1998, 1997 and 1996, the Company, as permitted by the 1995 Rate Case Settlement, also amortized \$4 million, \$66 million and \$50 million (pre-tax), respectively, of its \$153 million investment in certain lignite reserves associated with a canceled generating station. The Company's remaining investment in the canceled generating station and certain lignite reserves will be amortized fully no later than December 31, 2002.

## (g) Deferred Plant Costs.

Under a "deferred accounting" plan authorized by the Texas Utility Commission, Electric Operations was permitted for regulatory purposes to accrue carrying costs in the form of allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC) on its investment in the South Texas Project and to defer and capitalize depreciation and other operating costs on its investment after commercial operation until such costs were reflected in rates. In addition, the Texas Utility Commission authorized Electric Operations under a "qualified phase-in plan" to capitalize allowable costs (including return) deferred for future recovery as deferred charges.

In 1991, Electric Operations ceased all cost deferrals related to the South Texas Project and began amortizing such amounts on a straight-line basis. The accumulated deferrals for "deferred accounting" are being amortized over the estimated depreciable life of the South Texas Project. The accumulated deferrals for the "qualified phase-in plan" are being amortized over a ten-year phase-in period that commenced in 1991. The amortization of all deferred plant costs (which totaled \$26 million for each of the years 1998, 1997 and 1996) is included on the Company's Statements of Consolidated Income as depreciation and amortization expense.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

#### (h) Fuel Stock and Petroleum Products.

Gas inventory (primarily using the average cost method) was \$96 million and \$72 million at December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively. Coal and lignite inventory balances (using last-in, first-out) were \$24 million and \$7 million, respectively, at December 31, 1998 and \$8 million and \$6 million, respectively, at December 31, 1997. Oil inventory balances, principally heating oil, were \$85 million and \$3 million at December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively.

Heating oil is used in trading operations and are marked-to-market in connection with the price risk management activities discussed in Note 2.

#### (i) Revenues.

The Company records electricity and natural gas sales under the full accrual method, whereby unbilled electricity and natural gas sales are estimated and recorded each month. International revenues include electricity sales of a majority owned foreign electric utility, which are also recorded under the full accrual method, and equity income (net of foreign taxes) in unconsolidated investments of Reliant Energy International. In 1998, International's revenues included the gain on the sale of an Argentine electric distribution system. Included in other revenues are management fees and other sales and services, which are recorded when earned.

Revenue eliminations of \$421 million and \$170 million for the years ended December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively, represent intersegment sales of natural gas and transportation services. For the year ended December 31, 1998, Interstate Pipeline had intersegment revenues of \$156 million, Wholesale Energy had intersegment sales of \$167 million and Corporate had \$98 million of intersegment retail sales. For the five month period ended December 31, 1997, Interstate Pipelines had intersegment revenues of \$59 million, Wholesale Energy had intersegment sales of \$76 million and Corporate had \$35 million of intersegment retail sales.

#### (j) Earnings Per Common Share.

Effective December 31, 1997, the Company adopted SFAS No. 128, "Earnings per Share" (SFAS No 128). This statement requires restatement of all prior period earnings per share (EPS) data presented herein. SFAS No. 128 requires dual presentation of basic and diluted EPS on the face of the Statements of Consolidated Income and requires a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators used in the basic and diluted earnings per share calculations.

The following table reconciles numerators and denominators of the Company's basic and diluted earnings per share calculations:

# $\begin{array}{c} \text{HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED} \\ \text{d/b/a Reliant energy, incorporated and subsidiaries} \end{array}$

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

	FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
		1997	1996	
			SHARE AMOUNTS)	
Basic EPS Calculation:				
Net Income (loss) Less: Preferred dividends	\$ (141,092) 390	\$ 421,110 162	\$ 404,944	
Net income (loss) available for common stock	\$ (141,482) ========	\$ 420,948 =======	\$ 404,944 ======	
Weighted average shares outstanding	284,095	253,599	244, 443	
Net Income (loss)	\$ (.50) 	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.66 	
Net income (loss) available for common stock	\$ (.50)	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.66	
Diluted EPS Calculation: Net Income (loss) Plus: Income impact of assumed conversions Interest on 63% convertible debentures	\$ (141,092)	\$ 421,110 668	\$ 404,944	
Net Income (loss) assuming dilution	(141,092) 390	421,778 162	404,944	
Net income (loss) available for common stock assuming dilution	\$ (141,482) =======	\$ 421,616 ========	\$ 404,944	
Weighted average shares outstanding	284,095	253,599	244, 443	
Stock options		89 510	33	
Weighted average shares assuming dilution	284,095 ======	254,198 =======	244,476 =======	
Diluted EPS: Net Income (loss) Less: Preferred dividends	\$ (.50) 	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.66 	
Net income (loss) available for common stock	\$ (.50)	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.66	

(1) No assumed conversions were included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for 1998 because additional shares outstanding would result in an anti-dilutive per share amount. The computation of diluted EPS for 1998 excludes 492,000 shares of restricted stock and purchase options for 434,000 shares of common stock which would be anti-dilutive if exercised.

## (k) Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows.

## (1) Derivative Financial Instruments (Risk Management).

For information regarding the Company's accounting for derivative financial instruments associated with its subsidiaries' natural gas, electric power and transportation risk management activities, see Note 2.

### (m) Income Taxes.

The Company and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return. The Company follows a policy of comprehensive interperiod income tax allocation. Investment tax credits were deferred and are being amortized over the estimated lives of the related property. For additional information regarding income taxes, see Note 11.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

#### (n) Investments in Time Warner Securities.

The Company owns 11 million shares of non-publicly traded Time Warner convertible preferred stock (TW Preferred). The TW Preferred is redeemable after July 6, 2000, has an aggregate liquidation preference of \$100 per share (plus accrued and unpaid dividends), is entitled to annual dividends of \$3.75 per share until July 6, 1999, is currently convertible by the Company and after July 6, 1999 is exchangeable by Time Warner into approximately 45.8 million shares of Time Warner common stock (TW Common). Each share of TW Preferred is entitled to two votes (voting together with the holders of the TW Common as a single class).

The Company has accounted for its investment in TW Preferred under the cost method at a value of \$990 million on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Dividends on these securities are recognized as income at the time they are earned. The Company recorded pre-tax dividend income with respect to the Time Warner securities of \$41.3 million in 1998 and 1997 and \$41.6 million in 1996.

To monetize its investment in the TW Preferred, the Company sold in July 1997, 22.9 million of ACES. At maturity in July 2000, the principal amount of the ACES will be mandatorily exchangeable by the Company into either (i) a number of shares of TW Common based on an exchange rate or (ii) cash having an equal value. Subject to adjustments that may result from certain dilution events, the exchange rate for each ACES is determined as follows: (i) 1.6528 shares of TW Common if the price of TW Common at maturity (Maturity Price) is at least \$27.7922 per share, (ii) a fractional share of TW Common such that the fractional share will have a value equal to \$22.96875 if the Maturity Price is less than \$27.7922 but greater than \$22.96875 and (iii) one share of TW Common if the Maturity Price is not more than \$22.96875. The closing price of TW Common was \$62.062 per share on December 31, 1998.

Prior to maturity, the Company has the option of redeeming the ACES if (i) changes in federal tax regulations require recognition of a taxable gain on the Company's TW Preferred and (ii) the Company could defer such gain by redeeming the ACES. The redemption price is 105% of the closing sales price of the ACES as determined over a period prior to the redemption notice. The redemption price may be paid in cash or in shares of TW Common or a combination of the two.

As a result of the issuance of the ACES, a portion of the increase in the market value above \$27.7922 per share of TW Common results in non-cash, unrealized accounting losses to the Company for the ACES, pending the conversion of the Company's TW Preferred into TW Common. For example, prior to the conversion, when the market price of TW Common increases above \$27.7922, the Company records in Other Income (Expense) an unrealized, non-cash accounting loss for the ACES equal to (i) the aggregate amount of such increase as applicable to all ACES multiplied by (ii) 0.8264. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, this accounting loss (which reflects the unrealized increase in the Company's indebtedness with respect to the ACES) may not be offset by accounting recognition of the increase in the market value of the TW Common that underlies the TW Preferred. Upon conversion of the TW Preferred (anticipated to occur in July 1999), the Company will begin recording future unrealized net changes in the market prices of the TW Common and the ACES as a component of common stock equity and other comprehensive income.

As of December 31, 1998 and 1997, the market price of TW Common was \$62.062 and \$31.00 per share, respectively. Accordingly, the Company recognized an increase of \$1.2 billion in 1998 and \$121 million in 1997 in the unrealized liability relating to its ACES indebtedness (which resulted in an after-tax earnings reduction of \$764 million or \$2.69 basic earnings per share and \$79 million or \$.31 basic earnings per share, respectively). The Company believes that the cumulative unrealized loss for the ACES of approximately \$1.3 billion is more than economically hedged by the approximately \$1.8 billion unrecorded unrealized gain at December 31, 1998 relating to the increase in the fair value of the TW Common underlying the investment in TW Preferred since the date of its acquisition. Any gain related to the increase in fair value of TW Common would be recognized as a component of net income upon the sale of the TW Preferred or the shares of TW Common into which such TW Preferred is

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

converted. As of March 11, 1999, the price of TW Common was \$70.75 per share which would have resulted in the Company recognizing an additional increase of \$329 million in the unrealized liability relating to its ACES indebtedness. The related unrecorded unrealized gain as of March 11, 1999 would have been computed as an additional \$398 million.

### (o) Investment in Other Debt and Equity Securities.

The securities held in the Company's nuclear decommissioning trust are classified as "available-for-sale" and, in accordance with SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities" (SFAS No. 115), are reported at estimated fair value of \$119.1 million as of December 31, 1998 and \$92.9 million as of December 31, 1997 on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets under deferred debits. The liability for nuclear decommissioning is reported on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets under deferred credits. Any unrealized gains or losses are accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 71 as a regulatory asset/liability and reported on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets as a deferred debit/credit.

The Company, through, Resources, holds certain equity securities classified as "available-for-sale" and in accordance with SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities," reports such investments at estimated fair value on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets as deferred debits and any unrealized gain or loss, net of tax, as a separate component of stockholders' equity and other comprehensive income. At December 31, 1998 and 1997, the accumulated unrealized loss, net of tax, relating to these equity securities was approximately \$16.0 million and \$5.6 million.

#### (p) Foreign Currency Adjustments

International assets and liabilities where the local currency is the functional currency, have been translated into U.S. dollars using the exchange rate at the balance sheet date. Revenues, expenses, gains, and losses have been translated using the weighted average exchange rate for each month prevailing during the periods reported. Cumulative adjustments resulting from translation have been recorded in stockholders' equity and other comprehensive income. When the U.S. dollar is the functional currency, the financial statements of International are remeasured in U.S. dollars using historical exchange rates for non-monetary accounts and the current rate at the respective balance sheet date and the weighted average exchange rate for all other balance sheet and income statement accounts, respectively. All exchange gains and losses from remeasurement and foreign currency transactions are included in consolidated net income. However, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates relative to the U.S. dollar can have an impact on the reported equity earnings of the Company's foreign investments. For additional information about the Company's investments in Brazil and the devaluation of the Brazilian real in January 1999, see Note 16(a).

### (q) Reclassifications and Use of Estimates.

Certain amounts from the previous years have been reclassified to conform to the 1998 presentation of financial statements. Such reclassifications do not affect earnings.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### (r) Change in Accounting Principle.

In the fourth quarter of 1998, the Company adopted mark-to-market accounting for all of the energy price risk management and trading activities of Reliant Energy Services. Under mark-to-market accounting, the Company records the fair value of

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

energy-related derivative financial instruments, including physical forward contracts, swaps, options and exchange-traded futures contracts at each balance sheet date. Such amounts are recorded in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet as price risk management assets, price risk management liabilities, deferred debits and deferred liabilities. The realized and unrealized gains (losses) are recorded as a component of operating revenues in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income. The Company has applied mark-to-market accounting retroactively to January 1, 1998. This change was made in order to adopt a generally accepted accounting methodology that provided consistency between financial reporting and the methodology used in all reported periods by the Company in managing its trading activities. There was no material cumulative effect resulting from the accounting change.

The Company will adopt Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 98-10, "Accounting for Contracts Involved in Energy Trading and Risk Management Activities" in the first quarter of 1999 for Reliant Energy Services' trading activities. The Company does not expect the implementation of EITF Issue 98-10 to be material to its consolidated financial statements.

### (s) New Accounting Pronouncement.

In 2000, the Company expects to adopt Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" (SFAS No. 133), which establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts and for hedging activities. The Company is in the process of determining the effect of adopting SFAS No. 133.

#### (t) Comprehensive Income.

Accumulated other comprehensive loss at December 31, 1998 included foreign currency translation adjustments of \$34 million and an unrealized loss on available for sale securities of \$16 million, net of tax of \$9 million. At December 31, 1997, accumulated other comprehensive loss included foreign currency translation adjustments of \$.8 million and unrealized loss on available for sale securities of \$6 million, net of tax of \$3 million. In 1996, accumulated other comprehensive loss included foreign currency translation adjustments of \$.4 million.

#### (u) Other.

For information regarding executive incentive compensation, pensions and other benefits, see Note 10.

## (2) DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

## (a) Price Risk Management and Trading Activities.

The Company, through Reliant Energy Services, offers energy price risk management services primarily in the natural gas, electric and crude oil and refined product industries. Reliant Energy Services provides these services by utilizing, a variety of derivative financial instruments, including fixed and variable-priced physical forward contracts, fixed-price swap agreements, variable-price swap agreements, exchange-traded energy futures and option contracts, and swaps and options traded in the over-the-counter financial markets (Trading Derivatives). Fixed-price swap agreements require payments to, or receipts of payments from, counterparties based on the differential between a fixed and variable price for the commodity. Variable-price swap agreements require payments to, or receipts of payments from, counterparties based on the differential between industry pricing publications or exchange quotations.

Prior to 1998, Reliant Energy Services applied hedge accounting to certain physical commodity activities that qualified for hedge accounting. In 1998, Reliant Energy Services adopted mark-to-market accounting for all of its price risk management and trading activities. Accordingly, as of such date such Trading Derivatives are recorded at fair value with realized and unrealized gains (losses) recorded as a component of operating revenues in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income. The recognized, unrealized balance is recorded as price risk management assets/liabilities and deferred debits/credits on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets (See Note 1(r)).

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The notional quantities, maximum terms and the estimated fair value of Trading Derivatives at December 31, 1998 are presented below (volumes in billions of British thermal units equivalent (BBtue) and dollars in millions):

		VOLUME-FIXED	
	VOLUME-FIXED	PRICE	MAXIMUM
1998	PRICE PAYOR	RECEIVER	TERM (YEARS)
Natural gas	937,264	977,293	9
Electricity	122,950	124,878	3
Crude oil and products	205,499	204,223	3
·	,	,	

	FAII	R VALUE		AGE FAIR JE (a)
1998	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	ASSETS	LIABILITIES
Natural gas	\$ 224	\$ 213	\$ 124	\$ 108
Electricity	34	33	186	186
Crude oil and products	29	23	21	17
	\$ 287	\$ 269	\$ 331	\$ 311
	=====	======	=====	========

The notional quantities, maximum terms and the estimated fair value of derivative financial instruments at December 31, 1997 are presented below (volumes in BBtue and dollars in millions):

	VOLUME-FIXED			
	VOLUME-FIXED	PRICE	MAXIMUM	
1997	PRICE PAYOR	RECEIVER	TERM (YEARS)	
Natural gas	85,701	64,890	4	
Electricity	40,511	42,976	1	

	FAIF	R VALUE					
ASS	ETS	LIABI	LITIES	ASS	SETS	LIABI	LITIES
\$		\$		\$		\$	48
	6		6		3		2
\$	52 ====	\$	45 =====	\$	59 ====	\$	50 =====
	\$	ASSETS	\$ 46 \$ 6	ASSETS LIABILITIES \$ 46 \$ 39 6 6	ASSETS LIABILITIES ASS  \$ 46 \$ 39 \$ 6 6	## FAIR VALUE VALUE  ASSETS LIABILITIES ASSETS  ### A6	ASSETS LIABILITIES ASSETS LIABI  \$ 46 \$ 39 \$ 56 \$ 6 6 6 3

(a) Computed using the ending balance of each month.

In addition to the fixed-price notional volumes above, Reliant Energy Services also has variable-priced agreements, as discussed above, totaling 1,702,977 and 101,465 BBtue as of December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively. Notional amounts reflect the volume of transactions but do not represent the amounts exchanged by the parties to the financial instruments. Accordingly, notional amounts do not accurately measure the Company's exposure to market or credit risks

All of the fair value shown in the table above at December 31, 1998 and substantially all of the fair value at December 31, 1997 have been recognized in income. The fair value as of December 31, 1998 and 1997 was estimated using quoted prices where available and considering the liquidity of the market for the Trading Derivatives. The prices are subject to significant changes based on changing market conditions.

At December 31, 1998, \$22 million of the fair value of the assets and \$41 million of the fair value of the liabilities are recorded as long-term on deferred debits and deferred credits, respectively on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The weighted-average term of the trading portfolio, based on volumes, is less than one year. The maximum and average terms disclosed herein are not indicative of likely future cash flows, as these positions may be changed by new transactions in the trading portfolio at any time in response to changing market conditions, market liquidity and the Company's risk management portfolio needs and strategies. Terms regarding cash settlements of these contracts vary with respect to the actual timing of cash receipts and payments.

In addition to the risk associated with price movements, credit risk is also inherent in the Company and its subsidiaries' risk management activities. Credit risk relates to the risk of loss resulting from non-performance of

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

contractual obligations by a counterparty. The following table shows the composition of the total price risk management assets of Reliant Energy Services as of December 31, 1998.

	INVESTMENT GRADE (1)		TOTAL	
	(Thousands of Dollars			lars)
Energy marketers. Financial institutions. Gas and electric utilities. Oil and gas producers. Industrials. Independent power producers. Others.	\$	102,458 61,572 46,880 7,197 1,807 1,452 45,421	\$	123,779 61,572 48,015 8,323 3,233 1,463 46,696
Total	\$	266,787	\$	293,081
Credit and other reserves	===	======		(6,464)
Energy price risk management assets (2)			\$ ===	286,617 ======

- ------

- (1) "Investment Grade" is primarily determined using publicly available credit ratings along with the consideration of credit support (e.g., parent company guarantees) and collateral, which encompass cash and standby letters of credit.
- (2) The Company has credit risk exposure with respect to two investment grade customers, each of which represents an amount greater than 5% but less than 10% of Price Risk Management Assets.
- (b) Non-Trading Activities.

To reduce the risk from market fluctuations in the price of electric power, natural gas and related transportation, the Company, Resources and certain of its subsidiaries enter into futures transactions, swaps and options (Energy Derivatives) in order to hedge certain natural gas in storage, as well as certain expected purchases, sales and transportation of natural gas and electric power (a portion of which are firm commitments at the inception of the hedge). Energy Derivatives are also utilized to fix the price of compressor fuel or other future operational gas requirements, although usage to date for this purpose has not been material. The Company applies hedge accounting with respect to its derivative financial instruments.

Certain subsidiaries of the Company also utilize interest-rate derivatives (principally interest-rate swaps) in order to adjust the portion of its overall borrowings which are subject to interest rate risk and also utilize such derivatives to effectively fix the interest rate on debt expected to be issued for refunding purposes.

For transactions involving either Energy Derivatives or interest-rate derivatives, hedge accounting is applied only if the derivative (i) reduces the price risk of the underlying hedged item and (ii) is designated as a hedge at its inception. Additionally, the derivatives must be expected to result in financial impacts which are inversely correlated to those of the item(s) to be hedged. This correlation (a measure of hedge effectiveness) is measured both at the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, with an acceptable level of correlation of at least 80% for hedge designation. If and when correlation ceases to exist at an acceptable level, hedge accounting ceases and mark-to-market accounting is applied.

In the case of interest-rate swaps associated with existing obligations, cash flows and expenses associated with the interest-rate derivative transactions are matched with the cash flows and interest expense of the obligation being hedged, resulting in an adjustment to the effective interest rate. When interest rate swaps are utilized to effectively fix the interest rate for an anticipated debt issuance, changes in the market value of the interest-rate derivatives are deferred and recognized as an adjustment to the effective interest rate on the newly issued debt.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Unrealized changes in the market value of Energy Derivatives utilized as hedges are not generally recognized in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income until the underlying hedged transaction occurs. Once it becomes probable that an anticipated transaction will not occur, deferred gains and losses are recognized. In general, the financial impact of transactions involving these Energy Derivatives is included in the Company's Statements of Consolidated Income under the captions (i) fuel expenses, in the case of natural gas transactions and (ii) purchased power, in the case of electric power transactions. Cash flows resulting from these transactions in Energy Derivatives are included in the Company's Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows in the same category as the item being hedged.

At December 31, 1998, subsidiaries of Resources were fixed-price payors and fixed-price receivers in Energy Derivatives covering 42,498 billion British thermal units (Bbtu) and 3,930 BBtu of natural gas, respectively. At December 31, 1997, subsidiaries of Resources were fixed-price payors and fixed-price receivers in Energy Derivatives covering 38,754 BBtu and 7,647 BBtu of natural gas, respectively. Also, at December 31, 1998 and 1997, subsidiaries of Resources were parties to variable-priced Energy Derivatives totaling 21,437 Bbtu and 3,630 BBtu of natural gas, respectively. The weighted average maturity of these instruments is less than one year.

The notional amount is intended to be indicative of the Company's and its subsidiaries' level of activity in such derivatives, although the amounts at risk are significantly smaller because, in view of the price movement correlation required for hedge accounting, changes in the market value of these derivatives generally are offset by changes in the value associated with the underlying physical transactions or in other derivatives. When Energy Derivatives are closed out in advance of the underlying commitment or anticipated transaction, however, the market value changes may not offset due to the fact that price movement correlation ceases to exist when the positions are closed, as further discussed below. Under such circumstances, gains (losses) are deferred and recognized as a component of income when the underlying hedged item is recognized in income.

The average maturity discussed above and the fair value discussed in Note 13 are not necessarily indicative of likely future cash flows as these positions may be changed by new transactions in the trading portfolio at any time in response to changing market conditions, market liquidity and the Company's risk management portfolio needs and strategies. Terms regarding cash settlements of these contracts vary with respect to the actual timing of cash receipts and payments.

### (c) Trading and Non-trading -- General Policy.

In addition to the risk associated with price movements, credit risk is also inherent in the Company's and its subsidiaries' risk management activities. Credit risk relates to the risk of loss resulting from non-performance of contractual obligations by a counterparty. While as yet the Company and its subsidiaries have experienced only minor losses due to the credit risk associated with these arrangements, the Company has off-balance sheet risk to the extent that the counterparties to these transactions may fail to perform as required by the terms of each such contract. In order to minimize this risk, the Company and/or its subsidiaries, as the case may be, enter into such contracts primarily with those counterparties with a minimum Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating of BBB- or Baa3, respectively. For long-term arrangements, the Company and its subsidiaries periodically review the financial condition of such firms in addition to monitoring the effectiveness of these financial contracts in achieving the Company's objectives. Should the counterparties to these arrangements fail to perform, the Company would seek to compel performance at law or otherwise or obtain compensatory damages in lieu thereof. The Company might be forced to acquire alternative hedging arrangements or be required to honor the underlying commitment at then- current market prices. In such event, the Company might incur additional loss to the extent of amounts, if any, already paid to the counterparties. In view of its criteria for selecting counterparties, its process for monitoring the financial strength of these counterparties and its experience to date in successfully completing these transactions, the Company believes that the risk of incurring a significant financial statement loss due to the non-performance of counterparties to these transactions is minimal.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The Company's policies prohibit the use of leveraged financial instruments.

The Company has established a Corporate Risk Oversight Committee, comprised of corporate and business segment officers, to oversee all corporate price and credit risks, including Reliant Energy Services' trading, marketing and risk management activities. The Corporate Risk Oversight Committee's responsibilities include reviewing the Company's and its subsidiaries' hedging, trading and price risk management strategies, activities and limits and monitoring to ensure compliance with the Company's risk management policies and procedures and trading limits established by the Company's board of directors.

### (3) RATE MATTERS

#### (a) Electric Proceedings.

The Texas Utility Commission has original (or in some cases appellate) jurisdiction over Electric Operations' electric rates and services. Texas Utility Commission orders may be appealed to a District Court in Travis County, and from that court's decision an appeal may be taken to the Court of Appeals for the 3rd District at Austin (Austin Court of Appeals). Discretionary review by the Supreme Court of Texas may be sought from decisions of the Austin Court of Appeals. In the event that the courts ultimately reverse actions of the Texas Utility Commission, such matters are remanded to the Texas Utility Commission for action in light of the courts' orders.

#### (b) Transition Plan.

In June 1998, the Texas Utility Commission issued an order in Docket No. 18465 approving the Company's Transition Plan filed by Electric Operations in December 1997. The Transition Plan included base rate credits to residential customers of 4% in 1998 and an additional 2% in 1999. Commercial customers whose monthly billing is 1,000 kva or less are entitled to receive base rate credits of 2% in each of 1998 and 1999. The Company implemented the Transition Plan effective January 1, 1998.

For information about additional depreciation of generation assets and redirecting depreciation pursuant to the Transition Plan, see Note 1(f).

Review of the Texas Utility Commission's order in Docket No. 18465 is currently pending before the Travis County District Court. In August 1998, the Office of the Attorney General for the State of Texas and a Texas municipality filed an appeal seeking, among other things, to reverse the portion of the Texas Utility Commission's order relating to the redirection of depreciation expenses under the Transition Plan. Because of the number of variables that can affect the ultimate resolution of an appeal of Commission orders, the Company is not in a position at this time to predict the outcome of this matter or the ultimate effect that adverse action by the courts could have on the Company.

### (4) JOINTLY OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY PLANT

### (a) Investment in South Texas Project.

The Company has a 30.8% interest in the South Texas Project, which consists of two 1,250 megawatt (MW) nuclear generating units and bears a corresponding 30.8% share of capital and operating costs associated with the project. As of December 31, 1998, the Company's investment in the South Texas Project (including AFUDC) was \$1.4 billion (net of \$1.1 billion accumulated depreciation). The Company's investment in nuclear fuel (including AFUDC) was \$41 million (net of \$230 million amortization) as of such date.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The South Texas Project is owned as a tenancy in common among its four co-owners, with each owner retaining its undivided ownership interest in the two nuclear-fueled generating units and the electrical output from those units. The four co-owners have delegated management and operation responsibility for the South Texas Project to the South Texas Nuclear Operating Company (STPNOC). STPNOC is managed by a board of directors comprised of one director from each of the four owners, along with the chief executive officer of STPNOC. The four owners provide oversight through an owners' committee comprised of representatives of each of the owners and through the board of directors of STPNOC. Prior to November 1997, the Company was the operator of the South Texas Project.

### (b) Nuclear Insurance.

The Company and the other owners of the South Texas Project maintain nuclear property and nuclear liability insurance coverage as required by law and periodically review available limits and coverage for additional protection. The owners of the South Texas Project currently maintain \$2.75 billion in property damage insurance coverage, which is above the legally required minimum, but is less than the total amount of insurance currently available for such losses. This coverage consists of \$500 million in primary property damage insurance and excess property insurance in the amount of \$2.25 billion. With respect to excess property insurance, the Company and the other owners of the South Texas Project are subject to assessments, the maximum aggregate assessment under current policies being \$16.5 million during any one policy year. The application of the proceeds of such property insurance is subject to the priorities established by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) regulations relating to the safety of licensed reactors and decontamination operations.

Pursuant to the Price Anderson Act, the maximum liability to the public of owners of nuclear power plants, such as the South Texas Project, was \$9.145 billion as of December 31, 1998. Owners are required under the Price Anderson Act to insure their liability for nuclear incidents and protective evacuations by maintaining the maximum amount of financial protection available from private sources and by maintaining secondary financial protection through an industry retrospective rating plan. The assessment of deferred premiums provided by the plan for each nuclear incident is up to \$83.9 million per reactor, subject to indexing for inflation, a possible 5% surcharge (but no more than \$10 million per reactor per incident in any one year) and a 3% state premium tax. The Company and the other owners of the South Texas Project currently maintain the required nuclear liability insurance and participate in the industry retrospective rating plan.

There can be no assurance that all potential losses or liabilities will be insurable, or that the amount of insurance will be sufficient to cover them. Any substantial losses not covered by insurance would have a material effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

### (c) Nuclear Decommissioning.

The Company contributes \$14.8 million per year to a trust established to fund its share of the decommissioning costs for the South Texas Project. For a discussion of the accounting treatment for the securities held in the Company's nuclear decommissioning trust, see Note 1(o). In May 1994, an outside consultant estimated the Company's portion of decommissioning costs to be approximately \$318 million (1994 dollars). The consultant's calculation of decommissioning costs for financial planning purposes used the DECON methodology (prompt removal/dismantling), one of the three alternatives acceptable to the NRC and assumed deactivation of Units Nos. 1 and 2 upon the expiration of their 40-year operating licenses. While the current and projected funding levels currently exceed minimum NRC requirements, no assurance can be given that the amounts held in trust will be adequate to cover the actual decommissioning costs of the South Texas Project. Such costs may vary because of changes in the assumed date of decommissioning, changes in regulatory and accounting requirements, changes in technology and changes in costs of labor, materials and equipment. An update of the 1994 study is in the process of being completed.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

#### (d) Assessment Fees for Spent Fuel Disposal and Enrichment and Decommissioning.

By contract, the United States Department of Energy (DOE) has committed itself ultimately to take possession of all spent fuel generated by the South Texas Project. The DOE contract currently requires payment of a spent fuel disposal fee on nuclear plant-generated electricity of one mill (one-tenth of a cent) per net KWH sold. This fee is subject to adjustment to ensure full cost recovery by the DOE. The Energy Policy Act also includes a provision that assesses a fee upon domestic utilities that purchased nuclear fuel enrichment services from the DOE before October 24, 1992. The South Texas Project's assessment is approximately \$2 million per year (subject to escalation for inflation). The Company has a remaining estimated liability of \$5 million for such assessments.

### (e) 1996 Settlement of South Texas Project Litigation.

In 1996, the Company recorded an aggregate \$95 million (\$62 million net of tax) charge in connection with various settlements of lawsuits filed by co-owners of the South Texas Project. For information about the execution of an operations agreement with the City of San Antonio in connection with one of these settlements, see Note 12(c).

#### (5) EQUITY INVESTMENTS AND ADVANCES TO UNCONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES

The Company accounts for affiliate investments of its subsidiaries under the equity method of accounting where (i) the subsidiary's ownership interest in the affiliate ranges from 20% to 50%, (ii) the ownership interest is less than 20% but the subsidiary exercises significant influence over operating and financial policies of such affiliate or (iii) the subsidiary's ownership interest in the affiliate exceeds 50% but the subsidiary does not exercise control over the affiliate.

The Company's and its subsidiaries' equity investments and advances in unconsolidated subsidiaries at December 31, 1998 and 1997 were \$1 billion and \$704 million, respectively. The Company's and its subsidiaries' equity income from these investments, included in International revenues and other net income, was \$71 million, \$49 million and \$17 million in 1998, 1997 and 1996, respectively. Dividends received from the investments amounted to \$44 million and \$46 million in 1998 and 1997, respectively. No dividends were received from these investments in 1996.

### (a) International.

In April 1998, Light ServiHos de Eletricidade S.A. (Light), a Brazilian corporation in which Reliant Energy International, Inc. (Reliant Energy International) indirectly owns an 11.69% common stock interest, purchased 74.88% of the common stock of Metropolitana Eletricidade de Sao Paulo S.A. (Metropolitana), an electric distribution company that serves the metropolitan area of Sao Paulo, Brazil. The purchase price for the shares was approximately \$1.8 billion and was financed with proceeds from bank borrowings. As of December 31, 1998, Light and Metropolitana had approximately \$3.2 billion in non-local currency denominated borrowings. For information regarding foreign currency adjustments, see Note 1(p). For information about the devaluation of the Brazilian real in January 1999, see Note 16(a).

In May 1997, Reliant Energy International increased its indirect ownership interest in an Argentine electric utility from 48% to 63%. The purchase price of the additional interest was \$28 million.

On June 30, 1998, Reliant Energy International sold its 63% ownership interest in an Argentine affiliate and certain related assets for approximately \$243 million. Reliant Energy International acquired its initial ownership interests in the electric utility in 1992. The Company recorded an \$80 million after-tax gain from this sale in the second quarter of 1998.

In 1998, a subsidiary of Reliant Energy International acquired for approximately \$150 million, equity interests (currently ranging from approximately 36% to 45%) in three electric distribution systems located in El Salvador. Corporacion EDC S.A.C.A. (CEDC), Reliant Energy International's partner in this venture, acquired majority interests in the systems when they were privatized in early 1998. On June 30, 1998, CEDC closed on the sale of approximately half of its interests in the systems to a subsidiary of Reliant Energy International.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

In August 1998, Reliant Energy International and CEDC jointly acquired, through subsidiaries, 65% of the stock of two Colombian electric distribution companies, Electricaribe and Electrocosta. The shares of these companies are indirectly held by an offshore holding company jointly owned by special purpose subsidiaries of CEDC and Reliant Energy International.

The purchase price for the joint investment in Electricaribe and Electrocosta was approximately \$522 million, excluding transaction costs. The purchase price was funded with capital contributions from Reliant Energy International and CEDC and a U.S. \$200 million loan obtained by the holding company from a United States bank. A \$100 million advance on the loan was obtained in October 1998 with subsequent advances of \$25 million and \$75 million obtained in December 1998 and January 1999, respectively. The loan will mature on October 31, 2003. Reliant Energy International funded its capital contributions with a portion of the proceeds from the sale of the Argentine affiliate discussed above and capital contributions from the Company. Under the terms of a support agreement, Reliant Energy International and CEDC have agreed, among other things, to repurchase up to U.S. \$50 million of the loan from the bank to the extent that the bank is unable to syndicate that portion of the loan to other banks on or prior to June 15, 1999.

In June 1997, a consortium of investors which included a subsidiary of Reliant Energy International, acquired for \$496 million a 56.7% controlling ownership interest in Empresa de Energia del Pacifico S.A.E.S.P. (EPSA), an electric utility system serving the Valle de Cauca province of Colombia, including the area surrounding the city of Cali. Reliant Energy International contributed \$152 million of the purchase price for a 28.35% ownership interest in EPSA. In addition to its distribution facilities, EPSA owns 850 MW of electric generation capacity.

Reliant Energy International has accounted for these transactions under purchase accounting and has recorded its investments and its interest in the affiliates' earnings after the acquisition dates using the equity method. The purchase prices were allocated, on a preliminary basis, using the estimated fair market values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed as of the dates of acquisition. The differences between the amounts paid and the underlying fair values of the net assets acquired are being amortized as a component of earnings attributable to unconsolidated affiliates over the estimated lives of the projects ranging from 30 to 40 years. Purchase price adjustments to fixed assets are being amortized over the underlying assets' estimated useful lives.

(b) Combined Financial Statement Data of Equity Investments and Advances to Unconsolidated Subsidiaries.

The following table sets forth certain summarized financial information of the Company's unconsolidated affiliates as of December 31, 1998 and 1997 and for the years then ended or periods from the respective affiliates' acquisition date through December 31, 1998, 1997 and 1996, if shorter:

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,				
		1998		1997	 1996
			(\$	IN THOUSANDS)	 
Income Statement:					
Revenues	\$	2,449,335	\$	2,011,927	\$ 994,743
Operating Expenses		1,762,166		1,460,248	768,993
Net Income		514,005		403,323	149,038

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
		1998		1997
	(\$ IN THOUSANDS)			
lance Sheet: Current Assets	·	1,841,857 13,643,747 4,074,603 6,284,821 5,126,180	\$	726,997 5,791,858 566,596 1,398,385 4,553,874

### (6) COMMON STOCK

Balance Sheet:

At December 31, 1998 and 1997 the Company had 296,271,063 and 295,357,276 shares of common stock issued, respectively (out of a total of 700,000,000 authorized shares).

At December 31, 1998 and 1997, the Company had 284,494,195 and 282,875,266 common shares outstanding, respectively. Outstanding common shares exclude (i) shares pledged to secure a loan to the Company's Employee Stock Ownership Plan (11,674,063 and 12,388,551 at December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively) and (ii) treasury shares (102,805 and 93,459 at December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively). At December 31, 1998 and 1997, the treasury shares of common stock held by the Company represent shares which were received from holders of Company stock options who surrendered shares of Company common stock as partial payment for the exercise price of their stock options.

In 1998, the Company paid four regular quarterly dividends aggregating \$1.50 per share on its common stock pursuant to dividend declarations made in December 1997, March 1998, June 1998 and September 1998. In December 1998, the Company declared its regular quarterly dividend of \$0.375 per share to be paid in March 1999. For information regarding certain restrictions on payments of dividends, see Note 8(c).

### (7) PREFERRED AND PREFERENCE STOCK

### (a) Preferred Stock.

At December 31, 1998 and 1997, the Company had 10,000,000 authorized shares of preferred stock, of which 97,397 shares were outstanding. As of such date, the Company's only outstanding series of preferred stock was its \$4.00 Preferred Stock. The \$4.00 Preferred Stock pays an annual dividend of \$4.00 per share, is redeemable at \$105 per share and has a liquidation price of \$100 per share.

### (b) Preference Stock.

At December 31, 1998 and 1997, the Company had 10,000,000 authorized shares of preference stock, of which 700,000 shares are classified as Series A Preference Stock and 27,000 shares are classified as Series B Preference Stock. As of December 31, 1998 and 1997, there were no shares of Series A Preference Stock issued and outstanding (such shares being issuable in accordance with the Company's Shareholder Rights Agreement upon the occurrence of certain events). The number of shares of Series B Preference Stock issued and outstanding as of December 31, 1998 and 1997 was 17,000. On March 27, 1998, the Company designated 1,575 shares of its preference stock as Series C Preference Stock. As of December 31, 1998, the number of shares of Series C Preference Stock issued and outstanding was 1,575. The shares of Series B and Series C Preference Stock are not deemed outstanding for financial reporting purposes because they are held by wholly owned financing subsidiaries of the Company. See Note 8(c).

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Each share of common stock of the Company includes one associated preference stock purchase right (Company Right). Under certain circumstances, each Company Right entitles the registered holder to purchase from the Company a unit consisting of one-thousandth of a share (Fractional Share) of Series A Preference Stock, without par value (Series A Preference Stock), at a purchase price of \$42.50 per Fractional Share, subject to adjustments. The shareholder rights plan was adopted by the shareholders of Former Parent in August 1990 and was assumed by the Company, with certain amendments, effective upon the Merger.

### (8) LONG-TERM DEBT AND SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

### (a) Consolidated Debt.

The Company's consolidated long-term and short-term debt outstanding is summarized in the following table. Of the amount of long-term and short-term debt outstanding as of December 31, 1998, \$2 billion represents debt of Resources which was adjusted to fair market value as of the Acquisition Date.

# CONSOLIDATED LONG-TERM DEBT AND SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS (IN MILLIONS)

	DECEMBER 31, 1998		DECEMBER	31, 1997	
	LONG-TERM	CURRENT(1)	LONG-TERM	CURRENT(1)	
Short-term Borrowings: Commercial Paper Lines of Credit Resources Receivables Facility Notes Payables		\$ 1,360 150 300 3		\$ 1,435 390 300	
Total Short-term Borrowings		1,813		2,125	
Long-term Debt-net:					
ACES Debentures(2)(3)	\$ 2,350 1,482		\$ 1,174 669		
First Mortgage Bonds(2) Pollution Control Bonds	1,866 581	170	2,495 118	5	
Resources Medium-term Notes(3)	178	220	182	79	
Notes Payable(3) Capital Leases	330 14	226 1	565 15	166 1	
Total Long-term Debt	6,801	397	5,218	251	
Total Borrowings	\$ 6,801 ======	\$ 2,210 ======	\$ 5,218 =======	\$ 2,376 ======	

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes amounts due within one year of the date noted.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes unamortized discount related to debentures of approximately \$1 million at December 31, 1998 and 1997 and unamortized premium related to debentures of approximately \$17 million at December 31, 1998. The unamortized discount related to first mortgage bonds was approximately \$10 million and \$14 million at December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively.

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes unamortized premium related to fair value adjustments of approximately \$18.1 million and \$15.8 million for Debentures at December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively. The unamortized premium for Resources long-term and medium-term notes at December 31, 1998 was approximately \$12 million and \$0, respectively, and \$0 and \$3 million at December 31 1997, respectively. The unamortized premium for long-term and current notes payable was approximately \$3 million each at December 31, 1998 and \$14 million and \$3 million, respectively at December 31, 1997. See Note 1(b).

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Consolidated maturities of long-term debt and sinking fund requirements for the Company (including Resources) are approximately \$402 million in 1999, \$2.8 billion in 2000, \$438 million in 2001, \$1.9 billion in 2002 and \$199 million in 2003.

### (b) First Mortgage Bonds.

As of December 31, 1998, the Company had an aggregate of \$2.0 billion principal amount of its first mortgage bonds issued and outstanding.

Sinking or improvement fund requirements of the Company's first mortgage bonds outstanding will be approximately \$24 million in 1999, \$17 million in 2000, \$16 million in 2001 and 2002 and \$13 million in 2003. Such requirements may be satisfied by certification of property additions at 100% of the requirements. Sinking or improvement fund requirements for 1998 and prior years have been satisfied by certification of property additions.

The Company has agreed to expend an amount each year for replacements and improvements in respect of its depreciable mortgaged utility property equal to \$1,450,000 plus 2% of net additions to such mortgaged property made after March 31, 1948 and before July 1 of the preceding year. Such requirement may be met with cash, first mortgage bonds, gross property additions or expenditures for repairs or replacements, or by taking credit for property additions at 100% of the requirements. The replacement fund requirement to be satisfied in 1999 is approximately \$316.6 million.

The amount of the first mortgage bonds that may be issued by the Company is unlimited as to issuance, but limited by property, earnings and other provisions of the Mortgage and Deed of Trust dated as of November 1, 1944, between the Company and South Texas Commercial National Bank of Houston (Chase Bank of Texas, National Association, as Successor Trustee) and the supplemental indentures thereto. Substantially all physical assets used in the conduct of the business and operations of Electric Operations are subject to liens securing the long-term debt under the mortgage.

#### (c) FinanceCo and FinanceCo II Credit Facilities.

In August 1997, a limited partnership special purpose subsidiary of the Company (FinanceCo) established a five-year, \$1.644 billion revolving credit facility (FinanceCo Facility). The FinanceCo Facility supported \$1.360 billion in commercial paper borrowings by FinanceCo at December 31, 1998 recorded as notes payable on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet. The weighted average interest rate of these borrowings was 5.88% at December 31, 1998, and 6.15% at December 31, 1997.

Borrowings under the FinanceCo Facility bear interest at a rate based upon the London interbank offered rate (LIBOR) plus a margin, a base rate or at a rate determined through a bidding process. The FinanceCo Facility may be used (i) to support the issuance of commercial paper or other short-term indebtedness of FinanceCo, (ii) subject to certain limitations, to finance purchases of Company common stock and (iii) subject to certain limitations, to provide funds for general purposes of FinanceCo, including the making of intercompany loans to, or securing letters of credit for the benefit of, FinanceCo's affiliates.

The FinanceCo Facility requires the Company to maintain a ratio of consolidated indebtedness for borrowed money to consolidated capitalization (as defined) that does not exceed 0.65:1.00. The FinanceCo Facility also contains restrictions applicable to the Company and certain of its subsidiaries with respect to, among other things, (i) liens, (ii) consolidations, mergers and dispositions of assets, (iii) dividends and purchases of common stock, (iv) certain types of investments and (v) certain changes in its business. The FinanceCo Facility contains customary covenants and default provisions applicable to FinanceCo and its subsidiaries, including limitations on, among other things, additional indebtedness (other than certain permitted indebtedness), liens and certain investments or loans.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Subject to certain conditions and limitations, the Company is required to make cash payments from time to time to FinanceCo from excess cash flow (as defined in the FinanceCo Facility) to the extent necessary to enable FinanceCo to meet its financial obligations. At December 31, 1998, commercial paper supported by the FinanceCo Facility was secured by pledges of (i) all of the limited and general partner interests of FinanceCo, (ii) the Series B Preference Stock and (iii) certain intercompany notes held by FinanceCo. The obligations under the FinanceCo Facility are not secured by the utility assets of the Company or Resources or by the Company's investment in Time Warner securities.

In March 1998, a limited partnership special purpose subsidiary of the Company (FinanceCo II) executed a \$150 million credit agreement (FinanceCo II Facility) which terminated March 2, 1999. Proceeds from \$150 million of borrowings under the FinanceCo II Facility were used to fund a portion of the April 1998 purchase by Reliant Energy Power Generation, Inc. (Power Generation) of four electric generation plants. Borrowings under the FinanceCo II Facility bore interest at LIBOR-based and negotiated rates. At December 31, 1998, FinanceCo II had \$150 million of borrowings under this facility at an interest rate of 5.75%. In March 1999, the \$150 million of borrowings under the FinanceCo II facility were paid at maturity with borrowings under the FinanceCo facility.

#### (d) Company Credit Facility.

The Company meets its short-term financing needs primarily through sales of commercial paper supported by a \$200 million revolving credit facility. Borrowings under the facility are unsecured and a facility fee is paid. At December 31, 1998, there was no outstanding commercial paper and there were no outstanding borrowings under the bank facility.

#### (e) ACES.

For information regarding the Company's ACES, including certain accounting losses that may result upon increases in the price of Time Warner common stock, see Note  $\mathbf{1}(n)$ .

#### (f) Pollution Control Revenue Bonds.

In January 1998, the Matagorda County Navigation District Number One (MCND) issued on behalf of the Company \$104.7 million aggregate principal amount of pollution control revenue refunding bonds (\$29.7 million at 5.25% and \$75 million at 5.15%). The MCND bonds will mature in 2029. Proceeds from the issuance were used in February 1998 to redeem all outstanding 7 7/8% MCND Series 1989A pollution control revenue bonds (\$29.7 million) and 7.70% MCND Series 1989B pollution control revenue bonds (\$75 million) at a redemption price of 102% of the aggregate principal amount of each series.

In February 1998, the Brazos River Authority (BRA) issued on behalf of the Company \$290 million aggregate principal amount of pollution control revenue refunding bonds. The BRA bonds will mature in May 2019 (\$200 million at 5 1/8%) and November 2020 (\$90 million at 5 1/8%). Proceeds from the issuance were used in May 1998 to redeem all outstanding 8.25% BRA Series 1988A pollution control revenue bonds (\$100 million), 8.25% BRA Series 1988B pollution control revenue bonds (\$90 million) and 8.10% BRA Series 1988C pollution control revenue bonds (\$100 million) at a redemption price of 102% of the aggregate principal amount of each series.

In June 1998, the Company repaid at maturity \$5 million of its floating-rate pollution control revenue bonds issued on its behalf.

In September 1998, pollution control revenue refunding bonds aggregating \$68.7 million were issued on behalf of the Company by the BRA. The bonds bear an interest rate of 4.9% and mature in October 2015. Proceeds from the issuance were used in October 1998 to redeem \$68.7 million principal amount of the 7 3/4% BRA Series 1988D pollution control bonds at a redemption price of 102% of the aggregate principal amount.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

In November 1998, the Company changed the interest rate determination method for (i) the MCND Series 1997 pollution control revenue refunding bonds due November 2028 (\$68 million aggregate principal amount outstanding) and (ii) the BRA Series 1997 pollution control revenue refunding bonds due November 2018 (\$50 million aggregate principal amount outstanding). The method by which interest on the bonds is determined changed from a floating rate mode to a long-term fixed rate mode. The interest rate for the MCND Series 1997 bonds will be 5 1/8% until maturity of the bonds, and the interest rate for the BRA Series 1997 bonds will be 5.05% until maturity of the bonds. The MCND and BRA Series 1997 bonds, which were issued in January 1997, were mandatorily tendered in November 1998 in connection with the change in the interest rate determination method. The purchase price of the tendered bonds (100% of their principal amount plus accrued interest) was funded with the proceeds from a remarketing of the fixed-rate bonds.

### (g) Resources Credit Facilities.

In 1998, Resources met its short-term financing needs primarily through a bank facility, bank lines of credit, a receivables facility and the issuance of commercial paper. In March 1998, Resources replaced its \$400 million revolving credit facility with a five-year \$350 million revolving credit facility (Resources Credit Facility). Borrowings under the Resources Credit Facility are unsecured and bear interest at a rate based upon either LIBOR plus a margin, a base rate or a rate determined through a bidding process. The Resources Credit Facility is used to support Resources' issuance of up to \$350 million of commercial paper. There were no commercial paper borrowings and no loans outstanding under the Resources Credit Facility at December 31, 1998. Borrowings under Resources' prior credit facility at December 31, 1997 were \$340 million. In addition, Resources had \$50 million of outstanding loans under uncommitted lines of credit at December 31, 1997 having a weighted average interest rate of 6.829%.

A \$65 million committed bank facility under which Resources obtained letters of credit and all of Resources' uncommitted lines of credit were terminated in 1998. Subsequent to the December 1998 termination, Resources obtained letters of credit under an uncommitted line. Resources expects to amend the Resources Credit Facility in March 1999 to add a \$65 million letter of credit subfacility.

Under a trade receivables facility (Receivables Facility) which expires in August 1999, Resources sells, with limited recourse, an undivided interest (limited to a maximum of \$300 million) in a designated pool of its and certain of its subsidiaries' accounts receivable. The amount of receivables sold and uncollected was \$300 million at December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively. The weighted average interest rate was approximately 5.54% and 5.65% at December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively. Certain of the remaining receivables serve as collateral for receivables sold and represent the maximum exposure to Resources should all receivables sold prove ultimately uncollectible. Resources has retained servicing responsibility under the Receivables Facility for which it is paid a servicing fee. Pursuant to SFAS No. 125, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments Liabilities" (SFAS No. 125), the receivables are recorded as assets and amounts received by Resources under the Receivables Facility are recorded as notes payable.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

#### (h) Resources Long-term Debt.

At December 31, 1998, Resources had issued and outstanding \$109.6 million aggregate principal amount of its 6% Convertible Subordinated Debentures due 2012 (Subordinated Debentures). The holders of the Subordinated Debentures receive interest quarterly and have the right at any time on or before the maturity date thereof to convert each Subordinated Debenture into 0.65 shares of Company common stock and \$14.24 in cash. Resources is required to make annual sinking fund payments of \$6.5 million on the Subordinated Debentures, which began on March 15, 1997 and on each succeeding March 15 up to and including March 15, 2011. Resources (i) may credit against the sinking fund requirements any Subordinated Debentures redeemed by Resources and Subordinated Debentures which have been converted at the option of the holder and (ii) may deliver purchased Subordinated Debentures in satisfaction of the sinking fund requirements. During 1998, Resources purchased \$6.7 million aggregate principal amount of its Subordinated Debentures at an average purchase price of 97.3% of the aggregate principal amount plus accrued interest.

In February 1998, Resources issued \$300 million principal amount of 6.5% debentures due February 1, 2008. The proceeds from the sale of the debentures were used to repay short-term indebtedness of Resources, including the indebtedness incurred in connection with the 1997 purchase of \$101 million aggregate principal amount of its 10% debentures and the repayment of \$53 million aggregate principal amount of Resources debt that matured in December 1997 and January 1998. In connection with the issuance of the 6.5% debentures, Resources received approximately \$1 million upon unwinding a \$300 million treasury rate lock agreement, which was tied to the interest rate on 10-year treasury bonds. The rate lock agreement was executed in January 1998, and proceeds from the unwind will be amortized over the 10 year life of Resources' 6.5% debentures.

During 1998, Resources repaid the following medium-term notes at maturity:

Series	Principal Amount
	(\$ in millions)
9.30% due January 15, 1998 8.74% due May 14, 1998 8.76% due May 14, 1998 8.73% due May 15, 1998 9.07% due July 20, 1998 8.60% due September 1, 1998 8.58% due September 1, 1998 8.64% due September 4, 1998 8.50% due September 14, 1998 8.60% due September 15, 1998	\$ 1.0 20.0 5.0 3.0 15.0 3.0 5.0 12.5 0.5 6.0
8.43% due September 17, 1998	5.0
Total	\$ 76.0
10042	========

In November 1998, Resources sold \$500 million aggregate principal amount of its 6 3/8% Term Enhanced ReMarketable Securities (TERM Notes). Included within the TERM Notes is an embedded option sold to an investment bank which gives the investment bank the right to remarket the TERM Notes in 2003 if it chooses to exercise the option. The net proceeds of \$514 million from the offering of the TERM Notes were used for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of (i) \$178.5 million of Resources' outstanding commercial paper and (ii) a \$150 million term loan of Resources that matured on November 13, 1998. The TERM Notes are unsecured obligations of Resources which bear interest at an annual rate of 6 3/8% through November 1, 2003. On November 1, 2003, the holders of the TERM Notes are required to tender their notes at 100% of their principal amount. The portion of the proceeds attributable to the option premium will be amortized over the stated term of the securities. If the option is not exercised, Resources will repurchase the TERM Notes at 100% of their principal amount on November 1, 2003. If the option is exercised, the TERM Notes will be remarketed on a date, selected by Resources,

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

within the 52-week period beginning November 1, 2003. During such period and prior to remarketing, the TERM Notes will bear interest at rates, adjusted weekly, based on an index selected by Resources. If the TERM Notes are remarketed, the final maturity date of the TERM Notes will be November 1, 2013, subject to adjustment, and the effective interest rate on the remarketed TERM Notes will be 5.66% plus Resources' applicable credit spread at the time of such remarketing.

#### (i) Restrictions on Resources' Debt.

Under the provisions of Resources Credit Facility, Resources' total debt is limited to 55% of its total capitalization. At December 31, 1998, this provision did not significantly restrict Resources' ability to issue debt or to pay dividends. At December 31, 1998, Resources ratio of total debt to total capitalization was 40.13%.

#### (j) Reliant Energy International Debt.

In 1996, a subsidiary of Reliant Energy International entered into a \$167.5 million loan agreement in order to refinance a portion of the acquisition costs of Light. The full proceeds of the loan, net of a \$17.5 million debt reserve account established for the benefit of the lenders, were funded in April 1997. The loan (included in Long-term debt) is secured by, among other things, a pledge of the shares of Light and of a subsidiary of Reliant Energy International that is the indirect holder of the shares of Light.

#### (9) TRUST SECURITIES

#### (a) Company.

In February 1997, two Delaware statutory business trusts (Reliant Trusts) established by the Company issued (i) \$250 million of preferred securities and (ii) \$100 million of capital securities, respectively. The preferred securities have a distribution rate of 8.125% payable quarterly in arrears, a stated liquidation amount of \$25 per preferred security and must be redeemed by March 2046. The capital securities have a distribution rate of 8.257% payable quarterly in arrears, a stated liquidation amount of \$1,000 per capital security and must be redeemed by February 2037.

The Reliant Trusts sold the preferred and capital securities to the public and used the proceeds to purchase \$350 million aggregate principal amount of subordinated debentures (Debentures) from the Company having interest rates corresponding to the distribution rates of the securities and maturity dates corresponding to the mandatory redemption dates of the securities. The Reliant Trusts are accounted for as wholly owned consolidated subsidiaries of the Company. The Debentures represent the Reliant Trusts' sole assets and its entire operations. The Company has fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a subordinated basis, each Trust's obligations, including the payment of distributions and all other payments due with respect to the respective preferred and capital securities. The preferred and capital securities are mandatorily redeemable upon the repayment of the related Debentures at their stated maturity or earlier redemption.

Subject to certain limitations, the Company has the option of deferring payments of interest on the Debentures held by the Reliant Trusts. If and for as long as interest payments on the Debentures have been deferred, or an event of default under the indenture relating thereto has occurred and is continuing, the Company may not pay dividends on its capital stock. As of December 31, 1998, no interest payments on the Debentures had been deferred.

### (b) Resources.

In June 1996, a Delaware statutory business trust (Resources Trust) established by Resources issued in a public offering \$172.5 million of convertible preferred securities and sold approximately \$5.3 million of Resources Trust common securities (106,720 securities, representing 100% of the Resources Trust's common equity) to Resources. The

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

convertible preferred securities have a distribution rate of 6.25% payable quarterly in arrears, a stated liquidation amount of \$50 per convertible preferred security and must be redeemed by 2026. The Resources Trust sold the convertible preferred securities to the public and used the proceeds, in addition to the common securities proceeds, to purchase \$177.8 million of 6.25% Convertible Junior Subordinated Debentures from Resources, which Debentures have an interest rate corresponding to the distribution rate of the convertible preferred securities and a maturity date corresponding to the mandatory redemption date of the convertible preferred securities. The Resources Trust is accounted for as a wholly owned consolidated subsidiary of Resources. The junior subordinated debentures represent the sole assets of the Resources Trust and its entire operations. Resources has fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a subordinated basis, the Resources Trust's obligations, including the payment of distributions and all other payments, with respect to the convertible preferred securities. The convertible preferred securities are mandatorily redeemable upon the repayment of the related junior subordinated debentures at their stated maturity or earlier redemption. Each convertible preferred security is convertible at the option of the holder into \$33.62 of cash and 1.55 shares of Company common stock. During 1998, convertible preferred securities aggregating \$15.5 million were converted, leaving \$0.9 million liquidation amount of convertible preferred securities outstanding at December 31, 1998.

Subject to certain limitations, Resources has the option of deferring payments of interest on the Debentures held by the Resources Trust. If and for as long as interest payments on the Debentures have been deferred, or on event of default under the indenture relating thereto has occurred and is continuing, Resources may not pay dividends on its capital stock. As of December 31, 1998, no interest payments on the Debentures had been deferred.

#### (10) STOCK-BASED INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLANS AND RETIREMENT PLANS

#### (a) Incentive Compensation Plans.

The Company has Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plans (LICP) and other incentive compensation plans that provide for the issuance of stock-based incentives (including performance-based stock compensation and restricted shares, stock options and stock appreciation rights) to key employees of the Company, including officers. As of December 31, 1998, 273 current and former employees participated in the plans. A maximum of approximately 9 million shares of common stock may be issued under these plans. Under the LICP, beginning one year after the grant date, the options become exercisable in one-third increments each year. Performance-based stock compensation issued and restricted shares granted were 98,413 in 1998, 704,865 in 1997 and 69,905 in 1996.

# $\begin{array}{c} \text{HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED} \\ \text{d/b/a Reliant energy, incorporated and subsidiaries} \end{array}$

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Stock option activity for the years 1996 through 1998 is summarized below:

	NUMBER OF SHARES	WEIGHTED AVERAGE PRICE AT DATE OF GRANT OR EXERCISE	
Outstanding at December 31, 1995. Options Granted. Options Exercised. Options Withheld for Taxes. Options Canceled. Outstanding at December 31, 1996. Options Granted. Options Converted at Acquisition(1) Options Exercised(1). Options Withheld for Taxes. Options Canceled. Outstanding at December 31, 1997. Options Granted. Options Exercised(1). Options Exercised(1). Options Exercised(1). Option Withheld for Taxes. Options Canceled. Outstanding at December 31, 1998.	411, 342 101, 798 (574) (90) (13, 824) 498, 652 382, 954 622, 504 (281, 053) (72) (148, 418) 1,074, 567 2,243,535 (287,591) (6,854) (78,003) 2,945,654	*** *** *** *	21.1414 24.375 17.75 21.7796 21.0673 12.9002 9.2063 19.0728 26.3112 15.6576
	NUMBER OF SHARES		E OF EXERCISE PRICES
Exercisable at:     December 31, 1998.     December 31, 1997.     December 31, 1996.	531,855 645,304 280,270	\$ \$ \$	7.00-35.18 7.00-35.18 17.75-23.25

(1) Effective upon the Merger, each holder of an unexpired Resources stock option, whether or not then exercisable, was entitled to elect to either (i) have all or any portion of their Resources stock options canceled and "cashed out" or (ii) have all or any portion of their Resources stock options converted to the Company's stock options. There were 828,297 Resources stock options converted into 622,504 of the Company's stock options at the Acquisition Date. Options exercised during 1998 and 1997 included approximately 210,000 and 277,000 shares, respectively, related to Resources stock options which were converted at the Merger.

Effective January 1, 1996, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" (SFAS No. 123). In accordance with SFAS No. 123, the Company will continue to apply the existing rules contained in Accounting Principles Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and disclose the required pro forma effect on net income and earnings per share of the fair value based method of accounting for stock compensation as required by SFAS No. 123.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The following pro forma summary of the Company's consolidated results of operations has been prepared as if the fair value based method of accounting for employee stock compensation required by SFAS No. 123 had been applied:

	1998	1997	1996
	`	OUSANDS OF DOLL EPT PER SHARE D	,
Net Income (Loss) available for common stock as reported	\$ (141,482) (6,383)	\$ 420,948 (2,374)	\$ 404,944 (1,098)
Pro forma Net Income (Loss) available for common stock	\$ (147,865) =======	\$ 418,574 =======	\$ 403,846 ======
Pro forma Basic Earnings per Common Share Pro forma Diluted Earnings per Common Share		\$ 1.66 1.65	\$ 1.66 1.66

The fair value of options granted during 1996, 1997 and 1998 was calculated using the Black-Scholes model. The significant assumptions incorporated in the Black-Scholes model in estimating the fair value of the options include (i) an interest rate of 5.65% for 1996, 6.58% for 1997 and 5.65% for 1998 that represents the interest rate on a U.S. Treasury security with a maturity date corresponding with the option term, (ii) an option term of ten years, (iii) volatility of 15.713% for 1996, 22.06% for 1997 and 24.01% for 1998 calculated using daily stock prices for the period prior to the grant date and (iv) expected common dividends of \$1.50 per share representing annualized dividends at the date of grant.

### (b) Pension.

The Company has a noncontributory retirement plan which covers the employees of the Company and its subsidiaries other than Resources. Resources has two noncontributory retirement plans: (i) the plan which covers the employees of Resources other than Minnegasco employees and (ii) the plan which covers Minnegasco employees. The plans provide retirement benefits based on years of service and compensation. The Company's and Resources' funding policy is to contribute amounts annually in accordance with applicable regulations in order to achieve adequate funding of projected benefit obligations. The assets of the plans consist principally of common stocks and high-quality, interest-bearing obligations. The net periodic pension costs, prepaid pension costs and benefit obligation have been determined separately for each plan.

In 1998, the Company adopted SFAS No. 132, "Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits" (SFAS No. 132). SFAS No. 132 revises employers' disclosures about pension and other postretirement benefit plans. It does not change the measurement or recognition of those plans.

Net pension cost for the Company attributable to continuing operations includes the following components:

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	1998	1997	1996	
	(T	THOUSAND OF DOLLA	RS)	
Service cost benefits earned during the period.  Interest cost on projected benefit obligation.  Expected (return) loss on plan assets	85,132 (121,196)	\$ 26,848 67,641 (86,372) 6	\$ 24,392 51,560 (57,818) 6	
Net pension cost  Transfer of obligation to STPNOC  SFAS No. 88 curtailment expense		8,123 (6,077) 12,947	18,140 12,698	
Total pension cost	\$ (2,622) =======	\$ 14,993 ======	\$ 30,838	

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Following are reconciliations of the Company's beginning and ending balances of its retirement plan benefit obligation, plan assets and funded status for 1998 and 1997.

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			BER 31,
		1998		1997
		(THOUSANDS OF		
CHANGE IN BENEFIT OBLIGATION Benefit obligation, beginning of year Service cost Interest cost Benefits paid Plan amendments Acquisitions and divestitures Actuarial (gain) loss		1,246,582 33,436 85,132 (69,182) (161,326) 254,802	\$	756,597 26,848 67,641 (71,924) 439,545 27,875
Benefit obligation, end of year			\$	1,246,582
CHANGE IN PLAN ASSETS Plan asset, beginning of year. Benefits paid	 \$	1,304,023 (69,182) 47,406 147,635	· \$	675,401 (71,924) 41,332 534,692 124,522
RECONCILIATION OF FUNDED STATUS				
Funded status Unrecognized transition (asset) or obligation Unrecognized prior service cost Unrecognized actuarial (gain) loss		40,438 (7,205) (148,400) 240,864		57,441 (9,008) 14,734 12,501
Net amount recognized		125,697 ======	\$ ===	75,668 =====

Amounts recognized in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet consist of:

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			ER 31,	
	1998			1997	
		(THOUSANDS 0	= DOLL	ARS)	
Prepaid benefit cost		125,697	\$	95,815 (20,147)	
Net amount recognized	\$	125,697 ======	\$	75,668 ======	

The benefit obligation was determined using an assumed discount rate of 6.50% in 1998 and 7.25% in 1997 and 1996. A long-term annual rate of compensation increase ranging from 3.5% to 5.5% in 1998 and 4% to 6% in 1997 and 1996 was assumed for both the Company and Resources plans, respectively. The assumed long-term rate of return on plan assets was 10% in 1998 and 9.5% in 1997 and 1996 (10% for the Resources plans in 1997). The transitional asset at January 1, 1986, is being recognized over approximately 17 years, and the prior service cost is being recognized over approximately 15 years for the Company's plan. The unrecognized transitional asset, prior service cost and net (gain) or loss related to the Resources' plans were recognized at the Acquisition Date.

Pursuant to SFAS No. 71, the Company's deferred costs associated with the increases in its benefit obligations related to a 1995 early retirement incentive program were amortized through the period ending December 31, 1997. In 1997 and 1996, the Company amortized \$12.9 million and \$12.7 million, respectively, of those costs as a

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

curtailment under SFAS No. 88, "Employers' Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits" (SFAS No. 88), with regards to the Company's early retirement program.

In 1998, the Company's board of directors approved an amendment, effective January 1, 1999, which converted the present value of the accrued benefits under the existing pension plans into a cash balance pension plan. Under the cash balance formula, each participant has an account, for recordkeeping purposes only, to which credits are allocated annually based on a percentage of the participant's pay. The applicable percentage is 4%.

The purpose of the plan change is to continue to provide uniform retirement income benefits across all employee groups, which are competitive both within the utility industry as well as with other companies within the United States.

The Company will continue to reflect the costs of the pension plan according to the provisions of SFAS No. 87, as amended by SFAS No. 132. As a result of the January 1, 1999 amendment, which is reflected in the December 31, 1998 disclosure, the Company's benefit obligation declined \$161 million. The plan amendment had no impact on 1998 expense.

The actuarial loss is due to changes in certain actuarial assumptions.

### (c) Savings Plan.

The Company has an employee savings plan that qualifies as cash or deferred arrangements under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (IRC). Under the plan, participating employees may contribute a portion of their compensation, pretax or after-tax, up to a maximum of 16% of compensation limited by an annual deferral limit (\$10,000 for calendar year 1998) prescribed by IRC Section 402(g) and the IRC Section 415 annual additions limits. Through 1998, the Company matched 70% of the first 6% of each employee's compensation contributed, subject to a vesting schedule which entitled the employee to a percentage of the matching contributions depending on years of service. Substantially all of the Company's match is invested in the Company's common stock.

In October 1990, the Company amended its savings plan to add a leveraged Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) component. The Company may use ESOP shares to satisfy its obligation to make matching contributions under the savings plan. Debt service on the ESOP loan is paid using all dividends on shares in the ESOP, interest earnings on funds held in the ESOP and cash contributions by the Company. Shares of the Company's common stock are released from the encumbrance of the ESOP loan based on the proportion of debt service paid during the period.

As provided for under Statement of Position 93-6 (SOP 93-6), the Company recognizes benefit expense for the ESOP equal to the fair value of the ESOP shares committed to be released. In accordance with SOP 93-6, the Company credits to unearned ESOP shares the original purchase price of ESOP shares committed to be released to plan participants with the difference between the fair value of the shares and the original purchase price recorded to common stock. Dividends on allocated ESOP shares are recorded as a reduction to retained earnings; dividends on unallocated ESOP shares are recorded as a reduction of debt or accrued interest on the ESOP loan.

The Company's savings plan benefit expense attributable to operations was \$13.8 million, \$18.4 million and \$16.0 million in 1998, 1997 and 1996, respectively.

The ESOP shares were as follows:

	DECEMBER 31,			
	1998	1997		
Allocated shares transferred/distributed from the	1 016 500	1 020 406		
Savings Plan(1)	5,171,613	1,920,406 4,453,227 12,388,551		
Total original ESOP shares		18,762,184		
Fair value of unearned ESOP shares	\$ 374,270,460	\$ 331,393,739		

 <sup>1,102,203</sup> allocated shares transferred are related to shares transferred to STPNOC in December 1997.

Resources has an employee savings plan (Resources Savings Plan) which covers substantially all employees other than Reliant Energy Minnegasco employees. Under the terms of the Resources Savings Plan, employees may contribute up to 12% of total compensation, which contributions up to 6% are matched by the Company. The Reliant Energy Minnegasco employees are covered by a savings plan, the terms of which are somewhat similar to the Resources Savings

Plan. Employer contributions related to the Resources and Reliant Energy Minnegasco Savings Plan were \$10.8 million and \$3.7 million in 1998 and 1997 since the Acquisition Date, respectively.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

#### (d) Postretirement Benefits.

The Company and Resources record the liability for post-retirement benefit plans other than pensions (primarily health care) under SFAS No. 106, "Employer's Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions" (SFAS No. 106). The Company is amortizing over a 22 year period approximately \$213 million to cover the "transition cost" of adopting SFAS No. 106 (i.e., the Company's liability for postretirement benefits payable with respect to employee service years accrued prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 106). The unrecognized transitional asset and net (gain) loss related to the Resources plans were recognized at the Acquisition Date.

As provided in the 1995 Rate Case Settlement, Electric Operations is required to fund during each year in an irrevocable external trust approximately \$22 million of postretirement benefit costs which are included in its rates. Reliant Energy Minnegasco is required to fund postretirement benefit costs for the amount included in its rates. The Company and Resources, excluding Electric Operations and Reliant Energy Minnegasco, will continue funding their postretirement benefits on a pay-as-you-go basis.

Following are reconciliations of the Company's beginning and ending balances of its postretirement benefit plans benefit obligation, plan assets and funded status for 1998 and 1997.

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31			ER 31,	
		1998		1997	
		(THOUSANDS OF			
CHANGE IN BENEFIT OBLIGATION  Benefit obligation, beginning of year Service cost. Interest cost. Benefits paid. Participant contributions. Plan amendments. Acquisitions. Divestitures. Actuarial (gain) loss.		269,531 8,060 17,270 (20,662) 2,960 98,918	\$	144,275 8,927 14,176 (11,963) 1,591 115,721 (10,277) 7,081	
Benefit obligation, end of year		409,811		269,531	
CHANGE IN PLAN ASSETS Plan asset, beginning of year. Benefits paid		56,340 (20,662) 32,889 2,960 12,541 		38,493 (11,963) 18,440 1,591 2,909 (6,000) 12,870  56,340	
RECONCILIATION OF FUNDED STATUS Funded status Unrecognized transition (asset) or obligation Unrecognized prior service cost Unrecognized actuarial (gain) loss		(325,743) 144,046 98,918 (61,530)	\$	(213,191) 153,175 (94,531)	
Net amount recognized at end of year	\$		\$	(154,547)	
			===	=	

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The assumed health care cost trend rates used in measuring the postretirement benefit obligation in 1998 are as follows:

Medical	under 65	6.0%
Medical	65 and over	6.7%

The assumed health care rates gradually decline to 5.4% for both medical categories by 2001. The accumulated postretirement benefit obligation was determined using an assumed discount rate of 6.5% for 1998 and 7.25% for 1997. A long-term annual rate of compensation increase ranging from 3.5% to 5.5% and 4.0% to 6.0% was assumed in 1998 and 1997, respectively. The assumed long-term rate of return on plan assets was 10% in 1998 and 9.5% in 1997.

If the health care cost trend rate assumptions were increased by 1%, the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation as of December 31, 1998 would be increased by approximately 4.7%. The annual effect of the 1% increase on the total of the service and interest costs would be an increase of approximately 5.1%. If the healthcare cost trend rate assumptions were decreased by 1%, the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation as of December 31, 1998 would be decreased by approximately 4.3%. The annual effect of the 1% decrease on the total of the service and interest costs would be a decrease of 4.6%.

In 1998, the Company's board of directors approved an amendment, effective January 1, 1999, which created an account balance based on credited service at December 31, 1998. Under the new plan, each participant has an account, for recordkeeping purposes only, to which a \$750 credit is allocated annually. This account balance vests after 5 years of service after age 50. At retirement the account balance can be used to purchase medical benefits. It may not be taken as cash.

The purpose of the plan change is to continue to provide uniform retiree medical benefits across all employee groups, which are competitive both within the utility industry as well as with other companies within the United States.

The Company will continue to reflect the costs of the retiree medical plan according to the provisions of SFAS No. 106 as amended by SFAS No. 132. As a result of the January 1, 1999 amendment, which is reflected in the December 31, 1998 disclosure, the Company's benefit obligation increased \$99 million. The plan amendment had no impact on 1998 expense.

The actuarial loss is due to changes in certain actuarial assumptions.

### (e) Postemployment Benefits.

The Company records postemployment benefits based on SFAS No. 112, "Employer's Accounting for Postemployment Benefits," which requires the recognition of a liability for benefits provided to former or inactive employees, their beneficiaries and covered dependents, after employment but before retirement (primarily health care and life insurance benefits for participants in the long-term disability plan). Net postemployment benefit costs were not material in 1998, 1997 and 1996.

### (11) INCOME TAXES

The Company records income taxes under SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes" (SFAS No. 109), which, among other things, (i) requires that the liability method be used in computing deferred taxes on all temporary differences between book and tax bases of assets other than nondeductible goodwill; (ii) requires that deferred tax liabilities and assets be adjusted for an enacted change in tax laws or rates; and (iii) prohibits net-of-tax accounting and reporting. SFAS No. 109 requires that regulated enterprises recognize such adjustments as regulatory assets or liabilities if it is probable that such amounts will be recovered from or returned to customers in future rates.

The Company's current and deferred components of income tax expense (benefit) are as follows:

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,						
		1998 1997			1996		
	(THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)					)	
CurrentDeferred		439,322 (469,754)		199,011 7,363			
Income taxes	\$	(30,432)	\$	206,374	\$	200,165	

# $\begin{array}{c} \text{HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED} \\ \text{d/b/a RELIANT ENERGY, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES} \end{array}$

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The Company's effective income tax rates are lower than statutory corporate rates for each year as follows:

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,				
	1998	1997	1996		
	(TH	OUSANDS OF DOLL	ARS)		
Income (loss) before income taxes		a' a==	\$ 605,109 22,563		
TotalStatutory rate			627,672 35%		
Income taxes at statutory rate	(60,033)	220,409	219,685		
Net addition (reduction) in taxes resulting from: State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit	(20,123) (4,011) 37,069 (980)	(9) (19,777) (5,570) 27,466 (5,075) (17,011)	(4,331) 22,638		
GoodwillOther - net	18,049 5,985	` ' '	(3,293)		
Total	29,601	(14,035)	(19,520)		
Income taxes	\$ (30,432) ======	\$ 206,374 ======	\$ 200,165 ======		
Effective rate	17.7%	32.8%	31.9%		

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Following are the Company's tax effects of temporary differences attributable to continuing operations resulting in deferred tax assets and liabilities:

1998   1997		DECEMBER 31,			,
Deferred Tax Assets:   Alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards   \$39,893   \$60,669     Employee benefits   154,746   145,794     Disallowed plant cost - net   56,219   22,378     ACES   454,165   42,491     State operating loss carryforwards   23,178   29,515     Deferred state income taxes   14,455   14,460     Other   92,659   69,235     Valuation allowance   826,724   378,189     Deferred Tax Liabilities:   20,200     Deferred Tax Liabilities:   21,106,860   2,115,717     Deferred plant costs - net   147,278   186,472     Regulatory tax asset - net   147,278     Regulatory tax asset - net			1998		1997
Alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards \$ 39,893 \$ 60,669 Employee benefits 154,746 145,794 154,746 145,794 Disallowed plant cost - net 56,219 22,378 ACES. 454,165 42,491 State operating loss carryforwards 23,178 29,515 Deferred state income taxes 14,455 14,460 Other. 92,659 69,235 Valuation allowance. 92,659 69,235 Valuation allowance. 826,724 378,189 Deferred tax assets - net \$826,724 378,189 Deferred Tax Liabilities: \$\$\$Depreciation. \$2,106,860 \$2,115,717 Deferred plant costs - net 147,278 186,472 Regulatory tax asset - net 418,339 356,509 Capitalized taxes, employee benefits and removal costs 60,099 46,584 Gain on sale of cable television subsidiary. 222,942 222,942 Deferred state income taxes 570,000 70,000 Deferred gas costs 13,663 34,113 Loss on reacquired debt 71,000 70,000 Total deferred tax liabilities. 31,190,760 3,170,970 Accumulated deferred income taxes - net \$2,364,036 \$2,792,781					
Employee benefits.       154,746       145,794         Disallowed plant cost - net       56,219       22,378         ACES.       454,165       42,491         State operating loss carryforwards.       23,178       29,515         Deferred state income taxes       14,455       14,460         Other.       92,659       69,235         Valuation allowance       (8,591)       (6,353)         Total deferred tax assets - net       \$826,724       378,189         Deferred Tax Liabilities:       \$2,106,860       \$2,115,717         Deferred plant costs - net       147,278       186,472         Regulatory tax asset - net       418,339       356,509         Capitalized taxes, employee benefits and removal costs       60,099       46,584         Gain on sale of cable television subsidiary       222,942       222,942         Deferred gas costs       13,663       34,113         Loss on reacquired debt       44,077       39,503         Other       107,502       99,130         Total deferred tax liabilities       3,190,760       3,170,970         Accumulated deferred income taxes - net       \$2,364,036       \$2,792,781	Deferred Tax Assets:				
Disallowed plant cost - net.       56,219       22,378         ACES.       454,165       42,491         State operating loss carryforwards       23,178       29,515         Deferred state income taxes       14,455       14,460         Other.       92,659       69,235         Valuation allowance       (8,591)       (6,353)         Total deferred tax assets - net       \$826,724       378,189         Deferred Tax Liabilities:         Depreciation       \$2,106,860       \$2,115,717         Deferred plant costs - net       147,278       186,472         Regulatory tax asset - net       418,339       356,509         Capitalized taxes, employee benefits and removal costs       60,099       46,584         Gain on sale of cable television subsidiary       222,942       222,942         Deferred state income taxes       70,000       70,000         Deferred gas costs       13,663       34,113         Loss on reacquired debt       44,077       39,503         Other       107,502       99,130         Total deferred tax liabilities       3,190,760       3,170,970         Accumulated deferred income taxes - net       \$2,364,036       \$2,792,781 <td>Alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards</td> <td>\$</td> <td>39,893</td> <td>\$</td> <td>60,669</td>	Alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards	\$	39,893	\$	60,669
ACES. 454,165 42,491 State operating loss carryforwards 23,178 29,515 Deferred state income taxes 14,455 14,460 Other. 92,659 69,235 Valuation allowance (8,591) (6,353)  Total deferred tax assets - net \$826,724 378,189  Deferred Tax Liabilities: Depreciation. \$2,106,860 \$2,115,717 Deferred plant costs - net 147,278 186,472 Regulatory tax asset - net 418,339 356,509 Capitalized taxes, employee benefits and removal costs 60,099 46,584 Gain on sale of cable television subsidiary 222,942 222,942 Deferred state income taxes 70,000 70,000 Deferred gas costs 13,663 34,113 Loss on reacquired debt 44,077 39,503 Other 107,502 99,130  Total deferred tax liabilities 3,190,760 3,170,970  Accumulated deferred income taxes - net \$2,364,036 \$2,792,781	Employee benefits		154,746		145,794
State operating loss carryforwards       23,178       29,515         Deferred state income taxes       14,455       14,460         Other       92,659       69,235         Valuation allowance       (8,591)       (6,353)         Total deferred tax assets - net       \$ 826,724       378,189         Deferred Tax Liabilities:       2,106,860       \$ 2,115,717         Deferred plant costs - net       147,278       186,472         Regulatory tax asset - net       418,339       356,509         Capitalized taxes, employee benefits and removal costs       60,099       46,584         Gain on sale of cable television subsidiary       222,942       222,942         Deferred state income taxes       70,000       70,000         Deferred gas costs       13,663       34,113         Loss on reacquired debt       44,077       39,503         Other       107,502       99,130         Total deferred tax liabilities       3,190,760       3,170,970         Accumulated deferred income taxes - net       \$ 2,364,036       \$ 2,792,781	Disallowed plant cost - net		56,219		22,378
Deferred state income taxes       14,455       14,460         Other       92,659       69,235         Valuation allowance       (8,591)       (6,353)         Total deferred tax assets - net       \$826,724       378,189         Deferred Tax Liabilities:         Depreciation       \$2,106,860       \$2,115,717         Deferred plant costs - net       147,278       186,472         Regulatory tax asset - net       418,339       356,509         Capitalized taxes, employee benefits and removal costs       60,099       46,584         Gain on sale of cable television subsidiary       222,942       222,942         Deferred state income taxes       70,000       70,000         Deferred gas costs       13,663       34,113         Loss on reacquired debt       44,077       39,503         Other       107,502       99,130         Total deferred tax liabilities       3,190,760       3,170,970         Accumulated deferred income taxes - net       \$2,364,036       \$2,792,781	ACES		454,165		42,491
Other	State operating loss carryforwards		23,178		29,515
Valuation allowance.       (8,591)       (6,353)         Total deferred tax assets - net.       \$ 826,724       378,189         Deferred Tax Liabilities:			,		
Total deferred tax assets - net			92,659		69,235
Deferred Tax Liabilities: Depreciation	Valuation allowance		(8,591)		(6,353)
Deferred Tax Liabilities:       \$ 2,106,860       \$ 2,115,717         Deferred plant costs - net.       147,278       186,472         Regulatory tax asset - net       418,339       356,509         Capitalized taxes, employee benefits and removal costs       60,099       46,584         Gain on sale of cable television subsidiary.       222,942       222,942         Deferred state income taxes.       70,000       70,000         Deferred gas costs.       13,663       34,113         Loss on reacquired debt.       44,077       39,503         Other.       107,502       99,130         Total deferred tax liabilities.       3,190,760       3,170,970         Accumulated deferred income taxes - net.       \$ 2,364,036       \$ 2,792,781	Total deferred tax assets - net	\$	826,724		,
Deferred plant costs - net       147,278       186,472         Regulatory tax asset - net       418,339       356,509         Capitalized taxes, employee benefits and removal costs       60,099       46,584         Gain on sale of cable television subsidiary       222,942       222,942         Deferred state income taxes       70,000       70,000         Deferred gas costs       13,663       34,113         Loss on reacquired debt       44,077       39,503         Other       107,502       99,130         Total deferred tax liabilities       3,190,760       3,170,970         Accumulated deferred income taxes - net       \$ 2,364,036       \$ 2,792,781	Deferred Tax Liabilities:				
Regulatory tax asset - net       418,339       356,509         Capitalized taxes, employee benefits and removal costs       60,099       46,584         Gain on sale of cable television subsidiary       222,942       222,942         Deferred state income taxes       70,000       70,000         Deferred gas costs       13,663       34,113         Loss on reacquired debt       44,077       39,503         Other       107,502       99,130         Total deferred tax liabilities       3,190,760       3,170,970         Accumulated deferred income taxes - net       \$ 2,364,036       \$ 2,792,781	Depreciation	\$	2,106,860	\$	2,115,717
Capitalized taxes, employee benefits and removal costs       60,099       46,584         Gain on sale of cable television subsidiary       222,942       222,942         Deferred state income taxes       70,000       70,000         Deferred gas costs       13,663       34,113         Loss on reacquired debt       44,077       39,503         Other       107,502       99,130         Total deferred tax liabilities       3,190,760       3,170,970         Accumulated deferred income taxes - net       \$ 2,364,036       \$ 2,792,781	Deferred plant costs - net		147,278		186,472
Gain on sale of cable television subsidiary.       222,942       222,942         Deferred state income taxes.       70,000       70,000         Deferred gas costs.       13,663       34,113         Loss on reacquired debt.       44,077       39,503         Other.       107,502       99,130         Total deferred tax liabilities.       3,190,760       3,170,970         Accumulated deferred income taxes - net.       \$ 2,364,036       \$ 2,792,781	Regulatory tax asset - net		418,339		356,509
Deferred state income taxes 70,000 70,000 Deferred gas costs 13,663 34,113 Loss on reacquired debt 44,077 39,503 Other 107,502 99,130  Total deferred tax liabilities 3,190,760 3,170,970  Accumulated deferred income taxes - net \$2,364,036 \$2,792,781	Capitalized taxes, employee benefits and removal costs		60,099		46,584
Deferred gas costs	Gain on sale of cable television subsidiary		222,942		222,942
Loss on reacquired debt	Deferred state income taxes		70,000		70,000
Other       107,502       99,130         Total deferred tax liabilities       3,190,760       3,170,970         Accumulated deferred income taxes - net       \$ 2,364,036       \$ 2,792,781	Deferred gas costs		13,663		34,113
Total deferred tax liabilities	Loss on reacquired debt		44,077		39,503
Total deferred tax liabilities	Other		,		99,130
Accumulated deferred income taxes - net	Total deferred tax liabilities				, ,
	Accumulated deferred income taxes - net	\$	2,364,036	\$	2,792,781

Tax Refund Case. In July 1990, the Company paid approximately \$104.5 million to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) following an IRS audit of Former Parent's 1983 and 1984 federal income tax returns. In November 1991, Former Parent filed a refund suit in the U.S. Court of Federal Claims seeking the return of \$52.1 million of tax and \$36.3 million of accrued interest, plus interest on both of those amounts accruing after July 1990. The major contested issue in the refund case involved the IRS allegation that certain amounts related to the over-recovery of fuel costs should have been included as taxable income in 1983 and 1984 even though the Company had an obligation to refund the over-recoveries to its ratepayers.

In September 1997, the United States Court of Appeals upheld a lower court ruling that the Company (as successor corporation to Former Parent) was due a refund of federal income taxes assessed on fuel over-recoveries during 1983 and 1984 that subsequently were refunded to Electric Operations' customers.

In February 1998, the Company received a refund of approximately \$142 million in taxes and interest paid by Former Parent in July 1990, including interest accrued since 1990 in the amount of approximately \$57 million. After giving effect to the Company's deferred recognition of the 1990 tax payment and payment of federal income taxes due on the accrued interest on the refund, the refund had the effect of increasing the Company's earnings in the fourth quarter of 1997 by \$37 million (after-tax).

Tax Attribute Carryforwards. At December 31, 1998, Resources has approximately \$368 million of state net operating losses available to offset future state taxable income through the year 2013. In addition, Resources has approximately \$33 million of federal alternative minimum tax credits which are available to reduce future federal income taxes payable, if any, over an indefinite period (although not below the tentative minimum tax otherwise due

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

in any year), and approximately \$2.6 million of state alternative minimum tax credits which are available to reduce future state income taxes payable, if any, through the year 2001. The valuation allowance reflects a net increase of \$2.3 million in 1998. This net increase results from a reassessment of Resources' usage of state tax attributes, including the future ability to use state net operating loss and alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards offset by changes in valuation allowances provided for expiring state net operating loss carryforwards.

#### (12) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### (a) Commitments.

The Company has various commitments for capital expenditures, fuel, purchased power, cooling water and operating leases. Commitments in connection with Electric Operations' capital program are generally revocable by the Company, subject to reimbursement to manufacturers for expenditures incurred or other cancellation penalties. The Company's and its subsidiaries' other commitments have various quantity requirements and durations. However, if these requirements could not be met, various alternatives are available to mitigate the cost associated with the contracts' commitments.

#### (b) Fuel and Purchased Power.

The Company is a party to several long-term coal, lignite and natural gas contracts which have various quantity requirements and durations. Minimum payment obligations for coal and transportation agreements are approximately \$210 million in 1999, \$187 million in 2000 and \$188 million in 2001. Additionally, minimum payment obligations for lignite mining and lease agreements are approximately \$9 million for 1999, \$10 million for 2000 and \$10 million for 2001. Minimum payment obligations for both natural gas purchase and storage contracts associated with Electric Operations are approximately \$10 million in 1999, \$9 million in 2000 and \$9 million in 2001.

The Company also has commitments to purchase firm capacity from two cogenerators totaling approximately \$22 million in both 1999 and 2000. Texas Utility Commission rules currently allow recovery of these costs through Electric Operations' base rates for electric service and additionally authorize the Company to charge or credit customers through a purchased power cost recovery factor for any variation in actual purchased power costs from the cost utilized to determine its base rates. In the event that the Texas Utility Commission, at some future date, does not allow recovery through rates of any amount of purchased power payments, these two firm capacity contracts contain provisions allowing the Company to suspend or reduce payments and seek repayment for amounts disallowed. Both of these firm capacity contracts have initial terms ending March 31, 2005.

### (c) Operations Agreement with City of San Antonio.

As part of the 1996 settlement of certain litigation claims asserted by the City of San Antonio with respect to the South Texas Project, the Company entered into a 10-year joint operations agreement under which the Company and the City of San Antonio, acting through the City Public Service Board of San Antonio (CPS), share savings resulting from the joint dispatching of their respective generating assets in order to take advantage of each system's lower cost resources. Under the terms of the joint operations agreement entered into between CPS and Electric Operations, the Company has guaranteed CPS minimum annual savings of \$10 million and a minimum cumulative savings of \$150 million over the 10-year term of the agreement. Based on current forecasts and other assumptions regarding the combined operation of the two generating systems, the Company anticipates that the savings resulting from joint operations will equal or exceed the minimum savings guaranteed under the joint operating agreement. In 1996, savings generated for CPS' account for a partial year of joint operations were approximately \$14 million. In 1997 and 1998, savings generated for CPS account for a full year of operation were approximately \$22 million and \$14 million, respectively.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

#### (d) Transportation Agreement.

Resources had an agreement (ANR Agreement) with ANR Pipeline Company (ANR) which contemplated that Resources would transfer to ANR an interest in certain of Resources' pipeline and related assets. The interest represented capacity of 250 Mmcf/day. Under the ANR Agreement, an ANR affiliate advanced \$125 million to Resources. Subsequently, the parties restructured the ANR Agreement and Resources refunded in 1995 and 1993, respectively, \$50 million and \$34 million to ANR or an affiliate. Resources recorded \$41 million as a liability reflecting ANR's or its affiliates' use of 130 Mmcf/day of capacity in certain of Resources' transportation facilities. The level of transportation will decline to 100 Mmcf/day in the year 2003 with a refund of \$5 million to an ANR affiliate. The ANR Agreement will terminate in 2005 with a refund of the remaining balance.

#### (e) Lease Commitments.

The following table sets forth certain information concerning the Company's obligations under non-cancelable long-term operating leases:

Minimum Lease Commitments at December 31, 1998 (1) (Millions of Dollars)

1999	20 16
2000	
2001	15
2002	11
2003	10
2004 and beyond	66
Total	\$ 138

 Principally consisting of rental agreements for building space and data processing equipment and vehicles (including major work equipment).

Resources has a master leasing agreement which provides for the lease of vehicles, construction equipment, office furniture, data processing equipment and other property. For accounting purposes, the lease is treated as an operating lease. Resources does not expect to lease additional property under this lease agreement.

Total rental expense for all Resources' leases was approximately \$25 million in 1998. Total rental expense for all leases in 1997 since the Acquisition Date was approximately \$15 million.

## (f) Letters of Credit.

At December 31, 1998, the Company and Resources had letters of credit incidental with their ordinary business operations totaling approximately \$34 million under which they are obligated to reimburse drawings, if any.

### (g) Indemnity Provisions.

At December 31, 1998, Resources had a \$5.8 million accounting reserve on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet in Other Deferred Credits for possible indemnity claims asserted in connection with its disposition of Resources' former subsidiaries or divisions, including the sale of (i) Louisiana Intrastate Gas Corporation, a former Resources subsidiary engaged in the intrastate pipeline and liquids extraction business; (ii) Arkla Exploration Company, a former Resources subsidiary engaged in oil and gas exploration and production activities; and (iii) Dyco Petroleum Company, a former Resources subsidiary engaged in oil and gas exploration and production.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

#### (h) Environmental Matters.

The Company is a defendant in litigation arising out of the environmental remediation of a site in Corpus Christi, Texas. The litigation was instituted in 1985 by adjacent landowners. The litigation is pending before the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Corpus Christi Division. The site was operated by third parties as a metals reclaiming operation. Although the Company neither operated nor owned the site, certain transformers and other equipment originally sold by the Company may have been delivered to the site by third parties. The Company and others have remediated the site pursuant to a plan approved by appropriate state agencies and a federal court. To date, the Company has recovered or has commitments to recover from other responsible parties \$2.2 million of the more than \$3 million it has spent on remediation.

In 1992, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (i) identified the Company, along with several other parties, as "potentially responsible parties" (PRP) under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) for the costs of cleaning up a site located adjacent to one of the Company's transmission lines in La Marque, Texas and (ii) issued an administrative order for the remediation of the site. The Company believes that the EPA took this action solely on the basis of information indicating that the Company in the 1950s acquired record title to a portion of the land on which the site is located. The Company does not believe that it now or previously has held any ownership interest in the property covered by the order and has obtained a judgement to that effect from a court in Galveston County, Texas. Based on this judgement and other defenses that the Company believes to be meritorious, the Company has elected not to adhere to the EPA's administrative order, even though the Company understands that other PRPs are proceeding with site remediation. To date, neither the EPA nor any other PRP has instituted an action against the Company for any share of the remediation costs for the site. However, if the Company was determined to be a responsible party, the Company could be jointly and severally liable along with the other PRPs for the aggregate remediation costs of the site (which the Company currently estimates to be approximately \$80 million in the aggregate) and could be assessed substantial fines and damage claims. Although the ultimate outcome of this matter cannot currently be predicted at this time, the Company does not believe that this case will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, liquidity or results of operations.

From time to time the Company and its subsidiaries have received notices from regulatory authorities or others regarding their status as potential PRPs in connection with sites found to require remediation due to the presence of environmental contaminants. In addition, the Company has been named as defendant in litigation related to such sites and in recent years has been named, along with numerous others, as a defendant in several lawsuits filed by a large number of individuals who claim injury due to exposure to asbestos while working at sites along the Texas Gulf Coast. Most of these claimants have been workers who participated in construction of various industrial facilities, including power plants, and some of the claimants have worked at locations owned by the Company. The Company anticipates that additional claims like those received may be asserted in the future and intends to continue its practice of vigorously contesting claims which it does not consider to have merit. Although their ultimate outcome cannot be predicted at this time, the Company does not believe, based on its experience to date, that these matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operation or cash flows.

### (i) Other.

Electric Operations' service area is heavily dependent on oil, gas, refined products, petrochemicals and related businesses. Significant adverse events affecting these industries would negatively affect the revenues of the Company.

The Company and Resources are involved in legal, tax and regulatory proceedings before various courts, regulatory commissions and governmental agencies regarding matters arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which involve substantial amounts. The Company's management regularly analyzes current information and, as necessary, provides accruals for probable liabilities on the eventual disposition of these matters. The Company's management believes that the effect on the Company's and Resources' respective financial statements, if any, from the disposition of these matters will not be material.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

In February 1996, the cities of Wharton, Galveston and Pasadena filed suit, for themselves and a proposed class, against the Company and Houston Industries Finance Inc. (formerly a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company) citing underpayment of municipal franchise fees. The plaintiffs claim, among other things, that from 1957 to the present, franchise fees should have been paid on sales taxes collected by Electric Operations on receipts from sales to other utilities and on receipts from services as well as sales of electricity. Plaintiffs advance their claims notwithstanding their failure to notice such claims over the previous four decades. Because all of the franchise ordinances affecting Electric Operations expressly impose fees only on receipts from sales of electricity for consumption within a city, the Company regards plaintiffs' allegations as spurious and is vigorously contesting the matter. The plaintiffs' pleadings assert that their damages exceed \$250 million. The District Court for Harris County has granted a partial summary judgment in favor of the Company dismissing all claims for franchise fees based on sales tax collections. Other motions for partial summary judgment remain pending. Although the Company believes the claims to be without merit, the Company cannot at this time estimate a range of possible loss, if any, from the lawsuit, nor can any assurance be given as to its ultimate outcome.

### (13) ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

	DECEMBER 31,						
	19	998	19	997			
	CARRYING AMOUNT	FAIR VALUE	CARRYING AMOUNT	FAIR VALUE			
		(THOUSANDS O	F DOLLARS)				
Financial Assets: Company:							
Investment in Time Warner securities	\$ 990,000	\$ 2,843,585	\$ 990,000	\$ 1,420,360			
Resources:							
Energy Derivatives - non-trading			9,399	13,060			
Financial Liabilities:							
Company:							
First mortgage bonds	2,036,284	2,177,434	2,495,459	2,651,260			
Pollution control revenue bonds	581,385	582,069	123,000	123,000			
Debentures	349,468	378, 825	349, 283	379,490			
ACES	2,349,997	2,436,949	1,173,786	1,307,247			
Trust preferred and capital securities	341,075	366,182	340,882	366,220			
Interest rate swaps	109	3,160	65	1,679			
Resources:							
Long-term debt	1,513,289	1,746,641	1,148,848	1,147,344			
Trust preferred securities	1,157	1,467	21,290	24,569			
Energy Derivatives - non-trading		8,166					
Interest rate swaps				755			

The fair values of cash and short-term investments, investment in the Company's nuclear decommissioning trust, short-term and other notes payable and floating rate debt of Reliant Energy International are estimated to be equivalent to carrying amounts. The remaining fair values have been determined using quoted market prices of the same or similar securities when available or other estimation techniques.

The fair value of financial instruments included in the trading operations of Reliant Energy Services are marked-to-market at December 31, 1998 (see Note 2). Therefore, they are stated at fair value and are excluded from the table.

#### HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED d/b/a RELIANT ENERGY, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

#### (14) UNAUDITED QUARTERLY INFORMATION

The following unaudited quarterly financial information includes, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (which comprise only normal recurring accruals) necessary for a fair presentation. Quarterly results are not necessarily indicative of a full year's operations because of seasonality and other factors, including rate increases and variations in operating expense patterns. Results of operations of the Resources businesses, purchased in 1997, are included beginning on the Acquisition Date.

	Y	E	А	K		E	IV	υ	E	υ		υ	E	C	E	ľ	В	E	ĸ		3	1	,		1	9	9	ŏ		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

	TEAR ENDED DESCRIBER ST, 1990							
		FIRST QUARTER	SECOND QUARTER	THIRD QUARTER			FOURTH QUARTER	
		(THOUSANDS	OF DOLLARS,	EXCEP.	T PER SHARE	AMO	UNTS)	
Revenues (1)		2,631,322 282,892	\$ 2,736,626 455,809		3,465,487 512,955	\$	2,655,029 225,732	
Net Income (Loss) available for common stock (1)		(30, 115)	41, 484		251,709		(404,560)	
Basic Earnings (Loss) per Common Share (2) Diluted Earnings (Loss) per Common Share (2)		(.11) (.11)	.15 .15		.89 .88		(1.42) (1.42)	
Different Lathings (Loss) per common share (2)		( /	. 10		.00		(1.72)	

#### YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1997

	FIRST QUARTER	SECOND QUARTER	THIRD QUARTER	FOURTH QUARTER
	(THOUSANE	S OF DOLLARS,	EXCEPT PER SHARE	AMOUNTS)
Revenues	156,216 59,620	\$ 1,064,448 247,172 121,463 .52	462,716 243,898 .93	\$ 2,777,622 198,396 (4,033) (.01) (.01)

- (1) Includes retroactive adjustment for change in accounting for energy price risk management and trading activities of Reliant Energy Services to mark-to-market accounting for the first, second and third quarters of 1998. (See Note 1(r))
- Quarterly earnings per common share are based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the quarter, and the sum of the quarters may not equal annual earnings per common share.

#### (15) REPORTABLE SEGMENTS

Effective January 1, 1998, the Company adopted SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" (SFAS No. 131). The Company's determination of reportable segments considers the strategic operating units under which the Company manages sales of various products and services to wholesale or retail customers in differing regulatory environments. The determination of reportable segments under SFAS No. 131 differs from that required in prior years, therefore business segment information for 1997 and 1996 has been restated to comply with SFAS No. 131. Consistent with the purchase accounting treatment for the Merger, financial information for Resources is included in the segment disclosures only for periods beginning on the Acquisition Date.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies except that certain executive benefit costs have not been allocated to segments. The Company evaluates performance based on operating income excluding certain corporate costs not allocated to the segments. The Company accounts for intersegment sales as if the sales were to third parties, that is, at current market prices.

In accordance with SFAS No. 131, the Company has identified the following reportable segments: Electric Operations, Natural Gas Distribution, Interstate Pipelines, Wholesale Energy and Marketing (Wholesale Energy), International and Corporate. Electric Operations provides electric generation, transmission, distribution and sales to

### $\begin{array}{c} \text{HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED} \\ \text{d/b/a Reliant energy, incorporated and subsidiaries} \end{array}$

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

customers. Natural Gas Distribution operations consist of natural gas sales to, and natural gas transportation for, residential, commercial and industrial customers. Interstate Pipelines conducts interstate natural gas pipeline operations. Wholesale Energy is engaged in the acquisition, development and operation of non-rate regulated power generation facilities as well as the wholesale energy trading and marketing and natural gas gathering businesses. International participates in the development and acquisition of foreign independent power projects and the privatization of foreign generation and distribution facilities. Corporate includes the Company's unregulated retail electric services business, certain real estate holdings of the Company and corporate costs.

Financial data for business segments, products and services and geographic areas are as follows:

	ELECTRIC OPERATIONS	NATURAL GAS DISTRIBUTION	INTERSTATE PIPELINES	WHOLESALE ENERGY	INTER- NATIONAL	CORPORATE AND OTHER	RECONCILING ELIMINATIONS	CONSOLIDATED
					of Dollars)			
As of and for the Year Ended December 31, 1998:								
Revenues from external customers	650,264	\$1,811,509 1,167 129,777 137,955	\$126,988 155,508 44,025 128,328	\$4,289,006 167,152 18,204 59,170	\$258,945 3,820 181,707	\$651,741 97,181 10,527 (43,751)	\$(421,008)	\$11,488,464 856,617 1,477,388
Total assets Equity investments in and advances to unconsolidated	10,404,447	3,110,718	2,050,636	1,535,007	1,242,689	1,710,920	(915,895)	19,138,522
subsidiaries				42,252	1,009,348			1,051,600
Expenditures for additions to long-lived assets	433,474	161,735	59,358	365,512	435,077	28,077		1,483,233
As of and for the Year Ended December 31, 1997:								
Revenues from external customers		892,064	49,655	1,288,357	92,028	304,878	(170, 227)	6,878,225
Intersegment revenues  Depreciation and amortization  Operating income	568,541	505 51,883 54,502	58,678 19,088 31,978	76,301 2,633 912	3,470 19,510	34,853 6,260 (37,340)	(170,337)	651,875 1,064,500
Total assets Equity investments in and	10,540,849	3,073,525	2,031,879	777,638	869,485	1,749,916	(597,686)	18,445,606
advances to unconsolidated subsidiaries				3,325	700,777			704,102
Expenditures for additions to long-lived assets	236,977	61,078	16,304	14,038	231,528	23,899		583,824
For the Year Ended December 31, 1996:								
Revenues from external customers Depreciation and amortization Operating income	545,685				62,059 1,648 2,339	8,191 2,705 (9,020)		4,095,277 550,038 990,466
Expenditures for additions to long-lived assets	317,532				495,379	19,989		832,900

## HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED d/b/a RELIANT ENERGY, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME TO NET INCOME (IN THOUSANDS):

	`	EAR END	DED DECEMBER 31,		
	1998		1997		1996
Operating income	\$ 1,477,388 981	\$	1,064,500 56,269	\$	990,466
Dividend income	41,250		41,340		41,610
Interest expense	(513,905)		(397,957)		(309,980)
Unrealized loss on ACES	(1, 176, 211)		(121, 402)		
Litigation settlements					(95,000)
Distribution on trust securities	(29,201)		(26,230)		
Preferred dividends of subsidiary			(2,255)		(22,563)
<pre>Income tax benefit (expense)</pre>	30,432		(206, 374)		(200, 165)
Other income (expense)	27, 784		13,057		576
Net income (loss) available for Common Stock	\$ (141, 482)	\$	420,948	\$	404,944
	==============	====	==========	====	

REVENUES BY PRODUCTS AND SERVICES (IN THOUSANDS):

	Y	EAR END	ED DECEMBER 31,			
	 1998		1997	1996		
Retail power sales	4,359,857 2,362,504 4,248,181 167,812	\$	4,253,893 1,153,968 1,271,400 66,265	\$	4,025,027	
Equity income from international investments  Energy products and services	258,945 91,165		92,028 40,671		62,059 8,191	
Total	\$ 11,488,464	\$	6,878,225	\$	4,095,277	

REVENUES AND LONG-LIVED ASSETS BY GEOGRAPHIC AREAS (IN THOUSANDS):

		YE	EAR ENI	DED DECEMBER 31,	
		1998		1997	 1996
Revenues: US International	\$	11,229,519 258,945	\$	6,786,197 92,028	\$ 4,033,218 62,059
Total	\$ ====	11,488,464	\$	6,878,225	\$ 4,095,277
Long-lived assets: US		14,865,161 1,195,849  16,061,010	\$  \$ ====	14,691,820 837,536 15,529,356	

### HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED d/b/a RELIANT ENERGY, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

#### (16) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

#### (a) Foreign Currency Devaluation.

In January 1999, the Brazilian real was devalued and allowed to float against other major currencies. The Company expects to take a charge against first quarter earnings as a result of the Brazilian devaluation. The charge will reflect the Company's proportionate share of the impact of the devaluation on foreign denominated debt of Brazilian corporations in which the Company holds an equity interest. The amount of the charge will not be known until the end of the first quarter.

At December 31, 1998, one U.S. dollar could be exchanged for 1.21 Brazilian reais. Using the exchange rate of 2.06 reais/dollar in effect at the end of February, and the average exchange rate in effect since the end of the year, the Company estimates that its share of the after-tax charge that would be recorded by the Brazilian companies in which it owns an interest would be approximately \$125 million.

#### (b) Trust Preferred Securities.

In February 1999, a Delaware statutory business trust (REI Trust I) established by the Company issued \$375 million of preferred securities to the public and \$11.6 million of common securities to the Company. The preferred securities have a distribution rate of 7.20% payable quarterly in arrears, a stated liquidation amount of \$25 per preferred security and must be redeemed by March 2048. REI Trust I used the proceeds from the sale of the preferred securities and common securities to purchase \$386.6 million aggregate principal amount of subordinated debentures (REI Debentures) from the Company having an interest rate corresponding to the distribution rate of the preferred securities and a maturity date corresponding to the mandatory redemption date of the preferred securities. Proceeds from the sale of the REI Debentures were used by the Company for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of short-term debt. REI Trust I is accounted for as a wholly owned consolidated subsidiary of the Company. The REI Debentures are the REI Trust I's sole asset and its entire operations. The Company has fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a subordinated basis, REI Trust I's obligations, including the payment of distributions and all other payments due with respect to the preferred securities. The preferred securities are mandatorily redeemable upon the repayment of the REI Debentures at their stated maturity or earlier redemption. Subject to certain limitations, the Company has the option of deferring payments of interest on the REI Debentures held by REI Trust I. If and for as long as interest payments on the REI Debentures have been deferred, or an event of default under the indenture relating thereto has occurred and is continuing, the Company may not pay dividends on its capital stock.

#### (c) Investment in Dutch Generating Company.

On March 10, 1999, the Company and N.V. Energieproduktiebedrijf UNA, a Dutch electric generating company (UNA), announced their intent to enter into a strategic partnership in the Netherlands. The transaction would involve the initial acquisition by a subsidiary of the Company of 40% of the capital stock of UNA for cash and a commitment to purchase the remaining 60% of the shares of UNA for cash by December 31, 2006.

UNA is based in Utrecht and owns 3,400 megawatts of electric generating capacity. The capacity is primarily fueled by natural gas.

The purchase price for the initial 40% interest will be approximately NLG 1.6 billion (approximately \$ U.S. 840 million at an exchange rate of NLG 1.88 per U.S. dollar). Of this amount, the shareholders of UNA will receive a cash payment of approximately NLG 675 million (approximately \$ U.S. 360 million) in exchange for shares representing 15% of the total capital stock of UNA. UNA will receive (i) a cash payment of approximately NLG 21 million (approximately \$ U.S. 11 million) and (ii) a five-year promissory note from a subsidiary of the Company in the principal amount of approximately NLG 875 million (approximately \$ U.S. 465 million) for 25% of the total capital stock of the Company, representing newly issued shares. The promissory note will bear interest at the one-year EURIBOR rate, determined annually, and will be payable, subject to certain conditions, on demand.

### $\begin{array}{c} \text{HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED} \\ \text{d/b/a RELIANT ENERGY, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES} \end{array}$

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Under the proposed terms of the acquisition, the shareholders of UNA would agree to sell (i) an additional 12% of the total capital stock to the Company no later than December 31, 2002 and (ii) the remaining 48% of the capital stock of UNA no later than December 31, 2006. The purchase price for the remaining 60% of the capital stock of UNA is approximately NLG 2.7 billion (approximately \$U.S. 1.4 billion). The purchase obligations under the definitive agreements are in Dutch guilders.

The Company expects to record its initial investment in UNA under the equity method of accounting. The acquisition of the initial 40% of the capital stock of UNA is subject to various approvals. It is anticipated that the closing for this 40% interest will occur in June 1999.

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Houston Industries Incorporated d/b/a Reliant Energy, Incorporated:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the consolidated statements of capitalization of Houston Industries Incorporated d/b/a Reliant Energy, Incorporated and its subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 1998 and 1997, and the related statements of consolidated income, consolidated retained earnings and comprehensive income, and consolidated cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1998. Our audits also included the Company's financial statement schedule listed in Item 14(a)(3). These financial statements and the financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and the financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Reliant Energy, Incorporated and its subsidiaries at December 31, 1998 and 1997, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1998 in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas February 25, 1999 ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S NARRATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OF RELIANT ENERGY RESOURCES CORP. AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSTIDIARIES.

The following narrative and analysis should be read in combination with the consolidated financial statements and notes (Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements) of Reliant Energy Resources Corp. (formerly NorAm Energy Corp.) (Resources) contained in Item 8 of the Form 10-K of Resources.

#### RELIANT ENERGY RESOURCES CORP.

On August 6, 1997 (Acquisition Date), the former parent corporation (Former Parent) of Houston Industries Incorporated d/b/a Reliant Energy, Incorporated (Reliant Energy) merged with and into Reliant Energy, and NorAm Energy Corp. (Former Resources) merged with and into Resources. Effective upon the mergers (collectively, the Merger), each outstanding share of common stock of Former Parent was converted into one share of common stock (including associated preference stock purchase rights) of Reliant Energy, and each outstanding share of common stock of Former Resources was converted into the right to receive \$16.3051 cash or 0.74963 shares of common stock of Reliant Energy. The aggregate consideration paid to Former Resources stockholders in connection with the Merger consisted of \$1.4 billion in cash and 47.8 million shares of Reliant Energy's common stock valued at approximately \$1.0 billion. The overall transaction was valued at \$4.0 billion consisting of \$2.4 billion for Former Resources' common stock and common stock equivalents and \$1.6 billion of Former Resources debt (\$1.3 billion of which was long-term debt.)

The Merger was recorded under the purchase method of accounting with assets and liabilities of Resources reflected at their estimated fair values as of the Acquisition Date, resulting in a "new basis" of accounting. In Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements, periods which reflect the new basis of accounting are labeled as "Current Resources" and periods which do not reflect the new basis of accounting are labeled as "Former Resources." Former Resources' Statement of Consolidated Income for the seven months ended July 31, 1997 included certain adjustments from August 1, 1997 to the Acquisition Date for pre-merger transactions.

Effective January 1, 1998, Resources adopted SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" (SFAS No. 131). Because Resources is a wholly owned subsidiary of Reliant Energy, Resources' determination of reportable segments considers the strategic operating units under which Reliant Energy manages sales of various products and services to wholesale or retail customers in differing regulatory environments. In accordance with SFAS No. 131, Reliant Energy has identified the following reportable segments: Electric Operations, Natural Gas Distribution, Interstate Pipelines, Wholesale Energy Marketing and Generation (Wholesale Energy), International and Corporate. Of these segments, the following operations are conducted by Resources: Natural Gas Distribution, Interstate Pipelines, Wholesale Energy (which includes the energy trading and marketing operations and natural gas gathering operations of the Wholesale Energy segment but excludes the operations of Reliant Energy Power Generation, Inc.) and Corporate (excluding the impact of ACES).

Resources meets the conditions specified in General Instruction I to Form 10-K and is thereby permitted to use the reduced disclosure format for wholly owned subsidiaries of reporting companies specified therein. Accordingly, Resources has omitted from this Combined Annual Report the information called for by Item 4 (submission of matters to a vote of security holders), Item 10 (directors and executive officers), Item 11 (executive compensation), Item 12 (security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management) and Item 13 (certain relationships and related transactions) of Form 10-K. In lieu of the information called for by Item 6 (selected financial data) and Item 7 (management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations) of Form 10-K, Resources has included the following Management's Narrative Analysis of the Results of Operations to explain material changes in the amount of revenue and expense items of Resources between 1998 and 1997. Reference is hereby made to Item 1 (business), Item 2 (properties), Item 3 (legal proceedings), Item 5 (market for common equity and related stockholder matters), Item 7A (quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk) and Item 9 (changes in and disagreements with accountants on accounting and financial disclosure) of this Combined Annual Report for additional information regarding Resources required by the reduced disclosure format of General Instruction I to Form 10-K.

#### CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Seasonality and Other Factors. Resources' results of operations are affected by seasonal fluctuations in the demand for and, to a lesser extent, the price of natural gas. Resources' results of operations are also affected by,

among other things, the actions of various federal and state governmental authorities having jurisdiction over rates charged by Resources and its subsidiaries, competition in Resources' various business operations, debt service costs and income tax expense. For a discussion of certain other factors that may affect Resources' future earnings see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries --- Competition -- Other Operations"; "-- Impact of the Year 2000 Issue and Other System Implementation Issues" and "-- Environmental Expenditures -- Mercury Contamination" in Item 7 of Reliant Energy's Form 10-K.

Accounting Impact of the Merger. The Merger created a new basis of accounting for Resources, resulting in new carrying values for certain of Resources' assets, liabilities and equity commencing upon the Acquisition Date. Resources' financial statements for periods subsequent to the Acquisition Date are not comparable to prior periods because of the following purchase accounting adjustments:

- The impact of the amortization of newly-recognized goodwill (\$39.4 million);
- The amortization (to interest expense) of the revaluation of long-term debt (\$9.8 million);
- The removal of the amortization (to operating expense) previously associated with the pension and postretirement obligations (\$2.1 million); and
- 4. The deferred income tax expense associated with these adjustments (\$4.9 million).

Interest expense and related debt incurred by Reliant Energy to fund the cash portion of the purchase consideration has not been pushed down to Resources and its subsidiaries.

Because results of operations and other financial information for periods before and after the Acquisition Date are not comparable, Resources is presenting certain financial data on: (i) an actual basis for Resources for 1998 and 1997 and (ii) a pro forma basis for 1997 as if the Merger had taken place at the beginning of the period. These results do not necessarily reflect the results which would have been obtained if the Merger had actually occurred on the dates indicated or the results that may be expected in the future.

The following table sets forth selected financial and operating data on an actual and pro forma basis for the years ended December 31, 1998 and 1997, followed by a discussion of significant variances in period-to-period results:

#### SELECTED FINANCIAL RESULTS:

			A	CTUAL				AUDITED FORMA (1)	
	DEC	YEAR ENDED EMBER 31,		/E MONTHS ENDED EMBER 31,		EN MONTHS ENDED JLY 31,	EN	YEAR NDED EMBER 31,	ACTUAL TO PRO FORMA PERCENTAGE
		1998		1997		1997		1997	CHANGE
				(THO	USANDS	S OF DOLLARS	5)		
Operating Revenues		3,758,412 3,448,107 310,305 310,305 111,337		,526,182 ,434,282 ,91,900 1,144 ,90,756 ,47,490		3,313,591 3,141,295 172,296 17,256 155,040 78,660		5,839,773 5,597,716 242,057 242,057 112,996	16% 15% 28% 28% (1%)
Securities Other (Income) and Deductions Income Tax Expense Extraordinary (Gain), Less Taxes		632 (7,318) 111,830		279 (2,243) 24,383		6,317 (7,210) 31,398 (237)		1,479 (9,453) 71,093	(57%) (23%) 57%
Net Income	\$ ===	93,824	\$	20,847	\$	46,112	\$	65,942 ======	42%

- (1) Pro forma results reflect purchase accounting adjustments as if the Merger had occurred on January 1, 1997.
- (2) For expenses associated with the completion of the business combination with Reliant Energy, see Note 1(o) to Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements.

1998 Compared to 1997 (Actual). Resources' consolidated net income for 1998 was \$94 million compared to consolidated net income of \$67 million in 1997. The increase in net income for 1998 as compared to 1997 was due to increased operating income from several business segments as discussed below, partially offset by a decrease in operating income from Resources' Natural Gas Distribution segment due to the effects of warm weather. Also contributing to the increase in net income was a reduction in interest expense due to the refinancing of debt and reduced interest expense due to debt fair value devaluation at the time of the Merger.

Resources operating revenues for 1998 were \$6.8 billion as compared to \$5.8 billion in 1997. The \$900 million, or 16% increase was primarily attributable to a \$1.4 billion increase in wholesale trading revenue. Wholesale trading revenue increased due to increased power and natural gas trading volumes. The increase in trading revenues was offset by reduced revenues at Resources' Natural Gas Distribution unit of approximately \$400 million, principally due to warmer weather.

Resources operating expenses for 1998 were \$6.4 billion compared to \$5.6 billion in 1997. The \$800 million, or 16% increase was primarily due to increased natural gas and purchased power expenses associated with increased wholesale trading activities. The increase in operating expenses was offset by decreased natural gas purchases at Resources' Natural Gas Distribution unit because of lower volumes resulting from the warmer weather.

Operating income increased in 1998 by \$65 million over 1997 due to improved operating results at Interstate Pipelines, Corporate retail operations and Wholesale Energy, partially offset by the unfavorable effects of warm weather on the operations of Natural Gas Distribution. Operating income for 1997 included approximately \$18 million of merger-related costs that did not recur in 1998. Improved results at Interstate Pipelines were due to continued cost control initiatives and reduced benefits expenses, as well as the effects of a rate case settlement and a dispute settlement which contributed to the increase in operating income. In addition, margins at Wholesale Energy improved over margins in 1997; however, this effect was partially offset by increased staffing expenses to support increased sales and marketing efforts and an increase in credit reserves. Improved results at Wholesale Energy were also due to the fact that operating income in 1997 for Wholesale Energy was negatively impacted by hedging losses associated with sales under peaking contracts and losses from the sale of natural gas held in storage and unhedged in the first quarter of 1997 totaling \$17 million.

1998 (Actual) Compared to 1997 (Pro Forma). Resources' consolidated net income for 1998 was \$94 million compared to pro forma net income of \$66 million in 1997. The increase in earnings for 1998 as compared to pro forma 1997 was due to increased operating income from several business segments, as discussed below, offset by the effects of unfavorable weather at Resources' Natural Gas Distribution unit. Also contributing to the increase in earnings is a reduction in interest expense due to the refinancing of debt.

Resources operating revenues for 1998 were \$6.8 billion compared to pro forma operating revenues of \$5.8 billion in 1997. The \$919 million, or 16% increase was primarily attributable to an \$1.4 billion increase in wholesale trading revenue. Wholesale trading revenue increased due to increased electric and natural gas trading volumes. The increase in trading revenues was offset by reduced revenues at Resources' Natural Gas Distribution unit of approximately \$400 million, principally due to warmer weather.

Resources operating expenses for 1998 were \$6.4 billion compared to pro forma operating expense of \$5.6 billion in 1997. The \$800 million, or 16% increase was primarily due to increased natural gas and purchased power expenses associated with increased wholesale trading activities. The increase in operating expense was offset by decreased natural gas purchases at Resources' Natural Gas Distribution unit because of lower volumes resulting from warmer weather.

Operating income increased in 1998 by \$68 million over pro forma 1997 due to improved operating results at Interstate Pipelines, Corporate retail operations and Wholesale Energy, partially offset by the unfavorable effects of Warm weather on the operations of Natural Gas Distribution. Improved results at Interstate Pipelines are due to continued cost control initiatives and reduced benefits expenses as well as the effects of a rate case settlement and a dispute settlement. In addition, margins at Wholesale Energy improved over margins in 1997, however, this effect was partially offset by increased staffing expenses to support increased sales and marketing efforts and an increase in credit reserves at Wholesale Energy also contributed to the increase in operating income. Operating income in 1997 for Wholesale Energy was negatively impacted by hedging losses associated with sales under peaking contracts and losses from the sale of natural gas held in storage and unhedged in the first quarter of 1997 totaling \$17 million.

Resources estimates that its total direct cost of resolving the Year 2000 issues will be between \$5 and \$6 million. This estimate includes approximately \$3.4 million spent through year-end 1998. For additional information regarding Year 2000 issues, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings of the Company and its Subsidiaries -- Impact of the Year 2000 Issue and Other System Implementation Issues" in Item 7 of the Form 10-K of Reliant Energy, which has been jointly filed with the Resources Form 10-K.

#### NEW ACCOUNTING ISSUES

Reference is made to "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company -- New Accounting Issues" in Item 7 of the Form 10-K of Reliant Energy, which has been jointly filed with the Resources Form 10-K, for discussion of certain new accounting issues.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA OF RESOURCES.

# STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

	CURRENT	RESOURCES	FORMER RESOURCES				
	TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998	FIVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1997	SEVEN MONTH ENDED JULY 31, 1997	TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1996			
REVENUES	\$ 6,758,412	\$ 2,526,182	\$ 3,313,591	\$ 4,788,462			
EXPENSES  Natural gas and purchased power, net  Operation and maintenance  Depreciation and amortization  Taxes other than income taxes  Merger transaction costs  Early retirement and severance	5,552,972 590,986 191,891 112,258	2,050,660 255,149 78,087 50,386 1,144	2,603,852 379,400 84,901 73,142 17,256	3,571,411 621,279 142,362 116,600 22,344			
	6,448,107	2,435,426	3,158,551	4,473,996			
Operating Income	310,305	90,756	155,040	314,466			
OTHER INCOME Interest expense, net Dividend requirement on preferred securities of subsidiary trust Loss on sale of accounts receivable Other, net	(111,337) (632) 7,318	(47,490) (279) 2,243	(78,660) (6,317) 7,210	(132,557) (5,842) (11,499) (3,078)			
	(104,651)	(45,526)	(77,767)	(152,976)			
Income Before Income Taxes	205,654 111,830	45,230 24,383	77,273 31,398	161,490 66,352			
Income Before Extraordinary Item Extraordinary gain (loss) on early retirement of debt, less taxes	93,824	20,847	45,875 237	95,138 (4,280)			
Net Income Preferred dividend requirement	93,824	20,847	46,112	90,858 3,597			
Earnings Available to Common Stock	\$ 93,824 ========	\$ 20,847 ========	\$ 46,112 =======	\$ 87,261 ========			

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

	COMMON ST	OCK (1)	PREFERRED STOCK(2)	
	SHARES	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	PAID IN CAPITAL
FORMER RESOURCES: Stockholders' Equity at December 31, 1995	124,803,693	\$ 78,002	\$ 130,000	\$ 880,885
Net Income	124,003,093	φ 76,002	\$ 130,000	Φ 000,003
share				
Debentures			(130,000)	
Direct Stock Purchase Plan Public Issuance of Common Stock Other Issuances	937,193 11,500,000 667,287	586 7,188 417		9,668 101,775 8,725
Comprehensive Income				
Stockholders' Equity at December 31,	137,908,173	86,193		1,001,053
Net Income				
Holding Solely Subordinated Debentures of Resources to Common Stock	11,428,262 347,527	7,143 216		131,425 5,796
Balance at July 31, 1997	149,683,962	93,552		1,138,274
CURRENT RESOURCES (POST MERGER): Adjustments due to Merger: Eliminate Former Resources				
Balances Capital contribution from Parent Net Income Change in Market Value of Marketable Equity Securities, net tax of \$3,193	(149,683,962) 1,000	(93,552) 1		(1,138,274) 2,463,831
Comprehensive Income	4.000			0.400.004
Balance at December 31, 1997  Net Income	1,000	1		2,463,831
Comprehensive Income				
Balance at December 31, 1998	1,000	\$ 1 =======	\$	\$ 2,463,831
	RETAINED EARNINGS (DEFICIT)	ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPRE- HENSIVE INCOME	TOTAL STOCK- HOLDER'S EQUITY	TOTAL COMPRE- HENSIVE INCOME
FORMER RESOURCES: Stockholders' Equity at December 31, 1995	\$ (336,940)	\$ 15,316	\$ 767,263	

Net Income	90,858		90,858	\$ 90,858
Preferred stock - \$1.50 per share Common stock - \$0.28 per share Change in Market Value of	(3,900) (36,721)		(3,900) (36,721)	
Marketable Equity Securities, net tax of 8,730 Conversion to Subordinated		(15,311)	(15,311)	(15,311)
Debentures			(130,000)	
Direct Stock Purchase Plan Public Issuance of Common Stock Other Issuances			10,254 108,963 9,142	
Comprehensive Income				 75,547
Stockholders' Equity at December 31,	(286,703)	5	800,548	
				10 110
Net Income	46,112		46,112	46,112
Common stock - \$0.14 per share Change in Market Value of Marketable Equity Securities,	(19,281)		(19,281)	
net tax of (3,329)		5,874	5,874	5,874
Common Stock			138,568 6,012	
			0,011	 51,986
Comprehensive Income	(000,000)			 
Balance at July 31, 1997	(259,872) 	5,879 	977,833	
CURRENT RESOURCES (POST MERGER): Adjustments due to Merger: Eliminate Former Resources				
Balances Capital contribution from Parent	259,872	(5,879)	(977,833) 2,463,832	
Net Income Change in Market Value of	20,847		20,847	20,847
Marketable Equity Securities, net tax of 3,193		(5,634)	(5,634)	(5,634)
Comprehensive Income				 15,213
Balance at December 31, 1997	20,847	(5,634)	2,479,045	 
Net Income Change in Market Value of	93,824		93,824	93,824
Marketable Equity Securities, net tax of 5,877		(10,370)	(10,370)	(10,370)
Comprehensive Income				\$ 83,454
Balance at December 31, 1998	\$ 114,671	\$ (16,004)	\$ 2,562,499	 
,	========	========	========	

<sup>(1) \$.625</sup> par, authorized 250,000,000 shares. On the Acquisition Date, Resources' pre-merger common stock was canceled and replaced with 1,000 shares of common stock (all of which are owned by Reliant Energy); see Note 1(b).

<sup>(2) \$3.00</sup> Convertible exchangeable preferred stock, Series A (\$50 liquidation preference), cumulative, non-voting; authorized 10,000,000 shares, issued and outstanding 2,600,000 shares. On the Acquisition Date, Resources' pre-merger preferred stock was canceled.

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

#### ASSETS

	DECEMBER 31, 1998	DECEMBER 31, 1997
OURDENT AGGETO.		
CURRENT ASSETS:	\$ 26,576	\$ 35,682
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 26,576 682,552	\$ 35,682 763,502
Unbilled revenue	145,131	205,746
Accounts and notes receivable - affiliated companies	193,177	10,161
Gas in underground storage	79,855	63,702
Materials and supplies	33,947	29,611
Gas purchased in advance of delivery	•	,
Fuel stock and petroleum products	6,200	6,200 345
	81,230 265,203	343
Price risk management assets Other current assets	,	EE 002
Other current assets	33,034	55,092
Total current assets	1,546,905	1,170,041
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT:		
Natural gas	1,686,159	1,488,961
Interstate pipelines	1,302,829	1,258,087
Other	13,976	14,972
Total	3,002,964	2,762,020
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	187,936	59,531
2000 aboundanced approblacion and amoreizacion in initial		
Property, plant and equipment net	2,815,028	2,702,489
	_,,	
OTHER ASSETS:		
Goodwill, net	2,050,386	2,026,395
Prepaid pension asset	102,021	92,064
Investment in marketable equity securities	10,800	27,046
Regulatory asset for environmental costs	20, 695	21,745
Gas purchased in advance of delivery	22,207	29,048
Deferred debits, net	87,479	93,010
Total other assets	2,293,588	2,289,308
TOTAL ASSETS	\$6,655,521	\$6,161,838
	=======	=======

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS) -- (CONTINUED)

#### LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

	DECEMBER 31, 1998	DECEMBER 31, 1997
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 203,438	\$ 232,145
Notes payable to banks		390,000
Notes payable to parent	000 000	22,100
Receivables facility	300,000	300,000
Accounts payable, principally trade	622,262 13,308	668,269
Interest payable	36,197	27,273
Other taxes	42,107	41,315
Customer deposits	36,985	36,626
Price risk management liabilities	227,652	00,020
Other current liabilities	172,616	164,329
Total current liabilities	1,654,565	1,882,057
DEFERRED CREDITS AND OTHER LIABILITIES:		
Accumulated deferred income taxes	497,762	474,730
Estimated environmental remediation costs	20,695	21,745
Payable under capacity lease agreement	41,000	41,000
Benefit obligations  Estimated obligations under indemnification provisions of sale	158,762	182,687
agreements	5,781	11,391
Refundable excess deferred income taxes	12,246	13,569
Other	187,765	117,621
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	924,011	862,743
RESOURCES-OBLIGATED MANDATORILY REDEEMABLE CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED		
SECURITIES OF SUBSIDIARY TRUST HOLDING SOLELY JUNIOR SUBORDINATED		
DEBENTURES OF RESOURCES, NET	1,157	21,290
LONG-TERM DEBT, LESS CURRENT MATURITIES	1,513,289	916,703
LONG-TERM DEDT, LEGG CORRENT PATORITIES	1,313,209	910,703
STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY:		
Common stock	1	1
Paid-in capital	2,463,831	2,463,831
Retained earnings	114,671	20,847
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(16,004)	(5,634)
Total stockholder's equity	2,562,499	2,479,045
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (NOTE 8)		
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY	\$ 6,655,521	\$ 6,161,838
IOUNE ETUBLETITES WAN SIGNUUDENEY S EÁNTII	========	\$ 0,101,838 ========

# STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

	CURRENT RE	SOURCES	FORMER RESOURCES				
	TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998	FIVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1997	SEVEN MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 1997	TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1996			
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:							
Net income	\$ 93,824	\$ 20,847	\$ 46,112	\$ 90,858			
Depreciation and amortization	191,891	78,087	84,901	142,362			
Deferred income taxes	31,810	36,770	14,589	28,809			
Early retirement and severance,	5-75-5	52,	,	,			
less cash cost			(007)	12,941			
Extraordinary (gain) loss, less taxes Utilization of tax loss			(237)	4,280			
carryforwards				(2,405)			
Changes in other assets and							
liabilities, net of the effects							
of the acquisition:							
Accounts and notes receivable-net	(41, 451)	(361, 285)	313,586	(353,703)			
Inventories	(102, 125)	(2,250)	9,980	(14,895)			
Deferred gas costs	(9,297)	8,655	(7,715)	12,788			
Other current assets	18,719	(1,298)	(1,128)	10,935			
Accounts payable	(67,024)	148,071	(224,590)	266,446			
Interest and taxes accrued	13,454	(13,402)	(19,996)	4,712			
Other current liabilities Net price risk management	9,416	42,284	(22,633)	10,483			
activities	(29,857)						
Recoveries under gas contract	(23,031)						
disputes	6,841	2,600	5,500	10,900			
Other-net	(10,942)	10,677	903	3,642			
other net intrinsical and the second	(10/042)						
Net cash provided by (used in)							
operating activities	105,259	(30,244)	199,272	228,153			
			,	,			
Cash Flows from Investing Activities: Purchase of Former Resources, net of							
cash acquired		(1,422,672)					
Capital expenditures	(253,972)	(93,414)	(88,638)	(172,200)			
Other, net	8,068	(1,079)	(6,424)	(4,957)			
Net cash used in investing							
activities	\$ (245,904)	\$(1,517,165)	\$ (95,062)	\$ (177,157)			
αστιντιτες	\$ (245,904) ========	\$(1,517,165) ========	\$ (95,062) ========	\$ (177,157) ========			
				ed on next page)			

STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS
(THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)
(CONTINUED)

	CURRENT RE	SOURCES	FORMER RE	SOURCES
	TWELVE MONTHS	FIVE MONTHS	SEVEN MONTHS	TWELVE MONTHS
	ENDED	ENDED	ENDED	ENDED
	DECEMBER 31, 1998	DECEMBER 31, 1997	JULY 31, 1997	DECEMBER 31, 1996
Cash Flows from Financing Activities: Cash portion of capital contribution from Reliant Energy	\$ (226,604)	\$ 1,426,067 (165,808)	\$ (230,667) 150,000	\$ (396,733) 108,963
preferred securities by subsidiary trust	(424,299)	317,500	(42,500)	167,756
	812,849	24,000	41,000	105,000
Common and preferred stock dividends Redemption of convertible securities Increase (decrease) in overdrafts	(10,450)	(9,504)	(19,281)	(40,621)
	(19,957)	(9,164)	(27,348)	9,055
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	131,539	1,583,091	(128, 796)	(36, 326)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents  Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of the Period	(9,106) 35,682	35,682	(24,586) 27,981	14,670 13,311
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of the Period	\$ 26,576	\$ 35,682	\$ 3,395	\$ 27,981
	======	======	=======	=======
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: Cash Payments: Interest (net of amounts capitalized) Income taxes, net	\$ 105,393	\$ 55,951	\$ 67,100	\$ 140,751
	46,522	714	20,900	29,657

The aggregate consideration paid to stockholders of Former Resources in connection with the Merger consisted of \$1.4 billion in cash and 47.8 million shares of Reliant Energy common stock valued at approximately \$1.0 billion. The overall transaction was valued at \$4.0 billion consisting of \$2.4 billion for Former Resources' common stock and common stock equivalents and \$1.6 billion of Former Resources debt. A significant portion (\$139 million) of the securities of a subsidiary trust was converted to Common Stock of Resources in non-cash transactions prior to the Merger; see Note 5.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998

- (1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
- (a) Nature of Operations.

Reliant Energy Resources Corp. (formerly NorAm Energy Corp.) (Resources), a Delaware corporation, is a wholly owned subsidiary of Houston Industries Incorporated d/b/a Reliant Energy, Incorporated (Reliant Energy). Resources is principally engaged in the natural gas industry, including gathering, transmission, marketing, storage and distribution. Collectively, these operations accounted for greater than 95% of Resources' total revenues, income or loss and identifiable assets during 1998.

Resources' natural gas distribution operations (Natural Gas Distribution) are conducted by three of its unincorporated divisions: Reliant Energy Entex, Reliant Energy Minnegasco and Reliant Energy Arkla. Resources' interstate pipeline operations (Interstate Pipelines) are conducted by its wholly owned subsidiaries, Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Company (REGT) and Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (MRT). Resources' wholesale energy marketing activities are conducted primarily by Reliant Energy Services, Inc. (Reliant Energy Services) and its gathering activities are conducted by Reliant Energy Field Services). Resources' retail marketing activities are conducted by Reliant Energy Retail, Inc. (Reliant Energy Retail). Resources' principal operations are located in Arkansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Oklahoma and Texas.

In February 1999, NorAm Energy Corp. changed its name to Reliant Energy Resources Corp. and Houston Industries Incorporated began doing business as Reliant Energy, Incorporated.

For information regarding Resources' reportable segments, see Note 9.

(b) Merger with Reliant Energy, Incorporated.

On August 6, 1997 (Acquisition Date), the former parent corporation (Former HII) of Reliant Energy merged with and into Reliant Energy, and NorAm Energy Corp. (Former Resources) merged with and into Resources. Effective upon the mergers (collectively, the Merger), each outstanding share of common stock of Former HII was converted into one share of common stock (including associated preference stock purchase rights) of Reliant Energy, and each outstanding share of common stock of Former Resources was converted into the right to receive \$16.3051 cash or 0.74963 shares of common stock of Reliant Energy. The aggregate consideration paid to Former Resources stockholders in connection with the Merger consisted of \$1.4 billion in cash and 47.8 million shares of Reliant Energy's common stock valued at approximately \$1.0 billion. The overall transaction was valued at \$4.0 billion consisting of \$2.4 billion for Former Resources' common stock and common stock equivalents and \$1.6 billion of Former Resources' debt (\$1.3 billion of which was long-term debt).

The Merger was recorded under the purchase method of accounting with assets and liabilities of Resources reflected at their estimated fair values as of the Acquisition Date, resulting in a "new basis" of accounting. In Resources' consolidated financial statements (Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements), periods which reflect the new basis of accounting are labeled as "Current Resources" and periods which do not reflect the new basis of accounting are labeled "Former Resources". Former Resources' Statement of Consolidated Income for the seven months ended July 31, 1997 included certain adjustments from August 1, 1997 to the Acquisition Date for pre-merger transactions.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Resources' Consolidated Balance Sheets for periods after the Acquisition Date reflect adjustments associated with Reliant Energy's allocation of the purchase price, principally consisting of (i) the revaluation of certain property, plant and equipment and long-term debt to their estimated fair market value, (ii) the recognition of certain pension and postretirement benefit obligations previously being recognized through amortization, (iii) the recognition of goodwill as described above, (iv) the elimination of Resources' historical goodwill, (v) the elimination of Resources' historical goodwill, (v) the elimination of Resources' historical stockholders' equity balances and accumulated depreciation and amortization as of the Acquisition Date and (vi) the recognition of the associated deferred income tax effects. In addition, Resources' pre-merger common stock was canceled and replaced with 1,000 shares of common stock (all of which are owned by Reliant Energy), rendering presentation of per share data no longer meaningful. Reliant Energy's debt to fund the cash portion of the purchase consideration has not been allocated or "pushed down" to Resources and is not reflected on Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements.

Resources' Statements of Consolidated Income for periods after the Acquisition Date are principally affected by (i) the amortization (over 40 years) of the newly-recognized goodwill, partially offset by the elimination of the amortization of Resources' historical goodwill, (ii) the amortization (to interest expense) of the revaluation of long-term debt, (iii) the removal of the amortization (to operating expense) previously associated with the pension and postretirement obligations as described above and (iv) the deferred income tax expense associated with these adjustments. Interest expense on Reliant Energy's debt which was used to fund the cash portion of the acquisition has not been allocated or "pushed down" to Resources and is not reflected on Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements. For these reasons, among others, certain financial information for periods before and after the Acquisition Date is not comparable.

If the Merger had occurred on January 1, 1997 and 1996, Resources' unaudited pro forma net income for 1997 and 1996 would have been \$66 million and \$83 million, respectively. Pro forma results, which are based on assumptions deemed appropriate by Resources' management, have been prepared for informational purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of the results which would have resulted had the Merger actually taken place on the date indicated.

#### (c) Regulatory Assets and Regulation.

In general, Resources' Interstate Pipelines operations are subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, while its Natural Gas Distribution operations are subject to regulation at the state or municipal level. Historically, all of Resources' rate-regulated businesses have followed the accounting guidance contained in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation" (SFAS No. 71). Resources discontinued application of SFAS No. 71 to REGT in 1992. As a result of the continued application of SFAS No. 71 to MRT and the Natural Gas Distribution operations, Resources' financial statements contain assets and liabilities which would not be recognized by unregulated entities.

At December 31, 1998 Resources' Consolidated Balance Sheet included approximately \$12 million in regulatory assets recorded as deferred debits. These assets represent probable future revenue to Resources associated with certain incurred costs as these costs are recovered through the rate making process. These costs are being recovered through rates over varying periods up to 40 years.

#### (d) Principles of Consolidation.

Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Resources and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

#### (e) Property, Plant and Equipment.

Property, plant and equipment has been revalued to estimated fair market value as of the Acquisition Date in accordance with the purchase method of accounting, and depreciated or amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives (for additional information regarding the accounting of the Merger, see Note 1(b) above). Prior to the Acquisition Date, such assets were carried at cost. Additions to and betterments of utility property are charged to property accounts at cost, while the costs of maintenance, repairs and minor replacements are charged to expense as incurred. Upon normal retirement of units of utility property, plant and equipment, the cost of such property, together with cost of removal less salvage, is charged to accumulated depreciation.

#### (f) Depreciation and Amortization Expense.

Goodwill, none of which is being recovered in regulated service rates, is amortized on a straight-line basis over 40 years. Approximately \$53.6 million of goodwill was amortized during 1998. Approximately \$29.9 million of goodwill was amortized during 1997 of which \$21.6 million represents amortization related to the Merger and was incurred during the period from the Acquisition Date through December 31, 1997. Goodwill amortization for 1996 was approximately \$14.2 million. Resources regularly compares the carrying value of its goodwill to the anticipated undiscounted future operating income from the businesses whose acquisition gave rise to the goodwill and no impairment is indicated or expected. For additional information regarding the amortization of goodwill in connection with the Merger, see Note 1(b) above.

#### (g) Gas in Underground Storage.

Inventories principally follow the average cost method. Gas inventory (at average cost) was \$79.9 million and \$63.7 million at December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively. All non-utility inventories held for resale are valued at the lower of cost or market.

#### (h) Fuel Stock and Petroleum Products.

Fuel stock and petroleum products, principally heating oil, are used in trading operations and are marked-to-market in connection with the price risk management activities discussed in Note 2.

#### (i) Revenues.

Resources' rate-regulated divisions/subsidiaries bill customers on a monthly cycle billing basis. Revenues are recorded on an accrual basis, including an estimate for gas delivered but unbilled at the end of each accounting period.

#### (j) Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows.

For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash equivalents are considered to be short-term, highly liquid investments readily convertible into cash.

#### (k) Derivative Financial Instruments (Risk Management).

For information regarding Resources' accounting for derivative financial instruments associated with natural gas, electric power and transportation risk management activities, see Note 2.

For information regarding a change in accounting principle for trading activities see Note 1 (r).

#### (1) Income Taxes.

Reliant Energy files a consolidated federal income tax return in which Resources and its subsidiaries are included (as of the Acquisition Date). Reliant Energy follows a policy of comprehensive interperiod income tax allocation. For additional information regarding income taxes, see Note 7.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

#### (m) Investments in Marketable Equity Securities.

A subsidiary of Resources holds certain equity securities classified as "available-for-sale" and reports such investments at estimated fair value with any unrealized gain or loss, net of tax, as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income. At December 31, 1998 and 1997, Resources' unrealized loss relating to these marketable equity securities was approximately \$16 million and \$5.6 million, respectively, net of tax.

#### (n) Early Retirement and Severance.

Prior to the Merger, during the first quarter of 1996, Resources instituted several early retirement and reorganization plans, pursuant to which a total of approximately 400 positions were eliminated resulting in expense for severance payments and enhanced retirement benefits reported as a non-recurring pre-tax charge of approximately \$22.3 million (approximately \$13.4 million after tax).

#### (o) Merger Transaction Costs.

"Merger transaction costs" include expenses associated with completion of the business combination with Reliant Energy (see Note  $\mathbf{1}(b)$ ), principally consisting of investment banking and legal fees.

#### (p) Allowance for Doubtful Accounts.

Accounts and notes receivable, principally customer, as presented on Resources' Consolidated Balance Sheets are net of an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$14.4 million and \$15.3 million at December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively.

#### (q) Related Party Transactions.

Reliant Energy has established a "money fund" through which Resources can borrow or invest on a short-term basis. Investments of Resources, reflected within accounts and notes receivable-affiliated companies, totaled \$181 million at December 31, 1998. Borrowings of Resources, reflected within notes payable to parent, totaled \$22 million at December 31, 1997. Interest expense on such borrowings was \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 1998. Interest income on such investments was \$5.1 million for the year ended December 31, 1998.

Certain subsidiaries of Resources have entered into office rental agreements with Reliant Energy. In 1998, subsidiaries of Resources paid \$0.9 million of rent expense to Reliant Energy.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

#### (r) Change in Accounting Principle

In the fourth quarter of 1998, Resources adopted mark-to-market accounting for all of the energy price risk management and trading activities of Reliant Energy Services. Under mark-to-market accounting, Resources records the fair value of energy-related derivative financial instruments, including physical forward contracts, swaps, options and exchange-traded futures contracts, at each balance sheet date. Such amounts are recorded in Resources' Consolidated Balance Sheet as price risk management assets, price risk management liabilities, deferred debits and deferred liabilities. The realized and unrealized gains (losses) are recorded as a component of operating revenues in Resources' Consolidated Statements of Income. Resources has applied mark-to-market accounting retroactively to January 1, 1998. This change was made in order to adopt a generally accepted accounting methodology that provided consistency between financial reporting and the methodology used in all reported periods by Resources in managing its trading activities. There was no material cumulative effect resulting from the accounting change.

Resources will adopt Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 98-10, "Accounting for Contracts Involved in Energy Trading and Risk Management Activities" in the first quarter of 1999 for Reliant Energy Services' trading activities. Resources does not expect the implementation of EITF Issue 98-10 to be material to its consolidated financial statements.

#### (s) Reclassifications and Use of Estimates.

Certain amounts from the previous years have been reclassified to conform to the 1998 presentation of financial statements. Such reclassifications do not affect earnings.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### (t) New Accounting Pronouncement.

In 2000, Resources expects to adopt Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" (SFAS No. 133), which establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts and for hedging activities. Resources is in the process of determining the effect of adoption of SFAS No. 133.

#### (2) DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

#### (a) Price Risk Management and Trading Activities.

Resources, through its subsidiary, Reliant Energy Services, offers energy price risk management services primarily in the natural gas, electric and crude oil and refined product industries. Reliant Energy Services provides these services by utilizing a variety of derivative financial instruments, including fixed and variable-priced physical forward contracts, fixed-price swap agreements, variable-price swap agreements, exchange-traded energy futures and option contracts, and swaps and options traded in the over-the-counter financial markets (Trading Derivatives). Fixed-price swap agreements require payments to, or receipts of payments from, counterparties based on the differential between a fixed and variable price for the commodity. Variable-price swap agreements require payments to, or receipts of payments from, counterparties based on the differential between industry pricing publications or exchange quotations.

Prior to 1998 Reliant Energy Services applied hedge accounting to certain physical commodity activities that qualified for hedge accounting. In 1998, Reliant Energy Services adopted mark-to-market accounting for all of its price risk management and trading activities. Accordingly, as of such date, such Trading Derivatives are recorded at fair value with realized and unrealized gains (losses) recorded as a component of operating revenues in Resources' Consolidated Statements of Income. The recognized, unrealized balance is recorded as price risk management assets/liabilities and deferred debits/credits on Resources' Consolidated Balance Sheets (See Note 1(r)).

The notional quantities, maximum terms and the estimated fair value of Trading Derivatives at December 31, 1998 are presented below (volumes in billions of British thermal units equivalent (BBtue) and dollars in millions):

ED
MAXIMUM
TERM (YEARS)
9
3

Crude oil and products.....

205,499

204,223

3

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

	FAIR VALUE				AVERAGE FAIR VALUE (a)			
1998 	A\$	SSETS	LIAB	ILITIES	A:	SSETS	LIA	BILITIES
Natural gas Electricity Crude oil and products	\$	224 34 29	\$	213 33 23	\$	124 186 21	\$	108 186 17
	\$	287	\$	269	\$	331	\$	311

The notional quantities, maximum terms and the estimated fair value of derivative financial instruments at December 31, 1997 are presented below (volumes in BBtue and dollars in millions):

1997	VOLUME-FIXED PRICE PAYOR	VOLUME-FIXED PRICE RECEIVER	MAXIMUM TERM (YEARS)
Natural gasElectricity	85,701	64,890	4
	40,511	42,976	1

	FAIR VALUE			AVERAGE FAIR VALUE (A)				
1997	ASS	SETS	LIABIL	ITIES	ASS	ETS	LIAB]	LITIES
Natural gasElectricity	\$	46 6	\$	39 6	\$	56 3	\$	48 2
	\$	52	\$	45	\$	59	\$	50

(a) Computed using the ending balance of each month.

In addition to the fixed-price notional volumes above, Reliant Energy Services also has variable-priced agreements, as discussed above, totaling 1,702,977 and 101,465 BBtue as of December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively. Notional amounts reflect the volume of transactions but do not represent the amounts exchanged by the parties to the financial instruments. Accordingly, notional amounts do not accurately measure Resources' exposure to market or credit risks.

All of the fair values shown in the table above at December 31, 1998 and substantially all at December 31, 1997 have been recognized in income. The fair value as of December 31, 1998 and 1997 was estimated using quoted prices where available and considering the liquidity of the market for the Trading Derivatives. The prices are subject to significant changes based on changing market conditions.

At December 31, 1998, \$22 million of the fair value of the assets and \$41 million of the fair value of the liabilities are recorded as long-term in deferred debits and deferred credits, respectively, on Resources' Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The weighted-average term of the trading portfolio, based on volumes, is less than one year. The maximum and average terms disclosed herein are not indicative of likely future cash flows, as these positions may be changed by new transactions in the trading portfolio at any time in response to changing market conditions, market liquidity and Resources' risk management portfolio needs and strategies. Terms regarding cash settlements of these contracts vary with respect to the actual timing of cash receipts and payments.

In addition to the risk associated with price movements, credit risk is also inherent in Resources', and its subsidiaries' risk management activities. Credit risk relates to the risk of loss resulting from non-performance of contractual obligations by a counterparty. The following table shows the composition of the total price risk management assets of Reliant Energy Services as of December 31, 1998.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

		INVESTMENT GRADE (1)		TOTAL
		(THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)		
Energy marketers Financial institutions Gas and electric utilities. Oil and gas producers. Industrials Independent power producers. Others.	\$	102,458 61,572 46,880 7,197 1,807 1,452 45,421	\$	123,779 61,572 48,015 8,323 3,233 1,463 46,696
Total	\$	266,787		293,081
Credit and other reserves	===	=======		(6,464)
Energy price risk management assets(2)			\$	286,617

- (1) "Investment Grade" is primarily determined using publicly available credit ratings along with the consideration of credit support (e.g., parent company guarantees) and collateral, which encompass cash and standby letters of credit.
- (2) Resources has credit risk exposure with respect to two investment grade customers, each of which represents an amount greater than 5% but less than 10% of Price Risk Management Assets.
- (b) Non-Trading Activities.

To reduce the risk from market fluctuations in the price of electric power, natural gas and related transportation, Resources and certain of its subsidiaries enter into futures transactions, swaps and options (Energy Derivatives) in order to hedge certain natural gas in storage, as well as certain expected purchases, sales and transportation of natural gas and electric power (a portion of which are firm commitments at the inception of the hedge). Energy Derivatives are also utilized to fix the price of compressor fuel or other future operational gas requirements, although usage to date for this purpose has not been material. Resources applies hedge accounting with respect to its derivative financial instruments.

Certain subsidiaries of Resources also utilize interest rate derivatives (principally interest rate swaps) in order to adjust the portion of its overall borrowings which are subject to interest rate risk and also utilize such derivatives to effectively fix the interest rate on debt expected to be issued for refunding purposes.

For transactions involving either Energy Derivatives or interest rate derivatives, hedge accounting is applied only if the derivative (i) reduces the price risk of the underlying hedged item and (ii) is designated as a hedge at its inception. Additionally, the derivatives must be expected to result in financial impacts which are inversely correlated to those of the item(s) to be hedged. This correlation (a measure of hedge effectiveness) is measured both at the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, with an acceptable level of correlation of at least 80% for hedge designation. If and when correlation ceases to exist at an acceptable level, hedge accounting ceases and mark-to-market accounting is applied.

In the case of interest rate swaps associated with existing obligations, cash flows and expenses associated with the interest rate derivative transactions are matched with the cash flows and interest expense of the obligation being hedged, resulting in an adjustment to the effective interest rate. When interest rate swaps are utilized to effectively fix the interest rate for an anticipated debt issuance, changes in the market value of the interest rate derivatives are deferred and recognized as an adjustment to the effective interest rate on the newly issued debt.

Unrealized changes in the market value of Energy Derivatives utilized as hedges are not generally recognized in Resources' Consolidated Statements of Income until the underlying hedged transaction occurs. Once it becomes

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

probable that an anticipated transaction will not occur, deferred gains and losses are recognized. In general, the financial impact of transactions involving these Energy Derivatives is included in Resources' Statements of Consolidated Income under the captions (i) fuel expenses, in the case of natural gas transactions and (ii) purchased power, in the case of electric power transactions. Cash flows resulting from these transactions in Energy Derivatives are included in Resources' Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows in the same category as the item being hedged.

At December 31, 1998, subsidiaries of Resources were fixed-price payors and fixed-price receivers in Energy Derivatives covering 42,498 billion British thermal units (BBtu) and 3,930 BBtu of natural gas, respectively. At December 31, 1997, subsidiaries of Resources were fixed-price payors and fixed-price receivers in Energy Derivatives covering 38,754 BBtu and 7,647 BBtu of natural gas, respectively. Also, at December 31, 1998 and 1997, subsidiaries of Resources were parties to variable-priced Energy Derivatives totaling 21,437 BBtu and 3,630 BBtu of natural gas, respectively. The weighted average maturity of these instruments is less than one year.

The notional amount is intended to be indicative of Resources' and its subsidiaries' level of activity in such derivatives, although the amounts at risk are significantly smaller because, in view of the price movement correlation required for hedge accounting, changes in the market value of these derivatives generally are offset by changes in the value associated with the underlying physical transactions or in other derivatives. When Energy Derivatives are closed out in advance of the underlying commitment or anticipated transaction, however, the market value changes may not offset due to the fact that price movement correlation ceases to exist when the positions are closed, as further discussed below. Under such circumstances, gains (losses) are deferred and recognized as a component of income when the underlying hedged item is recognized in income.

The average maturity discussed above and the fair value discussed in Note 10 are not necessarily indicative of likely future cash flows as these positions may be changed by new transactions in the trading portfolio at any time in response to changing market conditions, market liquidity and Resources' risk management portfolio needs and strategies. Terms regarding cash settlements of these contracts vary with respect to the actual timing of cash receipts and payments.

#### (c) Trading and Non-trading -- General Policy.

In addition to the risk associated with price movements, credit risk is also inherent in Resources' and its subsidiaries' risk management activities. Credit risk relates to the risk of loss resulting from non-performance of contractual obligations by a counterparty. While as yet Resources and its subsidiaries have experienced only minor losses due to the credit risk associated with these arrangements, Resources has off-balance sheet risk to the extent that the counterparties to these transactions may fail to perform as required by the terms of each such contract. In order to minimize this risk, Resources and/or its subsidiaries, as the case may be, enter into such contracts primarily with those counterparties with a minimum Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating of BBB- or Baa3, respectively. For long-term arrangements, Resources and its subsidiaries periodically review the financial condition of such firms in addition to monitoring the effectiveness of these financial contracts in achieving Resources' objectives. Should the counterparties to these arrangements fail to perform, Resources would seek to compel performance at law or otherwise or obtain compensatory damages in lieu thereof. Resources might be forced to acquire alternative hedging arrangements or be required to honor the underlying commitment at then-current market prices. In such event, Resources might incur additional loss to the extent of amounts, if any, already paid to the counterparties. In view of its criteria for selecting counterparties, its process for monitoring the financial strength of these counterparties and its experience to date in successfully completing these transactions, Resources believes that the risk of incurring a significant financial statement loss due to the non-performance of counterparties to these transactions is minimal.

Resources' policies prohibit the use of leveraged financial instruments.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

- (3) CAPITAL STOCK
- (a) Earnings Per Share.

As a result of the Merger, Resources is no longer required to present earnings per share (EPS) data as its common shares (all of which are owned by Reliant Energy) are not publicly held. EPS data for 1998, 1997 and 1996 has not been included because Resources believes it is no longer meaningful.

(b) Direct Stock Purchase Plan and Dividend Reinvestment Plan.

Resources' Direct Stock Purchase Plan and Dividend Reinvestment Plan were suspended and canceled in connection with the Merger.

- (4) LONG-TERM AND SHORT-TERM FINANCING
- (a) Short-term Financing.

In 1998, Resources met its short-term financing needs primarily through a bank facility, bank lines of credit, a receivables facility and the issuance of commercial paper. In March 1998, Resources replaced its \$400 million revolving credit facility with a five-year \$350 million revolving credit facility (Resources Credit Facility). Borrowings under the Resources Credit Facility are unsecured and bear interest at a rate based upon either the London interbank offered rate (LIBOR) plus a margin, a base rate or a rate determined through a bidding process. The Resources Credit Facility is used to support Resources' issuance of up to \$350 million of commercial paper. There were no commercial paper borrowings and no loans outstanding under the Resources Credit Facility at December 31, 1998. Borrowings under Resources' prior credit facility at December 31, 1997 were \$340 million. In addition, Resources had \$50 million of outstanding loans under uncommitted lines of credit at December 31, 1997 having a weighted average interest rate of 6.82%.

A \$65 million committed bank facility under which Resources obtained letters of credit and all of Resources' uncommitted lines of credit were terminated in 1998. Subsequent to the December 1998 termination, Resources obtained letters of credit under an uncommitted line. Resources expects to amend the Resources Credit Facility in March 1999 to add a \$65 million letter of credit subfacility.

Under a trade receivables facility (Receivables Facility) which expires in August 1999, Resources sells, with limited recourse, an undivided interest (limited to a maximum of \$300 million) in a designated pool of accounts receivable. The amount of receivables sold and uncollected was \$300 million at December 31, 1998 and at December 31, 1997. The weighted average interest rate was approximately 5.54% at December 31, 1998 and 5.65% at December 31, 1997. Certain of Resources' remaining receivables serve as collateral for receivables sold and represent the maximum exposure to Resources should all receivables sold prove ultimately uncollectible. Resources has retained servicing responsibility under the Receivables Facility for which it is paid a servicing fee. Pursuant to SFAS No. 125, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities", Resources accounts for amounts transferred pursuant to the Receivables Facility as collateralized borrowings. As a result, these receivables are recorded as assets on Resources' Consolidated Balance Sheet and amounts received by Resources pursuant to this facility are recorded as a current liability under the caption "Receivables Facility."

#### (b) Long-term Debt.

Resources' consolidated long-term debt outstanding, which is summarized in the following table, is noncallable and without sinking fund requirements except as noted. Carrying amounts and amounts due in one year reflect \$33.2 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, for fair value adjustments recorded in connection with the Merger.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

DECEMBER 31, 1998

\$ 916.7

-----

\$ 232.1

\$ 1,096.4

			, -			
				CARRYING AMOUNTS		
	EFFECTIVE RATE	PRINCIPAL AMOUNT	NO	N-CURREN PORTION	Γ	CURRENT PORTION
		(MILLIONS O	F DOL			
Medium-term notes, Series A and B due through 2001, weighted average rate of 8.96% at						
December 31, 1998	6.4%	\$ 165.6	\$	177.6		
8.875% Series due 1999	6.3%	200.0			\$	202.7
7.5% Series due 2000	6.4%	200.0		203.1		
8.9% Series due 2006	6.8%	145.1		163.4		
6% Convertible Subordinated Debentures due 2012	6.5%	109.6		104.6		
10% Series due 2019(1)	8.8%	42.8		47.6		
6 1/2% Series due 2008	6.5%	300.0		300.0		
6 %% Series due 2003	6.4%	517.0		517.0		
Other						0.7
		<b></b>		4 540 0		
		\$ 1,680.1 ======		1,513.3	\$	203.4
		DECEMBER	21 1	007		
		DECEMBER	<u></u>	.551		
				CARRYING	AMOL	JNTS
	EFFECTIVE RATE	PRINCIPAL AMOUNT	NO	N-CURREN PORTION	Γ	CURRENT PORTION
		(MILLIONS OF DOLLARS)				
Medium-term notes, Series A and B due through						
2001, weighted average rate of 8.90% at	C 40/	ф 041 C	Ф	100 0	ф	70.0
December 31, 1997	6.4%	\$ 241.6	\$	183.8	\$	78.8
Bank Term Loan due 1998	6.2%	150.0		207.2		153.3
8.875% Series due 1999	6.3%	200.0		207.2		
7.5% Series due 2000	6.4%	200.0		205.0		
8.9% Series due 2006	6.8%	145.1		165.1		
6% Convertible Subordinated Debentures due 2012	6.5%	116.3		107.2		
10% Series due 2019(1)	8.8%	42.8		47.8		
Other	4.1%	0.6		0.6		

(1) In the fourth quarter of 1997 Resources purchased \$101.4 million aggregate principal amount of its 10% Debentures due 2019 at an average price of 111.98% plus accrued interest. Because Resources' debt was stated at fair market value as of the Acquisition Date, the loss on the reacquisition of these debentures was not material.

Consolidated maturities of long-term debt and sinking fund requirements for Resources are approximately \$207 million for 1999, \$228 million in 2000, \$151 million in 2001, \$7 million in 2002 and \$7 million in 2003.

Resources' retirements and reacquisitions of long-term debt are summarized in the following table. In cases where premiums were paid or discounts were realized in association with these reacquisitions and retirements, such amounts realized in association with these reacquisitions and retirements, such amounts are reported in Resources' Statements of Consolidated Income as "Extraordinary gain (loss) on early retirement of debt, less taxes" and are net of taxes of \$0.1 million and (\$2.5) million in 1997 and 1996, respectively. For retirements and reacquisitions after the Acquisition Date, gains or losses on early retirement are immaterial since the carrying amounts reflect the fair value adjustments described above.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
		1998(1)	1997(1)	
Reacquisition of 10% Debentures due 2019	\$	6.7 76.0 150.0	\$	101.4 5.8 52.0 225.0 (0.2)
	\$	232.7	\$	384.0

- (1) Excludes the conversion of 6% Convertible Subordinated Debentures due 2012 in the amount of approximately \$0 and \$.7 million at December 31, 1998 and December 31, 1997, respectively.

  These reacquired debentures may be credited against sinking fund
- requirements.
- (3) Weighted average interest rate of 8.75% and 9.25% in 1998 and 1997, respectively.

In June 1996, Resources exercised its right to exchange the \$130 million principal amount of its \$3.00 Convertible Exchangeable Preferred Stock, Series A for its 6% Convertible Subordinated Debentures due 2012 (Subordinated Debentures). The holders of the Subordinated Debentures receive interest quarterly and have the right at any time on or before the maturity date thereof to convert each Subordinated Debenture into 0.65 shares of common stock of Reliant Energy and \$14.24 in cash. The Subordinated Debentures are callable beginning in 1999 at redemption prices beginning at 105.0% and declining to par in November 2009. Resources is required to make annual sinking fund payments of \$6.5 million on the Subordinated Debentures which began on March 15, 1997 and will continue on each succeeding March 15 up to and including March 15, 2011. Resources (i) may credit against the sinking fund requirements any Subordinated Debentures redeemed by Resources and Subordinated Debentures which have been converted at the option of the holder and (ii) may deliver purchased Subordinated Debentures in satisfaction of the sinking fund requirements. Resources satisfied its 1998 sinking fund requirement of \$6.5 million by delivering Subordinated Debentures purchased in 1996 and 1997.

In February 1998, Resources issued \$300 million principal amount of 6.5%debentures due February 1, 2008. The proceeds from the sale of the debentures were used to repay short-term indebtedness of Resources, including the indebtedness incurred in connection with the 1997 purchase of \$101 million aggregate principal amount of its 10% debentures and the repayment of \$53 million aggregate principal amount of Resources debt that matured in December 1997 and January 1998. In connection with the issuance of the 6.5% debentures, Resources received approximately \$1 million upon unwinding a \$300 million treasury rate lock agreement, which was tied to the interest rate on 10-year treasury bonds. The rate lock agreement was executed in January 1998, and proceeds from the unwind will be amortized over the 10 year life of Resources' 6.5% debentures.

In November 1998, Resources sold \$500 million aggregate principal amount of its 6 3/8% Term Enhanced ReMarketable Securities (TERM Notes). Included within the TERM Notes is an embedded option sold to an investment bank which gives the investment bank the right to remarket the TERM Notes in 2003 if it chooses to exercise the option. The net proceeds of \$514 million from the offering of the TERM Notes were used for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of (i) \$178.5 million of Resources' outstanding commercial paper and (ii) a \$150 million term loan of Resources that matured on November 13, 1998. The TERM Notes are unsecured obligations of Resources which bear interest at an annual rate of 6 3/8% through November 1, 2003. On November 1, 2003, the holders of the TERM Notes are required to tender their notes at 100% of their principal amount. The portion of the proceeds attributable to the option premium will be amortized over the stated term of the securities. If the option is not exercised, Resources will repurchase the TERM Notes at 100% of their principal amount on November 1, 2003. If the option is exercised, the TERM Notes will be remarketed on a date, selected by Resources, within the 52-week period beginning November 1, 2003. During such period and prior to remarketing, the TERM Notes will bear interest at rates, adjusted weekly, based on an index selected by Resources. If the TERM Notes are

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

remarketed, the final maturity date of the TERM Notes will be November 1, 2013, subject to adjustment, and the effective interest rate on the remarketed TERM Notes will be 5.66% plus Resources' applicable credit spread at the time of such remarketing.

#### (b) Restrictions on Debt.

Under the provisions of the Resources Credit Facility, Resources' total debt is limited to 55% of its total capitalization. This provision did not significantly restrict Resources' ability to issue debt or to pay dividends in 1998. At December 31, 1998, Resources' total debt to total capitalization equaled 40%.

#### (5) TRUST SECURITIES

In June 1996, a Delaware statutory business trust (Resources Trust) established by Resources issued in a public offering \$172.5 million of convertible preferred securities and sold approximately \$5.3 million of Resources Trust common stock (106,720 shares, representing 100% of the Resources Trust's common equity) to Resources. The convertible preferred securities have a distribution rate of 6.25% payable quarterly in arrears, a stated liquidation amount of \$50 per convertible preferred security and must be redeemed by 2026. The proceeds from the sale of the preferred and common securities were used by Resources Trust to purchase \$177.8 million of 6.25% Convertible Junior Subordinated Debentures from Resources having an interest rate corresponding to the distribution rate of the convertible preferred securities and a maturity date corresponding to the mandatory redemption date of the convertible preferred securities. Under existing law, interest payments made by Resources for the junior subordinated debentures are deductible for federal income tax purposes. Resources has the right at any time and from time to time to defer interest payments on the junior subordinated debentures for successive periods not to exceed 20 consecutive quarters for each such extension period. In such case, (1) quarterly distributions on the junior subordinated debentures would also be deferred and (2) Resources has agreed to not declare or pay any dividend on any common or preferred stock, except in certain instances.

The Resources Trust is accounted for as a wholly owned consolidated subsidiary of Resources. The junior subordinated debentures are the sole assets of the Resources Trust. Resources has fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a subordinated basis, the Resources Trust's obligations, including the payment of distributions and all other payments, with respect to the convertible preferred securities. The convertible preferred securities are mandatorily redeemable upon the repayment of the related junior subordinated debentures at their stated maturity or earlier redemption. Each convertible preferred security is convertible at the option of the holder into \$33.62 of cash and 1.55 shares of Reliant Energy common stock. During 1998, convertible preferred securities aggregating \$15.5 million were converted, leaving \$0.9 million liquidation amount of convertible preferred securities outstanding at December 31, 1998.

#### (6) STOCK-BASED INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLANS AND EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

#### (a) Incentive Compensation Plans.

Prior to the Merger, Resources had several incentive compensation plans which provided for the issuance of stock-based incentives (including restricted shares, stock options and stock appreciation rights) to directors and key employees of Resources, including officers. The charge to earnings in 1997 and 1996 related to the incentive compensation plans was \$1.4 million and \$4.4 million, respectively. All stock options granted under such plans were either converted into similar Reliant Energy options or "cashed out" prior to the Merger. All restricted stock and substantially all stock appreciation rights were "cashed out" with the Merger. Resources granted 463,856 shares of restricted stock in 1996 with a weighted average fair value at the grant date of \$11.86. At December 31, 1996, there were 77,371 stock appreciation rights outstanding. As of the Acquisition Date, less than 1,000 stock appreciation rights were outstanding. The following is certain information relating to options issued pursuant to Resources' incentive compensation plans.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

	NUMBER OF SHARES	WEIGHTED-AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE PER SHARE
Outstanding at December 31, 1995	1,033,019	\$ 8.82
Options Granted (2)	579,749	\$ 8.69
Options Exercised	(28,019)	\$ 6.44
Options Forfeited/Expired	(76,821)	\$ 11.99
Outstanding at December 31, 1996	1,507,928	\$ 8.65
Options Exercised	(147,092)	\$ 6.47
Options Forfeited/Expired	(10,682)	\$ 12.42
Options Cashed Out Upon Merger	(521,857)	
Options Converted at Acquisition (1)	(828, 297)	
Outstanding at December 31, 1997	(, - ,	
December 31, 1996	911,660	\$ 9.48

- (1) Effective upon the Merger, each holder of an unexpired Resources stock option, whether or not then exercisable, was entitled to elect to either (i) have all or any portion of their Resources stock options canceled and "cashed out" or (ii) have all or any portion of their Resources stock options converted to the Reliant Energy stock options. There were 828,297 Resources stock options converted into 622,504 Reliant Energy stock options at the Acquisition Date.
- (2) The weighted average grant date fair value of the options granted in 1996 was \$2.39.

In October 1995, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" (SFAS No. 123). SFAS No. 123 provides for the disclosure of certain information concerning the "fair value" of securities issued pursuant to stock-based employee compensation plans, and gives Resources the option of calculating and recording compensation expense utilizing either (i) SFAS No. 123's "fair value" methodology which measures compensation expense as the "fair value" of all securities at the date on which they are granted to the employee or (ii) the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" (APB No. 25) which, in general, do not require the recording of compensation expense for options and stock appreciation rights issued pursuant to plans structured similarly to those of Resources. Resources elected to continue to apply the provisions of APB No. 25 for the purpose of computing compensation expense associated with the relevant plan, although certain additional required disclosure has been made in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123.

The "fair value" as applied to restricted stock represents the quoted NYSE closing market price of the shares on the grant date. The term "fair value" as applied to stock options granted in 1996 and 1995 is a statistical calculation made utilizing a methodology generally referred to as the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The model yields a value for each option which is dependent on a number of variables which are inputs to the relevant calculations. For the purposes of determining the "fair value" of stock options in the preceding table, Resources assumed (i) a risk free interest rate (based on U.S. Treasury strips with a remaining term of five years) of 5.24% to 7.84%, (ii) an expected option life (duration) of five years, (iii) an expected volatility of 31.6% to 36.4% and (iv) an expected dividend yield of 3.4%. To the extent that actual conditions during the post-grant, pre-exercise period differ from these assumptions, the actual value of the options to the employee will differ from the Calculated "fair value" at grant date (see above regarding the effect of the Merger). Had compensation cost been determined in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, the impact on Resources' earnings for 1996 would have been immaterial.

#### (b) Employee Benefit Plans.

Resources has two qualified pension plans (the Qualified Plans) which cover substantially all employees: (1) the plan which covers Resources' employees other than Reliant Energy Minnegasco employees and (2) the plan which

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

covers Reliant Energy Minnegasco employees. The Qualified Plans provide benefits based on the participants' years of service and highest average compensation. The funding policy for the Qualified Plans is to contribute at least the minimum amount required to be funded as determined by Resources' consulting actuaries. Plan assets are made up of marketable equity and high-grade fixed income securities.

In addition to the Qualified Plans, Resources maintains certain non-qualified plans which principally consist of (i) a retirement restoration plan which allows participants to retain the benefit to which they would have been entitled under the Qualified Plans except for the federally mandated limits on such benefits or on the level of salary on which such benefits may be calculated and (ii) certain supplemental benefit plans which, in the past, were entered into with individual employees or with small groups of employees. Participants in these non-qualified plans are general creditors of Resources with respect to these benefits, as these plans are not funded by Resources in advance of the cash payment of benefits. The benefit obligation for the non-qualified plans at December 31, 1998 and 1997 was \$37.7 million and \$36.3 million, respectively. Expense of approximately \$1.7 million, \$3.1 million and \$2.0 million associated with these non-qualified plans was recorded during 1998, 1997 and 1996, respectively.

In 1998, Resources adopted SFAS No. 132, "Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits" (SFAS No. 132). SFAS No. 132 revises employers' disclosures about pension and other postretirement benefit plans. It does not change the measurement or recognition of these plans.

Net Pension cost (qualified plans only) for Resources includes the following components:

	CURR	ENT RESOURCES	FOF	FORMER RESOURCES			
-	TWELVE MONTHS FIVE MO ENDED ENDE DECEMBER 31, DECEMBE 1998 199		ENDE	ED 31, [	WELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1996		
		THOUSA	ANDS OF DOLLAR				
Service cost benefits earned during the period Interest cost on projected benefit obligation Expected return on plan assets	\$ 13,466 33,357 (53,043	15,015	(26,7	313	11,817 29,946 (41,800) (641)		
Net pension cost (credit)	\$ (6,220	) \$ (3,746) =======	) \$ 8 ======	383 \$ === ==	(678) ======		

<sup>(1)</sup> Amortization after the Acquisition Date represents amortization of unrecognized loss incurred after the Acquisition Date. For further discussion of the accounting for the Merger see Note 1(b).

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Reconciliations of Resources' beginning and ending balances of its pension plan benefit obligation, plan assets and funded status for 1998 and 1997 are set forth below:

		CURRENT RES	OURCES	RCES		FORMER RESOURCES	
	TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998		EN DEC	MONTHS DED EMBER 31, 1997	SEVEN MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 1997		
CHANGE IN BENEFIT OBLIGATION  Benefit obligation, beginning of period Service cost Interest cost Benefits paid Plan amendments Actuarial (gain) loss		513,247 13,466 33,357 (23,870) (53,736) 70,746		485,206 5,095 15,015 (9,408) 17,339	\$	435,490 7,220 20,313 (13,340) 35,523	
Benefit obligation, end of period				513,247		485,206	
CHANGE IN PLAN ASSETS Plan asset, beginning of period Benefits paid Actual investment return		569,718 (23,870) 78,514		577,275 (9,408) 1,851		500,451 (13,340) 90,164	
Plan assets, end of period		624,362	\$	569,718		577,275	
			-	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
			-	1998		1997	
				(THOUSANDS	OF DOL	LARS)	
RECONCILIATION OF FUNDED STATUS Funded status				71,152 (53,736) 84,618	\$	56,471 39,344	
Net amount recognized at end of year					\$	95,815	

Resources' Consolidated Balance Sheets included \$102 million and \$92 million prepaid benefit cost at December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively.

The assumed rate of increase in future compensation levels utilized in the above calculations was 3.5% - 5.5% in 1998, 4% - 6% in 1997 and 4% in 1996. The expected long-term rate of return on fund assets utilized in the above calculations was 10% for 1998 and 1997. The weighted average discount rate was 6.5% for 1998 and 7.25% for 1997.

In 1998, Reliant Energy's and Resources' board of directors approved an amendment, effective January 1, 1999, which converted the present value of the accrued benefits under the existing pension plans into a cash balance plan. Under the cash balance formula, each participant has an account, for recordkeeping purposes only, to which credits are allocated annually based on a percentage of the participant's pay. The applicable percentage is 4%.

The purpose of the plan change is to continue to provide uniform retirement income benefits across all employee groups, which are competitive both within the utility industry as well as with other companies within the United States.

Resources will continue to reflect the costs of the qualified pension plan according to the provisions of SFAS No. 87 as amended by SFAS No. 132. As a result of the January 1, 1999 amendment, which is reflected in the December 31, 1998 disclosure, Resources' benefit obligation declined \$54 million. The plan amendment had no impact on 1998 expense.

The actuarial loss is due to changes in certain actuarial assumptions.

Resources has an employee savings plan (the ESP) which covers substantially all employees other than Reliant Energy Minnegasco employees. Under the terms of the ESP, employees may contribute up to 12% of total compensation, of which contributions up to 6% are matched by Resources. Employer contributions to the ESP of \$9.2 million, \$9.3 million and \$8.9 million were expensed during 1998, 1997 and 1996, respectively. The Reliant Energy Minnegasco employees are covered by various thrift and profit sharing plans, the terms of which vary from plan to plan. Expenses of approximately \$1.6 million, \$1.5 million and \$1.4 million related to these plans were recorded during 1998, 1997 and 1996, respectively.

Resources records the liability for postretirement benefit plans other than pensions (primarily health care) under SFAS No. 106, "Employers'

Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions". Resources provides these benefits under a defined benefit plan for all eligible former employees who retired prior to July 1, 1992, and under a defined contribution plan for all others. A substantial number of Resources' employees may become eligible for postretirement benefits if they are participating in such plans when they reach normal retirement age. As of December 31, 1998, Resources had contributed a total of \$6 million to an external fund (associated with Reliant Energy Minnegasco employees) to provide for these benefits. Resources currently expects that it will fund these benefits utilizing external funding techniques for additional employees in the future.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The net postretirement benefit cost includes the following components:

	CURRENT RESOURCES			FORMER RESOURCES				
	TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998		FIVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1997		SEVEN MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 1997		TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1996	
	(THOUSANDS		OF DOLLARS)					
Service cost benefits earned during the period Interest cost on accumulated postretirement	\$	635	\$	115	\$	164	\$	306
benefit obligation		6,660 (463)		3,561 (73)		4,948 (107) 3,875		9,234 (108) 7,983
Net postretirement benefit cost	\$	6,832 =====	\$	3,603 =====	\$ ==	8,880 =====		.7,415

Reconciliations of Resources' beginning and ending balances of its postretirement benefit plan's benefit obligation, plan assets and funded status for 1998 and 1997 are set forth below:

	CURRENT RESOURCE			RCES	FORMER RESOURCES		
		TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998		VE MONTHS ENDED CEMBER 31, 1997	SEVEN MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 1997		
CHANGE IN BENEFIT OBLIGATION							
Benefit obligation, beginning of period	\$	118,472	\$	115,721		\$129,381	
Service cost		635		115		164	
Interest cost		6,660		3,561		4,948	
Benefits paid		(11,569)		(3,543)		(7,093)	
Participant contributions		1,856		568		1,138	
Plan amendments		28,936		2 050		(12 017)	
Actualiai (gaill) 1055		(921)		2,050		(12,817)	
Benefit obligation, end of period		144,069		118,472		115,721	
CHANGE IN PLAN ASSETS							
Plan asset, beginning of period		4,502		2,909		3,051	
Benefits paid		(11,569)		(3,543)		(7,093)	
Employer contributions		11,163		5,171		5,209	
Participant contributions		1,856		568		1,138	
Actual investment return		509		(603)		604	
Plan assets, end of period	\$	6,461	\$	4,502	\$	2,909	
		YEAR ENDED	DECEM	IBER 31,			
		1998		1997			
	(THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)						
RECONCILIATION OF FUNDED STATUS							
Funded status	\$	(137,608)	\$	(113,970)			
Unrecognized prior service cost		28,936		2 726			
Unrecognized actuarial (gain) loss		1,759		2,726			
Net amount recognized at end of year		(106,913)	\$	(111,244)			
	===	=======	===	=======			

Resources' Consolidated Balance Sheets included \$107 million and \$111 million of postretirement benefit liability at December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively.

The weighted average discount rate used in determining the accumulated benefit obligation for postretirement benefits was 6.50% for 1998, 7.25% for 1997 and 7.5% for 1996. The cost of covered health care benefits (for those

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

participants entitled to a defined benefit as a result of having retired prior to July 1, 1992) is assumed to increase by 6.0% and 6.7% for pre-65 and post-65, respectively, initially and then increase at a decreasing rate to an annual and continuing increase of 5.4% by 2001. Based on these assumptions, a one percentage point increase in the assumed health care cost trend rate would increase the annual net periodic postretirement benefit cost (before any deferral for regulatory reasons) and the accumulated benefit obligation at December 31, 1998 and 1997 by approximately 6.7% and 10.8%, respectively. A one percentage point decrease in the assumed health care cost trend rate would decrease the annual net periodic postretirement benefit cost (before any deferral for regulatory reasons) and the accumulated benefit obligation at December 31, 1998 by approximately 6.0% and 9.6%, respectively.

In 1998, Reliant Energy's and Resources' boards of directors approved an amendment, effective January 1, 1999, which created an account balance based on credited service at December 31, 1998. Under the new plan, each participant has an account, for recordkeeping purposes only, to which a \$750 credit is allocated annually. This account balance vests after 5 years of service after age 50. At retirement the account balance can be used to purchase medical benefits. It may not be taken as cash.

The purpose of the plan change is to continue to provide uniform retiree medical benefits across all employee groups, which are competitive both within the utility industry as well as with other companies within the United States.

Resources will continue to reflect the costs of the retiree medical plan according to the provisions of SFAS No. 106 as amended by SFAS No. 132. As a result of the January 1, 1999 amendment, which is reflected in the December 31, 1998 disclosure, Resources' benefit obligation increased \$29 million. The plan amendment had no impact on 1998 expense.

The actuarial gain is due to changes in certain actuarial assumptions.

# (7) INCOME TAXES

Reliant Energy files a consolidated federal income tax return, in which Resources and its subsidiaries are included. Prior to the Acquisition Date, Resources and its subsidiaries filed a consolidated federal income tax return. Resources' pre-acquisition consolidated federal income tax returns have been audited and settled through the year 1986. Investment tax credits are generally deferred and amortized over the lives of the related assets. The unamortized investment tax credit in deferred credits on Resources' Consolidated Balance Sheets was \$5.8 million and \$5.2 million for 1998 and 1997, respectively.

The components of Resources' income tax provision are set forth below:

	CURRENT RESOURCES					CES			
	TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998			VE MONTHS ENDED CEMBER 31, 1997	SEVEN MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 1997		DECI	E MONTHS ENDED EMBER 31, 1996	
				(THOUSAND	DS OF DOLLARS)				
Federal Current Deferred Investment tax credit State	\$	30,539 61,020 (609)	\$	(12,005) 36,673 (262)	\$	16,339 12,795 (363)	\$	33,654 25,760 (636)	
Current		7,235 13,645		536 (559)		833 1,794		4,525 3,049	
Income tax expense	\$	111,830 ======	\$	24,383 ======	\$ ===	31,398	\$	66,352	

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate of 35% to income from continuing operations. The reasons for this difference are as follows:

	CURRENT RE	ESOURCES .	FORMER RESOURCES			
	TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998	ENDED ENDED DECEMBER 31,				
	(TH	HOUSANDS OF DOLL	_ARS)			
Income before income taxes	\$ 205,654 35%	35%	35%	35%		
Income taxes at statutory rate	71,979	15,831	27,046	56,522		
Increase (decrease) in tax resulting from: State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit(1) Investment tax credit Research and experimentation credit	14,737 (609)	(9) (262)	1,708 (363)	4,923 (636) (188)		
Adjustment to prior-year accruals	2,049 17,971 5,703	106 7,242 1,475	(34) 2,430 611	301 4,163 1,267		
Total	39,851 ======	8,552	4,352	9,830		
Income taxes	\$ 111,830 ======	\$ 24,383 =======	\$ 31,398 ======	\$ 66,352 ======		
Effective Rate	54.4%	53.9%	40.6%	41.1%		

<sup>(1)</sup> Calculation of the accrual for state income taxes at the end of each year requires that Resources estimate the manner in which its income for that year will be allocated and/or apportioned among the various states in which it conducts business, which states have widely differing tax rules and rates. These allocation/apportionment factors change from year to year and the amount of taxes ultimately payable may differ from that estimated as a part of the accrual process. For these reasons, the amount of state income tax expense may vary significantly from year-to-year, even in the absence of significant changes to state income tax valuation allowances or changes in individual state income tax rates.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The tax effects of temporary differences that gave rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 1998 and 1997, were as follows:

	DECEMBER 31,				
		1998		1997	
		(THOUSANDS			
Deferred Tax Assets: Employee benefit accruals	\$	42,572	\$	53,277	
Gas purchase contract accruals  Deferred state income taxes  State operating loss carryforwards		8,377 14,236 23,178		8,267 14,460 29,515	
Alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards Other		39,595 34,698 (8,591)		60,669 57,509 (6,353)	
Total deferred tax assets - net		154,065		217,344	
Deferred Tax Liabilities: Property, plant and equipment, principally due to					
depreciation methods and lives  Deferred gas costs		539,773 13,663		558,758 34,113	
Deferred state income taxes		70,000 811		70,000 6,690	
Other  Total deferred tax liabilities		27,580  651,827		22,513  692,074	
Accumulated deferred income taxes - net	 \$	497,762	 \$	474,730	
	===	=======		=======	

At December 31, 1998, Resources has approximately \$368 million of state net operating losses available to offset future state taxable income through the year 2013. In addition, Resources has approximately \$33 million of federal alternative minimum tax credits which are available to reduce future federal income taxes payable, if any, over an indefinite period (although not below the tentative minimum tax otherwise due in any year), and approximately \$2.6 million of state alternative minimum tax credits which are available to reduce future state income taxes payable, if any, through the year 2001. The valuation allowance reflects a net increase of \$2.3 million in 1998. This net increase results from a reassessment of Resources' usage of state tax attributes, including the future ability to use state net operating loss and alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards, offset by changes in valuation allowances provided for expiring state net operating loss carryforwards.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

- (8) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES
- (a) Lease Commitments.

The following table sets forth certain information concerning Resources' obligations under operating leases:

Minimum Lease Commitments at December 31, 1998(1) (millions of dollars)

1999	\$	19
2000		15
2001		14
2002		10
2003		9
2004 and beyond		61
Total	\$ :	128
	======	===

(1) Principally consisting of rental agreements for building space and data processing equipment and vehicles (including major work equipment); approximately \$16 million represents rental agreements with Reliant Energy.

Resources has a master leasing agreement which provides for the lease of vehicles, construction equipment, office furniture, data processing equipment and other property. For accounting purposes, the lease is treated as an operating lease. At December 31, 1998, the unamortized value of equipment covered by the master leasing agreement was \$26.9 million. Resources does not expect to lease additional property under this lease agreement.

Total rental expense for all leases was \$25.0 million, \$24.0 million and \$33.4 million in 1998, 1997 and 1996, respectively.

(b) Letters of Credit.

At December 31, 1998, Resources had letters of credit incidental to its ordinary business operations totaling approximately \$30 million under which Resources is obligated to reimburse drawings, if any.

(c) Indemnity Provisions.

At December 31, 1998, Resources had a \$5.8 million accounting reserve on its Consolidated Balance Sheets in "Estimated obligations under indemnification provisions of sale agreements" for possible indemnity claims asserted in connection with its disposition of former subsidiaries or divisions, including the sale of (i) Louisiana Intrastate Gas Corporation, a former subsidiary engaged in the intrastate pipeline and liquids extraction business (1992); (ii) Arkla Exploration Company, a former subsidiary engaged in oil and gas exploration and production activities (June 1991); and (iii) Dyco Petroleum Company, a former subsidiary engaged in oil and gas exploration and production (1991).

(d) Sale of Receivables.

Certain of Resources' receivables are collateral for receivables which have been sold pursuant to the terms of the Receivables Facility. For information regarding these receivables, see Note 4(a).

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

# (e) Gas Purchase Claims.

In conjunction with settlements of "take-or-pay" claims, Resources has prepaid for certain volumes of gas, which prepayments have been recorded at their net realizable value and, to the extent that Resources is unable to realize at least the carrying amount as the gas is delivered and sold, Resources' earnings will be reduced, although such reduction is not expected to be material. In addition to these prepayments, Resources is a party to a number of agreements which require it to either purchase or sell gas in the future at prices which may differ from then prevailing market prices or which require it to deliver gas at a point other than the expected receipt point for volumes to be purchased. To the extent that Resources expects that these commitments will result in losses over the contract term, Resources has established reserves equal to such expected losses. As of December 31, 1998, these reserves were not material.

#### (f) Transportation Agreement.

Resources had an agreement (ANR Agreement) with ANR Pipeline Company (ANR) which contemplated that Resources would transfer to ANR an interest in certain of Resources' pipeline and related assets. The interest represented capacity of 250 Mmcf/day. Under the ANR Agreement, an ANR affiliate advanced \$125 million to Resources. Subsequently, the parties restructured the ANR Agreement and Resources refunded in 1995 and 1993, respectively, \$50 million and \$34 million to ANR or an affiliate. Resources recorded \$41 million as a liability reflecting ANR's or its affiliates' use of 130 Mmcf/ day of capacity in certain of Resources' transportation facilities. The level of transportation will decline to 100 Mmcf/day in the year 2003 with a refund of \$5 million to an ANR affiliate. The ANR Agreement will terminate in 2005 with a refund of the remaining balance.

### (q) Environmental Matters.

To the extent that potential environmental remediation costs are quantified within a range, Resources establishes reserves equal to the most likely level of costs within the range and adjusts such accruals as better information becomes available. In determining the amount of the liability, future costs are not discounted to their present value and the liability is not offset by expected insurance recoveries. If justified by circumstances within Resources' business subject to SFAS No. 71, corresponding regulatory assets are recorded in anticipation of recovery through the rate making process.

Manufactured Gas Plant Sites. Resources and its predecessors operated a manufactured gas plant (MGP) adjacent to the Mississippi River in Minnesota formerly known as Minneapolis Gas Works (FMGW) until 1960. Resources has substantially completed remediation of the main site other than ongoing water monitoring and treatment. There are six other former MGP sites in the Minnesota service territory. Remediation has been completed on one site. Of the remaining five sites, Resources believes that two were neither owned nor operated by Resources; two were owned by Resources at one time but were operated by others and are currently owned by others; and one site was previously owned and operated by Resources but is currently owned by others. Resources believes it has no liability with respect to the sites it neither owned nor operated.

At December 31, 1998, Resources had estimated a range of \$12 million to \$70 million for possible remediation of the Minnesota sites. The low end of the range was determined based on only those sites presently owned or known to have been operated by Resources, assuming use of Resources' proposed remediation methods. The upper end of the range was determined based on the sites once owned by Resources, whether or not operated by Resources. The cost estimates of the FMGW site are based on studies of that site. The remediation costs for the other sites are based on industry average costs for remediation of sites of similar size. The actual remediation costs will be dependent upon the number of sites remediated, the participation of other potentially responsible parties, if any, and the remediation methods used.

At December 31, 1998 and 1997, Resources had recorded accruals of \$5.4 million and \$3.3 million, respectively (with a maximum estimated exposure of approximately \$8 million and \$18 million at December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively) and an offsetting regulatory asset for environmental matters in connection with a former fire training facility, a landfill and an underground gas storage facility for which future remediation may be required. This accrual is in addition to the accrual for MGP sites as previously discussed.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

In its 1995 rate case, Reliant Energy Minnegasco was allowed to recover approximately \$7 million annually for remediation costs. In 1998, Reliant Energy Minnegasco received approval to reduce its annual recovery rate to zero. Remediation costs are subject to a true-up mechanism whereby any over or under recovered amounts, net of certain insurance recoveries, plus carrying charges, would be deferred for recovery or refund in the next rate case. At December 31, 1998 and 1997, Reliant Energy Minnegasco had over recovered \$13 million and \$1.8 million, respectively. At December 31, 1998 and 1997, Minnegasco had recorded a liability of \$20.7 million and \$21.7 million, respectively, to cover the cost of future remediation. In addition, at December 31, 1998, Minnegasco had receivables from insurance settlements of \$.6 million. These insurance settlements will be collected in 1999. Minnegasco expects that approximately 43% of its accrual as of December 31, 1998 will be expended within the next five years. The remainder will be expended on an ongoing basis for an estimated 40 years. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 71, a regulatory asset has been recorded equal to the liability accrued. Minnegasco is continuing to pursue recovery of at least a portion of these costs from insurers. Minnegasco believes the difference between any cash expenditures for these costs and the amount recovered in rates during any year will not be material to Resources' overall cash requirements, results of operations or cash flows.

Issues relating to the identification and remediation of MGPs are common in the natural gas distribution industry. Resources has received notices from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and others regarding its status as a potentially responsible party (PRP) for other sites. Based on current information, Resources has not been able to quantify a range of environmental expenditures for potential remediation expenditures with respect to other MGP sites.

Mercury Contamination. Like other natural gas pipelines, Resources' pipeline operations have in the past employed elemental mercury in meters used on its pipelines. Although the mercury has now been removed from the meters, it is possible that small amounts of mercury have been spilled at some of those sites in the course of normal maintenance and replacement operations and that such spills have contaminated the immediate area around the meters with elemental mercury. Such contamination has been found by Resources at some sites in the past, and Resources has conducted remediation at sites found to be contaminated. Although Resources is not aware of additional specific sites, it is possible that other contaminated sites exist and that remediation costs will be incurred for such sites. Although the total amount of such costs cannot be known at this time, based on experience by Resources and others in the natural gas industry to date and on the current regulations regarding remediation of such sites, Resources believes that the cost of any remediation of such sites will not be material to Resources' financial position, results of operation or cash flows.

Potentially Responsible Party Notifications. From time to time Resources and its subsidiaries have been notified that they are PRP's with respect to properties which environmental authorities have determined warrant remediation under state or federal environmental laws and regulations. In October 1994 the EPA issued such a notice with respect to the South 8th Street landfill site in West Memphis, Arkansas, and in December 1995, the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality advised that one of Resources' subsidiaries had been identified as a PRP with respect to a hazardous waste site in Shreveport, Louisiana.

In 1998, MRT received a notice of potential liability from the EPA regarding MRT's PRP status with respect to the Gurley Pit Superfund Site. The notice stated that MRT is a PRP for the response costs at this site because MRT allegedly generated materials that were disposed of at the site. MRT subsequently notified the EPA that it does not believe that it has liability because it did not have operations in the state from which the material was allegedly hauled. In December 1998, MRT learned that the South 8th Street Superfund Site Group and the EPA reached a tentative settlement regarding the South 8th Street and Gurley Pit Superfund Sites.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Considering the information currently known about such sites and the involvement of Resources or its subsidiaries in activities at these sites, Resources does not believe that these matters will have a material adverse effect on Resources' financial position, results of operation or cash flows.

Resources is a party to litigation (other than that specifically noted) which arises in the normal course of business. Management regularly analyzes current information and, as necessary, provides accruals for probable liabilities on the eventual disposition of these matters. Management believes that the effect on Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements, if any, from the disposition of these matters will not be material.

# (9) REPORTABLE SEGMENTS

Effective January 1, 1998, Resources adopted SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" (SFAS No. 131). Because Resources is a wholly owned subsidiary of Reliant Energy, Resources' determination of reportable segments considers the strategic operating units under which Reliant Energy manages sales of various products and services to wholesale or retail customers in differing regulatory environments. Subsequent to the Acquisition Date, segment financial data includes information for Reliant Energy and Resources on a combined basis, except for Electric Operations which has no Resources operations and International, which has minimal Resources operations. Reconciling items included under the caption "Elimination of Non-Resources Operations" reduce the consolidated Reliant Energy amounts by those operations not conducted within the Resources legal entity. Operations not owned or operated by Resources, but included in segment information before elimination include primarily the operations and assets of Reliant Energy's non-rate regulated power generation business, Reliant Energy's investment in Time Warner securities and non-Resources corporate expenses. The determination of reportable segments under SFAS No. 131 differs from that required in prior years; therefore business segment information for 1997 and 1996 has been restated to comply with SFAS No. 131.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies except that certain executive benefit costs have not been allocated to segments. Reliant Energy evaluates performance based on operating income excluding certain corporate costs not allocated to the segments. Reliant Energy and Resources account for intersegment sales as if the sales were to third parties, that is, at current market prices.

In accordance with SFAS No. 131, Reliant Energy has identified the following reportable segments: Electric Operations, Natural Gas Distribution, Interstate Pipelines, Wholesale Energy, International and Corporate. Natural Gas Distribution operations consist of natural gas sales to, and natural gas transportation for, residential, commercial and certain industrial customers. Interstate Pipelines conducts interstate natural gas pipeline operations. Wholesale Energy is engaged in the acquisition, development and operation of non-utility power generation facilities, as well as the wholesale energy marketing and natural gas gathering businesses. Corporate includes the Reliant Energy's and Resources' unregulated retail electric services business, certain real estate holdings of Reliant Energy and corporate costs.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

 $\label{final} \mbox{ Financial data for business segments and products and services are as follows:} \\$ 

	DISTRIBUTION	INTERSTATE PIPELINES	WHOLESALE ENERGY	CORPORATE AND OTHER	RECONCILING ELIMINATIONS
			(THOUSANDS OF DOI	LLARS)	
Current Resources As of and for the Year Ended December 31, 1998:					
Revenues from external customers	\$ 1,811,509	\$ 126,988	\$ 4,289,006	\$ 651,741	
Intersegment revenues  Depreciation and amortization  Operating income	1,167 129,777 137,955	155,508 44,025 128,328	167,152 18,204 59,170	97,181 10,527 (43,751)	\$ (421,008)
Total assets Equity investments in and advances to unconsolidated subsidiaries	3,110,718	2,050,636	1,535,007 42,252	1,710,920	(915,895)
Expenditures for additions to long-lived assets	161,735	59,358	365,512	28,077	
Current Resources As of and for the Five Months Ended December 31, 1997:					
Revenues from external customers  Intersegment revenues  Depreciation and amortization  Operating income	892,064 505 51,883 54,502	49,655 58,678 19,088 31,978	1,288,357 76,301 2,633 912	304,878 34,853 6,260 (37,340)	(170,337)
Total assets	3,073,525	2,031,879	777,638	1,749,916	(597,686)
Equity investments in and advances to unconsolidated subsidiaries	0,010,020	2,001,010	3,325	1,140,010	(65.7,666)
Expenditures for additions to long-lived assets	61,078	16,304	14,038	23,899	
Former Resources For the Seven Months Ended July 31, 1997:					
Revenues from external customers Intersegment revenues Depreciation and amortization Operating income	1,309,060 672 56,626 111,934	86,465 100,246 17,230 76,730	1,589,032 88,188 1,629 (13,262)	329,034 35,285 9,416 (20,362)	(224,391)
Expenditures for additions to long-lived assets	69,422	9,619	8,996	7,025	
Former Resources As of and for the Year Ended December 31, 1996 Revenue from external customers Intersegment revenues	2,112,303 1,286	144,752 200,510	2,017,599 178,005	513,808 41,141	(420,942)
Depreciation and amortization Operating income	94,853 178,141	29,172 107,903	2,113 25,978	16,224 2,444	(420, 342)
Expenditures for additions to long-lived assets	116,400	39,900	10,200	10,657	
	ELIMINATION OF NON-RESOURCES OPERATIONS	CONSOLID			
Current Resources As of and for the Year Ended December 31, 1998:	. (/				
Revenues from external customers	\$ (120,832) 	\$ 6,758,4 			
Intersegment revenues  Depreciation and amortization  Operating income	(10,642) 28,603	191,8 310,3			
Total assets Equity investments in and advances to	(835, 865)	6,655,5	21		
unconsolidated subsidiaries	(42,252)				
Expenditures for additions to long-lived assets	(368,778)	245,9	04		

Current Resources As of and for the Five Months Ended December 31, 1997:

Revenues from external customers Intersegment revenues	(8,772)	2,526,182
Depreciation and amortization Operating income	(1,777) 40,704	78,087 90,756
Total assets Equity investments in and advances to	(873,434)	6,161,838
unconsolidated subsidiaries	(3,325)	
Expenditures for additions to long-lived assets	(20,826)	94,493
Former Resources For the Seven Months Ended July 31, 1997:		
Revenues from external customers Intersegment revenues		3,313,591
Depreciation and amortization Operating income		84,901 155,040
Expenditures for additions to		
long-lived assets		95,062
Former Resources As of and for the Year Ended December 31, 1996		
Revenue from external customers Intersegment revenues		4,788,462
Depreciation and amortization Operating income		142,362 314,466
Expenditures for additions to long-lived assets		177,157

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes data for operations conducted and assets owned at the parent corporation level. This data is eliminated for purposes of the consolidated data.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Reconciliation of Operating Income to Net Income:

sales..... Gas transport..... Energy products and services.....

operations.....

Total.....\$

Elimination of non-Resources

	CURRENT RESOURCES  YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998	FIVE MONTHS SE		YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1996
		(THOUSANDS	OF DOLLARS)	
Operating income	(111, 337) (632)	(47, 490) (279)	(78,660)	(132, 557) (5, 842)
Other income (expense)	7,318	2,243	7,210	(18,857)
Net income	\$ 93,824 ========	\$ 20,847	\$ 45,875 ========	\$ 90,858
Revenues by Products and Services:				
	CURRENT RESOURCES	CURRENT RESOURCES	FORMER RESOURCES	FORMER RESOURCES
	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998	FIVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1997		
		(THOUSANDS	OF DOLLARS)	
Retail power sales Retail gas sales Wholesale energy and energy related				2,570,708
sales	4.248.181	1,271,746	1.562.842	1.975.400

4,248,181 167,812

91,165

(120,832)

1,271,746 66,265 40,325

(8,772)

\$ 6,758,412 \$ 2,526,182 \$ 3,313,591 \$ 4,788,462

1,562,842 112,655

40,809

1,975,400 186,952 54,995

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

# (10) ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

	DECEMBER 31,							
-	1998	1						
	CARRYING AMOUNT	FAIR VALUE	CARRYING AMOUNT		FAIR VALUE			
Financial Assets of Resources:								
Energy Derivatives - non-trading Financial Liabilities of Resources:	\$	\$	\$ 9,399	\$	13,060			
Long-term debt  Trust preferred securities  Energy Derivatives - non-trading	1,513,289 1,157	1,746,641 1,467 8,166	1,148,848 21,290		1,147,344 24,569			
Interest rate swaps		,			755			

The fair values of cash and short-term investments, marketable equity securities, short-term and other notes payable are estimated to be equivalent to carrying amounts. The remaining fair values have been determined using quoted market prices of the same or similar securities when available or other estimation techniques.

The fair value of financial instruments included in the trading operations of Reliant Energy Services are marked-to-market at December 31, 1998 (see Note 2). Therefore, they are stated at fair value and are excluded from the table above.

# (11) UNAUDITED QUARTERLY INFORMATION

The following unaudited quarterly financial information includes, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (which comprise only normal recurring accruals) necessary for a fair presentation. Quarterly results are not necessarily indicative of a full year's operations because of seasonality and other factors, including rate increases and variations in operating expense patterns.

The Merger was recorded under the purchase method of accounting, resulting in new carrying values for certain of Resources' assets, liabilities and equity based on preliminary analysis. The new basis is reflected in Resources' Consolidated Financial Statements beginning with the Acquisition Date. (For additional information; see Note 1(b).)

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998					
	FIRST QUARTER(1)	FOURTH QUARTER(1)				
		(THOUSANDS	OF DOLLARS)			
Operating Revenues Operating Income (loss) Net income (loss)(2)	143,494	\$ 1,380,488 15,734 \$ (4,872)	\$ 1,927,120 23,653 \$ (2,586)	\$ 1,696,280 127,424 \$ 39,455		

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1997									
		FORMER RESOURCES			CURRENT RESOURCES			CES		
	FIRST QUARTER		SECOND QUARTER			E MONTH ENDED ULY 31, 1997	TWO MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 36 1997			OURTH QUARTER
		(Ti			THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)					
Operating Revenues Operating Income (loss)(4)	\$	1,898,851 145,221	\$:	1,017,232 36,616	\$	397,508 (26,797)	\$	748,915 10,781	\$1,	777,267 79,975
extraordinary item		68,410 237		702		(23,237)		(6,646)		27,493
Net income (loss)(4)	\$	68,647	\$	702	\$	(23,237)	\$	(6,646)	\$	27,493

- -----

<sup>(1)</sup> First, second and third quarter of 1998 have been restated for the change in accounting principle to mark-to-market accounting. For further discussion see Note  $\mathbf{1}(r)$ .

<sup>(2)</sup> Before preferred dividend requirement.

<sup>(3)</sup> Net gain (loss) on early retirement of debt, less taxes.

<sup>(4)</sup> Includes a pre-tax charge of \$22.3 million associated with early retirement and severance costs.

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Reliant Energy Resources Corp.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Reliant Energy Resources Corp. (formerly NorAm Energy Corp.) and its subsidiaries (Resources) as of December 31, 1998 and 1997, and the related statements of consolidated income, consolidated stockholders' equity and comprehensive income and consolidated cash flows for the year ended December 31, 1998, the five months ended December 31, 1997 and the seven months ended July 31, 1997. Our audits also included the Resources' financial statement schedule listed in Item 14(a)(4) for the years ended December 31, 1998 and 1997. These financial statements and the financial statement schedule are the responsibility of Resources' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and the financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Reliant Energy Resources Corp. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 1998 and 1997, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year ended December 31, 1998, the five months ended December 31, 1997 and the seven months ended July 31, 1997 in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas February 25, 1999 Reliant Energy Resources Corp.

We have audited the consolidated statements of income, cash flows and change in stockholders' equity and comprehensive income and the financial statement schedule of Reliant Energy Resources Corp. and Subsidiaries (Resources) as of December 31, 1996 and for the year then ended as listed in Item 14(a)(2) and Item 14(a)(4) of this Form 10-K. These financial statements and the financial statement schedule are the responsibility of Resources' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and the financial statement schedule based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated results of Resources' operations and their cash flows for the year ended December 31, 1996 in conformity with general accepted accounting principles. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule referred to above, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information required to be included therein.

COOPERS & LYBRAND L.L.P.

Houston, Texas March 25, 1997 ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND ETNANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

None.

#### PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY AND RESOURCES.

- (a) The Company. The information called for by Item 10, to the extent not set forth under Item 1 "Business --Executive Officers of the Company," is or will be set forth in the definitive proxy statement relating to the Company's 1999 annual meeting of shareholders pursuant to the Commission's Regulation 14A. Such definitive proxy statement relates to a meeting of shareholders involving the election of directors and the portions thereof called for by Item 10 are incorporated herein by reference pursuant to Instruction G to Form 10-K.
- (b) Resources. The information called for by Item 10 with respect to Resources is omitted pursuant to Instruction I(2)(a) to Form 10-K (Omission of Information by Certain Wholly-Owned Subsidiaries).

#### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

- (a) The Company. The information called for by Item 11 is or will be set forth in the definitive proxy statement relating to the Company's 1999 annual meeting of shareholders pursuant to the Commission's Regulation 14A. Such definitive proxy statement relates to a meeting of shareholders involving the election of directors and the portions thereof called for by Item 11 are incorporated herein by reference pursuant to Instruction G to Form 10-K.
- (b) Resources. The information called for by Item 11 with respect to Resources is omitted pursuant to Instruction I(2)(a) to Form 10-K (Omission of Information by Certain Wholly-Owned Subsidiaries).
- ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT.
- (a) The Company. The information called for by Item 12 is or will be set forth in the definitive proxy statement relating to the Company's 1999 annual meeting of shareholders pursuant to the Commission's Regulation 14A. Such definitive proxy statement relates to a meeting of shareholders involving the election of directors and the portions thereof called for by Item 12 are incorporated herein by reference pursuant to Instruction G to Form 10-K.
- (b) Resources. The information called for by Item 12 with respect to Resources is omitted pursuant to Instruction I(2)(a) to Form 10-K (Omission of Information by Certain Wholly-Owned Subsidiaries).

# ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS.

- (a) The Company. The information called for by Item 13 is or will be set forth in the definitive proxy statement relating to the Company's 1999 annual meeting of shareholders pursuant to the Commission's Regulation 14A. Such definitive proxy statement relates to a meeting of shareholders involving the election of directors and the portions thereof called for by Item 13 are incorporated herein by reference pursuant to Instruction G to Form 10-K.
- (b) Resources. The information called for by Item 13 with respect to Resources is omitted pursuant to Instruction I(2)(a) to Form 10-K (Omission of Information by Certain Wholly-Owned Subsidiaries).

# ITEM 14. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.

	PAGE
(a)(1) Company Financial Statements. Statements of Consolidated Income for the Three Years Ended December 31, 1998	58
Three Years Ended December 31, 1998	59 60 62 65 67 108
Statements of Consolidated Income for the Year Ended December 31, 1998, the Five Months Ended December 31, 1997, the Seven Months Ended July 31, 1997 and the Year Ended December 31, 1996  Consolidated Statements of Stockholder's Equity and Comprehensive Income for the Year Ended December 31, 1998, the Five Months Ended December 31, 1997, the Seven Months Ended July 31, 1997	113
and the Year Ended December 31, 1996	114 115
December 31, 1997, the Seven Months Ended July 31, 1997 and the Year Ended December 31, 1996  Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	117 119 146
II Reserves	150
II Reserves	151
(a)(5) Exhibits	155
THE COMPANY: Form 8-K (Item 5) dated October 13, 1998, and filed on October 31, 1998 Form 8-K (Item 5) dated January 26, 1999, and filed February 1, 1999 Form 8-K (Item 5) dated February 23, 1999, and filed February 26, 1999	

RESOURCES: Form 8-K (Item 5) dated November 5, 1998, and filed on November 11, 1998

# HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED D/B/A RELIANT ENERGY, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES

# SCHEDULE II -- RESERVES FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998 (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

		COLUM	IN C		
COLUMNIA	COLUMN B	ADDIT	IONS	COLUMN D	COLUMN E
COLUMN A  DESCRIPTION	BALANCE AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	CHARGED TO INCOME	CHARGED TO OTHER ACCOUNTS	DEDUCTIONS FROM RESERVES	BALANCE AT END OF PERIOD
Year Ended December 31, 1998: Accumulated provisions deducted from related assets on balance sheet: Uncollectible accounts	. \$15,344	\$10,018		\$10,931	\$14,431
Property insurance	. 3,181	2,187 2,724 693		3,573 3,408 2,273	(4,953) 2,497 200
Year Ended December 31, 1997: Accumulated provisions deducted from related assets on balance sheet:					
Uncollectible accounts		5,625	\$15,404	5,685 33,159	15,344 0
Property insuranceInjuries and damagesNon-regulated project contingencies	. 1,128	2,187 5,215		5,824 3,162 516	(3,567) 3,181 1,780
Year Ended December 31, 1996: Accumulated provisions deducted from related assets on balance sheet:					
Uncollectible advances	. 27,412	5,015	732		33,159
Property insuranceInjuries and damagesNon-regulated project contingencies	. 1,523	2,187 3,156 2,929	(633)	3,551	70 1,128 2,296

# Notes:

- (a) Deductions from reserves represent losses or expenses for which the  $\,$ respective reserves were created. In the case of the uncollectible accounts reserve, such deductions are net of recoveries of amounts previously written off.
- (b) Charged to other account represents the provision for uncollectible accounts acquired in the August 1997 merger with Resources.

# SCHEDULE II -- RESERVES FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998 (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

С	0	L	U	Μ	N		С	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

COLUMN A	COLUMN B	ADDIT	TIONS	COLUMN D	COLUMN E
DESCRIPTION	BALANCE AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	CHARGED TO INCOME	CHARGED TO OTHER ACCOUNTS	DEDUCTIONS FROM RESERVES	BALANCE AT END OF PERIOD
Reserves which are deducted in the balance sheet from assets to which they apply: (a) Allowance for Doubtful Accounts Receivable					
Year ended December 31, 1998 Year ended December 31, 1997 Year ended December 31, 1996 (b) Deferred Tax Assets Valuation Allowance	\$15,344 \$13,023 \$11,117	\$10,018 \$13,245 \$12,364	\$2,383 \$3,189	\$10,931 \$13,307 \$13,647	\$14,431 \$15,344 \$13,023
Year ended December 31, 1998 Year ended December 31, 1997 Year ended December 31, 1996	\$ 6,353 \$ 6,761 \$ 6,188	\$ 2,238 \$ 2,539 \$ 573		\$ 2,947	\$ 8,591 \$ 6,353 \$ 6,761

- -----

# Notes:

(a) Deductions from reserves represent losses or expenses for which the respective reserves were created. In the case of the uncollectible accounts reserve, such deductions are net of recoveries of amounts previously written off.

# SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Houston and State of Texas, on the 18th day of March, 1999.

HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED (Registrant)

By: /s/ DON D. JORDAN

-----

Don D. Jordan Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on March 18, 1999.

SIGNATURE	TITLE			
/s/ DON D. JORDAN	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Director			
(Don D. Jordan)	(Principal Executive Officer)			
/s/ STEPHEN W. NAEVE	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer			
(Stephen W. Naeve)	(Principal Financial Officer)			
/s/ MARY P. RICCIARDELLO	Vice President and Comptroller			
(Mary P. Ricciardello)	(Principal Accounting Officer)			
/s/ JAMES A. BAKER	Director			
(James A. Baker)				
/s/ RICHARD E. BALZHISER	Director			
(Richard E. Balzhiser)				
/s/ MILTON CARROLL	Director			
(Milton Carroll)				
/s/ JOHN T. CATER	Director			
(John T. Cater)				
/s/ 0. HOLCOMBE CROSSWELL	Director			
(O. Holcombe Crosswell)				
/s/ ROBERT J. CRUIKSHANK	Director			
(Robert J. Cruikshank)				
/s/ LINNET F. DEILY	Director			
(Linnet F. Deily)				

157 /s/ JOSEPH M. GRANT	Director
(Joseph M. Grant)	
/s/ ROBERT C. HANNA	Director
(Robert C. Hanna)	
/s/ LEE W. HOGAN	Director
(Lee W. Hogan)	
/s/ T. MILTON HONEA	Director
(T. Milton Honea)	
/s/ R. STEVE LETBETTER	Director
(R. Steve Letbetter)	
/s/ ALEXANDER F. SCHILT	Director
(Alexander F. Schilt)	
/s/ BERTRAM WOLFE	Director
(Bertram Wolfe)	

# **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Houston and State of Texas, on the 18th day of March, 1999.

RELIANT ENERGY RESOURCES CORP. (Registrant)

By: /s/ DON D. JORDAN

Don D. Jordan Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on March 18, 1999.

SIGNATURE	TITLE		
/s/ DON D. JORDAN (Don D. Jordan)	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer)		
/s/ MARY P. RICCIARDELLO (Mary P. Ricciardello)	Vice President and Comptroller (Principal Accounting Officer)		
/s/ STEPHEN W. NAEVE (Stephen W. Naeve)	Sole Director		

# HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED D/B/A RELIANT ENERGY, INCORPORATED RELIANT ENERGY RESOURCES CORP.

# EXHIBITS TO THE COMBINED ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998

# INDEX OF EXHIBITS

Exhibits not incorporated by reference to a prior filing are designated by a cross (+); all exhibits not so designated are incorporated herein by reference to a prior filing as indicated. Exhibits designated by an asterisk (\*) are management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements required to be filed as exhibits to this Form 10-K by Item 601(10)(iii) of Regulation S-K.

# (A) HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REPORT OR REGISTRATION STATEMENT	SEC FILE OR REGISTRATION NUMBER	EXHIBIT REFERENCE
2(a)(1)	Agreement and Plan of Merger among the Company, Houston Lighting & Power ("HL&P"), HI Merger, Inc. and	HI's Form 8-K dated August 11, 1996	1-7629	2
2(a)(2)	NorAm dated August 11, 1996  Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger among the Company, HL&P, HI Merger, Inc. and NorAm dated August 11, 1996	Registration Statement on Form S-4	333-11329	2(c)
3(a)	Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Company, restated as of September 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	3(a)
3(b)	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company, as of September 1998	Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998	1-3187	3
3(c)	Statement of Resolution Establishing Series of Shares designated Series C Preference Stock	Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1998	1-3187	3
4(a)(1)	Mortgage and Deed of Trust, dated November 1, 1944 between HL&P and Chase Bank of Texas, National Association (formerly, South Texas Commercial National Bank of Houston), as Trustee as amended and supplemented by 20 Supplemental Indentures thereto	Form S-7 of HL&P filed on August 25, 1977	2-59748	2(b)

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REPORT OR REGISTRATION STATEMENT	SEC FILE OR REGISTRATION NUMBER	EXHIBIT REFERENCE
4(a)(2)	Twenty-First through Fiftieth Supplemental Indentures to Exhibit $4(a)(1)$	HL&P's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989	1-3187	4(a)(2)
4(a)(3)	Fifty-First Supplemental Indenture to Exhibit 4(a)(1) dated as of March 25, 1991	HL&P's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1991	1-3187	4(a)
4(a)(4)	Fifty-Second through Fifty-Fifth Supplemental Indentures to Exhibit 4(a)(1) each dated as of March 1, 1992	HL&P's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1992	1-3187	4
4(a)(5)	Fifty-Sixth and Fifty-Seventh Supplemental Indentures to Exhibit 4(a)(1) each dated as of October 1, 1992	HL&P's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1992	1-3187	4
4(a)(6)	Fifty-Eighth and Fifty-Ninth Supplemental Indentures to Exhibit 4(a)(1) each dated as of March 1, 1993	HL&P's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1993	1-3187	4
4(a)(7)	Sixtieth Supplemental Indenture to Exhibit 4(a)(1) dated as of July 1, 1993	HL&P's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1993	1-3187	4
4(a)(8)	Sixty-First through Sixty-Third Supplemental Indentures to Exhibit 4(a)(1) each dated as of December 1, 1993	HL&P's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993	1-3187	4(a)(8)
4(a)(9)	Sixty-Fourth and Sixty-Fifth Supplemental Indentures to Exhibit 4(a)(1) each dated as of July 1, 1995	HL&P's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995	1-3187	4(a)(9)
4(a)(10)	Junior Subordinated Trust Debenture Indenture, dated as of February 1, 1997, between the Company and The Bank of New York, as Trustee	HL&P's Form 8-K dated February 4, 1997	1-3187	4.1

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REPORT OR REGISTRATION STATEMENT	SEC FILE OR REGISTRATION NUMBER	EXHIBIT REFERENCE
4(a)(11)	Supplemental Indenture No. 1 to Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of February 1, 1997, providing for issuance of the Company's 8.125% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures, Series A due March 31, 2046, including form of 8.125% junior subordinated interest debenture, Series A	HL&P's Form 8-K dated February 4, 1997	1-3187	4.2-A
4(a)(12)	Supplemental Indenture No. 2 to Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of February 1, 1997, providing for issuance of 8.257% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures, Series B (due February 1, 2037), including form of junior subordinated interest debenture, Series B	HL&P's Form 8-K dated February 4, 1997	1-3187	4.2-B
4(a)(13)	Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated as of February 4, 1997, of HL&P Capital Trust I, including form of Preferred Security and Agreement as to Expenses and Liabilities	HL&P's Form 8-K dated February 4, 1997	1-3187	4.3-A
4(a)(14)	Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated as of February 4, 1997, of HL&P Capital Trust II, including form of Capital Security of HL&P Capital Trust II and Agreement as to Expenses and Liabilities	HL&P's Form 8-K dated February 4, 1997	1-3187	4.3-B
4(a)(15)	Guarantee Agreement relating to Capital Trust I dated as of February 4, 1997	HL&P's Form 8-K dated February 4, 1997	1-3187	4.6-A
4(a)(16)	Guarantee Agreement relating to Capital Trust II dated as of February 4, 1997	HL&P's Form 8-K dated February 4, 1997	1-3187	4.6-B
4(a)(17)	Form of Indenture governing 7% Automatic Common Exchange Securities due July 1, 2000 between the Company and the First National Bank of Chicago, as Trustee	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1997	1-7629	4

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REPORT OR REGISTRATION STATEMENT	SEC FILE OR REGISTRATION NUMBER	EXHIBIT REFERENCE
4(b)(1)	Rights Agreement, dated July 11, 1990, between the Company and Texas Commerce Bank National Association, as Rights Agent (Rights Agent), which includes form of Statement of Resolution Establishing Series of Shares designated Series A Preference Stock and form of Rights Certificate	HI's Form 8-K dated July 11, 1990	1-7629	4(a)(1)
4(b)(2)	Agreement and Appointment of Agent, dated as of July 11, 1990, between the Company and the Rights Agent	HI's Form 8-K dated July 11, 1990	1-7629	4(a)(2)
4(b)(3)	Form of Amended and Restated Rights Agreement executed on August 6, 1997, including form of Statement of Resolution Establishing Series Shares Designated Series A Preference Stock and form of Rights Agreement	Registration Statement on Form S-4	333-11329	4(b)(1)
4(c)	Indenture, dated as of April 1, 1991, between the Company and quarter ended June 30, 1991 NationsBank of Texas, National Association, as Trustee	HI's Form 10-Q for the	1-7629	4(b)
4(d)(1)	Credit Agreement, dated as of August 6, 1997, by and among Houston Industries FinanceCo LP, HI, Chase Manhattan Bank and the other banks named therein	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1997	1-7629	10(f)
4(d)(2)	First Amendment to Exhibit 4(d)(1) dated as of December 27, 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	4(d)(1)
4(d)(3)	Second Amendment to Exhibit 4(d)(1) dated as of February 27, 1998	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	4(d)(2)
+4(d)(4)	Third Amendment to Exhibit 4(d)(1) dated as of November 9 , 1998			

Pursuant to Item 601(b)(4)(iii)(A) of Regulation S-K, the Company has not filed as exhibits to this Form 10-K certain long-term debt instruments, including indentures, under which the total amount of securities authorized do not exceed 10 percent of the total assets of the Company and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. The Company hereby agrees to furnish a copy of any such instrument to the SEC upon request.

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REPORT OR REGISTRATION STATEMENT	SEC FILE OR REGISTRATION NUMBER	EXHIBIT REFERENCE
*10(a)	Executive Benefit Plan of the Company and First and Second Amendments thereto effective as of June 1, 1982, July 1, 1984, and May 7, 1986, respectively	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1987		10(a)(1), 10(a)(2), and 10(a)(3)
*10(b)(1)	Executive Incentive Compensation Plan of the Company effective as of January 1, 1982	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991	1-7629	10(b)
*10(b)(2)	First Amendment to Exhibit 10(b)(1) effective as of March 30, 1992	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1992	1-7629	10(a)
*10(b)(3)	Second Amendment to Exhibit 10(b)(1) effective as of November 4, 1992	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992	1-7629	10(b)
*10(b)(4)	Third Amendment to Exhibit 10(b)(1) effective as of September 7, 1994	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994	1-7629	10(b)(4)
*10(b)(5)	Fourth Amendment to Exhibit 10(b)(1) effective as of August 6, 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	10(b)(5)
*10(c)(1)	Executive Incentive Compensation Plan of the Company effective as of January 1, 1985	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1987	1-7629	10(b)(1)
*10(c)(2)	First Amendment to Exhibit 10(c)(1) effective as of January 1, 1985	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988	1-7629	10(b)(3)
*10(c)(3)	Second Amendment to Exhibit 10(c)(1) effective as of January 1, 1985	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991	1-7629	10(c)(3)
*10(c)(4)	Third Amendment to Exhibit 10(c)(1) effective as of March 30, 1992	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1992	1-7629	10(b)
*10(c)(5)	Fourth Amendment to Exhibit 10(c)(1) effective as of November 4, 1992	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992	1-7629	10(c)(5)

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REPORT OR REGISTRATION STATEMENT	SEC FILE OR REGISTRATION NUMBER	EXHIBIT REFERENCE
*10(c)(6)	Fifth Amendment to Exhibit 10(c)(1) effective as of September 7, 1994	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994	1-7629	10(c)(6)
*10(c)(7)	Sixth Amendment to Exhibit 10(c)(1) effective as of August 6, 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	10(c)(7)
*10(d)	Executive Incentive Compensation Plan of Houston Lighting & Power Company effective as of January 1, 1985	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1987	1-7629	10(b)(2)
*10(e)(1)	Executive Incentive Compensation Plan of the Company effective as of January 1, 1989	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1989	1-7629	10(b)
*10(e)(2)	First Amendment to Exhibit 10(e)(1) effective as of January 1, 1989	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991	1-7629	10(e)(2)
*10(e)(3)	Second Amendment to Exhibit 10(e)(1) effective as of March 30, 1992	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1992	1-7629	10(c)
*10(e)(4)	Third Amendment to Exhibit 10(e)(1) effective as of November 4, 1992	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992	1-7629	10(c)(4)
*10(e)(5)	Fourth Amendment to Exhibit 10(e)(1) effective as of September 7, 1994	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994	1-7629	10(e)(5)
*10(f)(1)	Executive Incentive Compensation Plan of the Company effective as of January 1, 1991	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990	1-7629	10(b)
*10(f)(2)	First Amendment to Exhibit 10(f)(1) effective as of January 1, 1991	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991	1-7629	10(f)(2)
*10(f)(3)	Second Amendment to Exhibit 10(f)(1) effective as of March 30, 1992	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1992	1-7629	10(d)
*10(f)(4)	Third Amendment to Exhibit 10(f)(1) effective as of November 4, 1992	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992	1-7629	10(f)(4)

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REPORT OR REGISTRATION STATEMENT	SEC FILE OR REGISTRATION NUMBER	EXHIBIT REFERENCE
*10(f)(5)	Fourth Amendment to Exhibit 10(f)(1) effective as of January 1, 1993	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992	1-7629	10(f)(5)
*10(f)(6)	Fifth Amendment to Exhibit 10(f)(1) effective in part, January 1, 1995, and in part, September 7, 1994	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994	1-7629	10(f)(6)
*10(f)(7)	Sixth Amendment to Exhibit 10(f)(1) effective as of August 1, 1995	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1995	1-7629	10(a)
*10(f)(8)	Seventh Amendment to Exhibit 10(f)(1) effective as of January 1, 1996	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1996	1-7629	10(a)
*10(f)(9)	Eighth Amendment to Exhibit 10(f)(1) effective as of January 1, 1997	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1997	1-7629	10(a)
*10(f)(10)	Ninth Amendment to Exhibit 10(f)(1) effective in part, January 1, 1997, and in part, January 1, 1998	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	10(f)(10)
*10(g)	Benefit Restoration Plan of the Company, effective as of June 1, 1985	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1987	1-7629	10(c)
*10(h)	Benefit Restoration Plan of the Company as amended and restated effective as of January 1, 1988	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991	1-7629	10(g)(2)
*10(i)(1)	Benefit Restoration Plan of the Company, as amended and restated effective as of July 1, 1991	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991	1-7629	10(g)(3)
*10(i)(2)	First Amendment to Exhibit 10(i)(1) effective in part, August 6, 1997, in part, September 3, 1997, 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997 and in part, October 1,	1-3187	10(i)(2)
*10(j)(1)	Deferred Compensation Plan of the Company effective as of September 1, 1985	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1987	1-7629	10(d)

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REPORT OR REGISTRATION STATEMENT	SEC FILE OR REGISTRATION NUMBER	EXHIBIT REFERENCE
*10(j)(2)	First Amendment to Exhibit 10(j)(1) effective as of September 1, 1985	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990	1-7629	10(d)(2)
*10(j)(3)	Second Amendment to Exhibit 10(j)(1) effective as of March 30, 1992	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1992	1-7629	10(e)
*10(j)(4)	Third Amendment to Exhibit 10(j)(1) effective as of June 2, 1993	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993	1-7629	10(h)(4)
*10(j)(5)	Fourth Amendment to Exhibit 10(j)(1) effective as of September 7, 1994	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994	1-7629	10(h)(5)
*10(j)(6)	Fifth Amendment to Exhibit 10(j)(1) effective as of August 1, 1995	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1995	1-7629	10(d)
*10(j)(7)	Sixth Amendment to Exhibit 10(j)(1) effective as of December 1, 1995	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1995	1-7629	10(b)
*10(j)(8)	Seventh Amendment to Exhibit 10(j)(1) effective as of January 1, 1997	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1997	1-7629	10(b)
*10(j)(9)	Eighth Amendment to Exhibit 10(j)(1) effective as of September 1, 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	10(j)(9)
*10(j)(10)	Ninth Amendment to Exhibit 10(j)(1) effective as of September 3, 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	10(j)(10)
*10(k)(1)	Deferred Compensation Plan of the Company effective as of January 1, 1989	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1989	1-7629	10(a)
*10(k)(2)	First Amendment to Exhibit 10(k)(1) effective as of January 1, 1989	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989	1-7629	10(e)(3)
*10(k)(3)	Second Amendment to Exhibit 10(k)(1) effective as of March 30, 1992	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1992	1-7629	10(f)

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REPORT OR REGISTRATION STATEMENT	SEC FILE OR REGISTRATION NUMBER	EXHIBIT REFERENCE
*10(k)(4)	Third Amendment to Exhibit 10(k)(1) effective as of June 2, 1993	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993	1-7629	10(i)(4)
*10(k)(5)	Fourth Amendment to Exhibit 10(k)(1) effective as of September 7, 1994	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994	1-7629	10(i)(5)
*10(k)(6)	Fifth Amendment to Exhibit 10(k)(1) effective as of August 1, 1995	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1995	1-7629	10(c)
*10(k)(7)	Sixth Amendment to Exhibit 10(k)(1) effective December 1, 1995	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1995	1-7629	10(c)
*10(k)(8)	Seventh Amendment to Exhibit 10(k)(1) effective as of January 1, 1997	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1997	1-7629	10(c)
*10(k)(9)	Eighth Amendment to Exhibit 10(k)(1) effective in part October 1, 1997 and in part January 1, 1998	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	10(k)(9)
*10(k)(10)	Ninth Amendment to Exhibit 10(k)(1) effective as of September 3, 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	10(k)(10)
*10(1)(1)	Deferred Compensation Plan of the Company effective as of January 1, 1991	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990	1-7629	10(d)(3)
*10(1)(2)	First Amendment to Exhibit 10(1)(1) effective as of January 1, 1991	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991	1-7629	10(j)(2)
*10(1)(3)	Second Amendment to Exhibit 10(1)(1) effective as of March 30, 1992	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1992	1-7629	10(g)
*10(1)(4)	Third Amendment to Exhibit 10(1)(1) effective as of June 2, 1993	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993	1-7629	10(j)(4)
*10(1)(5)	Fourth Amendment to Exhibit 10(1)(1) effective as of December 1, 1993	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993	1-7629	10(j)(5)

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REPORT OR REGISTRATION STATEMENT	SEC FILE OR REGISTRATION NUMBER	EXHIBIT REFERENCE
*10(1)(6)	Fifth Amendment to Exhibit 10(1)(1) effective as of September 7, 1994	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994	1-7629	10(j)(6)
*10(1)(7)	Sixth Amendment to Exhibit 10(1)(1) effective as of August 1, 1995	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1995	1-7629	10(b)
*10(1)(8)	Seventh Amendment to Exhibit 10(1)(1) effective as of December 1, 1995	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1996	1-7629	10(d)
*10(1)(9)	Eighth Amendment to Exhibit 10(1)(1) effective as of January 1, 1997	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1997	1-7629	10(d)
*10(1)(10)	Ninth Amendment to Exhibit 10(1)(1) effective in part August 6, 1997, in part October 1, 1997, an	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997 nd in part January 1, 1998	1-3187	10(1)(10)
*10(1)(11)	Tenth Amendment to Exhibit 10(1)(1) effective as of September 3, 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	10(i)(11)
*10(m)(1)	Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan of the Company effective as of January 1, 1989	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1989	1-7629	10(c)
*10(m)(2)	First Amendment to Exhibit 10(m)(1) effective as of January 1, 1990	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989	1-7629	10(f)(2)
*10(m)(3)	Second Amendment to Exhibit 10(m)(1) effective as of December 22, 1992	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992	1-7629	10(k)(3)
*10(m)(4)	Third Amendment to Exhibit 10(m)(1) effective as of August 6, 1997	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	10(m)(4)
*10(n)	Form of stock option agreement for nonqualified stock options granted under the Company's 1989 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1992	1-7629	10(h)

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REGISTRATION STATEMENT	REGISTRATION NUMBER	EXHIBIT REFERENCE
*10(0)	Forms of restricted stock agreement for restricted stock granted under the Company's 1989 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1992	1-7629	10(i)
*10(p)(1)	1994 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan of the Company effective as of January 1, 1994	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993	1-7629	10(n)(1)
*10(p)(2)	Form of stock option agreement for non-qualified stock options granted under the Company's 1994 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993	1-7629	10(n)(2)
*10(p)(3)	First Amendment to Exhibit 10(p)(1) effective as of May 9, 1997	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1997	1-7629	10(e)
*10(p)(4)	Second Amendment to Exhibit 10(p)(1) effective as of August 6, 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	10(p)(4)
+*10(p)(5)	Third Amendment to Exhibit 10(p)(1) effective as of January 1, 1998			
*10(q)(1)	Savings Restoration Plan of the Company effective as of January 1, 1991	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990	1-7629	10(f)
*10(q)(2)	First Amendment to Exhibit 10(q)(1) effective as of January 1, 1992	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991	1-7629	10(1)(2)
*10(q)(3)	Second Amendment to Exhibit 10(q)(1) effective in part, August 6, 1997, and in part, October 1, 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	10(q)(3)
*10(r)(1)	Director Benefits Plan, effective as of January 1, 1992	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991	1-7629	10(m)
+*10(r)(2)	First Amendment to Exhibit 10(r)(1) effective as of August 6, 1997			

REPORT OR

SEC FILE OR

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REPORT OR REGISTRATION STATEMENT	SEC FILE OR REGISTRATION NUMBER	EXHIBIT REFERENCE
*10(s)(1)	Executive Life Insurance Plan of the Company effective as of January 1, 1994	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993	1-7629	10(q)
*10(s)(2)	First Amendment to Exhibit 10(s)(1) effective as of January 1, 1994	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1995	1-7629	10
*10(s)(3)	Second Amendment to Exhibit 10(s)(1) effective as of August 6, 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	10(s)(3)
*10(t)	Employment and Supplemental Benefits Agreement between HL&P and Hugh Rice Kelly	HI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1987	1-7629	10(f)
10(u)(1)	Houston Industries Incorporated Savings Trust between the Company and The Northern Trust Company, as Trustee (as amended and restated effective July 1, 1995)	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995	1-7629	10(s)(4)
10(u)(2)	Note Purchase Agreement between the Company and the ESOP Trustee, dated as of October 5, 1990	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990	1-7629	10(j)(3)
10(v)(1)	Stockholder's Agreement dated as of July 6, 1995 between the Company and Time Warner Inc.	Schedule 13-D dated July 6, 1995	5-19351	2
10(v)(2)	Registration Rights Agreement dated as of July 6, 1995 between the Company and Time Warner Inc.	Schedule 13-D dated July 6, 1995	5-19351	3
10(v)(3)	Amendment to Exhibits $10(v)(1)$ and $10(v)(2)$ dated November 18, 1996	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996	1-7629	10(x)(4)
10(v)(4)	Certificate of Voting Powers, Designations, Preferences and Relative Participating, Optional or Other Special Rights, and Qualifications, Limitations or Restrictions Thereof of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock of Time Warner Inc.	Schedule 13-D dated July 6, 1995	5-19351	4

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REPORT OR REGISTRATION STATEMENT	SEC FILE OR REGISTRATION NUMBER	EXHIBIT REFERENCE
*10(w)(1)	Houston Industries Incorporated Executive Deferred Compensation Trust, effective as of December 19, 1995	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995	1-7629	10(7)
*10(w)(2)	First Amendment to Exhibit 10(w)(1) effective as of August 6, 1997	Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1998	1-3187	10
*10(x)	Supplemental Pension Agreement, dated July 17, 1996, between the Company and Lee W. Hogan	Registration Statement on Form S-4	333-11329	10(aa)
*10(y)	Consulting Agreement, dated January 14, 1997, between the Company and Milton Carroll	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996	1-7629	10(bb)
*10(z)(1)	Employment Agreement, dated February 25, 1997, between the Company and Don D. Jordan	HI's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996	1-7629	10(cc)
10(z)(2)	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated November 7, 1997, between the Company and Don D. Jordan	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	10(z)(2)
*10(aa)(1)	Executive Severance Benefits Plan of the Company and Summary Plan Description effective as of September 3, 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	10(aa)(1)
*10(aa)(2)	Form of Severance Agreements between the Company and each of the following executive officers: Lee W. Hogan, Hugh Rice Kelly, R. Steve Letbetter, and Stephen W. Naeve	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	10(aa)(2)
+*10(aa)(3)	Form of Severance Agreements, between the Company and each of the following executive officers: David M. McClanahan, Charles M. Oglesby, Joe Bob Perkins, and Mary P. Ricciardello			

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REGISTRATION STATEMENT
+*10(bb)	Employment Agreement, dated as of February 16, 1998, between the Company and Charles M. Oglesby, and Waiver and Release pertaining thereto	
+*10 (c)(c)	Fifth Amendment to the Houston Industries Incorporated Savings Plan effective as of October 15, 1997	
+*10 (d)(d)	Fourth Amendment to the Houston Industries Energy, Inc. Long-Term Project Incentive Compensation Plan, effective as of January 1, 1997	
+*10 (e)(e)	First Amendment to the Houston Industries Incorporated Stock Plan for Outside Directors, effective as of August 6, 1997	
+12	Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges	
+21	Subsidiaries of the Company	
+23	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP	
+27	Financial Data Schedule	
+99(a)	Letter, dated February 2, 1999, from Secretary of State of the State of Texas regarding Assumed Name filed by Houston Industries Incorporated to conduct business under the name Reliant Energy, Incorporated	

SEC FILE OR REGISTRATION NUMBER

EXHIBIT REFERENCE

REPORT OR

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REPORT OR REGISTRATION STATEMENT	SEC FILE OR REGISTRATION NUMBER	EXHIBIT REFERENCE
2(a)(1)	Agreement and Plan of Merger among the Company, HL&P, HI Merger, Inc. and NorAm dated August 11, 1996	HI's Form 8-K dated August 11, 1996	1-7629	2
2(a)(2)	Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger among the Company, HL&P, HI Merger, Inc. and NorAm dated August 11, 1996	Registration Statement on Form S-4	333-11329	2(c)
3(a)(1)	Certificate of Incorporation of Resources	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	3(a)(1)
3(a)(2)	Certificate of Merger merging former NorAm Energy Corp. with and into HI Merger, Inc. dated August 6, 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	3(a)(2)
+3(a)(3)	Certificate of Amendment changing the name to Reliant Energy Resources Corp.			
3(b)	Bylaws of Resources	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	3(b)
4(a)(1)	Indenture, dated as of December 1, 1986, between NorAm and Citibank, N.A., as Trustee	NorAm's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1986	1-13265	4.14
4(a)(2)	First Supplemental Indenture to Exhibit 4(a)(1) dated as of September 30, 1988	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	4(a)(2)
4(a)(3)	Second Supplemental Indenture to Exhibit 4(a)(1) dated as of November 15, 1989	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	4(a)(3)
4(a)(4)	Third Supplemental Indenture to Exhibit 4(a)(1) dated as of August 6, 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	4(a)(4)
4(b)(1)	Indenture, dated as of March 31, 1987, between NorAm and Chase Manhattan Bank, N.A., as Trustee, authorizing 6% Convertible Subordinated Debentures due 2012	NorAm's Registration Statement on Form S-3	33-14586	4.20

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REPORT OR REGISTRATION STATEMENT	SEC FILE OR REGISTRATION NUMBER	EXHIBIT REFERENCE			
4(b)(2)	Supplemental Indenture to Exhibit 4(b)(1) dated as of August 6, 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	4(b)(2)			
4(c)(1)	Indenture, dated as of April 15, 1990, between NorAm and Citibank, N.A., as Trustee	NorAm's Registration Statement on Form S-3	33-23375	4.1			
4(c)(2)	Supplemental Indenture to Exhibit 4(c)(1) dated as of August 6, 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	4(c)(2)			
4(d)(1)	Form of Indenture between NorAm and	NorAm's Registration	33-64001	4.8			
4(d)(2)	The Bank of New York as Trustee Form of First Supplemental Indenture to Exhibit 4(d)(1)	Statement on Form S-3 NorAm's Form 8-K dated June 10, 1996	1-13265	4.01			
4(d)(3)	Second Supplemental Indenture to Exhibit 4(d)(1) dated as of August 6, 1997	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997	1-3187	4(d)(3)			
4(e)	Indenture, dated as of December 1, 1997, between Resources and Chase Bank of Texas, National Association	Registration Statement on Form S-3	333-41017	4.1			
4(f)(1)	Indenture, dated as of February 1, 1998, between the Company and Chase Bank of Texas, National Association, as Trustee	Form 8-K dated February 5, 1998	1-13265	4.1			
4(f)(2)	Supplemental Indenture No. 1, dated as of February 1, 1998, providing for the issuance of the Company's 6 1/2% Debentures due February 1, 2008	Form 8-K dated February 5, 1998	1-13265	4.2			

There have not been filed as exhibits to this Form 10-K certain long-term debt instruments, including indentures, under which the total amount of securities do not exceed 10 percent of the total assets of Resources. Resources hereby agrees to furnish a copy of any such instrument to the SEC upon request.

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REPORT OR REGISTRATION STATEMENT	SEC FILE OR REGISTRATION NUMBER	EXHIBIT REFERENCE					
10(a)	Service Agreement by and between Mississippi River Transmission Corporation and Laclede Gas Company dated August 22, 1989	NorAm's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989	1-13265	10.20					
+12	Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges								

REPORT OR SEC FILE OR
EXHIBIT
NUMBER DESCRIPTION STATEMENT NUMBER REFERENCE

+27 Financial Data Schedule

171

### THIRD AMENDMENT TO CREDIT AGREEMENT

THIS THIRD AMENDMENT, dated as of November 9, 1998 (this "Amendment"), to the Credit Agreement dated as of August 6, 1997 (as amended by the First Amendment to the Credit Agreement dated as of December 23, 1997 and the Second Amendment to the Credit Agreement dated as of February 27, 1998 (the "Second Amendment") and as the same may be amended, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the "Credit Agreement"), is made and entered into among: (i) HOUSTON INDUSTRIES FINANCECO LP, a Delaware limited partnership (the "Borrower"); (ii) HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED, a Texas corporation ("HII"); (iii) the several banks and other financial institutions from time to time parties thereto (collectively, the "Banks," and each individually; a "Bank"); (iv) CHASE SECURITIES INC., as Arranger (in such capacity, the "Arranger"); and (v) THE CHASE MANHATTAN BANK, as Administrative Agent (in such capacity, the "Agent").

### WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Credit Agreement, the Banks have agreed to make certain loans and other extensions of credit to the Borrower; and

WHEREAS, the Borrower has requested that the Credit Agreement be amended to, among other things, modify the negative covenant relating to the ratio of HII's Consolidated Indebtedness for Borrowed Money to Consolidated Capitalization:

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and of the mutual agreements, representations and warranties herein set forth, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and adequacy of which is hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto hereby agree as follows:

#### SECTION 1. DEFINITIONS.

 $\,$  1.1 Defined Terms. Unless otherwise defined herein and except as set forth in this Amendment, terms defined in the Credit Agreement are used herein as therein defined.

### SECTION 2. AMENDMENTS TO THE CREDIT AGREEMENT.

2.1 Amendment to Section 1.1 of the Credit Agreement. Section 1.1 of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended by deleting from the definition of "Security Documents" the words ", the Pledge and Collateral Agency Agreement" (the Pledge And Collateral Agency Agreement having been terminated pursuant to the Second Amendment).

2.2 Amendment to Section 8.4(b) of the Credit Agreement. Section 8.4(b) of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended to read in its entirety as follows:

"(b) Financial Ratios. HII will not permit the ratio of Consolidated Indebtedness for Borrowed Money to Consolidated Capitalization to exceed 0.65:1.00."

2.3 Amendment to Section 8.4.(g)(i) of the Credit Agreement. Section 8.4(g)(i) of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended by reinserting the phrase "of HII so long as the source of payment therefor is received by such Subsidiary" in the ninth line thereof immediately following the phrase "advances or Guarantees made by any Subsidiary" (it being understood that such reinserted text was inadvertently omitted in the Second Amendment), so that such Section shall read in its entirety as follows:

"(i) at any time (x) at which no Default or Event of Default has occurred and is continuing, (y) that Projected Available Cash exceeds Projected Borrower Debt Service for the fiscal quarter of HII then in effect and (z) that the long-term senior secured debt rating in effect for HII is at least BBB by S&P or Baa2 by Moody's, HII shall be permitted to make direct or indirect HII Investments in Subsidiaries of HII, and HII Investments constituting purchases or acquisitions of assets, securities or Capital Stock that result, upon consummation thereof, in such assets, securities or Capital Stock being owned by or becoming Subsidiaries of HII (it being understood that the foregoing shall not apply to any investments, acquisitions, loans, advances or Guarantees made by any Subsidiary of HII so long as the source of payment therefor is received by such Subsidiary in accordance with this clause (i) and the other applicable provisions of this Agreement); provided that the requirements set forth in clauses (x) and (y) above would be satisfied after giving effect to (1) such HII Investments and (2) any sources of cash available or reasonably expected by HII at the time of the proposed investment to be available during the fiscal quarter of HII then in effect;"

## SECTION 3. MISCELLANEOUS.

- 3.1 Effectiveness and References. This Amendment shall become effective on the date upon which the Agent shall have received counterparts of this Amendment, duly executed and delivered by the Borrower, HII, the Agent and the Majority Banks. On and after said effective date, the term "Agreement" as used in the Credit Agreement, the other loan documents executed in connection therewith, and any other instrument, document, or writing furnished to the Banks, the Agent, or the Arranger by the Borrower shall mean the Credit Agreement, as amended hereby.
- 3.2 Representations and Warranties. After giving effect to the amendments contained herein, each of the Borrower and HII hereby confirm, reaffirm and restate the representations and warranties set forth in Article VII of the Credit Agreement; provided that each reference in such Article VII to "this Agreement" shall be deemed to be a reference both to this Amendment and to the Credit Agreement as previously amended and as amended by this Amendment. On the date hereof, no Default or Event of Default has occurred or is continuing.

- 3.3 Payment of Expenses. The Borrower agrees to pay or reimburse the Agent for all of its out-of-pocket costs and reasonable expenses incurred in connection with this Amendment, any other documents prepared in connection herewith and the transactions contemplated hereby, including, without limitation, the reasonable fees and disbursements of counsel to the Agent.
- 3.4 Continuing Effect; No Other Amendments. Except as expressly amended hereby, all of the terms and provisions of the Credit Agreement and the other Loan Documents (as may have been previously amended) are and shall remain in full force and effect. The amendments contained herein shall not constitute an amendment or waiver of any other provision of the Credit Agreement or the other Loan Documents except as expressly set forth herein.
- 3.5 Counterparts. This Amendment may be executed in any number of counterparts by the parties hereto, each of which counterparts when so executed shall be an original, but all the counterparts shall together constitute one and the same instrument.
- 3.6 GOVERNING LAW. THIS AMENDMENT SHALL BE GOVERNED BY, AND CONSTRUED AND INTERPRETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH, THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Amendment to be executed and delivered by their respective duly authorized officers as of the date first above written.

HOUSTON INDUSTRIES FINANCECO LP

By: HOUSTON INDUSTRIES FINANCECO GP, LLC, its General Partner

ву:
Title:
HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED
Ву:
Title:

By:
Title:
BANKBOSTON, N.A.
Ву:
Title:
BANK OF MONTREAL
ву:
Title:
THE BANK OF NEW YORK
By:
Title:
THE BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA
D
By:
Title:
THE BANK OF TOKYO-MITSUBISHI LTD., HOUSTON AGENCY
Ву:
Title:

THE CHASE MANHATTAN BANK, as Agent and as a Bank

## BARCLAYS BANK PLC

ву:
Title:
ODEDIT ACRICALE TARROUTZ
CREDIT AGRICOLE INDOSUEZ
Ву:
Title:
Ву:
Title:
CIBC INC.
Ву:
Title:
CITIBANK, N.A.
Ву:
Title:
COMERICA BANK
Ву:
Title:

COMMERZBANK AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT, ATLANTA AGENCY

ву:
Title:
ву:
Title:
CREDIT LYONNAIS NEW YORK BRANCH
Ву:
Title:
CREDIT SUISSE FIRST BOSTON
Ву:
Title:
By:
Title:
THE DAI-ICHI KANGYO BANK, LIMITED
Ву:
Title:
FIRST UNION NATIONAL BANK
By:
Title:

6

FLEET NATIONAL BANK
Ву:
Title:
THE FUJI BANK, LIMITED - HOUSTON AGENCY
By:
Title:
THE INDUSTRIAL BANK OF JAPAN, LIMITED, NEW YORK BRANCH
Ву:
Title:
THE LONG-TERM CREDIT BANK OF JAPAN, LTD.
Ву:
Title:
MELLON BANK, N.A.
By:
Title:
MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK
Ву:

NATIONSBANK, N.A. (SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO NATIONSBANK OF TEXAS, N.A.)
By:
Title:
THE NORTHERN TRUST COMPANY
Ву:
Title:
ROYAL BANK OF CANADA
By:
Title:
THE SAKURA BANK, LIMITED
By:
Title:
SOCIETE GENERALE, SOUTHWEST AGENCY
By:
Title:
THE SUMITOMO BANK, LIMITED
By:
Title:

THE TOKAI BANK, LTD.
By: Title:
TORONTO DOMINION (TEXAS), INC.
By:
Title:
UBS AG, NEW YORK BRANCH
Ву:
Title:
ву:
Title:
WACHOVIA BANK OF GEORGIA, N.A.
Ву:
Title:
WESTDEUTSCHE LANDESBANK GIROZENTRALE, NEW YORK BRANCH
Ву:
Title:
By:
Title:

a

THE YASUDA TRUST AND BANKING COMPANY LIMITED NEW YORK BRANCH

By:
Title:

10

## 1994 HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED LONG-TERM INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLAN

#### Third Amendment

Houston Industries Incorporated, a Texas corporation (the "Company"), having established the 1994 Houston Industries Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan, effective January 1, 1994, and as thereafter amended (the "Plan"), and having reserved the right under Section 12.1 thereof to amend the Plan, does hereby amend the Plan, effective as of January 1, 1998, subject to the approval of the shareholders of the Company, as follows:

1. The first two sentences of Section 3.2 of the Plan are hereby amended to read as follows:

"The aggregate number of shares of Common Stock which may be issued under this Plan shall not exceed Eighteen Million (18,000,000) shares, subject to adjustment as hereinafter provided. All or any part of such Eighteen Million shares may be issued pursuant to Stock Awards."

2. The last sentence of Section 3.2 of the Plan is hereby amended in its entirety to read as follows:

"Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, no Key Employee may be granted, during any calendar year, (i) Options (including Stock Appreciation Rights) covering, in the aggregate, more than 500,000 shares of Common Stock authorized under the Plan or (ii) Restricted Stock Awards (including 'opportunity shares') covering, in the aggregate, more than 50,000 shares of Common Stock authorized under the Plan, in each case subject to adjustment in the same manner provided in Section 13.3."

2

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Houston Industries Incorporated has caused this Amendment to be executed by its duly authorized officers, this 13th day of May, 1998, but effective as of January 1, 1998.

HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED

By /s/ R. STEVE LETBETTER

ATTEST:

/s/ RICHARD B. DAUPHIN

# HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED DIRECTOR BENEFITS PLAN

(Effective January 1, 1992)

### First Amendment

Houston Industries Incorporated, a Texas corporation (the "Company"), having adopted the Houston Industries Incorporated Director Benefits Plan, effective January 1, 1992 (the "Plan"), and having reserved the right under Section 6.01 thereof to amend the Plan, does hereby amend the Plan, effective as of August 6, 1997, to read as follows:

"Full years of service completed while a director of NorAm Energy Corp., any predecessor thereto, or any division or subsidiary of NorAm Energy Corp., or while a director of any 'advisory board' of NorAm Energy Corp. or its subsidiaries or divisions, will be included in the calculation of full years of service under the Plan."

- 2. New Section 4.04 is added to the Plan at the end of Article IV to read as follows:
  - "4.04 Benefit Offset. Notwithstanding any provision hereto to the contrary, the annual benefit amount payable to a Director calculated under Section 4.01 hereof shall be reduced by the amount of any annual benefit that the Director receives under the NorAm Energy Corp. Directors' Retirement Plan."

2

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Houston Industries Incorporated has caused this Amendment to be executed by its duly authorized officers this 26th day of February, 1998, but effective as of August 6, 1997.

HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED

Ву			/	S	/		L	E	Ε	M	I.		Н	0	G	A	N																			
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_				_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Name: Lee W. Hogan

Title: Executive Vice President

------

ATTEST:

/s/ RICHARD B. DAUPHIN

### FORM OF SEVERANCE AGREEMENT

THIS SEVERANCE AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is made and effective as of the 3rd day of September, 1997, by and between HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED, a Texas corporation having its principal place of business in Houston, Harris County, Texas, and \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, an individual currently residing in Harris County, Texas ("Executive"). All terms defined in Section 1 shall, throughout this Agreement, have the meanings given therein.

#### DEFINITIONS:

"AFFILIATE" means any company controlled by, controlling or under common control with the Company within the meaning of Section 414 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code").

"BOARD" means the board of directors of the Company.

"CAUSE" means Executive's (a) gross negligence in the performance of Executive's duties, (b) intentional and continued failure to perform Executive's duties, (c) intentional engagement in conduct which is materially injurious to the Company or its Affiliates (monetarily or otherwise) or (d) conviction of a felony or a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude. For this purpose, an act or failure to act on the part of Executive will be deemed "intentional" only if done or omitted to be done by Executive not in good faith and without reasonable belief that his/her action or omission was in the best interest of the Company, and no act or failure to act on the part of Executive will be deemed "intentional" if it was due primarily to an error in judgment or negligence.

"CHANGE IN EMPLOYMENT" shall mean any one or more of the

## following:

- (a) a significant reduction in the duties or responsibilities of Executive from those applicable to him/her immediately prior to the date on which a Change of Control occurs (or, in the case of an Anticipatory Change in Employment, immediately prior to the date of the Binding CIC Agreement);
- (b) a reduction in Executive's total remuneration (including salary, bonus, qualified retirement benefits, nonqualified benefits, welfare benefits and any other employee benefits) from that provided to Executive immediately prior to the date on which a Change of Control occurs (or, in the case of an Anticipatory Change in Employment, immediately prior to the date of the Binding CIC Agreement); provided, however, that a contemporaneous diminution of or reduction in qualified retirement benefits and/or welfare benefits which is of general application and which uniformly and contemporaneously reduces or diminishes the benefits of all covered employees by the same percentage shall be ignored and not be considered a reduction in total remuneration for purposes of this paragraph (b);

- (c) a change in the location of Executive's principal place of employment with the Company by more than 35 miles from the location where Executive was principally employed immediately prior to the date on which a Change of Control occurs (or, in the case of an Anticipatory Change in Employment, immediately prior to the date of the Binding CIC Agreement); or
- (d) a failure by the Company to provide directors and officers liability insurance covering Executive comparable to that provided to Executive immediately prior to the date on which a Change of Control occurs (or, in the case of an Anticipatory Change in Employment, immediately prior to the date of the Binding CIC Agreement).

A "CHANGE OF CONTROL" or "CIC" shall be deemed to have occurred upon the  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left$ 

- (a) 30% OWNERSHIP CHANGE: Any Person makes an acquisition of Outstanding Voting Stock and is, immediately thereafter, the beneficial owner of 30% or more of the then Outstanding Voting Stock, unless such acquisition is made directly from the Company in a transaction approved by a majority of the Incumbent Directors; or any group is formed that is the beneficial owner of 30% or more of the Outstanding Voting Stock; or
- (b) BOARD MAJORITY CHANGE: Individuals who are Incumbent Directors cease for any reason to constitute a majority of the members of the Board; or
- MAJOR MERGERS AND ACOUISITIONS: Consummation of a Business Combination unless, immediately following such Business Combination, (i) all or substantially all of the individuals and entities that were the beneficial owners of the Outstanding Voting Stock immediately prior to such Business Combination beneficially own, directly or indirectly, more than 70% of the then outstanding shares of voting stock of the parent corporation resulting from such Business Combination in substantially the same relative proportions as their ownership, immediately prior to such Business Combination, of the Outstanding Voting Stock, (ii) if the Business Combination involves the issuance or payment by the Company of consideration to another entity or its shareholders, the total fair market value of such consideration plus the principal amount of the consolidated long-term debt of the entity or business being acquired (in each case, determined as of the date of consummation of such Business Combination by a majority of the Incumbent Directors) does not exceed 50% of the sum of the fair market value of the Outstanding Voting Stock plus the principal amount of the Company's consolidated long-term debt (in each case, determined immediately prior to such consummation by a majority of the Incumbent Directors), (iii) no Person (other than any corporation resulting from such Business Combination) beneficially owns, directly or indirectly, 30% or more of the then outstanding shares of voting stock of the parent corporation resulting from such Business Combination and (iv) a majority of the members of the board of directors of the parent corporation resulting from such Business Combination were Incumbent

Directors of the Company immediately prior to consummation of such Business Combination; or

(d) MAJOR ASSET DISPOSITIONS: Consummation of a Major Asset Disposition unless, immediately following such Major Asset Disposition, (i) individuals and entities that were beneficial owners of the Outstanding Voting Stock immediately prior to such Major Asset Disposition beneficially own, directly or indirectly, more than 70% of the then outstanding shares of voting stock of the Company (if it continues to exist) and of the entity that acquires the largest portion of such assets (or the entity, if any, that owns a majority of the outstanding voting stock of such acquiring entity) and (ii) a majority of the members of the board of directors of the Company (if it continues to exist) and of the entity that acquires the largest portion of such assets (or the entity, if any, that owns a majority of the outstanding voting stock of such acquiring entity) were Incumbent Directors of the Company immediately prior to consummation of such Major Asset Disposition.

For purposes of the foregoing,

- $\hbox{ (1)} \qquad \qquad \hbox{the term "Person" means an individual, entity or group; }$
- (2) the term "group" is used as it is defined for purposes of Section 13(d)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act");
- (3) the term "beneficial owner" is used as it is defined for purposes of Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act;
- (4) the term "Outstanding Voting Stock" means outstanding voting securities of the Company entitled to vote generally in the election of directors; and any specified percentage or portion of the Outstanding Voting Stock (or of other voting stock) shall be determined based on the combined voting power of such securities;
- (5) the term "Incumbent Director" means a director of the Company (x) who was a director of the Company on September 1, 1997 or (y) who becomes a director subsequent to such date and whose election, or nomination for election by the Company's shareholders, was approved by a vote of a majority of the Incumbent Directors at the time of such election or nomination, except that any such director shall not be deemed an Incumbent Director if his or her initial assumption of office occurs as a result of an actual or threatened election contest or other actual or threatened solicitation of proxies by or on behalf of a Person other than the Board;
- (6) the term "election contest" is used as it is defined for purposes of Rule 14a-11 under the Exchange Act;

- (7) the term "Business Combination" means (x) a merger or consolidation involving the Company or its stock or (y) an acquisition by the Company, directly or through one or more subsidiaries, of another entity or its stock or assets;
- (8) the term "parent corporation resulting from a Business Combination" means the Company if its stock is not acquired or converted in the Business Combination and otherwise means the entity which as a result of such Business Combination owns the Company or all or substantially all the Company's assets either directly or through one or more subsidiaries; and
- (9) the term "Major Asset Disposition" means the sale or other disposition in one transaction or a series of related transactions of 70% or more of the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis; and any specified percentage or portion of the assets of the Company shall be based on fair market value, as determined by a majority of the Incumbent Directors.

"COMPANY" means Houston Industries Incorporated and any successor thereto.

"COMPENSATION" means the sum of Executive's annual salary plus Target Bonus plus Restricted Stock Award, determined immediately prior to (a) the date on which a Change of Control occurs (or, in the case of an Anticipatory Change in Employment, immediately prior to the date of the Binding CIC Agreement) or (b) the time of his Covered Termination, whichever is greater.

"COVERED TERMINATION" means any termination of Executive's employment with the Company or any Affiliate thereof, within three years after the date upon which a Change of Control occurs, which:

- (a) results from a resignation by Executive during a Covered Termination Window; or
- (b) does not result from any of (i) death, (ii) disability entitling Executive to benefits under the Company's long-term disability plan, (iii) termination on or after age 65, (iv) termination for Cause or (v) resignation by Executive (except as described in (a) above).

For this purpose, should a Change in Employment occur (x) after the execution of a binding agreement to effect a Change of Control (a "Binding CIC Agreement") and (y) in preparation for or in contemplation of the Change of Control (an "Anticipatory Change in Employment"), the Change in Employment shall be treated as if it occurred immediately after the Change of Control. Any Change in Employment occurring as described in part (x) of the preceding sentence shall be presumed to satisfy part (y) and be an Anticipatory Change in Employment unless the Company shall disprove such presumption through clear and convincing evidence.

"COVERED TERMINATION WINDOW" means the 61-day period commencing on the date the Executive is subjected to a Change in Employment or, if later, the date that the Executive becomes aware that he/she has been subjected to a Change in Employment.

"RESTRICTED STOCK AWARD" means a cash amount equal to the maximum amount (stated as a percentage of salary) granted to Executive as a Restricted Stock Award under the Company's Long Term Incentive Compensation Plan (or any successor plan) in the applicable calendar year.

"SEVERANCE AMOUNT" means an amount equal to Executive's Compensation multiplied by 3.

"TARGET BONUS" means Executive's target incentive opportunity under the Houston Industries Incorporated Executive Incentive Compensation Plan (or any successor plan) in effect for the year with respect to which the Target Bonus is being determined or, if no such plan is then in effect, for the last year in which such a plan was in effect, expressed as a dollar amount based upon Executive's annual salary for the year of such determination.

"WAIVER AND RELEASE" means a legal document, in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A or such other form as may be prescribed by the Company, but which form may not be altered, amended or modified after execution of a Binding CIC Agreement without the consent of the Executive, in which Executive, in exchange for severance benefits described in Section 2, among other things, releases the Company, the Affiliates, their directors, officers, employees and agents, their employee benefit plans and the fiduciaries and agents of said plans from liability and damages in any way related to Executive's employment with or separation from the Company or any of its Affiliates.

"WELFARE BENEFIT COVERAGE" shall mean each of life insurance, medical, dental and vision benefits.

- 2. SEVERANCE BENEFITS: If Executive (a) experiences a Covered Termination, (b) executes and returns to the Company a Waiver and Release within a time period prescribed by the Company following the date of Executive's Covered Termination, and (c) does not revoke such Waiver and Release within seven days after the date of execution, then Executive shall be entitled to receive, as additional compensation for services rendered to the Company (including its Affiliates), the following severance benefits:
  - (a) CASH LUMP SUM: A lump-sum cash payment in an amount equal to Executive's Severance Amount, which shall be made within 15 days after expiration of the seven-day Waiver and Release revocation period.
  - (b) WELFARE BENEFIT COVERAGES: If, at any time during the 36-month period following the date of Covered Termination, Executive is not eligible as a retiree of the Company or its Affiliates for any Welfare Benefit Coverage, Executive shall be entitled to obtain such Welfare Benefit Coverage for himself/herself and, where applicable, his/her eligible dependents, while ineligible during such period, provided that Executive pays the premiums required of active employees from time to time for such Welfare Benefit Coverage. Such entitlement shall apply only to those Welfare Benefit Coverages that the Company has in effect from time to time for active employees. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if any Welfare Benefit Coverage to which the Executive is entitled under this paragraph cannot be continued during a period

when Executive is not an employee of the Company, the Company shall pay to Executive a lump-sum cash payment in an amount equal to the economic value of such benefit as determined by Deloitte & Touche. Executive's right to any Welfare Benefit Coverage as a retiree shall be governed by the applicable plan document in effect from time to time and shall not be affected by this Agreement.

- (c) OUTPLACEMENT: Reimbursement for fees, up to a maximum amount equal to 15% of Executive's annual base salary as of the date of his Covered Termination, incurred for outplacement services within twelve months of the date of Executive's Covered Termination in connection with Executive's efforts to obtain new employment.
- (d) COMPANY VEHICLE: The option to purchase Executive's company vehicle, within 30 days following Executive's Covered Termination, for an amount equal to its depreciated book value as of the date of Executive's Covered Termination.
- (e) BENEFITS RESTORATION PLAN: Benefits (including "Retirement Plan Restoration Benefits" and "Supplemental Retirement Benefits"), pursuant to the Benefit Restoration Plan(s) sponsored by the Company in which Executive is a participant, in an amount not less than the amount that Executive would have been entitled to receive pursuant to the underlying qualified retirement plan (a) if Executive were fully vested in the underlying qualified retirement plan benefits and (b) had Executive remained in the service of the Company or its Affiliates throughout the three-year period following the Change of Control. The Company agrees to amend the Benefit Restoration Plan(s) to the extent necessary to provide for the payment of these benefits, which shall be offset by, and not in addition to, any benefit actually payable pursuant to the qualified retirement plan.
- (f) FINANCIAL PLANNING: Continued access, for the remainder of the calendar year in which the Covered Termination occurs or for 60 days (if greater), to the financial planning services available to executive employees at the time of the Change of Control to which the Covered Termination relates.
- 3. DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN ADMINISTRATION: Notwithstanding any provision herein or any provision of any Deferred Compensation Plan of the Company to the contrary, the Company and the Board hereby agree to amend the Company's Deferred Compensation Plans upon the occurrence of a Change of Control so that any and all amounts of salary and/or bonus deferred by Executive and held under the Deferred Compensation Plans shall, upon a Covered Termination, remain in said plans earning interest at the rate prescribed therein until paid to or for the benefit of the Executive at such time and in such form as was irrevocably elected in writing by Executive.
- 4. CERTAIN ADDITIONAL PAYMENTS: Anything in this Agreement to the contrary notwithstanding, in the event it shall be determined that any payment or distribution by the Company to or for the benefit of Executive (whether paid or payable or distributed or distributable pursuant to

the terms of this Agreement or otherwise, but determined without regard to any additional payments required under this Section 4 (a "Payment")) would be subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Code or any interest or penalties incurred by Executive with respect to such excise tax (such excise tax, together with any such interest and penalties, are hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Excise Tax"), then Executive shall be entitled to receive an additional payment (a "Gross-Up Payment") in an amount such that after payment (whether through withholding at the source or otherwise) by Executive of all taxes (including any interest or penalties imposed with respect to such taxes), including, without limitation, any income taxes (and any interest and penalties imposed with respect thereto), employment taxes and Excise Tax imposed upon the Gross-Up Payment, Executive retains an amount of the Gross-Up Payment equal to the Excise Tax imposed upon the Payments.

Subject to the provisions of this Section 4, all determinations required to be made under this Section 4, including whether and when a Gross-Up Payment is required and the amount of such Gross-Up Payment and the assumptions to be utilized in arriving at such determination, shall be made by Deloitte & Touche (the "Accounting Firm") which shall provide detailed supporting calculations both to the Company and Executive within 15 business days of the receipt of notice from Executive that there has been a Payment, or such earlier time as is requested by the Company. In the event that the Accounting Firm is serving as accountant or auditor for the individual, entity or group effecting the Change of Control, the Executive shall appoint another nationally recognized accounting firm to make the determinations required hereunder (which accounting firm shall then be referred to as the Accounting Firm hereunder). All fees and expenses of the Accounting Firm shall be borne solely by the Company. Any Gross-Up Payment, as determined pursuant to this Section 4, shall be paid by the Company to Executive within five days of the receipt of the Accounting Firm's determination. If the Accounting Firm determines that no Excise Tax is payable by Executive, it shall furnish Executive with a written opinion that failure to report the Excise Tax on Executive's applicable federal income tax return would not result in the imposition of negligence or similar penalty. Any determination by the Accounting Firm shall be binding upon the Company and Executive. As a result of the uncertainty in the application of Section 4999 of the Code at the time of the initial determination by the Accounting Firm hereunder, it is possible that Gross-Up Payments which will not have been made by the Company should have been made ("Underpayment"), consistent with the calculations required to be made hereunder. In the event that the Company exhausts its remedies pursuant to the following provisions of this Section 4 and the Executive thereafter is required to make a payment of any Excise Tax, the Accounting Firm shall determine the amount of the Underpayment that has occurred and any such Underpayment shall be promptly paid by the Company to or for the benefit of Executive.

Executive shall notify the Company in writing of any claim by the Internal Revenue Service that, if successful, would require the payment by the Company of the Gross-Up Payment. Such notification shall be given as soon as practicable but no later than ten business days after Executive is informed in writing of such claim and shall apprise the Company of the nature of such claim and the date on which such claim is requested to be paid. Executive shall not pay such claim prior to the expiration of the 30-day period following the date on which it gives such notice to the Company (or such shorter period ending on the date that any payment of taxes with respect to such claim is due). If the Company notifies Executive in writing prior to the expiration of such period that it desires to contest such claim, Executive shall:

- (a) give the Company any information reasonably requested by the Company relating to such claim;
- (b) take such action in connection with contesting such claim as the Company shall reasonably request in writing from time to time, including, without limitation, accepting legal representation with respect to such claim by an attorney reasonably selected by the Company;
- (c) cooperate with the Company in good faith in order to effectively contest such claim; and  $\,$
- (d)  $\,\,$  permit the Company to participate in any proceedings relating to such claim;

provided, however, that the Company shall bear and pay directly all costs and expenses (including additional interest and penalties) incurred in connection with such contest and shall indemnify and hold Executive harmless, on an after-tax basis, for any Excise Tax, employment tax or income tax (including interest and penalties with respect thereto) imposed as a result of such representation and payment of costs and expenses. Without limitation of the foregoing provisions of this Section 4, the Company shall control all proceedings taken in connection with such contest and, at its sole option, may pursue or forgo any and all administrative appeals, proceedings, hearings and conferences with the taxing authority in respect of such claim and may, at its sole option, either direct Executive to pay the tax claimed and sue for a refund or contest the claim in any permissible manner, and Executive agrees to prosecute such contest to a determination before any administrative tribunal, in a court of initial jurisdiction and in one or more appellate courts, as the Company shall determine; provided, however, that if the Company directs Executive to pay such claim and sue for a refund, the Company shall advance the amount of such payment to Executive, on an interest-free basis and shall indemnify and hold Executive harmless, on an after-tax basis, from any Excise Tax, employment tax or income tax (including interest or penalties with respect thereto) imposed with respect to such advance or with respect to any imputed income with respect to such advance; and further provided that any extension of the statute of limitations relating to payment of taxes for the taxable year of Executive with respect to which such contested amount is claimed to be due is limited solely to such contested amount. Furthermore, the Company's control of the contest shall be limited to issues with respect to which a Gross-Up Payment would be payable hereunder and Executive shall be entitled to settle or contest, as the case may be, any other issue raised by the Internal Revenue Service or any other taxing authority.

If, after the receipt by Executive of an amount advanced by the Company pursuant to the foregoing provisions of this Section 4, Executive becomes entitled to receive any refund with respect to such claim, Executive shall (subject to the Company complying with the requirements of this Section 4) promptly pay to the Company the amount of such refund (together with any interest paid or credited thereon after taxes applicable thereto). If, after the receipt by Executive of an amount advanced by the Company pursuant to the foregoing provisions of this Section 4, a determination is made that Executive shall not be entitled to any refund with respect to such claim and the Company does not notify Executive in writing of its intent to contest such denial of refund prior to the expiration of 30 days after such determination, then such advance shall be forgiven and

shall not be required to be repaid and the amount of such advance shall offset, to the extent thereof, the amount of Gross-Up Payment required to be paid.

If the Company is obligated to provide the Executive with one or more Welfare Benefit Coverages (or a payment in lieu thereof) pursuant to Section 2(b), and the amount of such benefits or the value of such benefit coverage (or the payment in lieu thereof) (including without limitation any insurance premiums paid by the Company to provide such benefits) is subject to any income, employment or similar tax imposed by federal, state or local law, or any interest or penalties with respect to such tax (such tax or taxes, together with any such interest and penalties, being hereafter collectively referred to as the "Income Tax") because such benefits cannot be provided under a nondiscriminatory health plan described in Section 105 of the Code or for any other reason, the Company will pay to the Executive an additional payment or payments (collectively, an "Income Tax Payment"). The Income Tax Payment will be in an amount such that, after payment by the Executive of all taxes (including any interest or penalties imposed with respect to such taxes), the Executive retains an amount of the Income Tax Payment equal to the Income Tax imposed with respect to such welfare benefits or such welfare benefit coverage.

5. LEGAL FEES AND EXPENSES: It is the intent of the Company that Executive not be required to incur legal fees and the related expenses associated with the interpretation, enforcement or defense of Executive's rights under this Agreement by litigation or otherwise because the cost and expense thereof would detract from the benefits intended to be extended to Executive hereunder. Accordingly, if it should appear to Executive that the Company has failed to comply with any of its obligations under this Agreement or in the event that the Company or any other person takes or threatens to take any action to declare this Agreement void or unenforceable, or institutes any litigation or other action or proceeding designed to deny, or to recover from, the Executive the benefits provided or intended to be provided to Executive hereunder, the Company irrevocably authorizes the Executive from time to time to retain counsel of Executive's choice, at the expense of the Company as hereafter provided, to advise and represent Executive in connection with any such interpretation, enforcement or defense, including without limitation the initiation or defense of any litigation or other legal action, whether by or against the Company or any director, officer, stockholder or other person affiliated with the Company, in any jurisdiction. Notwithstanding any existing or prior attorney-client relationship between the Company and such counsel, the Company irrevocably consents to Executive entering into an attorney-client relationship with such counsel, and in that connection the Company and Executive agree that a confidential relationship will exist between Executive and such counsel. Without respect to whether Executive prevails, in whole or in part, in connection with any of the foregoing, the Company will pay and be solely financially responsible for any and all attorneys' fees and related expenses incurred by Executive in connection with any of the foregoing except to the extent that a final judgment no longer subject to appeal finds that a claim or defense asserted by Executive was frivolous. In such a case, the portion of such fees and expenses incurred by Executive as a result of such frivolous claim or defense shall become Executive's sole responsibility and any funds advanced by the Company or by a Trust created to secure such payment shall be repaid.

In the event a Change of Control occurs, the performance of the Company's obligations under this Section 5 will be funded by amounts deposited or to be deposited in trust pursuant to certain trust agreements to which the Company will be a party providing that the fees and expenses of counsel selected from time to time by Executive pursuant to this Section 5 will be paid, or reimbursed to Executive if paid by Executive, either in accordance with the terms of such trust agreements, or, if not so provided, on a regular, periodic basis upon presentation by Executive to the trustee of a statement or statements prepared by such counsel in accordance with its customary practices. In order to be eligible for payment of expenses directly from the Company, Executive must first exhaust all rights to payment under the trust agreements contemplated immediately above. The pendency of a claim by the Company that a claim or defense of Executive is frivolous or otherwise lacking merit shall not excuse the Company (or the trustee of a Trust contemplated by this Section 5) from making periodic payments of legal fees and expenses until a final judgment is rendered as hereinabove provided. Any failure by the Company to satisfy any of its obligations under this Section 5 will not limit the rights of Executive hereunder. Subject to the foregoing, Executive will have the status of a general unsecured creditor of the Company and will have no right to, or security interest in, any assets of the Company or any Affiliate.

NOTICES: For purposes of this Agreement, notices and all other communications provided for herein shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly given when personally delivered or when mailed by United States registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

> Houston Industries Incorporated If to Company:

P.O. Box 4567 Houston, Texas 77210

ATTENTION: Chairman of the Board

If to Executive:

or to such other address as either party may furnish to the other in writing in accordance herewith, except that notices of changes of address shall be effective only upon receipt.

- APPLICABLE LAW: The validity, interpretation, construction and performance of this Agreement will be governed by and construed in accordance with the substantive laws of the State of Texas, including the Texas statute of limitations, but without giving effect to the principles of conflict of laws of such State.
- SEVERABILITY: If a court of competent jurisdiction determines that any provision of this Agreement is invalid or unenforceable, then the invalidity or unenforceability of that provision shall not affect the validity or enforceability of any other provision of this Agreement and all other provisions shall remain in full force and effect.
- WITHHOLDING OF TAXES: The Company may withhold from any benefits payable under this Agreement all federal, state, city or other taxes as may be required pursuant to any law or governmental regulation or ruling.

- 10. NO EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT: Nothing in this Agreement shall give Executive any rights to (or impose any obligations for) continued employment by the Company or any Affiliate thereof or successor thereto, nor shall it give the Company any rights (or impose any obligations) with respect to continued performance of duties by Executive for the Company or any Affiliate thereof or successor thereto.
- 11. NO ASSIGNMENT; SUCCESSORS: Executive's right to receive payments or benefits hereunder shall not be assignable or transferable, whether by pledge, creation or a security interest or otherwise, whether voluntary, involuntary, by operation of law or otherwise, other than a transfer by will or by the laws of descent or distribution, and in the event of any attempted assignment or transfer contrary to this Section 11 the Company shall have no liability to pay any amount so attempted to be assigned or transferred. This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be enforceable by Executive's personal or legal representatives, executors, administrators, successors, heirs, distributees, devisees and legatees.

This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Company, its successors and assigns (including, without limitation, any company into or with which the Company may merge or consolidate). The Company agrees that it will not effect the sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of its assets unless either (a) the person or entity acquiring such assets or a substantial portion thereof shall expressly assume by an instrument in writing all duties and obligations of the Company hereunder or (b) the Company shall provide, through the establishment of a separate reserve therefor, for the payment in full of all amounts which are or may reasonably be expected to become payable to Executive hereunder.

- 12. PAYMENT OBLIGATIONS ABSOLUTE: Except for the requirement of the Executive to execute and return to the Company a Waiver and Release in accordance with Section 2, the Company's obligation to pay (or cause one of its Affiliates to pay) Executive the amounts and to make the arrangements provided herein shall be absolute and unconditional and shall not be affected by any circumstances, including, without limitation, any set-off, counter-claim, recoupment, defense or other right which the Company (including its Affiliates) may have against him/her or anyone else. All amounts payable by the Company (including its Affiliates hereunder) shall be paid without notice or demand. Executive shall not be obligated to sign an agreement not to compete with the Company or to seek other employment in mitigation of the amounts payable or arrangements made under any provision of this Agreement, and the obtaining of any other employment shall in no event effect any reduction of the Company's obligations to make (or cause to be made) the payments and arrangements required to be made under this Agreement.
- 13. NUMBER AND GENDER: Wherever appropriate herein, words used in the singular shall include the plural and the plural shall include the singular. The masculine gender where appearing herein shall be deemed to include the feminine gender.
- 14. TERM: The effective date of the Agreement is September 3, 1997. The term of this Agreement shall be for a period of three years after such effective date.
- 15. EXTENSION: The Board or the Executive Committee of the Company may, at any time prior to the expiration hereof, extend the term hereof for a period of up to three years from the

 $12\,$  date on which such extension is approved, without any further action on the part of Executive or the Company.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this Agreement to be executed and delivered this  $\_\_\_$  day of November, 1997, but effective as of the day and year first above written.

HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED

Don D. Jordan, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer EXECUTIVE

#### EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT

THIS EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is made and effective as of the 16th day of February, 1998, by and between HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED, a Texas corporation having its principal place of business in Houston, Harris County, Texas ("Company"), and CHARLES OGLESBY, an individual currently residing in Harris County, Texas ("Executive").

- 1. Employment of Executive: In consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements herein contained, the Company and Executive wish to establish a three year Employment Agreement retaining Executive's services as described herein, establishing certain incentive, tenure and performance criteria related to such employment and otherwise fixing Executive's benefits, base salary and incentive compensation on a basis comparable to that of other members of senior management of the Company. A principal objective of this Agreement is to facilitate the full integration of Executive into the senior management structure of the Company.
- 2. Term and Extent of Services: During the Term, as defined below, Executive shall be employed as President and Chief Operating Officer of Houston Industries Trading & Transportation Group ("HITTG") and as Senior Vice President of the Company and shall have all of the rights, powers and duties associated with those positions. During the Term, Executive agrees to devote his services full-time to the business of the Company and to perform to the best of his ability and with reasonable diligence the duties and responsibilities assigned to him by the appropriate management of the Company. The term hereof shall commence February 16, 1998 (the "Effective Date") and shall continue thereafter through February 15, 2001 (the "Term").

## 3. Compensation and Benefits:

- (a) Salary: During the Term, Executive's salary shall be not less than 375,000 per year, and shall be increased during the Term at the same time and on the same basis as other senior executives.
- (b) Benefits: During the Term, the Executive shall be eligible to participate in all of the Company's general and executive compensation and benefit plans on a basis comparable to other similarly situated members of senior management, including the Houston Industries Incorporated Executive Severance Benefits Plan, the current form of Agreement for which is annexed hereto as Enclosure 1.
- (c) Supplemental Retirement Benefit: If the aggregate monthly retirement benefit actually payable to Executive from all defined benefit pension plans and supplemental executive retirement plans in which the Executive participates as of the Effective Date, or any successor plans thereto (the "Actual Benefit"), when calculated in the form of a single life annuity, is less than \$2,619.24 commencing at age 52, or \$6,084.18 commencing at or after age 62, prorated for commencement at any age between 52 and 62 (the "Guaranteed Benefit"), the Company shall pay a supplemental retirement benefit to the Executive from its general assets in the amount of the

difference between the Actual Benefit and the Guaranteed Benefit. Any supplemental retirement benefit payable hereunder shall be paid in such form and at such times as the Actual Benefit is paid.

- 4. Additional Incentive Compensation: The Company recognizes Executive's area of responsibility as a strategically critical one for its future growth in the converging businesses of gas and electric transportation, supply and services. The HITTG division of the Company provides a full range of energy and risk management services through pipeline, gathering, trading, and marketing affiliates. The successful direction of these activities is essential. Additionally, the Company and its competitors continue to encounter significant difficulty attracting and retaining personnel at all levels in these fields, which has placed additional importance on personnel retention and management of this division. Therefore, as additional incentive for the Executive to remain in the employ of the Company during the Term and to use his best efforts to enhance the value of the Company during the Term, the Company shall pay to the Executive a special two year Retention Bonus. The Retention Bonus shall be paid in three installments: \$475,000 on February 15, 1999, \$400,000 on August 15, 1999, and \$400,000 on February 15, 2000.
- 5. Termination of Employment: Should Executive's employment terminate prior to the end of the Term, the following provisions of this Section 5 shall govern the rights of the Executive under this Agreement:
- (a) Termination Due to Death: In the event the Executive's employment terminates during the Term as a result of the Executive's death, the Company agrees (i) to pay all compensation that would have been payable to the Executive under Sections 3(a) and 4 hereof during the remainder of the Term (had the Executive's employment continued during the remainder of the Term) to the Executive's beneficiary or beneficiaries under the group life insurance plan then sponsored by the Company and (ii) to continue to provide, during the remainder of the Term, all welfare benefit coverages that were provided under Section 3(b) to the Executive's legal spouse and children on the date of his death.
- (b) Termination Due to Disability: In the event the Executive's employment is terminated during the Term due to his disability within the meaning of any long-term disability plan maintained by the Company and covering the Executive as of the date of Executive's disability, the Company agrees (i) to pay Executive all compensation that would have been payable to the Executive under Sections 3(a) and 4 hereof during the remainder of the Term (had the Executive's employment continued during the remainder of the Term) and (ii) to continue to provide, during the remainder of the Term, all welfare benefit coverages that were provided under Section 3(b) to or in respect of the Executive on the date of his disability, in addition to the benefits payable under the long-term disability plan; provided, however, that any salary due under clause (i) of this sentence shall be reduced by the amount of any long-term disability benefit actually paid to the Executive during the Term. It is the intention of this paragraph (b) that the total of disability payments and base salary paid to the Executive during the Term shall equal but not exceed the Executive's base salary payable during the Term.
- (c) Termination by the Company for Cause: Any termination of the Executive's employment by the Company for Cause shall be authorized by a vote of at least a majority of the members of the Board and effected by written notice to the Executive within 12 months of a majority

of the members of the Board having actual knowledge of the event or circumstances providing a basis for such termination. In the event the Company terminates the Executive's employment during the Term for Cause, he shall be entitled to:

- (i) his base salary through the date of the termination of his employment for Cause;
- (ii) any other amounts earned, accrued or owing as of the date of termination of employment but not yet paid as compensation by the Company under Section 3(b) above; and
- (iii) other benefits for which he is eligible in accordance with applicable plans or programs of the Company.

In addition, should Executive elect to contest any claimed discharge for Cause, he may demand the immediate payment, as liquidated damages and not as a penalty, of any unpaid Retention Bonuses and tender to the Company an executed release in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A to Enclosure 1, in which event Company shall make such payment forthwith and release Executive from all claims it may have had against Executive; provided, however, that Company shall be required to make payment upon receipt of such executed release but shall not be required to cross release Executive from any damage claims alleged to have resulted from intentional or morally corrupt conduct of a type not insurable under commercially-available Directors' and Officers liability policies.

"CAUSE" means Executive's (i) Gross Negligence in the performance of his duties, (ii) intentional and continued failure to perform his duties, (iii) intentional engagement in conduct which is materially injurious to the Company or its affiliates (monetarily or otherwise) or (iv) conviction of a felony or a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude. For this purpose, an act or failure to act on the part of Executive will be deemed "intentional" only if done or omitted to be done by Executive not in good faith and without reasonable belief that his/her action or omission was in the best interest of the Company, and no act or failure to act on the part of Executive will be deemed "intentional" if it was due primarily to an error in judgment or ordinary negligence.

"GROSS NEGLIGENCE" as used herein carries the meaning used in Texas law as of the Effective Date, which requires a specific intent by Executive to cause substantial damage to the Company or an act or omission which, when viewed objectively from the standpoint of the Executive at the time in question, involves an extreme degree of risk, considering the probability and magnitude of the potential harm to the Company; and of which the Executive has actual, subjective awareness of the risk involved, but nevertheless proceeds with conscious indifference to the rights or welfare of the Company.

(d) Termination Without Cause or Voluntarily with Good Reason: In the event that, during the Term, the Company terminates the Executive's employment without Cause (other than due to disability or death) or the Executive voluntarily terminates employment for Good Reason, the Company agrees to pay Executive all amounts that would have been payable hereunder and to continue to provide all benefit coverages provided hereunder to the end of the Term. The failure of the Executive to terminate employment upon the occurrence of Good Reason as to any one event

constituting Good Reason shall not affect his entitlement to terminate his employment as to any other such event.

## "GOOD REASON" means:

- (i) the assignment to the Executive of any duties inconsistent with the Executive's employment position contemplated by Section 2, or any other action by the Company which results in a diminution in the authority, duties or responsibilities attendant to such position, excluding any action not taken in bad faith that is remedied by the Company promptly after receipt of notice thereof from the Executive;
- (ii) any failure by the Company to comply with any of the provisions of Section 3(a), other than any failure not occurring in bad faith that is remedied by the Company promptly after receipt of notice thereof from the Executive;
- (iii) relocation, without the Executive's consent,
  of the Executive's principal office to any office or
  location more than 50 miles from the principal office of
  the Executive on the Effective Date; or
- (iv) any failure by the Company to comply with and satisfy the final paragraph of Section 11, provided that the successor described in Section 11 has received at least ten days' prior written notice from the Company or the Executive of the requirements of Section 11.
- (e) Voluntary Termination: Upon 30 days' prior notice to the Company, the Executive may voluntarily terminate his employment with the Company. A voluntary termination pursuant to this Section 5(e) shall not include termination under Section 5(a), 5(b) or 5(d) above, and shall not be deemed a breach of the Agreement by the Executive. In the event the Executive voluntarily terminates his employment, he shall be entitled to:
  - (i) his base salary through the date of the termination of his employment;
  - (ii) any other amounts earned, accrued or owing as of the date of termination of employment but not yet paid as compensation by the Company under Section 3(b) above; and
  - (iii) other benefits for which he is eligible in accordance with applicable plans or programs of the  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Company}}$  .
- (f) Certain Benefit Calculations: Upon termination of employment pursuant to Section 5(b) or 5(d), for purposes of any eligibility and benefit determinations under all benefit plans maintained by the Company and applicable to the Executive or his beneficiaries upon such termination, and for purposes of eligibility for retiree medical coverage, (i) Executive will be credited with service for the period remaining in the Term (the "Remaining Term"), (ii) Executive's age on the last day of the Term shall be deemed to have been his age at the date of actual termination of

employment, and (iii) payments made pursuant to Sections 3(a) and 4 shall be treated as employment compensation paid during the Remaining Term.

- (g) No Mitigation; No Offset: In the event of any termination of employment under this Section 5, the Executive shall be under no obligation to seek other employment, and there shall be no offset against amounts due the Executive under this Agreement on account of any remuneration attributable to any subsequent employment that he may obtain.
- (h) Nature of Payments: Any amounts due under this Section 5 are in the nature of severance payments, liquidated damages, or both, and shall compensate the Executive, and the dependents, beneficiaries and estate of the Executive for any and all direct damages and consequential damages that they may suffer as a result of the termination of the Executive's employment, and are not in the nature of a penalty.
- 6. Fresh Start: In consideration of Executive's full and general release (in the form annexed hereto as Enclosure 2) of all existing rights and claims with respect to the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries, the Company agrees to pay Executive a lump sum settlement of \$1,000,000. The lump sum payment shall be made at the end of the next full pay period following the execution of this Agreement, provided that the Executive delivers the required executed release to the Company concurrently with the receipt of such payment.
- 7. Notices: For purposes of this Agreement, notices and all other communications provided for herein shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly given when personally delivered or when mailed by United States registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

If to Company:	Houston Industries Incorporated P.O. Box 4567 Houston, Texas 77210 ATTENTION: Chairman of the Board
If to Executive:	

or to such other address as either party may furnish to the other in writing in accordance herewith, except that notices of changes of address shall be effective only upon receipt.

- 8. Applicable Law: The validity, interpretation, construction and performance of this Agreement will be governed by and construed in accordance with the substantive laws of the State of Texas, including the Texas statute of limitations, but without giving effect to the principles of conflict of laws of such State.
- 9. Severability: If a court of competent jurisdiction determines that any provision of this Agreement is invalid or unenforceable, then the invalidity or unenforceability of that provision shall

not affect the validity or enforceability of any other provision of this Agreement and all other provisions shall remain in full force and effect.

- 10. Withholding of Taxes: The Company may withhold from any benefits payable under this Agreement all federal, state, city or other taxes as may be required pursuant to any law or governmental regulation or ruling. However, any taxes of the type described in Section 4 of the Enclosure 1 shall be treated as if imposed on payments thereunder and such provisions are incorporated herein for such purposes as if set forth fully in this Agreement.
- 11. No Assignment; Successors: Executive's right to receive payments or benefits hereunder shall not be assignable or transferable, whether by pledge, creation or a security interest or otherwise, whether voluntary, involuntary, by operation of law or otherwise, other than a transfer by will or by the laws of descent or distribution, and in the event of any attempted assignment or transfer contrary to this Section 11 the Company shall have no liability to pay any amount so attempted to be assigned or transferred. This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be enforceable by Executive's personal or legal representatives, executors, administrators, successors, heirs, distributes, devises and legatees.

This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Company, its successors and assigns (including, without limitation, any company into or with which the Company may merge or consolidate). The Company agrees that it will not effect the sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of its assets unless either (a) the person or entity acquiring such assets or a substantial portion thereof shall expressly assume by an instrument in writing all duties and obligations of the Company hereunder or (b) the Company shall provide, through the establishment of a separate reserve therefor, for the payment in full of all amounts which are or may reasonably be expected to become payable to Executive hereunder.

12. Payment Obligations Absolute: Except for the requirement of the Executive to execute and return to the Company a Waiver and Release in accordance with Sections 5 and 6, the Company's obligation to pay (or cause one of its Affiliates to pay) Executive the amounts and to make the arrangements provided herein shall be absolute and unconditional and shall not be affected by any circumstances, including, without limitation, any set-off, counter-claim, recoupment, defense or other right which the Company (including its Affiliates) may have against him or anyone else. All amounts payable by the Company (including its Affiliates hereunder) shall be paid without notice or demand. Executive shall not be obligated to sign an agreement not to compete with the Company or to seek other employment in mitigation of the amounts payable or arrangements made under any provision of this Agreement, and the obtaining of any other employment shall in no event effect any reduction of the Company's obligations to make (or cause to be made) the payments and arrangements required to be made under this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this Agreement to be executed and delivered the \_\_\_\_\_ day of February, 1998, but effective as of the day and year first above written.

HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED

By /s/ DON D. JORDAN Don D. Jordan, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

EXECUTIVE

/s/ CHARLES OGLESBY Charles Oglesby

-7-

#### WAIVER AND RELEASE

Houston Industries Incorporated has offered to pay me \$1,000,000.00 (the "Payment") in exchange for my agreement to waive all of my claims against and release Houston Industries Incorporated and its predecessors, successors and assigns (collectively referred to as the "Company"), all of the affiliates (including subsidiaries) of the Company (collectively referred to as the "Affiliates") and the Company's and Affiliates' directors and officers, employees and agents, insurers, named employee benefit plans and contracts, and the fiduciaries and agents of said plans (collectively, with the Company and Affiliates, referred to as the "Corporate Group") from any and all claims, demands, actions, liabilities, damages and contractual obligations that arise in any way from my employment with the Company or the Affiliates through the date identified as my signature date at the end of this Waiver and Release ("Signature Date"). This payment is voluntary on the part of the Company and is not a legal obligation of any member of the Corporate Group. I choose to accept this offer.

In exchange for the Payment, which is in addition to any remuneration or benefits to which I am already entitled, (1) I agree not to sue in any local, state and/or federal court regarding or relating in any way to my employment with the Company or the Affiliates through the Signature Date, and (2) I knowingly and voluntarily (a) waive all rights and claims I have, as of the Signature Date, with respect to any member of the Corporate Group and (b) release the Corporate Group from any and all liabilities, damages and contractual obligations to me (directly or as a third party beneficiary), whether known or unknown, matured or unmatured, contingent or absolute, that stem in any way from my employment with the Company or the Affiliates through the Signature Date, except for my rights under the terms of any employee benefit plans sponsored by the Company or the Affiliates that are not named below. This Waiver and Release includes, but is not limited to, all contractual obligations, rights, claims and causes of action under: Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended, including the Older Workers Benefit Protection Act of 1990; the Civil Rights Act of 1866, as amended; the Civil Rights Act of 1991; the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990; the Energy Reorganization Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. Section 5851; the Workers Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act of 1988; the Pregnancy Discrimination Act of 1978; the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended; the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993; the Fair Labor Standards Act; the Occupational Safety and Health Act; the Texas Labor Code Section 21.001 et. seq.; the Texas Labor Code; any of the following employee benefit plans: the Arkla, Inc. and Subsidiaries Nonqualified Executive Disability Income Plan, the Diversified Energies Inc. Benefit Equalization and & Savings Plan, the NorAm Executive Severance Benefits Plan, the Arkla, Inc. Long Term Incentive Compensation Plan, the NorAm Energy Corp. 1994 Incentive Equity Plan, the DEI 1990 Stock Award Plan, the NorAm 1997 All Employee Incentive Plan, and any contract relating in any way to any such plan; the Agreement and Plan of Merger among the Company, Houston Lighting & Power Company, HI Merger, Inc. and NorAm Energy Corp. dated as of August 11, 1996; claims in connection with workers' compensation or "whistle blower" status; and/or contract, tort, defamation, slander, wrongful termination or any other state or federal regulatory, statutory or common law. I understand that this Waiver and Release shall operate as a full and final and complete release and settlement of any and all claims under any of the foregoing.

I expressly represent that no promise or agreement which is not expressed in this Waiver and Release has been made to me in executing this Waiver and Release, and that I am relying on my own judgment in executing this Waiver and Release, and I am not relying on any statement or representation of any member of the Corporate Group or any of their agents. I agree that this Waiver and Release is valid, fair, adequate and reasonable, is with my full knowledge and consent, was not procured through fraud, duress, or mistake and has not had the effect of misleading, misinforming or failing to inform me. I acknowledge that Payment is not an admission by the Company that the Company or any other member of the Corporate Group engaged in any wrongful or unlawful act or violated any law or regulation. I understand that nothing in this Waiver and Release is intended to prohibit, restrict or otherwise discourage any individual from engaging in activity protected under 42 U.S.C. Section 5851 and 10 C.F.R. Section 50.7, including, but not limited to, providing information to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC") or to the Company regarding nuclear safety or quality concerns, potential violations or other matters within the NRC's jurisdiction.

I acknowledge that this Waiver and Release sets forth the entire understanding and agreement between me and the Corporate Group concerning the subject matter of this Waiver and Release and supersede any prior or contemporaneous oral and/or written agreements or representations, if any, between me and the Corporate Group with respect to that subject matter. I understand that signing this Waiver and Release is an important legal act. I acknowledge that the Company has advised me in writing to consult an attorney before signing this Waiver and Release. I acknowledge that I have read this Waiver and Release, have had an opportunity to ask questions and have it explained to me and that I understand that this Waiver and Release will have the effect of knowingly and voluntarily waiving any action I might pursue, including breach of contract, personal injury, retaliation, discrimination on the basis of race, age, sex, national origin, or disability and any other claims arising prior to the date of this Waiver and Release. By execution of this document, I do not waive or release or otherwise relinquish any legal rights I may have which are attributable to or arising out of acts, omissions, or events of the Company or any other member of the Corporate Group which occur after the date of the execution of this Waiver and Release.

Should any of the provisions set forth in this Waiver and Release be determined to be invalid by a court, agency or other tribunal of competent jurisdiction, it is agreed that such determination shall not effect the enforceability of other provisions of this Waiver and Release.

/S/ CHARLES UGLESBY
Charles Oglesby
3/4/98
Signature Date

/a / CHARLEC OCLECTV

# HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED SAVINGS PLAN

(As Amended and Restated Effective as of July 1, 1995)

## Fifth Amendment

The Benefits Committee of Houston Industries Incorporated, having the right under Section 10.3 of the Houston Industries Incorporated Savings Plan, as amended and restated effective July 1, 1995 and thereafter amended (the "Plan"), to amend the Plan, does hereby amend Section 7.4(c) of the Plan as follows, effective October 15, 1997:

"7.4(c) In the event an installment payment is not paid within ninety days following the last regular payroll for which a payment was received, written notice shall be sent to the Borrower at his last known address. If such installment payment is not made within 30 days thereafter, the Committee or its agent shall proceed with foreclosure in order to collect the full remaining loan balance or shall make other arrangements with the Borrower as the Committee deems appropriate. Foreclosures need not be effected until occurrence of a distributable event under the terms of the Plan and no rights against the Borrower or the security shall be deemed waived by the Plan as a result of such delay."

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Benefits Committee of Houston Industries Incorporated has caused these presents to be executed by its duly authorized Chairman in a number of copies, all of which shall constitute one and the same instrument, which may be sufficiently evidenced by any

2

executed copy hereof, this 26th day of February, 1998, but effective as of October 15, 1997.

BENEFITS COMMITTEE OF HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED

By: /s/ Lee W. Hogan
Lee W. Hogan, Chairman

ATTEST:

/s/ Elizabeth P. Weylandt ------Elizabeth P. Weylandt, Secretary of the Benefits Committee

#### HOUSTON INDUSTRIES ENERGY, INC. LONG-TERM PROJECT INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLAN

(As Established Effective January 1, 1994)

## Fourth Amendment

Houston Industries Incorporated, a Texas corporation, having established the Houston Industries Energy, Inc. Long-Term Project Incentive Compensation Plan, effective January 1, 1994 (the "Plan"), and having reserved the right under Article XIV thereof to amend the Plan, does hereby amend the second sentence of Article IX.B of the Plan, effective January 1, 1997, to read as follows:

"Long-Term Awards (including any Long-Term Awards previously awarded under the Plan) will be paid as soon as practicable following the completion of three years from the first day of the Plan Year to which they relate, upon the Compensation Committee's determination that the Performance Goals previously established with respect to such Award have been satisfied and subject to the remaining provisions of this Article IX."

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Houston Industries Incorporated has caused these presents to be executed by its duly authorized officers in a number of copies, all of which shall constitute one and the same instrument, which may be sufficiently evidenced by any executed copy hereof, this 26th day of February, 1998, but effective as of the date herein stated.

HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED

By:	/s/ Lee W. Hogan
_	Name: Lee W. Hogan
	Title: Executive Vice President

ATTEST:

/s/ Richard B. Dauphin

# HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED STOCK PLAN FOR OUTSIDE DIRECTORS

#### First Amendment

Houston Industries Incorporated, a Texas corporation (the "Company"), having adopted the Houston Industries Incorporated Stock Plan for Outside Directors, effective May 22, 1996 (the "Plan"), and having reserved the right under Section 6.1 thereof to amend the Plan, does hereby amend the Plan, effective as of August 6, 1997, to read as follows:

1. The first sentence of Article I of the Plan is hereby amended to read as follows:

"The purpose of this Houston Industries Incorporated Stock Plan for Outside Directors (the 'Plan') is to provide for a method of compensation of Outside Directors of Houston Industries Incorporated and any successor thereto (the 'Company') that will strengthen the alignment of their financial interests with those of the Company's shareholders through increased ownership of shares of the Company's Common Stock by such Outside Directors."

2. The definition of "Company" in Article II is hereby amended in its entirety to read as follows:

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Houston Industries Incorporated has caused this Amendment to be executed by its duly authorized officers this 26th day of February, 1998, but effective as of August 6, 1997.

## HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED

Ву:	/s/ Lee W. Hogan
Name	: Lee W. Hogan
Titl	e: Executive Vice President

ATTEST:

/s/ Richard B. Dauphin

# HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES D/B/A RELIANT ENERGY INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES

# COMPUTATION OF RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

		TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31,							
		1998		1997		1996		1995	 1994
Fixed (	Charges as Defined:								 
(1)	Interest on Long-Term Debt	\$ 416,138	\$	320,845	\$	276,242	\$	279,491	\$ 265,494
(2)	Other Interest	97,767		77,112		33,738		21,586	25,076
(3)	Capitalized Interest	9,565		7,721					
(4)	Distribution on Trust Securities	29,201		26,230					
(5)	Preferred Dividends Factor of Subsidiary.	0		3,360		33,619		44,933	51,718
(6)	Interest Component of Rentals Charged to Operating Expense	9,966		5,692		942		3,102	3,951
(7)	Total Fixed Charges	\$ 562,637 ========	\$	440,960 ======	\$	344,541 ======	\$	349,112	346,239
Earnin	gs as Defined:								
(8)	Income from Continuing Operations	\$ (141,092)	\$	421,110	\$	404,944	\$	397,400	\$ 423,985
(9)	Income Taxes for Continuing Operations	(30,432)		206,374	\$	200,165		199,555	230,424
(10)	Fixed Charges (line 7)	562,637		440,960	\$	344,541		349,112	346,239
(11)	Capitalized Interest (Line 3)	(9,565)		(7,721)					
(12)	Income from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes and Fixed Charges	\$ 381,548		1,060,723 ======	\$	949,650		946,067	, 000, 648 ======
Prefer	ed Dividends Factor of Subsidiary:								
(13)	Preferred Stock Dividends of Subsidiary	\$ 0	\$	2,255	\$	22,563	\$	29,955	\$ 33,583
(14)	Ratio of Pre-Tax Income from Continuing Operations to Income from Continuing Operations(line 8 plus line 9 divided, (line 8)	1.22		1.49		1.49		1.50	1.54
	(11116 0)	1,22		1.43		1.49		1.50	1.54
(15)	Preferred Dividends Factor of Subsidiary (line 14 times line 13)		\$	3,360	\$	33,619 ======	\$	44,933	\$ 51,718
Fixe	of Earnings from Continuing Operations to ed Charges Before Cumulative Effect of age in Accounting (line 12 divided by line			2 41		2 76		2 71	2 89

2.41 2.76 2.71

2.89

In 1998 earnings are inadequate to cover fixed charges by approximately \$181 million. This deficiency results from the \$1.2 billion non-cash, unrealized accounting loss recorded for the ACES. Excluding the effect of the non-cash, unrealized accounting loss of \$764 million, the ratio of earnings from the continuing operations to fixed charges would have been 2.77.

# SIGNIFICANT SUBSIDIARIES OF HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED d/b/a RELIANT ENERGY, INCORPORATED

The following subsidiaries are deemed "significant subsidiaries" pursuant to Item 601(b)(21) of Regulation S-K:

Reliant Energy Resources Corp., a Delaware corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of Houston Industries Incorporated.

Reliant Energy International, Inc., a Delaware corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Houston Industries Incorporated.

- (1) Pursuant to Item 601(b)(21) of Regulation SK, registrant has omitted the names of subsidiaries, which considered in the aggregate as a single subsidiary, would not constitute a "significant subsidiary" (as defined under Rule 1-02(w) of Regulation S-X) as of December 31, 1997
- (2) Reliant Energy Resources Corp. also conducts business under the names of its three unincorporated divisions: Reliant Energy Arkla, Reliant Energy Entex and Reliant Energy Minnegasco

<sup>- -------</sup>

## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' CONSENT

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Houston Industries Incorporated's, d/b/a Reliant Energy, Incorporated (i) Registration Statement on Form S-4 No. 333-11329, (ii) Registration Statements on Form S-3 Nos. 33-46368, 33-54228, 333-20069, 333-32353, 333-33301, 333-33303, 333-58433, and 333-70665, (iii) Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement No. 33-51417 on Form S-3, (iv) Registration Statements on Form S-8 Nos. 333-32413, 333-32585, and 333-49333 and (v) Post-Effective Amendments Nos. 1 and 2 to Registration Statement No. 333-11329-99 on Form S-8 of our report dated February 25, 1999 (relating to the consolidated financial statements of Houston Industries Incorporated d/b/a Reliant Energy, Incorporated (the "Company")) appearing in this Combined Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company and Reliant Energy Resources, formerly NorAm Energy Corp. for the year ended December 31, 1998.

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Houston, Texas March 18, 1999 THIS SCHEDULE CONTAINS SUMMARY FINANCIAL INFORMATION EXTRACTED FROM THE COMPANY'S FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND IS QUALIFIED IN ITS ENTIRETY BY REFERENCE TO SUCH FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

0000048732 HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED 1,000

```
12-MOS
            DEC-31-1998
                 DEC-31-1998
                   PRO-FORMA
     9,664,110
   3,908,631
1,669,171
      3,896,610
                         0
                19,138,522
                       2,916,662
              0
            1,395,466
4,312,128
                  0
                         9,740
           7,142,980
       452,500
1,360,239
   397,454
              0
            0
                       0
5,463,481
19,138,522
    11,488,464
   (30,432)
10,011,076
   10,011,076
       1,477,388
(1,110,110)
  368,278
        538,802
                   (141,092)
         390
 (141, 482)
426, 492
       342,471
        1,425,359
                      (0.50)
                      (0.50)
```

[Letterhead of Secretary of State of the State of Texas]

February 2, 1999

RE: HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED

ASSUMED NAME: RELIANT ENERGY, INCORPORATED

FILE DATE: FEBRUARY 2, 1999

The assumed name certificate for the above referenced entity has been filed in this office. This letter may be used as evidence of the filing.

Please be aware that pursuant to Section 36.17 of the Texas Business and Commerce Code, the filing of an assumed name certificate does not give the registrant any right to use the name when contrary to the common law or statutory law of unfair competition, unfair trade practices, common law copyright, or similar law.

In addition to filing with the Secretary of State, Chapter 36 of the Texas Business and Commerce Code requires filing of the assumed name certificate with the county clerk in the counties in which the registered office and the principal office are located.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ Lorna Wassdorf Lorna Wassdorf Deputy Assistant Secretary Statutory Filings Division

LSW: pac

## State of Delaware

## Office of the Secretary of State

PAGE 1

I, EDWARD J. FREEL, SECRETARY OF STATE OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THE ATTACHED IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT OF "NORAM ENERGY CORP.", CHANGING ITS NAME FROM "NORAM ENERGY CORP." TO "RELIANT ENERGY RESOURCES CORP.", FILED IN THIS OFFICE ON THE SECOND DAY OF FEBRUARY, A.D. 1999, AT 11:30 O'CLOCK A.M.

A FILED COPY OF THIS CERTIFICATE HAS BEEN FORWARDED TO THE NEW CASTLE COUNTY RECORDER OF DEEDS.

[seal]

/s/ Edward J. Freel

Edward J. Freel, Secretary of State

[seal]

2651891 8100 991041810 AUTHENTICATION: 9554255

DATE: 02-02-99

#### CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT

TO THE

## CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION

0F

#### NORAM ENERGY CORP.

NorAm Energy Corp. (the "Corporation"), a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware (the "DGCL"), hereby adopts this Certificate of Amendment (this "Certificate of Amendment"), which amends its Certificate of Incorporation, as described below, and does hereby further certify that:

- 1. The name of the Corporation is NorAm Energy Corp.
- 2. The Board of Directors of the Corporation duly adopted a resolution proposing and declaring advisable the amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation as described herein, and the Corporation's sole stockholder duly adopted such amendment, all in accordance with the provisions of Sections 242 and 228 of the DGCL.
- 3. The amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation effected by this Certificate of Amendment changes the name of the Corporation to Reliant Energy Resources Corp.
- 4. The Certificate of Incorporation is hereby amended by deleting the text of Article FIRST thereof in its entirety and replacing in lieu thereof the following:

"FIRST: The name of the Company is Reliant Energy Resources Corp. (hereinafter the "Company")."

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Corporation has caused this certificate to be executed this 2nd day of February, 1999.

NORAM ENERGY CORP.

By: /s/ Richard B. Dauphin

Name: Richard B. Dauphin Title: Assistant Secretary

# RELIANT ENERGY RESOURCES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES (A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF RELIANT ENERGY, INCORPORATED)

# COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

	1998	1997	1996	1995	1994
Income from Continuing Operations	\$ 93,824	\$ 66,722	\$ 95,138	\$ 65,529	\$ 51,291
Income Taxes for Continuing Operations	111,830	55,781	66,352	55,379	34,372
Non-Utility Interest Capitalized					
	205,654	122,503	161,490	120,908	85,663
Fixed Charges:					
Interest	111,460	126,912	130,592	155,584	167,384
Amortization of Debt Discount and Expense	509	3,086	3,582	3,483	3,312
Portion of Rents Considered to Represent an Interest Factor	8,485	7,988	10,083	16,215	11,292
Total Fixed Charges	120,454	137,986	144,257	175,282	181,988
Earnings	\$ 326,108 =======	\$ 260,489 =======	\$ 305,747 =======	\$ 296,190 ======	\$ 267,651 =======
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	2.71	1.89	2.12	1.69	1.47

THIS SCHEDULE CONTAINS SUMMARY FINANCIAL INFORMATION AND IS EXPECTED FROM OUR RESOURCE'S FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND IS QUALIFIED IN ITS ENTIRETY BY REFERENCE TO SUCH FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

1

0

0001042773 RELIANT ENERGY RESOURCES CORP. 1,000

> 12-MOS DEC-31-1998 DEC-31-1998 PRO-FORMA 1,357,697 1,468,131 1,546,905 2,282,788 0 6,655,521 2,447,827 114,671 2,562,499 0 0 1,514,446 300,000 203,438 0 0 0 2,075,138 6,655,521 6,758,412 111,830 6,448,107 3, 107 310, 305 7, 318 6,448,107 317,623 111,969 93,824 0 93,824 111,337 105,259 0